

**BUSITEMA
UNIVERSITY**
Pursuing Excellence

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

DEPARTMENT OF TEXTILE AND GINNING ENGINEERING

FINAL YEAR PROJECT REPORT

**STUDYING THE THERMAL AND COMPRESSIVE
STRENGTH PROPERTIES OF A SISAL REINFORCED
GYPSUM COMPOSITE FOR BUILDING INSULATION.**

By

CHEBET DIANA

BU/UG /2016/1713



SUPERVISORS: MR TUMUSIIME GODIAS

MR TIGALANA DANIEL

**A final year Project Report submitted to the Department of Textile and
Ginning Engineering as a partial fulfillment of the requirement for the
award of Bachelor's degree in Textile engineering of Busitema University**

DECEMBER 2020

ABSTRACT

In this current era, there are some concerns of using synthetic fibres in regards to their impact on the environment since they are non-recyclable and non-degradable. There are many attempts by various groups of engineers and researchers to use natural fibres in engineering applications, in the hopes of replacing synthetic fibres with natural fibres. In this project, the possibility of using natural fibres in place of synthetic insulators is investigated in terms of their compression strength and thermal behaviour. Sisal fibres are selected as reinforcement for gypsum. To gain high interfacial adhesion of the sisal fibres with gypsum, sisal was treated with 6% NaOH. The composites were fabricated with fiber mass fraction ranging from 0,1,3,5 and 6%.

Central composite design (CCD) of response surface methodology (RSM) was used to model the relationship between the responses (compressive strength and thermal insulation) and factors (fibre mass fraction and composite treatment temperature) from which the experimental runs (13) were obtained. This was done using Minitab software. Compressive strength test was done according to ASTM C 39 and thermal behavior according to ASTM C 518

From the compressive test, it was observed that the addition of fibres to the gypsum matrix improves the compressive strength and resulted in reduced brittleness with an optimum fibre mass fraction 4.5 % at a treatment temperature of 242°C. The thermal behaviour result showed that pure gypsum was found to have the lowest insulation. The thermal insulation of the composites increases with the increment of fibre mass fraction. Treatment temperatures below 400°C does not significantly affect the thermal and compressive strength of the composite. sisal fibres as reinforcement on gypsum produces composites suitable for building insulation at treatment temperatures less than 200°C and fiber mass fraction less than 7%.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would love to extend my gratitude to a number of persons with whose efforts have managed to progress and put a landmark in my education.

First and foremost, I would like to thank the almighty God for giving me the strength to carry on with my final year project research. Sincere thanks go out to Busitema

University, department of Ginning and Textile Engineering and most importantly my supervisors Mr. Tumusiime Godias and Mr. Dan Tigalana for the great guidance during consultations.

I also acknowledge my beloved mum CHEROP JUSTINE and father MR. CHEROP STANLEY for all the financial, moral, spiritual, and physical support.

Lastly to all my fellow classmates who rendered all that was within their reach towards the accomplishment of this project, only GOD the almighty can reward you generously for only he knows how to reward the good work of his creations.

DEDICATION

I dedicate this report to my beloved mum CHEROP JUSTINE and my father CHEROP STANLEY who have seen me through my academic struggle up to this point in my career

DECLARATION

I CHEBET DIANA, declare that the work presented in this report is my own and has never been presented to any University or higher institute of learning for any academic award.

Signature.....*Dianna*.....

Date.....*16th / Dec / 2020*.....

BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY LIBRARY
CLASS No.:.....
ACCESS NO.: <i>FEI 0517</i>

APPROVAL


This research project report has been submitted to the Department of Textile and Ginning Engineering for examination with approval from the following supervisors:

Mr. TIGALANA DAN

Signature.....

Date..... 17 / 12 / 2020

Mr. TUMUSIIME GODIAS

Signature.....

Date..... 18 / 12 / 2020

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT.....	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.....	ii
DEDICATION.....	iii
APPROVAL.....	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	vi
LIST OF FIGURES.....	x
LIST OF TABLES.....	xi
LIST OF ACRONYMS.....	xi
1 CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 BACKGROUND.....	1
1.2 PROBLEM STATEMENT.....	4
1.3 OBJECTIVES.....	4
1.3.1 Main objective.....	4
1.3.2 Specific objectives.....	4
1.4 JUSTIFICATION.....	4
1.5 SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY.....	5
1.6 SCOPE.....	5

2	CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1	FIBRES	6
2.1.1	Synthetic fibers	6
2.1.2	Natural fibers	7
2.1.3	Sisal.....	8
2.1.4	Gypsum.....	9
2.2	COMPOSITES	11
2.2.1	Brief description.....	11
2.2.2	Manufacturing methods of composites.....	11
2.3	FIBRE EXTRACTION METHODS.....	12
2.3.1	Decortication.....	13
2.3.2	Retting.....	13
2.4	NATURAL FIBER SURFACE MODIFICATION	13
2.4.1	Physical modification.....	13
2.5	COMPOSITE PROPERIES	14
2.5.1	Insulation properties.....	14
2.5.2	Compression strength.....	15
2.5.3	Tensile strength.....	16

3	CHAPTER THREE: METHODOLOGY	17
3.1	INTRODUCTION.....	17
3.2	MATERIALS AND EQUIPMENT	18
3.3	EXTRACTION OF THE SISAL FIBRES.....	19
3.3.1	Decortication method.....	19
3.3.2	Alkali treatment of sisal fibres.....	19
3.4	FABRICATION OF THE COMPOSITE	20
3.5	MEASUREMENT OF RESPONSE VARIABLES.....	20
3.5.1	Experimental variables.....	20
3.5.2	Design of experiments.....	21
3.5.3	Determination of responses.....	21
3.6	DETERMINATION OF OPTIMUM MANUFACTURING DESIGN CONDITION.....	22
4	CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS.....	24
4.1	Experimental results.....	24
4.2	EFFECT OF THE FACTORS ON THE COMPOSITE PROPERTIES.....	25
4.2.1	Fibre mass fraction.....	25
4.2.2	Treatment temperature.....	28
4.3	DETERMINATION OF THE OPTIMUM MANUFACTURING DESIGN CONDITIONS.....	29

4.3.1 Compressive strength.....29

4.3.2 Temperature gradient.....32

5 CHAPTER FIVE: CONCLUSION, CHALLENGES AND RECOMMENDATIONS35

5.1 CONCLUSION.....35

5.2 CHALLENGES.....35

5.3 RECOMMENDATIONS35

REFERENCES36

APPENDICES41

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 shows Classification of natural fibres.....	7
Figure 2.2: Heat conductivity values of related materials	15
Figure 3.1: Summary of the methodology	18
Figure 3.2 shows the soaked fibers	19
Figure 3.3 shows the diagrammatic representation of the temperature measurement experiment	22
Figure 4.1 shows the effect of fiber mass fraction on compressive strength.....	25
Figure 4.2 shows the effect of fiber mass fraction on temperature gradient.....	26
Figure 4.3 shows the effect of treatment temperature on compressive strength.....	28
Figure 4.4 shows the effect of treatment temperature on temperature gradient	29
Figure 4.5: Response surface plots for compressive strength.....	31
Figure 4.6 shows the optimization graph for compressive strength	32
Figure 4.7: Surface plots for temperature gradient.....	33
Figure 4.8 shows optimization graph for temperature gradient.....	34

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 shows synthetic fibers.....	6
Table 2.2: Chemical composition and moisture content of sisal fiber.....	9
Table 3.1 shows the experimental design.....	20
Table 4.1 shows the data output from the experimental design.....	24
Table 4.2: ANOVA for compressive strength.....	30
Table 4.3: ANOVA for temperature gradient.....	33

LIST OF ACRONYMS

RSM: Response surface methodology

CCD: Central Composite Design

ANOVA: Analysis of variance

ASTM: American Standard Test Method

1 CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

This chapter presents the general information about the research topic giving its background, problem statement, objectives, and significance of study, study scope and justification

1.1 BACKGROUND

Currently in Uganda and the rest of the world, there is a growing attention in the evolution of natural fibres for industrial and construction applications by both engineers and researchers (Begum K & Islam MA, 2013). Many efforts are focused on the possibility of replacing synthetic fibres like carbon, aramid and glass with the more natural fibres in various applications.(Mahir, Keya, Sarker, Nahiun, & Khan, 2019)

This is because of the need to establish a viable and sustainable alternative to synthetic fibers due to eco-legislation and keenness towards eco-friendly materials.(Jesuarockiam, Jawaid, Zainudin, Hameed Sultan, & Yahaya, 2019).

synthetic fibres have proved to be non biodegradable when disposed to the environment, and at the same time they costly to purchase(Raman Sharma & Sharma, 2014).Recent research has also shown that some of them like fiberglass pose threats to human health when it comes into contact with the human body(Muneri, 2011).

Natural fibres possess good properties, suitable to be used as engineering materials. These properties include high strength-to-weight ratio, low cost, less health hazards, obtained from renewable resources (R. Alajmi, Yousif, Alajmi, & Shalwan, 2019), Corrosion resistance, and good insulation properties (Teja, Ramana, Sriramulu, & Rao, 2016). Particular interest for using natural fibres currently is inform of reinforcing these fibres in a composite material for whichever application it may be required for.

A composite

This is a material made by combining two or more natural or artificial elements (with different physical or chemical properties) that are stronger as a team than as individual players(Donald

REFERENCES

1. Abdennadher, A., Abdennadher, A., Moulding, I., Reinforced, F., & Process, P. (2016). *Injection Moulding of Natural Fibre Reinforced Polypropylene : Process , Microstructure and Properties* To cite this version : HAL Id : tel-01299794 *Injection Moulding of Natural Fibre Reinforced-Polypropylene : Process , Microstructure and Properties*.
2. Al-saadi, S. N. (2014). *Modeling and Simulation of PCM-Enhanced Façade Systems*.
3. Alajmi, R., Yousif, B. F., Alajmi, F. M., & Shalwan, A. (2019). Study The Possibility Of Using Sisal Fibres In Building Applications. *Advanced Materials Letters*, 10(3), 222–229. <https://doi.org/10.5185/amlett.2019.2178>
4. Alajmi, Rashed. (2015). *Study on Sisal Fibres as Insulator in Building Materials*. (Civil).
5. Amuthakkannan, P., Manikandan, V., Jappes, J. T. W., & Uthayakumar, M. (2013). Effect of Fibre Length and Fibre Content on Mechanical Properties of Short Basalt Fibre. *Materials Physics and Mechanics*, 16, 107–117.
6. Bayuo, J., Abdullai, M., Kenneth, A., & Pelig, B. (2020). Optimization using central composite design (CCD) of response surface methodology (RSM) for biosorption of hexavalent chromium from aqueous media. *Applied Water Science*, 10(6), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13201-020-01213-3>
7. Begum K, & Islam MA. (2013). Natural Fiber as a substitute to Synthetic Fiber in Polymer Composites: A Review. *Research Journal of Engineering Sciences*

ISSN Res. J. Engineering Sci, 2(3), 2278–9472. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.1.2685.6163>
8. Betelie, A. A., Sinclair, A. N., Kortschot, M., & Li, Y. (2019). *Mechanical properties of sisal-epoxy composites as functions of fiber-to-epoxy ratio*. 6(October), 985–996. <https://doi.org/10.3934/matricsci.2019.6.985>
9. Bumanis, G., Vitola, L., Pundiene, I., & Sinka, M. (2020). *Gypsum , Geopolymers , and Starch — Alternative Binders for Bio-Based Building Materials : A Review and Life-Cycle Assessment*.
10. Chauhan, A., & Chauhan, P. (2013). Natural Fibers Reinforced Advanced Materials. *Journal of Chemical Engineering & Process Technology*, s6. <https://doi.org/10.4172/2157-7048.s6-003>

11. Chowdhury, M. A., & Hossain, S. (2020). *A Review on Tensile and Flexural Properties of Fiber-Reinforced Polymer Composites*. 7(5), 16–26. <https://doi.org/10.9790/019X-07051626>
12. Davies, T. J. (2019). *Synergistic Analytical Investigations of the Chemical and Structural Properties of Keratin Fibres*.
13. de Araújo, P. C., Arruda, L. M., Del Menezzi, C. H. S., Teixeira, D. E., & de Souza, M. R. (2011). Lignocellulosic composites from Brazilian giant bamboo (*Guadua magna*). Part 2: Properties of cement and gypsum bonded particleboards. *Maderas: Ciencia y Tecnologia*, 13(3), 297–306. <https://doi.org/10.4067/S0718-221X2011000300005>
14. Del P.C. Pinto, C., Cunha, A. S., & Carvalho, R. F. (2018). *Evaluation of the thermal performance of gypsum composites reinforced with sisal fibers*. (1), 589–596. <https://doi.org/10.21452/bccm4.2018.10.03>
15. Deshmukh, G., Birwal, P., Datir, R., & Patel, S. (2017). Thermal Insulation Materials: A Tool for Energy Conservation. *Journal of Food Processing & Technology*, 08(04), 8–11. <https://doi.org/10.4172/2157-7110.1000670>
16. Dizbay-Onat, M., Vaidya, U. K., Balanay, J. A. G., & Lungu, C. T. (2018). Preparation and characterization of flax, hemp and sisal fiber-derived mesoporous activated carbon adsorbents. *Adsorption Science and Technology*, 36(1–2), 441–457. <https://doi.org/10.1177>
17. Dobbs, R., Strube, G., Rassey, L., Mischke, J., Remes, J., Roxburgh, C., ... Ramaswamy, S. (2012). Manufacturing the future: The next era of global growth and innovation. *McKinsey Global Institute*, (November).
18. Doleželová, M., Maděra, J., & Vimmrová, A. (2018). Experimental determination of mechanical parameters for damage modeling of gypsum-based composites. *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 2040(November). <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5079095>
19. Donald R. Askeland, Pradeep P. Fulay, W. J. W. (2010). What is Materials Science and Engineering? *Virginia Tech, sixth edit*, 12–13. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0921-5107\(92\)90012-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0921-5107(92)90012-X)
20. Drahansky, M., Paridah, M. ., Moradbak, A., Mohamed, A. ., Owolabi, F. abdulwahab taiwo, Asniza, M., & Abdul Khalid, S. H. . (2016). We are IntechOpen , the world ' s

- leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists , for scientists TOP 1 %.
Intech, i(tourism), 13. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/57353>
21. Effah, B., Van Reenen, A., & Meincken, M. (2015). Characterisation of the Interfacial Adhesion of the Different Components in Wood–Plastic Composites with AFM. *Springer Science Reviews*, 3(2), 97–111.
 22. Eurogypsum. (2008). *Living with Gypsum : From Raw Material to Finished Products*. 4.
 23. Fatma, N., Allègue, L., Salem, M., Zitoune, R., & Zidi, M. (2019). The effect of doum palm fibers on the mechanical and thermal properties of gypsum mortar. *Journal of Composite Materials*, 53(19), 2641–2659. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0021998319838319>
 24. Fibers, B., Weibull, U., & Statistics, M. (2019). *fibers Estimation of the Effects of the Cross-Head Speed and Temperature on the Mechanical Strength of Kenaf*.
 25. Guideline, P. (n.d.). *agriculture, forestry & fisheries*.
 26. Hassan, T., Jamshaid, H., Mishra, R., Khan, M. Q., Petru, M., Novak, J., ... Hromasova, M. (2020). Acoustic, mechanical and thermal properties of green composites reinforced with natural fiberswaste. *Polymers*, 12(3). <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym12030654>
 27. Hernández-Olivares, F., Oteiza, I., & de Villanueva, L. (1992). Experimental analysis of toughness and modulus of rupture increase of sisal short fiber reinforced hemihydrated gypsum. *Composite Structures*, 22(3), 123–137. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0263-8223\(92\)90001-S](https://doi.org/10.1016/0263-8223(92)90001-S)
 28. Ho, M. P., Wang, H., Lau, K. T., Lee, J. H., & Hui, D. (2012). Interfacial bonding and degumming effects on silk fibre/polymer biocomposites. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 43(7), 2801–2812. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2012.04.042>
 29. Ho, M., Wang, H., Lee, J.-H., Ho, C., Lau, K., Leng, J., & Hui, D. (2012). Critical factors on manufacturing processes of natural fibre composites. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 43(8), 3549–3562.
 30. Hu, N. (2012). Composites and Their Applications. In *Composites and Their Applications*. <https://doi.org/10.5772/3353>
 31. International Energy Agency (IEA). (2013). Technology Roadmap. Energy efficient building envelopes. *Oecd*, 68. Retrieved from <https://www.iea.org/publications/freepublications/publication/TechnologyRoadmapEner>

32. Jesuarockiam, N., Jawaid, M., Zainudin, E. S., Hameed Sultan, M. T., & Yahaya, R. (2019). Enhanced thermal and dynamic mechanical properties of synthetic/natural hybrid composites with graphene nanoplatelets. *Polymers*, 11(7). <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym11071085>
33. Karim, A. A., Kader, E. E., Hamod, A. A., & Abdulrahman, A. J. (2018). Mechanical properties of a hybrid composite material (epoxy-polysulfide rubber) reinforced with fibres. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 433(1), 0–8. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/433/1/012050>
34. Kaur, E. G., & Krishan, B. (2018). Compressive strength characteristics of tile waste concrete. *International Journal of Engineering Science & Research Technology*, 7(7), 165–170.
35. Ketema, A., & Worku, A. (2020). Review on Intermolecular Forces between Dyes Used for Polyester Dyeing and Polyester Fiber. *Journal of Chemistry*, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/6628404>
36. Keya, K. N., Kona, N. A., Koly, F. A., Maraz, K. M., Islam, M. N., & Khan, R. A. (2019). Natural fiber reinforced polymer composites: history, types, advantages, and applications. *Materials Engineering Research*, 1(2), 69–87. <https://doi.org/10.25082/mer.2019.02.006>
37. Krejsová, J., Doleželová, M., & Vimmrová, A. (2016). Effect of high temperatures on gypsum paste and mortar. *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 1752(July 2016). <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4955248>
38. M. ALmusawi, A., S. Hussein, T., & A. Shallal, M. (2018). Effect of Temperature and Sisal Fiber Content on the Properties of Plaster of Paris. *International Journal of Engineering & Technology*, 7(4.20), 205. <https://doi.org/10.14419/ijet.v7i4.20.25927>
39. Mahesha, G. T., K. S. B., & Padmaraja, N. H. (2019). *Biodegradable natural fiber reinforced polymer matrix composites : Technical updates Biodegradable Natural Fiber Reinforced Polymer Matrix Composites : Technical updates*. 020001(October).
40. Mahir, F. I., Keya, K. N., Sarker, B., Nahian, K. M., & Khan, R. A. (2019). A brief review on natural fiber used as a replacement of synthetic fiber in polymer composites. *Materials Engineering Research*, 1(2), 88–99. <https://doi.org/10.25082/mer.2019.02.007>

41. Mohammed, L., Ansari, M. N. M., Pua, G., Jawaid, M., & Islam, M. S. (2015). A Review on Natural Fiber Reinforced Polymer Composite and Its Applications. *International Journal of Polymer Science*, 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/243947>
42. Mulinari, Dr., Baptista, C., Souza, Jvc., & Voorwald, H. J. C. (2011). Mechanical properties of coconut fibers reinforced polyester composites. *Procedia Engineering*, 10, 2074–2079.
43. Muneri, C. W. (2011). v ' *HEALTH RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH WASTEWATER USED FOR IRRIGATION IN A THESIS SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF REQUIREMENTS FOR THE.*
44. Muthuraj, R., Lacoste, C., Lacroix, P., & Bergeret, A. (2019). Sustainable thermal insulation biocomposites from rice husk, wheat husk, wood fibers and textile waste fibers: Elaboration and performances evaluation. *Industrial Crops and Products*, 135, 238–245. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2019.04.053>
45. Nasution, D. A., Ismail, H., Wirjosentono, B., & Tamrin, T. (2020). The effect of sepiolite loading on curing and tensile properties of sepiolite filled natural Rubber/Styrene-Butadiene Rubber (SMR L/SBR) Blends. *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 2267. <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0015958>
46. Naveen, J., Jawaid, M., Amuthakkannan, P., & Chandrasekar, M. (2019). Mechanical and physical properties of sisal and hybrid sisal fiber-reinforced polymer composites. In *Mechanical and Physical Testing of Biocomposites, Fibre-Reinforced Composites and Hybrid Composites*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-08-102292-4.00021-7>
47. Ngo, T.-D. (2018). Natural Fibers for Sustainable Bio-Composites. *Natural and Artificial Fiber-Reinforced Composites as Renewable Sources*. <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.71012>
48. Pavel, C. C., & Blagoeva, D. T. (2018). Competitive landscape of the EU ' s insulation materials industry for energy-efficient buildings. *European Comission 2018*, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.2760/251981>
49. Placet, V. (2010). Tensile behaviour of natural fibres. Effect of loading rate, temperature and humidity on the “accommodation” phenomena. *EPJ Web of Conferences*, 6. <https://doi.org/10.1051/epjconf/201006200>