

THE DECLINE IN COTTON PRODUCTION; ACASE STUDY IN NANSANGA SUBCOUNTY, BUDAKA DISTRICT.

BY

JANJA MOSES

BU/UP/2018/3417

A RESEARCH PROPOSAL TO BE SUBMITED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD OF BACHELORS 'DEGREE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY

SUPERVISED

BY

Mr. OCHAN MARTIN LUTHER

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS	üi
LIST OF TABLES	vi
LIST OF FIGURES	vii
DECLARATION	viii
APPROVAL	ix
DEDICATION	X
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	xi
ABSTRACT	xii
Objectives of the study	xii
The main objective were	xii
Specific objectives were	xii
List of Acronyms	xiii
1.0CHAPTER ONE	1
1.1BACK GROUND OF THE STUDY	1
1.2 PROBLEM STATEMENT	
1.3 JUSTIFICATION;	
1.3 GENERAL OBJECTIVE	4
1.4 SPECIFIC OBJECTIVES	
1.5 THE PURPOSE OF THE STUDY	4
1.6 HYPOTHESES4	
1.6.1 Research questions	4
1.7 SCOPE OF THE STUDY	5
1.7.1 Geographical scope5	
1.7.2 Content scope5	
1.7.3 Time scope5	
1.8 SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY5	

1.9 CONCEPTUAL FRAME	WORK	5
2.0 CHAPTER TWO		7
2.1 LITERATURE REVI	IEW of the research	7
2.2 Introduction7		
2.3 factors leading to con	ntinuous decline in cotton production	8
2.4 The impacts of the de	ecline in cotton production to Farmers	9
2.5 Strategies to increase 9	e cotton production	
3.0 CHAPTER THREE		
3.1 METHODOLOGY		
3.2 RESEARCH DESIGN 13		
3.3 Area of study		13
3.3.1 Topography 13		
3.3.2 Soils 13		
3.3.3 Hydrology 13		
3.3.4 Vegetation 14		
3.3.5 Climate 14		
3.3.5 Land use 14		
3.3.6 Wild life 15		
3.3.7 Mineral Resou	rces	
3.4 Targeted area of stud	y	15
3.5 Targeted population .		15
3.6 SAMPLING15		
3.7 RESEARCH TOOLS .		
16	a)	Questionnaires
		16

i) Farmer's	questionnaire		
16 ii)Extensio	n worker's questionnaires		
16	b)	Oral	Interviews
3.8 Processing ar	ıd analyzing data		16
3.9 DATA ANA 17	LYSIS		
3.10 LIMITATION	I TO THE STUDY		17
3.11 Reliability	of the instruments		17
4.0 CHAPTER FO	OUR		
4.1 RESEARCH	FINDINGS		18
4.2 RE-STATEME	NT OF HYPOTHESIS		18
4.3 FARMER'S \ 18	/IEWS		
4.4 PROLEMS C	BSERVED		
4.5 SUGGESTE	D MEASURES		24
4.6 EXTENSION 25	WORKER'S VIEWS		
4.7 PROBLEMS F	ACED BY FARMERS DURING COT	TON PRODUCTION	27
4.8 FARMERS' RE	SPONSES DURING THE INTERVIE	EW	29
5.0 CHAPTER FI	VE		31
5.1 DISCUSSION	I, RECOMMENDATION AN	ND CONCLUSION	31
5.2 Discussion			31
5.2.1 Hypoth 31	esis		
5.2.2 Hypoth 32	eses		
5.2.3 Hypoth 33	esis		
5.2.4 Hypoth 34	esis		
5.2.5 Conclus 34	ion		

5.2.6 Reco 35	ommendations	
5.3 REFERENCES	S	36
APPENDIX 1 QU	JESTIONNAIRE	38
TO FARMER	RS	
APPENDIX 2	QUESTIONNAIRE	40
TO AGRICUL 40	LTURAL EXTENSION AGENTS	

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 Reflectino of problems faced by farmers in the course of cotton production
21
Figure 3 showing a reflection of extension worker's views on problems

DECLARATION

I JANJA MOSES, declare that this research has been done to the best of my knowledge and is my original work and has not been submitted to any university for the ward of a degree.

Signiture	
BU/UP/2018/3417	
JANJA MOSES	

APPROVAL

APPROVAL
I confirm that this research report has been prepared under my supervision and I have successfully
finished.

NAME: MR. OCHAN MARTIN LUTHER
Signature
Date

DEDICATION

This research work is dedicated to my father Mr. Musolo Samuel, Mother Mrs. NASIKIETO PETALINA to my wife Nandutu winnie, my siblings kwiri William, Musolo Demiano and sincere thanks to the HESFB, Higher Education Students' Financing Board, great thanks to my Friends, Jonathan Muswane, Dyogo Batamya John and all other well wishers.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

My gratitude goes to my supervisor Mr. OCHAN MARTIN LUTHER for the advice and guidance while I was writing this project and also for providing useful references in to improve the quality of this project. I thank all the lecturers of the A agriculture department for the knowledge and skills that was inculcated in such that this research becomes a success.

ABSTRACT

A survey study was carried out on the factors leading to the decline of cotton production Nansanga subcounty – Budaka district. A descriptive cross sectional survey design was used to collect data from the respondents. The sampling technique used was random sampling where 40 and above respondents were selected to participate in the study and were given well prepared questionnaires.

Data was analyzed using Microsoft Excel software and it was found out that cotton decline has led to different negative impacts like low incomes, poverty etc.

Objectives of the study

The main objective was

To find out the major factors leading to the decline in cotton production and establishing the stratages to increase its production in nansanga sub county budaka district

Specific objectives were

To identify the factors leading to decline in cotton production.

Toto assess the impact of cotton decline to farmers.

To establish appropriate strategies to boost cotton production.

The study recommended that the Government should strengthen the [CDO] in provision of inputs, and knowledge needed for great production of cotton. On addition the government should come up with demonstration gardens which can attract farmer's attention to mix in the production.

List of Acronyms

AGOA Africa Growth Opportunity Act

ATM African Textile Mills

CBOs Community Based Organization

CCB. Cotton Control Board

CDO Cotton Development Organization

EAC East African Community

EPA Economic Partnership Agreement

EU European Union

GOT. Ginning Out-Turn

GOU. Government of Uganda

JITCO. Jinda International Textiles Corporation Ltd

LMB. Lint Marketing Board

MAAIF Ministry of Agriculture Animal Industry and Fisheries

MDA Ministries Departments and Agencies

MFPED Ministry of Finance Planning and Economic Development

MTIC Ministry of Trade, Industry and Cooperatives

NARO. National Agricultural Research Organization

NGO Non-Government Organization

NYTIL Nyanza Textile Industries Limited

TEMAU Textile Manufacturers Association Uganda

TEXDA Textile Development Agency

UCOPA Uganda Cottonseed Oil Processors Association

UDC Uganda Development Corporation

UGCEA Uganda Ginners and Cotton Exporters Association

UIA Uganda Investment Authority

UIRI Uganda Industrial Research Institute

X

i i

:

UNCTAD United Nations Conference on Trade and Development UNECA United Nations Economic Commission for Africa

UNIDO United Nations Industrial Development Organization

WTO World Trade Organization

WUCC Western Uganda Cotton Company

1.0 CHAPTER ONE

1.1 BACK GROUND OF THE STUDY

Agriculture is one of the most important economic sectors in Uganda, with significant contributions to employment, food security, foreign exchange earnings and production of industrial raw materials. According to the Uganda Bureau of Statistics, in2015/16, agriculture generated 23.6% of the country's GDP and employed over 68% of its population. Cotton is one of the traditional cash crops grown in Uganda, used both as an export good and as a raw material for the domestic textile and edible oil industries. The Cotton Development Organization (CDO) estimates that the cotton value chain employs a total of 2.5 million people, directly and indirectly, in the production and marketing of its primary products, such as textiles and garments, as well as its by-products, such as soap, edible oil and animal feed.

The word "cotton" refers Arabic origins, derived from the Arabic word "Qutun" this is the usual word for cotton in middle Arab countries. The word entered the Romance languages in the mid-12 century and English later. So, this means that the origin of cotton is estimated to be Arabia India is the world's leading producer of cotton with 6,188,000 tones yearly production, worldwide 26,172,678 tons of cotton is produced per year and Australia produces 885,100 tons per year. The scientific name for cotton is [gossypium]

Colonial Period: Cotton was introduced in Uganda by the British Colonial Government in 1903 as the first cash crop (Mukiibi, 2001). By the mid 1930's production reached 60,000 metric tones (MT) (Baffes, 2009). One of the most divisive methods used to encourage cotton production during colonial rule was the enforcement of a poll tax, used to supply the British textile industry with cotton. Baffes (2009) explains that the poll tax contributed to —forced labor conditions, because cotton was the only crop able to generate cash to pay the tax. The poll tax law was repealed prior to World War II. But the tax remained in practice until independence, and refusal to plant cotton resulted in imprisonment. Forced promotion of cotton during the colonial period displayed a disregard for farmers' interests by, and in favored, the colonial rulers. Colonial governance also set the stage for heavy government involvement in regulation of the cotton sector. In 1933, the Cotton Control Board (CCB) increased government restrictions considerably with the passage of the Cotton Zone Ordinance. The Ordinance established fourteen zones, each allocated with a ginnery that maintained a monopoly on its zone's harvest.

Furthermore the Ordinance enacted a minimum price scheme (Lundbæk 2001). Although this heavy government involvement could protect farmers from sharp price declines, it ultimately served the interests of ginneries by ensuring that farmers, dependent on government price protections, produced cotton instead of more lucrative crops. The Lint Marketing Board (LMB), a government body established with the passage of the Lint Marketing Board Act (1959), also took an active role in the textile industry. According to Masinga and Ruhweza (2007), the LMB held a —monopoly on domestic and international trade of cotton lint and seed, with ginning and marketing functions vested in the cooperative unions. The LMB also took on marketing and regulation responsibilities as time progressed (Baffes, 2009). Both the LMB and Cotton Zone Ordinance were in place until 1994, although their roles essentially evaporated with the collapse of the cotton industry during the 1970s and 1980s. Colonization was formative not only in introducing the crop but also introducing social and governmental structures for the cotton value chain. One of the most notable social

5.3 REFERENCES

Ahmed, M., & Ojangole, . (2012). Analysis of Incentives and Disincentives for Cotton in Uganda. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), Monitoring African Food and Agriculture Policies Project (MAFAP).

Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). Asiimwe, A. (2012). Why Idi Amin expelled the Asians. New African, October. pp. 32-35. Baffes, J. (2009).

The Full Potential of Uganda's Cotton Industry. Development Policy Review, 27 (1), pp. 67-85.

B-Space Ltd (2014). Assessing market attractiveness of cotton seed oil as a finished consumer product to serve the BoP Market in Kasese, Uganda. BoP Innovation Centre, Utrecht, Netherlands.

Chell Daniel, G. (2013). Uganda's Cotton and Textile Sectors: Current Production Challenges, Motivations and Effects on Development. SIT Digital Collections. SIT Study Abroad.

Enger, J.W and D.R. Burgass (1989). Oil Seed Production and Processing. Sector Analysis. Cooperative Agriculture and Agribusiness Support Project Uganda

Gadberry, S. (2015). Composition of selected Livestock Feeds. University of Arkansas, United States Department of Agriculture.

IL&FS Cluster Development Initiative Limited (2014). Advisory Document of Cotton in Uganda. Cotton Technical Assistance Programme for Africa. New Delhi.

Lundbaek, J. (2001). Privatization of the Cotton Sub-Sector in Uganda. Masters Thesis, The Royal Veterinary and Agricultural University, Economics and Natural Resources, Frederiksberg, Denmark

Masiga, M., & Ruhweza, A. (2007). Commodity Revenue Management: Coffee and Cotton in Uganda. Winnipeg, Canada: International Institute for Sustainable Development IISD.

Mukiibi, J.K. (2001). Agriculture in Uganda. Vol II. Crops. Fountain Publishers/CTA/NARO. pp. 322-375. Nalubega, F. (2012). Uganda Cooking Oil Demand outwits Local Production. In: africaUganda-Business-Travel-Guide.com

HalgerBernet et al (1995), narrates that: in 1902, the British Cotton cultivation wherever possible in Britain's overseas territories.

Acland, (1987) _most of Uganda's cotton is produced in the northern and eastern region with the greatest concentration in Budaka, Teso, Busoga, Lango, and Bukedea districts.Recommended planting period is from mid-April to mid-June for the best yielding, the planting after this period normally gives very low yields.

Whyte (1978) in his study of the Teso farmers' cotton, the universal cash crops paid taxes, provided new clothes and paid school fees

Kato et al (1998) he stated that cotton grows slowly at first, so weeding may be needed with regular spraying, the first spraying would be done after for weeks from planting, thereafter spray every two weeks at least four times.

Gerald (1988) states that the cotton is probably a trickier crop to grow that certain traditional crop and requires greater attention, it is labor intensive and the farmers must have the necessary equipment and supplies.

The monitor newspaper, Friday 2 June 2002.Babaine reports Government recruits 440 agriculture graduates. The minister of agriculture animal industry and fisheries

Kisamba Mugerwa has said 440 agriculture graduates have been recruited by his ministry to be posted to various sub-counties in the country to help farmers modernize their farms.

NEMA report on the state of environment for Uganda (2000/200 1) reports that the removal of about one million cattle from BUDAKA has today impoverished the people whose entire livelihood had in the past revolved around livestock.

Bank of Uganda annual report (1994-95) states that —Production of cotton was adversely affected by disruptions in the distribution of cotton seeds.

NEMA, on the state of environment for Uganda, (2000-2001) states that, —Based on estimated land area of 194000kn? and population census data, land is becoming increasingly scarce as the country's population continues to increase at a high rate of 2.5% per annum which is estimated 113 persons /kn? for the year 2000.

Barke (1991) he reports that —some of the cash crops from the LDCs have to compete with more intensive forms of agriculture.

Bainempaka et al (1990) says that —many products have a long production cycle and hence there is uncertainty for the farmer of the price he/she will receive. Cotton for an example involves more uncertainty than maize.