EVALUATION OF THE POTENTIAL OF CHILI PEPPER AND NEEEM LEAF AQUEOUS EXTRACTS TO CONTROL FALL ARMYWORM (SPODOPTERA FRUGIPERDA) ON MAIZE PLANTS.

 \mathbf{BY}

MUGWANYA STEVEN

BU/UG/2017/51

RESEARCH REPORT SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF BIOLOGY IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD OF BACHELOR DEGREE IN SCIENCE AND EDUCATION OF BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY.

DEPARTMENT OF BIOLOGY FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND EDUCATION

2021

DECLARATION

I, Mugwanya Steven, duly declare that the work presented is my original work and has not been
submitted for a degree or any other award in any university or any other institution of higher
learning.
Mugwanya Steven
Signature date

APPROVAL

I, Madam Namusana Hellen, as the candidate's Superv	isor, hereby confirm that the candidate
carried out the work reported in this report under my guid	lance and supervision.
Supervisor's signature	Date

DEDICATION

I dedicate this research study to beloved my father Mr. Ssebintene Emmanuel and my beloved mother Naluja Harriet for their continued and precious support towards my studies right from primary level up to this level.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I humbly take this chance to thank the Lord God Almighty, with humility and gratitude for he provided me with life, strength, good health and knowledge to accomplish my research study.

I would also like to acknowledge and extend my sincere appreciation to the following people for their paramount support and their tremendous help in the completion of this study:

My beloved supervisor, Madam Namusana Hellen, for her encouragement and careful direction during the research.

I appreciate biology laboratory technician Mr. Olowo Moses, for his endurance during data collection, guidance and supervision. Am also so much grateful to all other biology department lecturers of Busitema University Nagongera Campus for their guidance throughout the course of study and their inspirational advice.

I am grateful to all my friends especially Hairat Molah, Asiimwe Samary, Kabuye Derrick, Namayanja Elizabeth, Walube Blair, Mwagale Flavia and Ngotowa Emma who gave me the necessary support, courage and morale to go on with my research work till completion.

My family members especially my parents, for their support of my endeavors.

I pray that may God bless you all.

ABSTRACT

FAW is a cosmopolitan and highly polyphagous insect pest that attacks more than 80 plant species. (PrasannaB., 2018). In response to the damage caused by FAW, a number of control measures have been used. Most farmers have been using synthetic insecticides due to their faster pest knockdown effect however synthetic insecticides have various side effects among which include being non-biodegradable, non-specific, hazardous to handle and are too costly to (Oyewusi., 2019). Due to the side effects of synthetic insecticides, farmers have resorted to the use of botanical insecticides in the control of FAW (CABI & FAO, 2019). This is because they are locally available, specific, environmentally friendly and biodegradable (Okweche, Ogunwolu, & Adeyemo, 2013).

The main objective of the study was to evaluate the potential of chili pepper and neem leaf aqueous extracts to control fall armyworm (*Spodoptera frugiperda*) on maize plants. Its specific objectives where to compare the effectiveness of CPE, NLE, and their combination on the third larval instars of FAW using both the contact and feeding method all trials done within the laboratory. The experiment was laid out in a randomized complete block design; consisting of three treatments each replicated three times. Treatments which were used consisted of extracts from the leaves of neem tree(NLE), extract from dried chili pepper (CPE) and a combination of dried chili pepper extract with neem leaf extract (CPE +NLE) plus water as the control. Each treatment was applied through two methods, the contact and feeding application of the extracts all of them in the laboratory.

The results were analyzed using the Chi-square t-Test and student t-Test. The results revealed that the application of Neem leaf extract and chili pepper extract plus their combination caused a significant death of fall army worm 3rd larval instars compared to untreated control experiment irrespective of the method of application. When using feeding method of application, highest larval mortality was observed in the NLE (72%) and a combination of NLE and CPE (72%) and lowest observed in CPE (22%) as shown in table 5. When using contact application of extracts as shown in table.4, highest larval mortality was observed in CPE (61%) and a combination of NLE and CPE (61%) and lowest mortality observed in CPE (22%). Therefore, the use of NLE and CPE as botanical insecticides in the control of FAW in maize production will make a

contribution in the improvement of the crop yield, reduce on the sided effects of synthetic insecticides and increase food production by farmers.

Keywords—Chili, neem leaf, fall army worm, maize plants, botanical insecticides.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION	ii
APPROVAL	i
DEDICATION	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	viii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	ix
CHAPTER ONE	1
1.0: INTRODUCTION OF STUDY	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem statement	3
1.3. Objectives	3
1.3.1. Main objective.	3
1.3.2. Specific objectives	3
1.4. Research hypothesis	4
1.6. Study justification	4
CHAPTER TWO	5
2.0 L ITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1. Distribution and biology of FAW.	5
2.1.1. Distribution of FAW.	5
2.1.2. Identification of FAW	5
2.1.2. Biology of FAW	7
2.2. Nature of damages and loses caused by the FAW	8
2.3. Control measures for the FAW	11
2.3.1: Mechanical control of FAW.	11
2.3.2: Biological control of FAW	11
2.3.3: Chemical control.	11
2.4. BOTANICAL INSECT CONTROL.	12
2.4.1. Introduction.	12
2.4.2. Neem based pesticides for the control of FAW.	12
2.4.3. Chili pepper based pesticides for the control of FAW	13

2.4.4. Mechanism of botanical infection.	14
2.5. Host plants of FAW.	14
CHAPTER THREE	15
3.0: METHOD AND MATERIALS.	15
3.1 Description of the Study Area	15
3.2. Laboratory Bioassay.	15
3.2.1: Collection of the FAW.	15
3.2.2: Preparation of treatments	15
3.3. Method of application of extracts.	16
3.3.1: Contact toxicity:	17
3.3.2: Feeding bioassay:	17
3.4 Experimental design.	17
3.5. Data collection and data analysis.	17
3.5.1 Data collection	17
CHAPTER FOUR	18
4.0: RESULTS	18
4.1. Presentation of results.	18
4.2. Interpretation of results.	20
4.3. Hypothesis testing	22
4.3.1. Hypothesis testing one	22
4.3.2. Hypothesis testing two	22
4.3.3. Hypothesis testing three.	22
CHAPTER FIVE:	24
5.0 DISCUSSION, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	24
5.1 Discussion of results.	24
5.2 Conclusions.	25
5.3 Recommendations.	25
References	27
APPENDICES:	30
Appendix 1: experimental lay out	30
Appendix 2: tables for primary experimental results after 7 days	31
Appendix 3: Hypothesis testing results.	32
Appendix 4. Plates during the study	2

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Number of dead larval instars due to contact application method of extracts	18
Table 2Number of dead larval instars due to feeding application method of extracts	18
Table 3Larval mortality due to plant extract type and method of application	20
Table 4:Student t-Test results for contact application between NLE and CPE.	22
Table 5:Student t-Test testing results for feeding application between CPE and NLE	22
Table 6: Chi-square test for the type of extract and method of application	22
LIST OF FIGURES	
Figure 1 showing the different morphological features of fall army worm	5
Figure 2 showing the egg patches of fall army worm	6
Figure 3 showing different larval stages of fall army worm	6
Figure 4 showing the pupa of fall army worm	7
Figure 5 showing the moth of fall army worm.	7
Figure 6 showing the life cycle of fall army worm.	8
Figure 7 showing the level of damage of fall army worm on maize plants	9
Figure 8 showing the skeletonized leaves of fall army worm.	9
Figure 9 showing the damages done on maize leaves by fall army worm.	10
Figure 10 Comparison of treatments due to contact application.	18
Figure 11 Comparison of treatments due to feeding application.	20
Figure 12 . Comparison of plant extracts and methods of application.	21

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

FAW Fall Army Worm

BUNIC Busitema University Nagongera Campus.

H_O Null hypothesis

Ha Alternative hypothesis

CPE Chili pepper extract

NLE Neem leaf extract

FAO Food and Agriculture Organization

CABI Centre for Agriculture and Bioscience International.

CHAPTER ONE

1.0: INTRODUCTION OF STUDY

1.1 Background

Fall Armyworm (FAW) scientifically called *Spodoptera frugiperda is* an insect pest that belongs to order Lepidoptera, family Noctuidae, genus *Spodoptera*, and Species *frugiperda* (Johnson, 1987). It is an insect pest native to tropical and subtropical regions of the Americas (Nagoshi, 2009). The pest accounts for annual crop losses of over US\$ 500 million throughout the South-East United States and the Atlantic coast (Young, 1979). According to (Carvalho RA., 2013), FAW is a most destructive pest in maize and it accounts for an annual estimated loss at U\$400 million. The FAW was first noticed in Central and West Africa-Benin, Nigeria, Sao Tome, and Principe, and Togo (Goergen, Lava, Sankung, Abou, & Manuele, 2016) and later reported and confirmed in the whole of mainland Southern Africa (except Lesotho), Seychelles and Madagascar (FAO, 2018). In 2017, the pest was spread to Ghana (Cock, Beseh, Buddie, Cafá, & Crozier, 2017) and by January 2018 it was spread to about 44 Sub Saharan African countries, except Djibouti, Eritrea, and Lesotho. Subsequently, FAW has rapidly spread throughout Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) (Rwomushana, et al., 2018).

In Uganda, the FAW was first reported in June 2016 in the districts of Kayunga, Kasese and Bukedea and towards the end of 2017, it had spread to all the districts of Uganda (FAO, 2018). The pest has been found to persist throughout the year where there is availability of alternative hosts and favorable climatic conditions (CABI & FAO, 2019).

FAW is a cosmopolitan and highly polyphagous insect pest that attacks more than 80 plant species. These include maize, rice, soya bean, cotton sorghum, millet, sugarcane, and vegetable crops, nevertheless, maize is the main crop affected by FAW in Africa (PrasannaB., 2018).

Maize being the primary staple food crop grown in Africa, however with lack of effective control methods, estimates show that FAW has the potential to cause maize yield losses in a range from 8.3 to 20.6million tons of maize per annum. The value of these potential loses is estimated at between US \$2,481 and \$6,187 m (Abrahams, et al., 2017)

References

- Abrahams, P., Bateman, M., Beale, T., Clottey, V., Cock, M., Colmenarez, Y., . . . Early, R. (2017). *Fall Armyworm Impacts and Implications for Africa*. UK: CABI: Oxfordshire.
- Angelina, M., Marcomini, G., José, D. V., & Moacir, R. (2016). Evaluation of neem-based nanoformulations as alternative to control fall armyworm. *Agrotecnologia*, 26-36.
- Birhanu S., T. T. (2019). The Efficacy of Selected Synthetic Insecticides and Botanicals against Fall Armyworm, Spodoptera frugiperda, in Maize. Addis Ababa: Melkasa Agricultural Research Centre,.
- Birhanu, S., Josephine, S., Esayas, M., Paddy, L., Gashawbeza, A., Samira, M., . . . Tadele, T. (2019). Fall Armyworm, Spodoptera frugiperda Infestations in East Africa: Assessment of Damage and Parasitism. *Plant Health Theme, International Center of Insect Physiology & Ecology*, 32-47.
- CABI, & FAO. (2019). community based fall army worm(spodoptera frugiperda) monitoring, early warning and management. United Nations: the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations and CAB International.
- Campos, E. ,., de Oliveira, J., Pascoli, M., de Lima, R., & Fraceto, L. (2016). Neem oil and crop protection. *plant sci.*, 33.
- Capinera, J. (2000). Fall armyworm Spodopterafrugiperda. Gainesville.
- Carvalho RA., O. C. (2013). Investigating the molecular mechanisms of organophosphateand pyrethroid resistance in the fall armyworm Spodopterafrugiperda. *PLoS ONE*, 62-68.
- Chapman, J., Williams, T., Martinez, A., Cisneros, J., Caballero, P., Cave, R., & Goulson, D. (2000.). Does cannibalism in Spodopterafrugiperda (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) reduce the risk of predation? BehavEcolSociobiol, 321–327.
- Chengala, L., & Nandita, S. (2018). Review of mode of actin of some majorbotanical pesticides. *science & enginnering*, 129-132.
- Cock, M., Beseh, P., Buddie, A., Cafá, G., & Crozier, J. (2017). Molecular methods to detect Spodopterafrugiperda in Ghana, and implications for monitoring the spread ofinvasive species in developing countries. *Science Rep*, 41-43.
- El-wakeil NE. (2013). botanical pesticides and their mode of action. Gesunde pflanzen, 125-149.
- FAO, (a. (2018). Integrated management of the Fall Armyworm on maize. *A guide for Farmer Field Schools in Africa*, 1-139.
- Francis P.F, & Jones R. (2019). FALL ARMY WORM AS A PEST OF CORN. 2-5.
- Francois S. (2018). POSSIBLE MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES OF FALL ARMY WORM. *Jonnie van den Berg* (North west university, south Africa), 30-31.
- Goergen, G., Lava, K., Sankung, S., Abou, T., & Manuele, T. (2016). First Report of Outbreaks of the Fall Armyworm Spodopterafrugiperda, a New AlienInvasive Pest in West and Central Africa. *PLoS ONE DOI*, 10.

- Gross Jr., P. S. (2017). Mortality of pupae of the fall army worm spodoptera frugiperda (J.E.smith), by predators and a newly discovered parasitoid. *entomological society*, 22-26.
- Harrison, F. (1986.). Oviposition and subsequent infestations of corn by the fall armyworm. *Florida Entomol*, 588–592.
- Johnson, S. (1987). Migration and the life history strategy of the fall armyworm, Spodoptera frugiperda in the Western Hemisphere. *Insect Science*, 543–549.
- Kelita, P., Yolice, T., Trust, K., Vernon, H., Kabambe, Philip, C., . . . Belmain, B. (2020). Bioactivity of Common Pesticidal Plants on Fall Armyworm Larvae (Spodoptera frugiperda). *plants*, 4-7.
- marcilio, S.S, sonia, Maria, F., Roseane cristina, p., emmerson Santo, F., & ismael B, G. (2015). toxicity and application of neem in fall army worm. *communicata scientiae*, 359-364.
- Melanie, L., Bateman, Roger, K., Day, Belinda, L., Steve, E., . . . Cock. (2017). Assessment of potential biopesticide options for managing fall armyworm (Spodoptera frugiperda) in Africa. *Melanie L. Bateman, CABI, Rue des Grillons*, 20-26.
- Nagoshi, R. (2009). Can the amount of cornacreage predict fall armyworm (Lepidoptera:Noctuidae) infestation levels in nearby cotton? *J EconEntomol*, 210-218.
- Okweche, S., Ogunwolu, E., & Adeyemo, M. (2013). Parameters, interrelationships with yield and use of carbofuran to control stem borers in Maize (Zea mays L.). *Greener Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 702-708.
- Oyewusi, Isaac, K., Sosina, Adebola, O., & Oladele, A. (2019). The Potential of Heliotropium indicum and Chili Pepper Extracts to Control African Army Worm (Spodoptera exempta) on Maize.

 International Journal of Entomology and Nematology, 108-114.
- Oyewusi, kayode, isaac, Sosina, Adebola, O., & Oladele, A. (2019). Potential of heliiotropium indicum and chilli pepper extracts to control African army worm of maize. *international journal of Entomology and nematology*, 108-119.
- Oyewusi., k. i. (2019). Potential of heliiotropium indicum and chilli pepper extracts to control African army worm of maize. *international journal of Entomology and nematology*, 108-119.
- Prasad, SS, Gupta, P., Singh, R, B., & Kanaujia, B. (2004). Evaluation of neem products was tested against yellow rice stem borer, Scirpopaphaincertulas on deep water rice. .*Ann. Pl. Protect. Sci.*, 426-428.
- PrasannaB., H. J. (2018). Fall Armyworm in Africa. mexico: CIMMYT: Edo Mex,.
- Rath, P. (2001). Efficacy of insecticides, neem and Bt formulation against stem borer on rice yield in West Bengal. *J. Appl. Zool. Res*, 191-93.
- Ritesh K., I. A. (2017). Bioactive Plant Extracts an Alternate to Chemicals for Management of Armyworm Infesting Oats. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences.*, 129-134.
- Rwomushana, I., Bateman, M., Beale, T., Beseh, P., Cameron, K., Chiluba, M., . . . Day. (2018). Fall Armyworm: Impacts and Implications for Africa. *Centre for Agriculture and Bioscience International:*, 1.

Sasakawa. (2016). Good Agronomic and Post-Harvest Handling Practices for Maize. *Global 2000,*, 24-50.

Tippannavar P., T. S. (2019). An outbreak of Fall Armyworm in IndianSubcontinent . *A New Invasive Pest on Maize*, 4-6.

Young, J. (1979). Fall armyworm control with insecticides. . Florida Entomol, 130–133.