



**BUSITEMA
UNIVERSITY**
Pursuing Excellence

**FACULTY OF ENGINEERING
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES AND MINING ENGINEERING
WATER RESOURCES ENGINEERING PROGRAMME**

**APPLICATION OF GIS/RS FOR IDENTIFICATION OF SUITABLE SITES FOR
RAINWATER HARVESTING STRUCTURES IN KAABONG DISTRICT.**

BY

LOKAYE GABREIL AKOPE

Reg.No. BU/UP/2014/586

Email: gabriellokayekope95@gmail.com

Tel: +256 777 30 3339

SUPERVISORS: 1. Mr. Oketcho YORONIMO

2. Mr. Baagala BRIAN SSEMPIJJA

*A final year project Report submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the award
of a Bachelor of Water Resources Engineering Degree of Busitema University*

MAY, 2018

ABSTRACT

Arid and semi-arid areas such as Karamoja suffer not only from limited precipitation but also from poor management of rainwater for animal, human and agricultural use. One such solution is rainwater harvesting (RWH) (collect excess runoff water during the rainy season and store it during dry spells).

Identification of potential sites for rainwater harvesting (RWH) is an important step towards maximizing harvesting of this runoff using different RWH technologies. However, selection of appropriate sites for different RWH technologies on a large scale presents a great challenge.

The main objective of this study was to apply a geographic information system (GIS) that uses remote sensing (RS), to identify potential sites for RWH technologies. The input into the GIS include generated thematic maps of. The thematic layers such as (rainfall, slope, soil texture, drainage, runoff depth and land use/cover) were assigned suitable weights and then integrated in a GIS to generate an RWH potential map of the study area. Validation of the RWH potential map with existing RWH structures was carried out and finally sensitivity analysis was performed to determine the importance of a factor or group of factors in the site selection process for RWH.

The study area can be classified into five RWH potential zones; Not Suitable zone (102.23km² or 1.4%), Marginally Suitable zone (1306.9km² or 17.9%), Moderately Suitable zone (3696.3km² or 50.6%) Suitable zone (1910.5km² or 26.1%) and Highly Suitable zone (291 km² or 4.0%). Validation test showed that out of 19 different existing RWH technologies, 0% fall within not suitable and marginally suitable zones and 32%, 53% and 16% fall within moderately suitable, suitable and highly suitable zones respectively. The integrated RS, GIS and MCDA techniques were found to be a cost-effective, faster and environmentally friendly method to select suitable RWH sites as compared to field surveys

Keywords: Rainwater harvesting, remote sensing and geographic information system, multi-criteria decision analysis, Thematic maps/layers.

DISCLAIMER

I, LOKAYE GABRIEL AKOPE, declare that this project report is my original work output apart from where acknowledged and has never been submitted for a ward of degree at the university and other universities.

Sign.....

Date..... /..... /.....

APPROVAL

I am presenting this final year project report titled: *Application of Geographic Information System and Remote Sensing for identification of Suitable sites for rainwater harvesting Structures in Kaabong District* to department of Water Resources and Mining Engineering of Busitema University. The undersigned certify that they have read and recommended for acceptance by the University.

.....

Mr. Oketcho Yoronimo

(Main supervisor)

.....

Mr. Baagala Brian Ssempijja

(Co-supervisor)

DEDICATION

I dedicate this report to my parents and my wife for their inevitable support.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, I thank the almighty God who by His grace kept me safe from all sicknesses and gave me knowledge used in the compilation of this important report. “....., for without me you’re able to do nothing”. (John 15:5).

Secondly and most important, I would like to express my gratitude to my current sponsor Straight Talk Foundation and previous sponsor FAWE for their financial support and nurturing me financially, morally, academically, spiritually, socially to mention but a few. I owe the achievement of this work to my supervisors, Mr. Oketcho Yoronimo and Mr. Baagala Brian Sempijja for their endurance in guiding me. I never imagined that I would get that level of attention. I would like to say thank you very much.

Last but not least, to my friends in the Water resources engineering class most especially the one Tebugulwa Dan for your time and helping me know GIS software basics.

Lastly at the bottom of my heart thanks goes to my biological parents, father Akope Joseph and mum Namongo Juliana and my siblings for their general support, guidance and counseling. To my wife Anna Grace Namongo for all I can’t exhaust. I couldn’t forget my other parents, family of Abelle Joseph Lokol and Nakiyo Mary.

God bless you all.

1 TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT.....	i
DISCLAIMER	ii
APPROVAL	iii
DEDICATION	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
LISTS OF TABLES.....	ix
LISTS OF FIGURES	x
LIST OF ACRONYMS	xi
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem statement.....	3
1.3 Purpose of the study.....	3
1.4 Objectives	4
1.4.1 Main objective.....	4
1.4.2 Specific objectives	4
1.5 Scope of the study.....	4
1.6 Justification	4
2 CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1 Rainwater harvesting (RWH) and Harvesting Technologies.....	5
2.2 Definition of rainwater harvesting	5
2.3 Components of the rainwater harvesting system	5
2.4 How much water can be collected?.....	6
2.5 Criteria used for identification of suitable sites	6
2.6 Biophysical factors for identification of potential sites for RWH	7
2.6.1 Rainfall.....	7
2.6.2 Soil (Soil texture, and soil depth).....	7
2.6.3 Topography/slope.....	7
2.6.4 Land Use Land Cover.	8
2.6.5 Drainage density.	8
2.7 Techniques used in Arid and semi-arid areas (ASARs) for rainwater harvesting	9
2.8 Methods used for identifying suitable sites for RWH.....	10
2.9 Definition of terms	11

2.9.1	Remote Sensing (RS)	11
2.9.2	Geographic Information System (GIS)	11
2.9.3	Thematic maps	12
2.10	Pros and Cons of GIS/RS in identifying suitable RWH sites in ASARs	12
2.11	Rainfall-runoff modeling	13
2.12	Decision making and identification of potential RWH sites.....	17
2.12.1	Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis (MCDA)	17
2.12.2	Consistency Ratio	19
3	CHAPTER THREE: MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	20
3.1	Description of the Study Area.....	20
3.1.1	Location and Size.....	20
3.1.2	Climate and Rainfall	21
3.1.3	Soils.....	21
3.1.4	Geology and hydro-geological conditions	22
3.1.5	Ground Water Occurrence.	22
3.1.6	Ground Water Potential.	22
3.1.7	Vegetation	22
3.1.8	Topography	23
3.1.9	Sunshine and Wind	23
3.2	Data sources and collection methods	23
3.3	Data collection methods.....	24
3.4	Software and equipment used	25
3.5	Conceptual model framework.....	25
3.6	Data Preparation and Pre-Processing Analysis	26
3.7	Thematic maps Generation	28
3.7.1	Digital Elevation Model (DEM) and Hydro Processing	28
3.7.2	Drainage density map.....	29
3.7.3	Land Use/Land Cover	29
3.7.4	Slope Map Analysis	29
3.7.5	Soil Map Analysis.....	30
3.7.6	Rainfall analysis.....	30
3.8	Rainfall Runoff Modelling.....	31
3.8.1	Evaluating Curve Number for the Study Area.....	32

3.8.2	Determination of Runoff Depth Using Curve Numbers	32
3.9	Decision making and identification of potential RWH sites.....	32
3.9.1	Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis (MCDA)	32
3.9.2	Consistency Ratio	35
3.10	Validation of the model and sensitivity analysis.....	36
3.10.1	Validation of the model.....	36
3.10.2	Sensitivity analysis.....	36
4	CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	37
4.1	Land Cover/Land Use map	37
4.2	Soil Map.....	38
4.3	Slope Density Map.....	40
4.4	Drainage density Map	41
4.5	Rainfall.....	41
4.5.1	Estimation of Runoff Depth Using SCS CN Model	42
4.5.2	Curve Number Map	43
4.5.3	Runoff depth Map.....	43
4.6	Determination of Potential Site for Rainwater Harvesting structures.....	44
4.7	Validation Test and Sensitivity Analysis	46
4.7.1	Validation Test.....	46
4.7.2	Sensitivity Analysis.....	48
5	CHAPTER FIVE: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	53
5.1	Conclusions.....	53
5.2	Recommendations	54
	REFERENCES	55
	APPENDIX A: List of existing RWH structures	59

LISTS OF TABLES

Table 1: Commonly used guidelines to identify suitable sites for RWH in ASARs (Adapted from (Adham et al., 2016)	9
Table 2: The most common techniques and criteria and their values that have been used for RWH site selection in ASARs	10
Table 3: Soil group and corresponding soil texture	15
Table 4: AMC for Determining the Value of CN (Chow., 1988)	16
Table 5: General Criteria and Constraints for Macro RWH (Source: Modified after FAO, 2003).	18
Table 6: Hydro-meteorological and Remote Sensing Data.....	24
Table 7: Reclassification of each Thematic Layer into Level of Suitability (Source: Modified after Ritung et al., 2007).	27
Table 8: Criteria for suitability level classification.....	27
Table 9: Slope Classification (Winnaar, Jewitt and Horan, 2007).....	30
Table 10: CN for hydrological soil cover complexes	32
Table 11: Weighting system for AHP	33
Table 12: Pairwise comparison matrix table	34
Table 13: Relative weights of factors based on pairwise comparison	34
Table 14: Random Index values Saaty (2008)	35
Table 15: Land area coverage by each land unit.....	37
Table 16: percentage distribution of the five textural classes found in the study area.....	39
Table 17: Different Weighting factors for each Thematic Layer.....	44
Table 18: Areal coverage of RWH Suitability Classes.....	45
Table 19: Suitability classes and existing RWH technologies percentage distribution	47
Table 20: Percentage weightings for scenario 1	48
Table 21: Percentage area of RWH suitability classes for scenario 1	49
Table 22: Percentage weightings for scenario 2	49
Table 23: Statistics for RWH suitability classes for scenario 2	50

Table 24: Percentage weightings for scenario 3	51
--	----

LISTS OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Runoff depth derivation flow chart	16
Figure 2: Location of the study area	20
Figure 3: Methodology- Flow chart	26
Figure 4: Digital Elevation Map (DEM) of the study area	28
Figure 5: Stream order map of the area.....	29
Figure 6: Used Rainfall gauging stations distribution.....	31
Figure 7: Land cover/land use of the study area	37
Figure 8: Graphical representation of the different land cover classes.....	38
Figure 9: Soil texture of the study area	39
Figure 10: Slope classification map of the study area.....	40
Figure 11: Drainage density map of the area	41
Figure 12: Spatial distribution of the average yearly Rainfall in the study area	42
Figure 13: Hydrological Soil Groups in the area	42
Figure 14: Curve Number in the study area	43
Figure 15: Runoff depth in mm per annum of the Study area.....	44
Figure 16: Potential sites for Rainwater Harvesting Technologies.....	45
Figure 17: Comparison of predicted potential sites with existing Rainwater harvesting technologies.....	47
Figure 18: potential sites for scenario 2 sensitivity analysis.....	50
Figure 19: Potential sites for scenario 3 sensitivity analysis.....	51

LIST OF ACRONYMS

AHP	Analytical Hierarchy Process
ASARs	Arid and Semi-Arid Regions
CN	Curve Number
CR	Consistency Ratio
DEM	Digital Elevation Model
DSS	Decision Support System
FAO	Food and Agricultural Organization
GIS	Geographic Information System
HSG	Hydrological Soil Groups
IDW	Inverse Distance Weights
LULC	Land Use Land Cover
MCDA	Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis
MCE	Multi-Criteria Evaluation
NARO	National Agricultural Research Organization
NGOs	Non-Governmental Organizations
RS	Remote Sensing
RWH	Rainwater Harvesting
SCS-CN	Soil Conservation Service Curve Number
SRTM	Shuttle Radar Topography Mission
SWAT	Soil Water Assessment Tool
UNEP	United Nation Environmental Program
USDA	United States Department of Agriculture

CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Climate change and a growing demand for water for agricultural and urban development are increasing the pressure on water resources. Between 75 and 250 million people in Africa are projected to be exposed to increased water stress by 2020, yields from rain-fed agriculture could be reduced by up to 50% in some regions, and agricultural production, including access to food, may be severely compromised. The United Nations Environment Program (UNEP) estimates that more than two billion people will live under conditions of high water stress by 2050, which would be a limiting factor for development in many countries around the world (Arnell, Lindberg and Grimmond, 1999).

Arid and semi-arid regions (ASARs) around the world are already regularly facing problems of water scarcity, for drinking, crops and livestock. ASARs represent 35 percentage of Earth's land, about 50 million km² (Mwenge Kahinda *et al.*, 2007). Rain-fed agriculture is the predominant farming system in these areas, but aridity and climatic uncertainty are major challenges faced by local farmers who rely on rain-fed farming. Farmers are faced with low average annual rainfall and variable temporal and spatial rainfall distribution.

Karamoja region in particular, as a semi-arid area experiences periods of dry spells caused by low and unreliable rainfall which is unevenly distributed in space and time and faces challenges of recurrent droughts that jeopardizes the success of rain-fed agriculture, livestock production and general water availability in the area. Because of this, management of water resources is faced with serious problems of water scarcity, shortage and untapped full potential of water supply strategies.

The 2010/2011 drought has led to increased attention on resilience building in the region. Cyclical droughts and erratic rainfall, which are expected to intensify under progressive climate change, affects crop production and pasture for livestock in the sub-region, thereby having direct negative effects on the livelihoods of the population

Semi-arid to arid areas have unpredictable rainfall patterns, both in amount and time. This makes the ability to effectively manage the resulting effective runoff extremely vital. Rainwater harvesting (RWH) has been identified at a number of global platforms as one of the significant interventions necessary towards reaching the Millennium Development Goals in African

REFERENCES

- Abouabdillah, A. *et al.* (2010) 'Modelling water-harvesting systems in a semi arid catchment (Merguellil-Tunisia)', *ASABE - 21st Century Watershed Technology: Improving Water Quality and Environment 2010*, 2010(701), pp. 1–9. doi: 10.13031/2013.29389.
- Adham, A. *et al.* (2016) 'A methodology to assess and evaluate rainwater harvesting techniques in (semi-) arid regions', *Water (Switzerland)*, 8(5). doi: 10.3390/w8050198.
- AL-ADAMAT, R. (2008) 'GIS AS A DECISION SUPPORT SYSTEM FOR SITING WATER HARVESTING PONDS IN THE BASALT AQUIFER/NE JORDAN', *Journal of Environmental Assessment Policy and Management*, 10(02), pp. 189–206. doi: 10.1142/S1464333208003020.
- Ammar, A. *et al.* (2016) 'Identification of suitable sites for rainwater harvesting structures in arid and semi-arid regions: A review', *International Soil and Water Conservation Research*, pp. 108–120. doi: 10.1016/j.iswcr.2016.03.001.
- Arnell, N. W., Lindberg, F. and Grimmond, C. S. B. (1999) 'Climate change and global water resources', *Global environmental change*, 9(June), pp. S31–S49. doi: 10.1016/S0959-Get.
- Elbeih, S. F. (2014) 'An overview of integrated remote sensing and GIS for groundwater mapping in Egypt', *Ain Shams Engineering Journal*, 6(1), pp. 1–15. doi: 10.1016/j.asej.2014.08.008.
- Esri (2012) 'What is GIS?', *Esri World*, 113(C), p. doi:10.1029/2008JB005610 ST-Deformation, seismici.
- Gupta, K. K., Deelstra, J. and Sharma, K. D. (1997) 'Estimation of water harvesting potential for a semiarid area using GIS and remote sensing', *Remote Sensing and Geographic Information Systems for Design and Operation of Water Resources Systems*, (242), pp. 53–62.
- Hatibu, N. and Mahoo, H. (1999) 'Rainwater harvesting technologies for agricultural production : A case for Dodoma , Tanzania', *Network*, pp. 161–171.

Hümann, M. *et al.* (2011) 'Identification of runoff processes - The impact of different forest types and soil properties on runoff formation and floods', *Journal of Hydrology*, 409(3–4), pp. 637–649. doi: 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2011.08.067.

Kadam, A. K. *et al.* (2012) 'Identifying Potential Rainwater Harvesting Sites of a Semi-arid, Basaltic Region of Western India, Using SCS-CN Method', *Water Resources Management*, 26(9), pp. 2537–2554. doi: 10.1007/s11269-012-0031-3.

Krois, J. and Schulte, A. (2014) 'GIS-based multi-criteria evaluation to identify potential sites for soil and water conservation techniques in the Ronquillo watershed, northern Peru', *Applied Geography*, 51, pp. 131–142. doi: 10.1016/j.apgeog.2014.04.006.

Kumar, D. N. and Reshmidevi, T. V. (2013) 'Remote sensing applications in water resources', *Journal of the Indian Institute of Science*, pp. 163–188. doi: 10.1002/joc.4044.

Mahmoud, S. H. *et al.* (2016) 'Rainwater harvesting for the management of agricultural droughts in arid and semi-arid regions', *Paddy and Water Environment*, 14(1), pp. 231–246. doi: 10.1007/s10333-015-0493-z.

Malczewski, J. (2004) 'GIS-based land-use suitability analysis: A critical overview', *Progress in Planning*, 62(1), pp. 3–65. doi: 10.1016/j.progress.2003.09.002.

Mati, B. *et al.* (2006) 'Mapping the Potential of Rainwater Harvesting Technologies in Africa', *A GIS Overview*

Mbilinyi, B. *et al.* (2007) 'GIS-based decision support system for identifying potential sites for rainwater harvesting', *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth Parts ABC*, 32(15–18), pp. 1074–1081. doi: 10.1016/j.pce.2007.07.014.

Mbilinyi, B. P. (2007) 'GIS-based decision support system for identifying potential sites for rainwater harvesting', 32, pp. 1074–1081. doi: 10.1016/j.pce.2007.07.014.

Mun, J. S. and Han, M. Y. (2012) 'Design and operational parameters of a rooftop rainwater harvesting system: Definition, sensitivity and verification', *Journal of Environmental Management*, 93(1), pp. 147–153. doi: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2011.08.024.

Mwenge Kahinda, J. marc *et al.* (2007) 'Rainwater harvesting to enhance water productivity of rainfed agriculture in the semi-arid Zimbabwe', *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth*, 32(15–18), pp. 1068–1073. doi: 10.1016/j.pce.2007.07.011.

Mwenge Kahinda, J. marc *et al.* (2008) 'Developing suitability maps for rainwater harvesting in South Africa', *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth*, 33(8–13), pp. 788–799. doi: 10.1016/j.pce.2008.06.047.

Mwenge Kahinda, J., Taigbenu, A. E. and Boroto, J. R. (2007) 'Domestic rainwater harvesting to improve water supply in rural South Africa', *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Parts A/B/C*, 32(15–18), pp. 1050–1057. doi: 10.1016/j.pce.2007.07.007.

Oweis, T., Oberle, A. and Prinz, D. (1998) 'Determination of potential sites and methods for water harvesting in central Syria', *Towards sustainable land use*, Bonn, Germ(October), p. Germany, 26-Germany, 30.

Oyonarte, C. *et al.* (1998) 'Water-retention capacity in fine earth and gravel fractions of semiarid Mediterranean Montane soils', *Arid Soil Research and Rehabilitation*, 12(1), pp. 29–45. doi: 10.1080/15324989809381495.

Ramakrishnan, D., Bandyopadhyay, A. and Kusuma, K. N. (2009) 'SCS-CN and GIS-based approach for identifying potential water harvesting sites in the Kali Watershed, Mahi River Basin, India', *Journal of Earth System Science*, 118(4), pp. 355–368. doi: 10.1007/s12040-009-0034-5.

Ran, Q. *et al.* (2012) 'Experimental study of the impact of rainfall characteristics on runoff generation and soil erosion', *Journal of Hydrology*, 424–425, pp. 99–111. doi: 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2011.12.035.

Rijsberman, F. R. (1998) '6.11 Rainwater harvesting', *Water Policy*.

Saaty, T. L. (2008) 'Decision making with the analytic hierarchy process.', *International Journal of Services Science*, 1(1), pp. 83–98. doi: 10.1504/IJSSCI.2008.017590.

Singh, L. K., Jha, M. K. and Chowdary, V. M. (2017) 'Multi-criteria analysis and GIS modeling

for identifying prospective water harvesting and artificial recharge sites for sustainable water supply’, *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 142, pp. 1436–1456. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.11.163.

Sturm, M. *et al.* (2009) ‘Rainwater harvesting as an alternative water resource in rural sites in central northern Namibia’, *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth*, pp. 776–785. doi: 10.1016/j.pce.2009.07.004.

Vohland, K. and Barry, B. (2009) ‘A review of in situ rainwater harvesting (RWH) practices modifying landscape functions in African drylands’, *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, pp. 119–127. doi: 10.1016/j.agee.2009.01.010.

Winnaar, G., Jewitt, G. P. W. and Horan, M. (2007) ‘A GIS-based approach for identifying potential runoff harvesting sites in the Thukela River basin, South Africa’, *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth*, 32(15–18), pp. 1058–1067. doi: 10.1016/j.pce.2007.07.009.