



**BUSITEMA  
UNIVERSITY**  
*Pursuing Excellence*

**FACULTY OF ENGINEERING**  
**DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND MINING ENGINEERING**  
**FINAL YEAR PROJECT REPORT**  
**REVIEW OF THE GRANITE EXTRACTION PRACTICES AT**  
**SEYANI INTERNATIONAL COMPANY**

**Name: KATETEGIRWE MATTHIAS**

**Reg.no: BU/UG/2013/54**

**E-mail: [katetegirwe@gmail.com](mailto:katetegirwe@gmail.com)**

**Telephone no. 0702874991**

**Main supervisor: Madam NANGEDO JAQUELINE**

**Co-supervisor: TUGUME WYCLIFFE**



*A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the award of  
Bachelor of Science in mining engineering degree at Busitema University.*

**MAY 2017**

## ABSTRACT

The mining industry has been key to the development of civilization. This is due the fact that development and technology are highly articulated to infrastructure and because of the need for construction materials; stone aggregate, cement, dimension stones, gravel, sand.

The extraction of the rock involves stripping to expose the rock, the drilling and blasting from where the rock is reduced in size from its natural state into a size that it can be handled by the machinery and the processed into the required materials. Mining companies must therefore adopt mining operations through which they have to extract the minerals from the ground at lower costs so as to maximize the profits generated.

All operations should be done in their subsequent order. The purpose of this report the operations involved in granite extraction identifying the lags in the operations and recommending the appropriate operations at a low cost.

A geophysical survey of the proposed site of extraction was carried out using a terrameter instrument set so as to know the extent of overburden and the extent of the rock at different points of the site of extraction and a map was developed in GIS software for the co-ordinate points of instrumentation. The current mining operations on site were reviewed that's; stripping and waste management, drilling, blasting and cycle time of operations. The effect of each was explained and the idle time between the operations was also determined. Production and the production in terms of trucks crushed per day was also considered in the project.

The project aimed meeting the required production target with in the limited time, machinery and resources available at the quarry.

The production target was set at 50 trips per day and was not achieved, identifying and utilizing all the idle time without considering the crusher delays, seen to improve the production by 40% while making sure that the mean fragmentation size in a range of 20-35cm which would ease loading and hauling operations since large sized particles where observed to consume longer time of loading, hauling and crushing.

Drilling and blasting parameters where analyzed in the in the excel spreadsheet, keeping the burden in a range of 1.5 – 1.7m giving a mean fragmentation size of less than 35cm, implies that the hydraulic rock breaker can thus be used as an exactor by fitting the excavating bucket and thus be in other mining operations, that's stripping or feeding material into the crusher.

Stripping should be sufficient, making sure that all the burden soils are transported to the waste dump so as to avoid ore dilution.

Mean fragmentation size maintained to easy hauling and crushing.

During hauling, the material can be piled near the crusher to reduce on the crusher-truck time delay.

Material haulage should be continuous, piled near the crusher so as to shorten the travel distance and cycle time.

For holes affected with water, the ANFO should be packed in bags, so as to avoid the water dissolving the ANFO.

**DISCLAIMER**

I **Katetegirwe Matthias**, hereby declare to the best of my knowledge, that this project report is an outcome of my original work and that it has not been presented to any institution of learning for an academic award.

Name: KATETEGIRWE MATTHIAS BU/UG/2013/54

Signature ..... *Matthias* .....

Date: ..... *02.06.2017* .....



**APPROVAL**

This final research report has been submitted to the Faculty of Engineering for examination with approval of my supervisors;

Main supervisor

Madam: NANGENDO JACQUELINE

.....

Co-supervisor

Mr. TUGUME WYCLIFFE

.....

## **DEDICATION**

This report is dedicated to my beloved parents Mr. Mpora James and Mrs. Mpora mackling in appreciation for their selfless care and unflinching support provided to me since childhood, and for the spirit of hard work, courage and determination instilled into me, which attributes I have cherished with firmness and which have indeed made me what I am today without forgetting my friends Nabaasa Harriet Kazungu, Kataza peter, Mande sam, Mukundane Emmanuel, Komuhendo Matinah, Asimwe Dan Olweny Aldo

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

I am indebted to my dear brothers, sisters, relatives, classmates and friends to whom i extend my sincere appreciation for their guidance and support.

I extend my gratitude to all my Lecturers at the Faculty of Engineering, mining and water Department who have equipped me with academic knowledge that has guided me to succeed in my studies for the four academic years.

My thanks go to my supervisors Madam Nangendo Jacqueline and Mr. Tugume Wycliffe whose directions and guidance enabled me to successfully complete the project.

I thank the almighty God for his grace, mercy, wisdom and protection.

## Contents

ABSTRACT.....	ii
DISCLAIMER.....	iv
APPROVAL.....	v
DEDICATION.....	vi
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.....	vii
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 BACKGROUND.....	1
1.1.1 Location of the study area.....	3
1.1.2 Geological description of the place.....	4
1.2 PROBLEM STATEMENT.....	5
1.3 OBJECTIVES.....	7
1.3.1 Main objective.....	7
1.3.2 Specific objectives.....	7
1.4 Justification.....	8
1.5 Scope and limitations.....	8
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW.....	9
2.1 OVERVIEW OF DRILLING AND BLASTING PARAMETERS.....	9
2.2 DRILLING, BLASTING AND PRODUCTION MONITORING.....	12
CHAPTER THREE: METHODOLOGY.....	16
3.1 Specific objective one.....	16
3.1.1 Vertical electrical sounding (VES) analysis experimentation.....	16
3.1.2 THEORETICAL BACKGROUND.....	16
3.1.3. WORKING PRINCIPLE.....	17
3.1.4 Treatment of data.....	17
3.2. Specific objective two.....	18
3.2.1 STRIPPING:.....	18
3.2.2 MANAGEMENT OF THE STRIPPED MATERIAL.....	20
3.2.3 DRILLING AND BLASTING.....	20
3.2.4 Burden and spacing:.....	20
3.2.5 Charging holes:.....	20
3.2.6 Drilling depths:.....	21



3.2.7 LOADING, HAULING AND CRUSHING. ....	21
3.2.8 Crusher delays: .....	21
3.2.9 Mean Fragmentation size: .....	22
3.2.10 PRODUCTION. ....	23
CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	24
4.1 To carry out a geophysical field mapping of the proposed extraction area. ....	24
4.1.1 WORK DONE AND ANALYSIS OF MEASUREMENTS .....	24
4.1.2 Computer analysis of the vertical electrical sounding: .....	25
4.2 STRIPPING AND STRIP MANAGEMENT.....	29
4.3 DRILLING AND BLASTING. ....	30
4.4 Production.....	32
4.5 EFFECTS OF VARYING PARAMETERS.....	33
4.5.1 Burden and spacing: .....	33
4.5.2 Effect of increasing drill hole depth when burden is constant, at B=1.6 .....	34
4.6 Loading, hauling (cycle time considerations).....	34
CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS .....	37
5.1 PROPOSING APROPRIATE EXTARCTION PROCEDUREAND RECOMMENDATIONS;.....	37
5.1.1 STRIPPING.....	37
5.1.2 DRILLING AND BLASTING.....	37
4.1.3 Spacing to burden ratio.....	38
4.1.4 Stemming: .....	38
4.1.5 Number of drill holes: .....	39
4.1.6 Loading and hauling.....	39
4.1.7 BLAST CONTROL SCREENSHOT.....	39
4.2 CONCLUSIONS.....	40
References .....	41
APPENDIX.....	42

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>Figure 1: MAP SHOWING THE LOCATION OF SEYANI COMPANY</b> .....	4
<b>Figure 2: the burden spoils heaped on future mining area, water collecting in the pit floor.</b> .....	6
<b>Figure 3: generator used to pump water from the pit floor</b> .....	6
<b>Figure 4: boulders around the quarry</b> .....	7
<b>Figure 5: WASTE SPOILS FALLING ON THE EXCATING POINT</b> .....	18
<b>Figure 6: OVERBURDEN SPOILS FROM PREVIOUS STRIPPING OPERATION HEAPED ON THE FUTURE ROCK</b> .....	19
<b>Figure 7; HYDRAULIC BREAKER FOR SECONDARY ROCK BREAKAGE</b> .....	22
<b>Figure 8: MAP SHOWING THE VES POINTS, DEVELOPED IN GIS</b> .....	24
<b>Figure 9; map showing the points to be considered for mining</b> .....	29
<b>Figure 11: Effect of increasing burden-spacing distance</b> .....	33
<b>Figure 12; Effect of increasing drill hole depth</b> .....	34

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table 1: VES points and GPS codinates</b> .....	17
<b>Table 2: STEMMING-SPACING DISTANCE VARIATIONS</b> .....	20
<b>Table 3: CYCLE TIME MEASUREMENTS TO THE CRUSHING POINTS</b> .....	21
<b>Table 4: Cycle time at normal operations, without stoppages</b> .....	35
<b>Table 5: idle time in cycle time</b> .....	35
<b>Table 6: new target production</b> .....	35

## **CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION**

### **1.1 BACKGROUND**

The mining industry has been key to the development of civilization, underpinning the iron and bronze ages, the industrial revolution and the infrastructure of today's information age. In 2001, the mining industry produced over 6 billion tons of raw product valued at several trillion dollars. Downstream beneficiation and minerals processing of these raw materials adds further value as raw materials and products are created to serve all aspects of industry and commerce worldwide. (Ashraf, 2015)

Quarrying is carried out in virtually every country in the world. This is due the fact that development and technology are highly articulated to infrastructure and because of the need for construction materials; stone aggregate, cement, dimension stones, gravel, sand etc...., this has led to the creation of large number of quarries all over the world thus need to cope up with a mining operation that ensures continual supply of mineral products.

For many developing countries mining accounts for a significant proportion of GDP and, often, for the bulk of foreign exchange earnings and foreign investment. For example the contribution of quarrying and aggregate production to the economy of New Zealand economy (NZIER, November 2008) was 45.4 million tons of aggregates in 2007, worth an estimated \$550 million. Production has been increasing over the current decade due to growth in demand for roads and construction. Over half of output is used for roading, and 21% (a quarter by value) for construction of residential and commercial/industrial buildings.

Associated with this output is:

- employment of 1,890 persons, with average earnings in 2005/06 of over \$49,000 per annum, 25% above the national average
- value added of \$240 million (the equivalent of the industry's Gross Domestic Product (GDP))

The adverse environmental impact of mining activities on the environment is well documented (Kitula, 2006). Particular attention has been directed towards the impacts of large scale and small-scale mining activities on environmental contamination. While the land degradation caused by the mining is pronounced, chemical contamination from the extraction process imposes a double

## References

1. Anon., April 2002. Influence of the principal geometrical parameters of straight city streets on positive and negative phase blast wave impulses. *International Journal of Impact Engineering*, 27(4), p. 359–376.
2. Ashraf, M. S. M. N. R. a. G. M., 2015. Mining and Economic Development: Mining and Environmental Problems.. *Environmental Impacts of Metallic Elements*, pp. 17-51.
3. Birabwa.E, 2006. *Small-Scale Stone Quarrying: Its Contribution to People's Livelihoods.: A Case Study Kasenge Parish, Nama Sub-County, Mukono District (Uganda).*, s.l.: s.n.
4. BLASTING, C. O. D. A., 2013. CALCULATION OF DRILLING AND BLASTING "DOBRNJA". *Archives for Technical Sciences*, pp. 35-42.
5. Consulting, S. E., n.d. *Optimising overburden removal*, s.l.: s.n.
6. Kitula, A. G. N., 2006. The environmental and socio-economic impacts of mining on local livelihoods in Tanzania. *A case study of Geita District*, pp. pp.405-414..
7. MISHRA, A., 2009. *DESIGN OF SURFACE BLASTS- A COMPUTATIONAL*, Rourkela: Department of Mining Engineering.
8. monitor, t., 20 june 2008. s.l.: s.n.
9. NZIER, November 2008. *Economic analysis of the quarrying and aggregate construction*, s.l.: s.n.
10. P. K. Singh, M. P. R. R. K. P. M. S. S. K., 2004. *blast design and fragmentation control*, Dhanbad 826 015, India: CSIR-Central Institute of Mining and Fuel Research,.
11. P.K. Singh, M. R. R. P. M. S. S. K. R. R. J., October 2016. Rock fragmentation control in opencast blasting. *Journal of Rock Mechanics and*, Volume 8, pp. 225-237.
12. PARIDA, M. R. A. C. K., 2007. *OPTIMIZATION OF BLASTING PARAMETERS*, ROULEKA: DEPARTMENT OF MINING ENGINEERING.
13. Rock Onwe Mkpuma, O. O. E. M. A., 2015. *Environmental Problems of Surface and Underground Mining: a review.*, s.l.: s.n.