

# FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

### DEPARTMENT OF TEXTILE AND GINNING ENGINEERING

### FINAL YEAR PROJECT

PRODUCTION OF BRIQUETTES FROM COTTON STALKS MIXED WITH PINE POWDER AS AN ALTERNATIVE SOURCE OF ENERGY

BY

SAMANYA PATRICK

**REG NO: BU/UP/2015/636** 

samanyapatrick1@gmail.com or samanyapatrick@yahoo.com 0772355591 or 0753499867

SUPERVISORS;

Dr. NIBIKORA ILDEPHONSE

Ms. YVONNE TUSIMIIRE

A Final Year Project Report Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Award of Bachelor of Science in Textile Engineering at Busitema University

### ABSTRACT

The study was undertaken to assess the properties of briquettes produced from a mixture of carbonized cotton stalks and pine powder. The cotton stalks were obtained chopped to sizes of 10cm, dried to 10% moisture content then carbonized to obtained smokeless char. The pine pieces were grinded to obtain fine pine powder. The properties of pine powder and carbonized char were analyzed in relation to briquettes. The carbonized cotton stalks and pine powder where mixed in different proportions to make briquettes using cassava starch as a binder. Different levels of briquettes were produced. Briquette A (100% cotton stalks: 0%pine powder), Briquette B (95%cotton stalks to 5%pine powder), Briquette C (90% cotton stalks: 10%pine powder) and Briquette D (85%cotton stalks: 15%pine powder). The moisture content, shatter index and combustion related properties namely percentage volatile matter, percentage ash content, ignition time and percentage fixed carbon content of the briquettes where determined. The test results showed that all briquettes had moisture content between 0%-18%the required range. the shatter index ranged from 93%-99.5% indicating that all the briquettes were resistant to vibrations, transportation and handling. The ash content for all the briquette samples was below 10% meaning the briquettes burn with minimum slagging effect. The percentage volatile matter increased with increase in pine powder concentration in the briquettes with the highest value being seen in sample D. The percentage fixed carbon content ranged between 54%-64% with sample A having the highest value of fixed carbon content. Since the aim of briquetting was to produce briquettes that would serve as good source of fuel and support quick combustion with reduced ignition time, the best briquette with short ignition time was produced when 85% cotton stalks were mixed with 15% pine powder. Also the use of 100% cotton stalks produced a briquette with properties in the required standard values for all the tests.

### **DECLARATION**

I SAMANYA PATRICK declare to the best of my knowledge that this project is a result of my research and effort and it has never been presented or submitted to any institution or university for any academic award.

DATE 24th | 05 | 2019

SIGNATURE ....



Dr. NIBIKORA ILDEPHONSE	
MAIN SUPERVISOR	
Date:	
Signature	
Ms. YVONNE TUSIMHRE	
CO-SUPERVISOR	
Signature	

This project has been submitted for examination with approval from the following supervisors:

APPROVAL

Date:

## DEDICATION

I dedicate this final year project to my parents; Mr. MANGENI BATULUMAYO and Ms. DOLOKASI NABWIRE who have enabled me to see the light of education from my childhood and to my dear lecturers who have mentored me from first year up to now. GOD bless you

Samanya Patrick BU/UP/2015/636 Page | v

### **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

My sincere thanks goes to the Almighty God for the strength, health, wisdom, grace, and protection he has given to me all through my studies.

I am very grateful to the management of Uganda Industrial Research Institute (UIRI) for the technical guidance and the support they gave towards the completion of this project.

I extend my thanks to all my lecturers especially my supervisors; Dr. Nibikora Ildephonse, and Ms. Yvonne Muhame at Busitema University, Textile and ginning Engineering Department who have put in a lot to see that this project is a success and have equipped me with the knowledge that has enabled me to succeed in my studies.

Finally, I thank all my friends who have always been there for me in all situations, the systems energy division Uganda industrial research institute thanks so much for all your supervision towards the success of this research project and to the industrial supervisor my God bless you abundantly.

## Contents

ABSTRACT	i
DECLARATION	iii
APPROVAL	iv
DEDICATION	v
ACKNOWLEDGMENT	vi
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
LIST OF TABLES	x
LIST OF ACRONYMS	x
CHAPTER ONE	1
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 BACKGROUND	l
1.2 PROBLEM STATEMENT	2
1.3 OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY	2
1.3.1 Main objective	2
1.3.2 Specific objectives	2
1.4 JUSITIFICATION	3
1.5 SCOPE OF THE STUDY	3
1.5.1Conceptual scope	3
1.5.2 Geographical scope	3
1.5.3 Time scope	3
CHAPTER 2:	4
LITERATURE REVIEW	4
2.1 INTRODUCTION	4
2.2 RAW MATERIALS FOR MAKING BRIQUETTES	4
2.3, BIOMASS	4
2.4 COTTON STALKS	5
2.5.0 BRIQUETTING BINDERS	7
2.5.1 Clay binder	7
2.5.2 Gum arabic binder	7
2.5.3 Molasses as a binder	7
2.5.4 Wood tars	8
2.5.5 Starch binder	8

2.6 DRYING OF BIOMASS BRIQUETTES	8
2.6 BRIQUETTE PRODUCTION PROCESS	9
2.7 DESIRABLE BRIQUETTE QUALITY	9
2. 8 NATIONAL ENERGY CONSUMPTION IN UGANDA	9
2.9 ENERGY CONSUMPTION IN RURAL AREAS	10
CHAPTER 3	11
3.0: MATERIALS AND METHODS	11
3.1.1MATERIALS	11
3.1.2 EQUIPMENT'S USED	11
3.2 MATERIAL COLLECTION AND PREPARATION	12
3.2.2 Size reduction	12
3.3 BRIQUETTE PRESS	12
3.4 THE BRIQUETTING PROCESS	13
3.5: EXPERIMENTAL TESTS	15
3.5.1 Shattered Index	16
3.6 EXPERIMENTAL TEST STANDARDS	17
CHAPTER FOUR	18
4.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	18
4.1 DETERMINATION OF PROPERTIES OF CARBONIZED CHAR AND PINE POWDE	R18
4.2 DETERMINATION OF PROPERTIES BRIQUETTES	19
4.2.1: MOISTURE CONTENT	19
4.2:2 ASH CONTENT	20
4.2:3 VOLATILE MATTER CONTENT	22
4.2.3.1The R2 value for volatile matter content.	24
4.2:4 FIXED CARBON CONTENT	24
4.2.5 SHATTER INDEX	26
4.2.6 IGNITION TIME	27
CHAPTER FIVE	29
5.0 CONCLUSIONS, CHALLAGES AND RECOMMENDATION	29
5.1 CONCLUSIONS	29
5.2 CHALLENGES	30
5.3 RECOMMENDATIONS	31
REFERENCES	32
APPENDICES	35

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1the collection of cotton stalks	6
Figure 3 Energy consumption in rural areas	10
Figure 4 Briquetting cylinder, hammer and piston	13
Figure 5 Briquette production process	
Figure 6 A thermo gravimetric analyzer	
Figure 7 Graph Percentage moisture content of the briquettes samples	20
Figure 8 Graph percentage ash content for the briquette samples	
Figure 9 Graph percentage volatile matter for the briquette samples	
Figure 10 the Significance of pine addition on Volatile matter of the briquette samples	24
Figure 11 Graph fixed carbon content against briquette samples	
Figure 12 the effect of pine addition on the fixed carbon content	26
Figure 13Bar graph Shatter resistance for the briquette samples	27
Figure 14Bar graph for the briquette samples	
Figure 15Cotton stalks before and after chopping	
Figure 16showing carbonizer and Cotton stalk char	35
Figure 17 Char and drying process of the char	36
Figure 18 sieving of crushed char and briquette cylinder	
Figure 19 pine powder and measured ratios of the pine powder	
Figure 20 measurement of cotton stalk char and mixing with pine powder	
Figure 21 Briquetting operation and wooden briquette drier	
Figure 22 drying of briquettes and thermo gravimetric analyzer	
Figure 23 showing starch binder preparation and mixing with char and pine powder	

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1 the elemental analysis of cotton stalks	6
Table 2 Materials used in the briquetting process	i1
Table 3Equipments used for briquette production	11
Table 4 The ratios of cotton stalk char and pine powder in the briquette samples	
Table 5 the weights used in the ratios of briquette samples	14
Table 7 Test standard equipment's and citations	17
Table 8 The determined properties of pine powder and cotton stalk char	18
Table 9 The Percentage moisture content of the briquette samples	19
Table 10 the percentage ash content of the briquette samples	20
Table 11 The Percentage volatile matter for the briquette samples	22
Table 12 The Percentage fixed carbon content for the briquette samples	24
Table 13 the shatter resistance of the briquettes	26
Table 14 the ignition time of the briquette samples	

### LIST OF ACRONYMS

CO<sub>2</sub> Carbon dioxide

H Hydrogen

TGA Thermo gravimetric analyzer

Na Sodium

K Potassium

P Phosphorous

Mg Magnesium

Ca calcium

SiO<sub>2</sub> Silicon dioxide

S Sulphur

Wt. Wait basis

Db. Dry basis

#### CHAPTER ONE

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

This chapter presents the general information relevant to the research and it clearly shows the problem of interest for the intended research. It as well shows how this study will help reduce the problem through the fulfillment of a number of objectives listed

### 1.1 BACKGROUND

Energy is considered the basis for the progress and prosperity of nations and societies. Availability and consumption levels of energy is the best indicator of economic and social development. In many developing countries energy from crop residues (CR) has been the main source of energy, mostly in its traditional forms designed to meet the demands of domestic uses (Arellano, Kato, & Bacani, 2015). In industrialized countries, the use of crop residues for energy production has been propagated as a substitute for fossil fuels (Križan & Šooš, 2009).

Global fossil fuel deposits are declining at high rate which requires alternative renewable energy sources in order to meet the increasing energy demand for development (Zhanbin, 2003). The limited availability of fossil fuels and the growing awareness of the detrimental environmental consequences resulting from greenhouse gas emissions have reinforced the importance of crop residues as an energy resource in developed and developing countries (Kathuria & Grover, 2012) Successfully tested technology packages are needed to promote use of crop residues based energy generation and these should include equipment and practices for all operations from harvest, collection of crop residues to their supply in the desired form to the energy generation system. It has been estimated that 3 billion people worldwide use traditional biomass for cooking and heating, and majority of them are located in Sub Saharan Africa. Biomass accounts for 90% of the energy used in Uganda which can further be partitioned into 70% wood, 16% charcoal and 4% crop residue (Okello, Pindozzi, Faugno, & Boccia, 2013). Development of renewable energy sources will reduce the degree of dependence on energy imports as well as it can be a tool for curbing carbon emission. So, emphasis is given to the renewable energy program and this can be enhanced by bio briquetting from the available agro waste(Kumar Sharma, Priyank, & Sharma, 2015) Briquettes can be defined as a product formed from physic-mechanical conversion of loose and tiny particle size materials with or without binder in different shapes and sizes. Examples of binders include crude oil, starch, molasses, clay, sodium silicate and

### REFERENCES

- Ahmed, S. A., & Kumari, A. (2014). A Review on Briquettes as an Alternative Fuel, 3(4), 139–144.
- Arellano, G. M. T., Kato, Y. S., & Bacani, F. T. (2015). Evaluation of Fuel Properties of Charcoal Briquettes Derived From Combinations of Coconut Shell, Corn Cob and Sugarcane Bagasse. DLSU Research Congress 2015, 3, 1-6.
- Balyejjusa, S. M. (2015). Uganda's Vision 2040 and Human Needs Promotion. Africa Development, 40(4), 61-90.
- Bamwesigye, D., & Darkwah, S. A. (2017). Firewood and charcoal production in uganda, (February 2018). https://doi.org/10.5593/SGEM2017H/33/S14.065
- Ben, O. M., Oluwole, A., & Onundi, O. (2016). Production and Performance Evaluation of Pedal Operated Pressed Briquettes, 42–46.
- Bhattacharya, S. C., & Kumar, S. (n.d.). Technology Packages:
- Bikash, B., Bhowmik, R., & Saikia, M. (2013). Challenges of Wet Briquetting from Locally Available Biomass with Special Reference to Assam, 1-5.
- Conservation, E. (2010). Centre for Research in Energy and Energy Conservation College of Engineering, Design, Art and Technology Makerere University, Kampala, Uganda, 1–5.
- Davies, R. M., & Davies, O. A. (2013). Physical and Combustion Characteristics of Briquettes Made from Water Hyacinth and Phytoplankton Scum as Binder, 2013.
- del Río, P., & Cerdá, E. (2014). The policy implications of the different interpretations of the cost-effectiveness of renewable electricity support. *Energy Policy*, 64, 364–372.
- FAO. (2010). Part one, an overview of briquetting. Agriculture, 1–13.
- Ferguson, B. H. (2012). Briquette Businesses in Uganda The potential for briquette enterprises to address the sustainability of the Ugandan biomass fuel market, (February).
- Findura, P. (2017). PHYSICAL AND MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF BRIQUETTES PRODUCED, 65(1), 219–224.
- Fuel, B. (2018). Bamboo Fiber and Sugarcane Skin as a Bio-Briquette Fuel. https://doi.org/10.3390/en11092186
- Jahirul, M., , Rasul, M., Chowdhury, A. A., & Ashwath, N. (2012). Biofuels Production through Biomass Pyrolysis, 4952–5001. https://doi.org/10.3390/en5124952

Samanya Patrick BU/UP/2015/636 Page | 32

- Kathuria, R. S., & Grover, S. (2012). Using Agricultural Residues as a Biomass Briquetting: An Alternative Source of Energy. *IOSR Journal of Ele*, 1(5), 11–15. https://doi.org/10.5923/j.ijee.20120201.05
- Križan, P., & Šooš, E. (2009). A STUDY OF IMPACT TECHNOLOGICAL PARAMETRES ON THE BRIQUETTING PROCESS, 6, 39–47.
- Kumar Sharma, M., Priyank, G., & Sharma, N. (2015). Biomass briquette production: a propagation of non-convention technology and future of pollution free thermal energy sources. American Journal of Engineering Research, (402), 2320–2847. Retrieved from www.ajer.org
- Murali, G., Goutham, P., Hasan, I. E., & Anbarasan, P. (2015). Performance Study of Briquettes from Agricultural Waste for Wood Stove with Catalytic Combustor, 8(1), 30–36.
- Okello, C., Pindozzi, S., Faugno, S., & Boccia, L. (2013). Development of bioenergy technologies in Uganda: A review of progress. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 18, 55-63.
- Oyelaran, O. A., Olorunfemi, B. J., & Sanusi, O. M. (2018). Enhancing the heating properties of agricultural waste briquettes, (32), 77-92.
- Rao, N. D., & Pachauri, S. (2017). Energy access and living standards: some observations on recent trends. Environmental Research Letters, 12(2), 25011.
- Rasool, G., Ehsan, F., & Shahbaz, M. (2015). A systematic literature review on electricity management systems. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 49, 975–989.
- Shukla, S., & Vyas, S. (2015). Study of Biomass Briquettes, Factors Affecting Its Performance and Technologies Based On Briquettes, 9(11), 37–44. https://doi.org/10.9790/2402-091123744
- Shyamalee, D., Amarasinghe, A. D. U. S., & Senanayaka, N. S. (2015). Evaluation of different binding materials in forming biomass briquettes with saw dust, 5(3), 1–8.
- Supatata, N., Buates, J., & Hariyanont, P. (2013). Characterization of Fuel Briquettes Made from Sewage Sludge Mixed with Water Hyacinth and Sewage Sludge Mixed with Sedge. International Journal of Environmental Science and Development, 4(2), 179–181. https://doi.org/10.7763/IJESD.2013.V4.330
- UNICEF. (2014). Burning banana peels to provide clean indoor cooking and heating, 2014.

Samanya Patrick BU/UP/2015/636 Page | 33

- Venter, P., & Naude, N. (2015). Evaluation of some optimum moisture and binder conditions for coal fines briquetting, 115(April), 329–333.
- Veronica, O. Y. (2016). EVALUATION OF THE PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF SOME BIOMASS, 5(12), 967–973.
- Wilson, M., Dick, K., Ochieng, A., Margaret, N., & Flavia, N. (2017). Effect of Compaction Pressure, Particle Size and Binder Ratio on Thermo-Physical Properties of Maize Cob Briquettes, 8(2), 329–338.
- Wilson, M., & Science, M. O. F. (2016). OPTIMAL COMPACTION PRESSURE, PARTICLE SIZE AND BINDER RATIO FOR QUALITY BRIQUETTES MADE FROM MAIZE COBS.
- Yaman, S. (2010). Production of Smokeless Bio-briquettes from Hazelnut Shell, II, 20-22.
- Zhanbin, C. (2003). International Conference on Bioenergy Utilization and Environment Protection Normal Temperature Briquetting Technology for Biomass with Original Moisture Content, (September), 24–26.

Samanya Patrick BU/UP/2015/636 Page | 34