



**BUSITEMA
UNIVERSITY**
Pursuing Excellence

P.O. Box 236, Tororo, Uganda
Gen: +256 - 45 444 8838
Fax: +256 - 45 4436517
Email: info@adm.busitema.ac.ug

www.busitema.ac.ug

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

DEPARTMENT OF MINING & WATER RESOURCES ENGINEERING

FINAL YEAR PROJECT REPORT

INVESTIGATING THE POTENTIAL OF BIO-GAS FROM SEWAGE SLUDGE

CASE STUDY: TORORO SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT

BY:

OKELLO DENIS OKETTA

BU/UP/2011/954

denisoketta@gmail.com



MAIN SUPERVISOR: MR.KAVUMA CHRISH

CO-SUPERVISOR: MRS. ABBO JACQUELINE

**RESEARCH PROJECT SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT FOR THE
AWARD OF BACHELOR OF SCIENCE IN WATER RESOURCES
ENGINEERING, BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY**

MAY 2016

DECLARATION

I OKELLO DENIS OKETTA a student of BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY do here by declare that this project research work is my own and all the contents presented are original except where stated by the references and that the same work has not been submitted for award of a degree at this or any other University or institution of higher learning.

Signature: 

Date 27/05/2016

OKELLO DENIS OKETTA

BU/UP/2011/954

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCE AND MINING ENGINEERING

BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I thank the almighty God for guiding me through my final year project research and providing me protection and strength.

I am highly indebted to the management and staff of National Water and Sewerage Corporation (NWSC) Tororo for the kind assistance offered to me at various stages during the research. Special thanks are further extended to **Mr. Kavuma Chrish** and **Ms. Abbo Jacqueline**, my supervisors, for their sincere commitment and guidance during the project implementation.

APPROVAL

This is to certify that this report was written and compiled by **OKELLO DENIS OKETTA**, registration number **BU/UP/2011/954** on the account of the project research for the award of a Bachelor's Degree in Water Resources Engineering of Busitema University.

Approved by;

MR. KAVUMA CHRISH

Signature.....Date.....

(MAIN SUPERVISOR)

MRS. ABBO JACQUELINE

Signature.....Date.....

(CO-SUPERVISOR)

ABSTRACT

Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP) plays an irreplaceable role in the overall wellbeing and development of societies. Wastewater treatment is an ongoing process that requires high energy consumption, and this demand contributes negatively to climate change. Nonetheless, there are options available for energy production and recovery in wastewater treatment plants during its treatment process, which can also reduce the negative environmental impacts.

This study aims to investigate the potential of biogas production from sewage sludge, the study site is a wastewater treatment plant situated at Tororo municipality along Mbale road, operated by National Water and Sewage Corporation (NWSC). The Treatment plant uses open stabilisation ponds treatment system. This process does not allow biogas recovery. The other hand, an anaerobic sludge treatment system can produce energy during its treatment process in the form of biogas that can be captured and converted into energy for treatment use. The research evaluated sludge samples from the Tororo wastewater treatment plant at Jinja laboratory for the characteristics of the sludge. Laboratory batch scale anaerobic digestion studies were also carried out to evaluate the biogas produced. The experimental results showed that sludge samples from the Tororo wastewater treatment plant had a biogas production capacity of 4.93 m³ per day, with a potential energy production of 15.776kWh. This study had successfully demonstrated the sustainability of an anaerobic treatment process, and concluded that energy production is a feasible option for Tororo wastewater treatment plant.

Keywords: anaerobic digestion, sewage sludge, biogas production.

DEDICATION

This report is dedicated to my father **Mr. Oketta Edward** and my mother **Mrs. Magdalene Oketta** for their effort toward my success.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION.....	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.....	ii
APPROVAL.....	iii
ABSTRACT.....	iv
DEDICATION.....	v
LIST OF FIGURES.....	ix
LIST OF TABLES.....	x
LIST OF ACRONYMS/ABBREVIATIONS.....	xi
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Background.....	1
1.2 Problem statement.....	2
1.3 Objective.....	2
1.3.1 Main Objective.....	2
1.3.2 Specific objective.....	2
1.3 Justification of the study.....	2
1.4 Purpose of the study.....	2
1.5 Scope of the study.....	3
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW.....	4
2.1 Introduction.....	4
2.2 Biogas.....	4
2.3 Types of digesters.....	5
2.3.1 Batch reactors.....	5
2.3.2 Continuous digester.....	5
2.4 Types of Sludge.....	5
2.4.1 Primary Sludge.....	5
2.4.2 Activated Sludge.....	6
2.4.3 Digested sludge.....	6
2.5 Characteristics of Municipal Wastewater.....	6
2.6 Anaerobic digestion.....	6

2.6.1 Hydrolysis.....	6
2.6.2 Acidogenesis.....	7
2.6.3 Acetogenesis.....	7
2.6.4 Methanogenesis	7
2.7 Process parameters in anaerobic digestion.....	8
2.7.1 Temperature.....	8
2.7.2 pH Value.....	8
2.7.3 Organic Load Rate.....	8
2.7.4 Retention Times.....	9
2.7.5 Mixing	9
2.7.6 Toxicity.....	9
2.7.7 COD vs. BOD.....	10
2.7.8 Volatile Fatty acids (VFA):	10
2.7.9 Total solids (TS) and volatile solids (VS).	10
2.7.10 Carbon/Nitrogen ratio.....	11
CHAPTER 3: METHODOLOGY.....	12
3.1 Introduction	12
3.2 Field Sample Site	12
3.2.1 Sludge Samples.....	12
3.3 Sludge characterization	13
3.3.1 Determining the COD content.....	13
3.3.2 TSS determination [photometric method].....	14
3.3.3 Determining the pH Value.....	14
3.3.4 Determining the Total ammonia-Nitrogen [Nesslerization methods]	15
3.4 Determination of Carbon/Nitrogen ratio	15
3.4.1 Determination of carbon (wet acid oxidation method).....	15
3.4.2 Determination of Nitrogen (Kjeldhal digestion method)	16
3.5 Experiment procedures.....	17
3.5.1 Experimental design	17
3.5.2 Experimental setup	17
3.6 Determining the composition of the gases produced.	18

3.7 Evaluating the volume of gas from each digester	19
3.8 Hypothesis testing	19
3.8.1 Analysis of variance (ANOVA)	19
CHAPTER 4: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	21
4.1 Introduction	21
4.2 Characteristics of the substrates	21
4.2.1 Total suspended solid (TSS).....	22
4.2.2 Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD).....	23
4.2.3 Impact of pH on biogas production.....	23
4.2.4 Total Ammonia Nitrogen (TAN).....	23
4.2.5 Effect of temperature on bio-gas production.....	24
4.2.6 Carbon/Nitrogen results.....	24
4.3 Analysis of variance and hypothesis testing.....	30
CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	32
5.1 CONCLUSION	32
5.2 RECOMMENDATIONS	33
REFERENCES	34
APPENDICES	37
Appendix A: Data collection sheet.....	37
Appendix B: Sludge Compositions	41
Appendix C: Carbon/Nitrogen ratio Slurry Analysis.....	42
Appendix D: Point Distribution Table of F(5% and 1%).....	43
Appendix E:.....	44

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3-1: Layout of Tororo municipal sewage treatment plant	12
Figure 3-2: Sample Extrated from Tororo Sewage treatment plant.....	13
Figure 3-3: Determination of the COD values.....	14
Figure 3-4: Determining pH of different sample.....	15
Figure 3-5: Showing Experimental setup	18
Figure 3-6: Determining the gas quantity and composition using GA2000 plus gas analyzer.....	18
Figure 4-1: TSS and COD content in the substrates. Bars represent standard deviations. AL, AR, and M samples.	22
Figure 4-2: Variation of Methane production with time for different treatments.....	25
Figure 4-3: Variation of Carbon dioxide (CO ₂) for various treatments with time.....	26
Figure 4-4: Cumulative biogas production over 21 days.....	27
Figure 4-5: Gas production after every three days.....	27
Figure 4-6: Volume of gas produced during anaerobic digester.....	28

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1: Composition of Bio-gas (Al Seadi <i>et al</i> , 2008)	4
Table 3.1: Showing constituents of the sample	13
Table 4.1: Initial and final results for AL substrate.....	21
Table 4.2: Initial and final results for AR substrate.....	21
Table 4.3: Initial and final results for M substrate.....	22
Table 4.4: Shows a summary result of Carbon/Nitrogen ratio	24
Table 4.5: Mean values of methane (%) and carbon dioxide (%) with time for treatments AL, AR and M	25
Table 4.6: Table showing summary of results from the experiment	28
Table 4.7: Analysis of variance for the production of methane from different substrate	30

LIST OF ACRONYMS/ABBREVIATIONS

AD	Anaerobic Digester
BOD	Bio-chemical Oxygen Demand
COD	Chemical Oxygen Demand
GHGs	Green House Gases
HRT	Hydraulic Retention Time
OLR	Organic Loading Rate
PH	Hydrogen-ion Concentration
SRT	Solid Retention Time
TSS	Total Suspended Solid
VFA	Volatile Fatty Acids
VSS	Volatile Suspended Solid
WWTP	Wastewater Treatment Plant

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Biogas production is one of the most important tools that can be used to alleviate the problems of global warming, energy security and waste management (Eyalarasan *et al*, 2013). The increasing demand for power which is between 6% and 8% annually (Rulekere, 2006) due to industrialization and high population growth has affected the country in many ways. Energy consumption level is a good indicator of socio-economic development level of a country because the energy sector has strong impact on poverty reduction through income, health, education, gender and the environment linkages (Sayin *et al*, 2005). In modern times, no country has managed to substantially reduce poverty without greatly increasing the use of energy or efficiently utilizing energy and/or energy services (Rao *et al*, 2010). Thus the use of wastewater treatment plant to boost energy supply in Uganda becomes an important and readily available option. This is boosted up by the fact that there is high increase in the population in Uganda, more especially in urban settings. For example Tororo municipality, the (Uganda Bureau of statistics 2016) results shows a population projection of 41,906 to 43,900 from 2014 to 2016.

The current predominant method of wastewater treatment in Uganda is the use of open wastewater stabilisation ponds (WSPs) where the wastewater is treated under anaerobic conditions producing methane, which is released directly into the atmosphere. The treatment of sewage sludge has a direct implication on the total operation cost of the sewage treatment plant. That is, sludge disposal represents 50% of the total operating costs of a wastewater treatment plant (Appels. *et al.*, 2008) . In data provided by John (2015), Tororo WWTP receives an average inflow of 0.177 ML/d of which 0.48% of the total flow is converted in to sludge.

The capacity to generate CH₄ highlights the potential for energy production in all wastewater treatment facilities. There is a good opportunity for energy sustainability in wastewater treatment facilities as energy required to handle and treat waste can be recovered in its processes. In WWTPs, treatment processes produce by-products in the form of stabilized sludge that is a key contributor to energy production by utilizing this form of potential energy, WWTPs can positively contribute to achieving energy sustainability (Abbasi *et al*, 2012).

REFERENCES

- Abbasi, T., Tasuseef, s. M., & Abbasi, S.A., 2012. Anaerobic digestion for global warming control and energy generation-An overview. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 16, 3228 - 3242.
- Ahring, B.K., Sandberg, M. & Angelidaki, I., 1995. Volatile fatty acids as indicators of process imbalance in anaerobic digestors. *Applied microbiological Biotechnology*, 43 (3), 559-565.
- Angelidaki I., Alves M., Campos L., Bolzonella D., Borzacconi L., G. & A.J., Kalyuzhnyi S., J.P.& V.L.J.B., 2006. Anaerobic Biodegradation, Activity and Inhibition (ABAI).
- Appels, L., Baeyens, J., Degreve, J. & Dewil, R., 2008. Principles and potential of the anaerobic digestion of waste-activated sludge.
- Appels., L. et al., 2008. *Principles and potential of the anaerobic digestion of waste activated sludge.*, Progress in Energy and combustion Science.
- Bitton, G., 1999. Wastewater Microbiology, (2nd edition). Wiley-Liss Inc, New York, 578.
- Boe, K., 2006. Online monitoring and control of the biogas process. PhD at the Technical University of Denmark - Institute of Enviroment & Resources.
- Bouallagui, H., R. Ben Cheikh, L. Marouani, and M.H., 2003. Mesophilic Biogas production from Fruit and Vegetable Waste in a Tubular Digester. *Bioresource Technology* 86(1): 85-89.
- Coates, J., Coughlanb, M. & Collieranb, E., 1996. Simple method for the measurement of the hydrogenotrophic methanogenic activity of anaerobic sludges. *Journal of Microbiological Methods*, 26,237-246.
- Eddy, M. and, 2003. *Water Engineering: Treatment and Reuse*, New york, McGraw-Hill,
- Eyalarasan, K., Tewelde, S., Yohannes, A., Habteslasie, T., Karthikeyan, K., 2013. Anaerobic co-digestion of cafeteria waste and cow dung mixtures for biogas production. *Int. J. Eng. Res Technol.*
- Gendebien, A., David, B., Hobson, J., Palfrey, R., Pitchers, R., Rumbsey, P., Carlton-Smith, C. & M.J., 2010. Environmental, economic and social impact of the use of sewage sludge on land.
- Hwang C L, Y.K., 1995. Multiple attribute decision making: An introduction. London: Sage Publications;
- Karki, A.B., K, D., 1984. Biogas fieldbook. Sahayogi press, Kathamandu. Nepal.
- De La Rubia, M. A., Perez, M., Romero, L. I. & Sales, D., 2002. Anaerobic Mesophilic and

Thermophilic Municipal Sludge Digestion. *Chemical and Biochemical Engineering Quarterly*.

Van Lier, J.B., Hulsbeek, J., Stams, A.J. & Lettinga, G., 1993. Temperature susceptibility of thermophilic methanogenic sludge: implication for reactor start-up and operation.

Bioresource technology, 43, 227-235.

Navanethan, N., 2007. Anaerobic digestion of waste activated sludge with ultrasonic pretreatment. Master of Engineering in Environmental Engineering and management, Asian Institute of Technology.

NiJi-Quin, N., 1993. Biomethanization: A developing technology in Latin America. Catholic University of Louvain, Belgium.

Parawira, W., Murto, M., Read, J.S. & Mattiasson, B., 2005. Profile of hydrolases and biogas production during two stage mesophilic anaerobic digestion of solid potato waste. *Process Biochemistry*, 40 (9) 2945-2952.

Potential, E. et al., 2011. METHANE POTENTIAL OF SEWAGE SLUDGE TO INCREASE BIOGAS PRODUCTION Lourdes Rodriguez. , (August).

Rajaratne, W.M., Danushka, O. & Kumara, P.A., 2011. Feasibility Study of Biogas Generation from Municipal Solid Waste and Sewerage within the Colombo City Limits.

Rao PV, Baral SS, Dey R, M.S., 2010. Biogas generation potential by anaerobic digestion for sustainable energy development in India. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*, 14: 2086 - 2094.

Rulekere, G., 2006. Power Crisis Hits Harder in Uganda. Available at:

<http://www.ugpulse.com/business/power-crisis-hits-harder-in-uganda,526,ug.aspx>.

Accessed on 14/11/2015.

Sayin, C., Brumfield, R.G., Mencet, N.M., Ozkan, B., 2005. The organic farming movement in Turkey. *Hort Technology*, 15(4): 864-871.

Schink, B., 1997. Energetic of syntrophic cooperation in methanogenic degradation.

Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews, 61 (2), 262-280.

Al Seadi, T., Rutz, D., Prassl, H., Kottner, M., Finsterwalder, T., Volk, S., Janssen, R., 2008.

Biogas Handbook, University of Southern Denmark, Esbjerg, 125pp,

Sykes, R.M., 2003. Biological wastewater treatment processes. In: Chen, W-F & Liew, J.Y.R. (eds.). *The Civil Engineering Handbook, 2nd Edition, CRC Press LLC, Boca Raton, Florida.*

- Teglia, C., Tremier, A. & Martel, J. L., 2011. Characterization of solid digestates: part 1, review of existing indicators to assess solid digestates agricultural use. *Waste and Biomass Valorization*, 2, 43-58.
- Tretter, H., 2002. Technologie Portrait, Biogas. *Berichte aus Energie- und Umwelforschung* 36/2010, Wien, 29pp.
- U.S. Department of Energy, 2004. Wastewater Treatment Gas to Energy for Federal Facilities [online]. Available.
- Verma, S., 2002. Anaerobic digestion of biodegradable organics in municipal solid wastes. Columbia University.
- Wikipedia, 2009. <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Biogas>.
- Yee Pong, C., 2013. RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN WASTEWATER SLUDGE QUALITY AND ENERGY. , (June).