

# **FACULTY OF ENGINEERING**

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURAL MECHANIZATION AND IRRIGATION ENGINEERING

DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF A BIOGAS DIGESTER FEEDING

SYSTEM

BY

**BARAZA GERALD** 

BU/UG/2012/3



+256 704 569416/+256 784 025278

EMAIL: gellob318(a)gmail.com

SUPERVISORS:

### MAIN SUPERVISOR: MR. ODONG ATOCHON SAMUEL

**CO-SUPERVISOR: MR. OWAA JOHN ELIAS SULTAN** 

A final year project report submitted to the Department of Agricultural Mechanization and Irrigation Engineering as a partial fulfillment of the requirements for the award of Bachelor's Degree of Agricultural Mechanization and Irrigation Engineering

MAY 2016

### ABSTRACT

The biogas digester for above ground digesters has to be opened every time it is to be recharged with slurry. This allows entry of air into the system and this directly affects the activity of the anaerobic bacteria. This called for the design and construction of an improved biogas digester feeding system.

The different system components were designed, drawn using solid edge software and fabricated, which were then assembled to form a functioning prototype.

This system pumped slurry into the digester, and is cranked or powered comfortably by right handed person. The system requires one operator when feeding slurry into the digester.

The cow dung and water slurry was mixed in the ratio of 1:1 to give good results in terms of methane yield.

The output capacity of the system was averagely 0.22l/s and the efficiency of the system was 35.2%. The system improves on methane yield and concentration in the digester therefore the system is worthwhile for continuously loaded digester.

The system breaks evens in 2.428 years, when evaluated economically. Therefore the project seems to be viable.

BARAZA GERALD

٢

FINAL YEAR PROJECT REPORT

i

### DECLARATION

I BARAZA Gerald, BU/UG/2012/03, declare that this project proposal report is my original work organized with the help of my supervisors and has never been submitted in to any institution of learning for any academic award.

Signed teat

Date 26 - 05 - 2016

BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY LIBRAL	
CLASS No.:	CT.
ACCESS NO PET PELLUC	
0.0.4.4	

BARAZA GERALD

FINAL YEAR PROJECT REPORT

ii

### APPROVAL

This is to certify that *BARAZA Gerald* prepared this project proposal under my supervision and is now ready for presentation to the Department of Agricultural Mechanization and Irrigation Engineering of Busitema University for an award of a Bachelors degree of Agricultural Mechanization and irrigation engineering with my approval.

Signature: .....

MR. ODONG ATOCHON Samuel

Date.../...../.....

MAIN SUPERVISOR

Signature MR. OWAA JOHN Elias

**CO-SUPERVISOR** 

Date. 105/2016

BARAZA GERALD

.

FINAL YEAR PROJECT REPORT

### **DEDICATION**

I dedicate this report to my relatives and friends whose tireless efforts in my pursuit for knowledge, continuous encouragement and guidance has enabled me to undergo my internship training successively.

BARAZA GERALD

×÷.

#19

~ `

ż

FINAL YEAR PROJECT REPORT

īv

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to extend my sincere gratitude and appreciation to the following persons who, in many ways made my project proposal a successful one.

My Supervisors Mr. ODONG ATOCHON Samuel and MR. OWAA JOHN Elias for their invaluable guidance, comments, suggestions, criticisms and friendship throughout my research.

I cannot forget my fellow students whose contribution kept me steadfastly committed. And of course finally without further ado I would like to applaud myself for the hard work I put in and keeping my focus on check.

Above all, the Almighty God who has been the craftsman behind every air I breathed for giving me the grace to live and do my research successfully.

BARAZA GERALD

25

ч.,

FINAL YEAR PROJECT REPORT

ý.

## LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

TS- Total solid

i.

VS- Volatile solids

### COD- Chemical Oxygen Demand

NH<sub>3</sub>-N- Ammonia nitrogen

BARAZA GERALD

а,

FINAL YEAR PROJECT REPORT

vi

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Fixed dome based technology	5
Figure 2: Camartee model	6
Figure 3: Floating-drum	7
Figure 4: Fixed dome based technology	8
Figure 5: Tubular digester	9
Figure 6: Plastic tube technology	10
Figure 7: Flexi biogas digester	
Figure 8: Funnel of the feeding conveyor	
Figure 9: An electrical slurry pump	21
Figure 10: Drawings for a frame	
Figure 11: Suction pump	
Figure 12: Drawing for a tank	
Figure 13: Suction pipe	
Figure 14: Assembly of the conceptual design	

BARAZA GERALD

. .

÷

<u>م</u>د

÷ş

FINAL YEAR PROJECT REPORT

∵vii

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT
DECLARATION
APPROVAL
DEDICATION
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS
LIST OF FIGURES
List of tables
CHAPTER I
1.0 INTRODUCTION
1.1 Background
1.2 Problem statement
1.3 Parpose of the study
1.4 Justification
1,5 Objectives
1.5.1 Main objective
1.5.2 Specific objectives
1.6 Scope and limitations of the study
CHAPTER II
2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW
2.1 Biogas production in Uganda
2,2 Existing technologies for domestic biogas systems
2.2.2 Existing portable biogas systems9
2.3 The proposed design system
2.3.1 Characteristic of slurry
2.3.1.1 Non-settling slurries.
2.3.1.2 Settling slurries
2.4 Different categories of slurry
2.5 Properties of feeding slurry
2.6 Designing organic loading rate
ŭ

BARAZA GERALD FINAL YEAR PROJECT REPORT

з,

## List of tables

Table 1: Typical valves for properties of feed slurry	13
Table 2: C/N ratio for various feed stocks	14
Table 3: Time and cost of cooking githeri with different fuels	17
Table 4: Component materials selection	31
Table 5: Tools and equipment used	31
Table 6: Table of testing results	33
Table 7: Construction cost of a Biogas digester system	36

BARAZA GERALD

e,

**e** 2

æ.,

4.

 ${\mathfrak n}_{\mathbb P}$ 

48

FINAL YEAR PROJECT REPORT

viii

2.6.1 Hydraulic retention time	
2.7 Types of digesters	
2.7.1 A batch-load digester	
2.7.2 A continuously loaded digester	
2.8 Effects of air-entry in Anacrobic Digestion	
2.8.1 Oxygen effects	
2.9 Existing biogas digester feeding methods	
2.9.1 Screw conveying biogas digester feeding system	
2.9.2 Principle of Operation of slurry pumps	
2.9.2.1 Types of pumps	
2.10 Proposed biogas digester feeding system	
2.9.1 Design of the different components	
2.11 Human characteristics	
CHAPTER III	
3.0 METHODOLOGY	
3.1 Characterization of slurry	
3.2 Design description	
3.2.1 Design of the components.	
3.2.1.1 Pump selection	
3.2.1.2 Frame	
3.2.1.3 Pipe selection	
3.2.1.4 Digester tank size	
3.3 Construction	
3.3.1 Material Selection	
3.4 Testing of the designed prototype	
3.4.1 Efficiency of the sanction pump	
3.4.2 Economic evaluation of the prototype	
CHAPTER IV	
4.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
4.1 Components design	
4.1.1 Pump selection	

BARAZA GERALD

e'9(

4.

۰.

×.

٩v

#### FINAL YEAR PROJECT REPORT

X

4.1.2 Frame design	
4.1.3 Pipes selection	
4.2 Fabrication and assembly of the prototype	
Plastic, Wooden, Corrugated Steel, Concrete	
4.2.2 Fabrication of the individual components	
4.2.3 Assembly of the components	32
4.3 Testing of the prototype	
4.3.1 Calculating the pump efficiency	
4.3.2 Economic evaluation of the prototype	
CHAPTER V	
5.0 RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS	
5.1 Conclusion	
5.2 Recommendation	
References	
APPENDICES	

BARAZA GERALD

λ.

۲

75

۲

 ${\bf v}_i$ 

### FINAL YEAR PROJECT REPORT

### **CHAPTER I**

### **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

#### 1.1 Background

In Uganda, the economic prosperity and quality of life of people are closely linked to their level of per capita energy consumption and the strategies adopted to use energy as a fundamental tool(Amigun et al. 2008). However, in many developing countries (Uganda inclusive), there is over-dependence on biomass (firewood, charcoal and crop residues) as an energy source; according to WEO (2009) report, the disappearance of forest cover leading to a drought in Uganda has left people homeless and without food, with over 90% of energy needs being met by traditional biomass (firewood and charcoal), however, this is not sustainable. There are other alternative energy sources such as petroleum products, biogas and electricity as the driver of economic development (Amigun & Blottnitz Von, 2007), However, of these, biogas is considered the cheapest; production of biogas would not only save the rate of deforestation but also be beneficial for integrated farming systems by converting manure into an improved fertilizer for crops. Other benefits of biogas digestion include; the reduction of manure smell and the alleviation of pathogen thereby improving hygiene on farms, which ultimately leads to an improvement of on farm hygiene according to a report by Ifad (2012).

Several projects in Uganda have tried to promote the use of biogas technologies to help people in the rural areas improve their quality of life by giving them access to a clean source of energy (Kahubire *et al.* 2010). However, among the barriers that prevent their further dissemination is the fluctuations in the amount of gas produced which is brought about by the poor biogas digester feeding methods. In this case the digester has to be opened every time it is to be recharged with slurry. This allows entry of air into the system and this directly affects the activity of the anaerobic bacteria (Liden et al. 2000). Thus resulting into; reactor instabilities, low methane yields and even total reactor failures occur due to oxygen entering anaerobic digesters(Kato et al. 1997).

Therefore, there was a need to limit air entry into the digester when recharging slurry without necessarily opening the digester as it has been the case before. Among the methods of feeding slurry into above ground digesters, manually operated biogas digester feeding system was

BARAZA GERALD

FINAL YEAR PROJECT REPORT

1

#### REFERENCES

- Amigun, B. & Blottnitz Von, 2007. Investigation of scale economies for Africa biogas installation.
- Amigun, B., Parawira, W. & Musango, J.K., 2008. Anaerobic Biogas Generation for Rural Area Energy Provision in Africa.
- Asia, M.E., 2011. Domestic biogas compact course.

12

- B.Kahubire, E., Byaruhanga, A.B. & S, M., 2010. A socio-Economic and Gender baseline survey for the Uganda domestic biogas project., (1998), pp.1–156.
- Essay.uk.com, http://www.essay.uk.com/free-essays/engineering/mini-portable-bio-gasplant.php.
- Finck, H. & Oelert, G., 1985. A guide to the financial evaluation of investment projects in energy supply, Germany: GTZ., 24(4), pp.12–21.
- Ifad, 2012. Flexi Biogas systems: inexpensive, renewable energy for developing countries. Available at: www.ifad.org/pub/thematic/biogas.pdf.
- Kato, M.T., Field, J.A. & G. Lettinga, 1997. "Anaerobic tolerance to oxygen and the potentials of anaerobic and aerobic cocultures for wastewater treatment", Brazilian Journal of Chemical Engineering, vol. 14, no. 4., 14(4), p.1997.
- Krampe, A.P., Olbg, E. & Schillingmann, D., 2009. A Method for Feeding a Digester of Biogas Equipments with Organic Material Ordinary solution New solution Fig. 1: View into the Funnel of the Screw Conveyor Engineering features Fig. 2: Flow chart Test results., pp.1– 3.
- Kuria, J., 2008. DEVELOPING SIMPLE PROCEDURES FOR SELECTING, SIZING, SCHEDULING OF MATERIALS AND COSTING OF SMALL BIO – GAS UNITS., 3(1), pp.9–40.

BARAZA GERALD

FINAL YEAR PROJECT REPORT

38