ASSESSING THE EFFECTS OF WETLAND RECLAMATION ON THE PROVISIONAL VALUE OF NALWEKOMBA WETLAND; KAMULI DISTRICT, UGANDA.

BY

NVIIRI GODFREY



BU/UG/2013/65

A RESEARCH REPORT SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF NATURAL RESOURCE ECONOMICS AND ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES AS A PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENT OF THE AWARD OF THE DEGREE IN NATURAL RESOURCE ECONOMICS AND ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE OF BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY.

JUNE 2016

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, am the sole author of this dissertation. The work presented in this dissertation has never been submitted to Busitema University for the award of a degree of Bachelor of Science in Natural Resource Economics or any other Higher Institution of learning for any academic award. Thus, the work is original, a result of my own research, and where other people's research was used, the authors have been dully acknowledged.

Candidate Nin Golfrey	Date; 22/6/16
NVIIRI GODFREY	
Supervisor;	Date; 32/6/2016

Associate Professor: ISABIRYE MOSES

APPROVAL

This serves to exhibit that this work has been truly through the efforts of Nviiri Godfrey towards partial fulfillment of the requirements for the award of a Bachelor of Science in Natural Resource Economics of Busitema University under my guidance and supervision.

Supervisor		
4	Date	
Associate Professor: ISABIRYE MOSES		

DEDICATION

I would like to dedicate this work to my beloved family members, my beloved mother Ms.

Nankumbi Caroline, Brother Kayiwa Fred, sisters Nababi Maureen and Nakayiwa Tracy. May the Almighty God bless the work of their hands.

I would like also to dedicate this report to my late father Ssekabira Michael Lule and my aunt Nalule Justine for the tremendous contribution she made in my academic life. May their souls rest in eternal peace.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I thank the Almighty God, for the protection, strength and knowledge that He has granted to me during this period of research project and guidance to write this report. I would also like to extend my regards to the staff of Busitema University Namasagali Campus whose moral, friendliness and academic support has enabled me reach this far.

With sincere gratitude, I take the pleasure to accord special thanks to my supervisor, Associate Professor Isabirye Moses whose wisdom, kind commitments and valuable suggestions have enabled me to accomplish this report. Thank you so much for your encouragement, guidance and supervision.

I would like to thank the community of Namasagali parish for availing me with the information that has enabled me to come up with research findings that I used in compiling this report.

Lastly, I extend my heartfelt gratitude to my dear family which has provided me with spiritual, moral, financial and friendly support that has inspired me to be the person that I am today especially my mum Ms. Nankumbi Caroline. Wish you the best in life.

LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

AC Avoided Cost

CBD Convention on Biological Diversity

CSOs Civil Society Organizations

CVM Contingent Valuation Method

EIA Environmental Impact Assessment

HPM Hedonic Pricing Method

IUCN International Union for Conservation of Nature

MEA Millennium Ecosystem Assessment

NEMA National Environmental Management Authority

PEAP Poverty Eradication Action Plan

RC Replacement Cost

SPSS Statistical Package for Social Science

TCM Travel Cost Method

TEEB The Economics of Ecosystems and Biodiversity

WMD Wetland Management Department

WTA Willingness To Accept

WTP Willingness To Pay.

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Ecosystem Services and Components affected by Land Reclamation	13
Table 2: Relationship between age and the main economic activity of the respondents	33
Table 3: Relationship between the harvest state and the occupations of the respondents	35
Table 4: Community sources of water	36
Table 5: Relationship between level of education and knowledge about the wetland state	38
Table 6: Relationship between Education level and Respondents WTP	47
Table 7: Relationship between respondents' level of Education with their WTA a developmenta	l project
or program	48
Table 8: Relationship between the respondents' education levels and awareness of the laws and	l policies
related to wetland use and management.	51

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Conceptual Framework6
Figure 2: Map of Study area
Figure 3: Distribution of respondents by Gender
Figure 4: Distribution of respondents Age
Figure 5: Distribution of respondents by Education levels
Figure 6: Relationship between Gender and the main economic activity of the respondents32
Figure 7: Relationship between the education and the main economic activity of the respondents34
Figure 8: harvest state from the wetland
Figure 9: Relationship between the harvest state and the amount received by the respondent36
Figure 10: Representation of average amounts received per season by respondents from the wetland, 37
Figure 11: Knowledge about the wetland changes
Figure 12: Causes of wetland degradation
Figure 13: Representation of the Impacts of the wetland degradation40
Figure 14: Existence of wild animals in the wetland41
Figure 15: Causes of extinction of the wild animals
Figure 16: Type of fuel used at home42
Figure 17: Different resources respondents get from the wetland
Figure 18: Services provided by the wetland
Figure 19: Representation of individual's WTP amount for the conservation of the wetland45
Figure 20: Analyzing the willingness to pay for conservation of the wetland basing on the gender of the
respondents
Figure 21: Relationship between Education level and Respondents WTP47
Figure 22: Relationship between respondents' level of Education with their WTA a developmental
project or program
Figure 23: Evaluation of whether people are aware of the existing laws and policies governing wetland
usage
Figure 24: Relevance of the current environmental laws and policies
Figure 25: Relationship between the Gender and the suggested recommendations to be put in place to
ensure proper use and management of the wetland
Figure 26: Representation of the relationship between amount individuals are willing to pay and the
amount they earn from the wetland products per month

Table of Contents

DECLARATIONii
APPROVAL
DEDICATIONiv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTv
LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONSvi
LIST OF TABLES
LIST OF FIGURESviii
CHAPTER ONE: GENERAL INTRODUCTION
1.0 Introduction1
1.1 Background of the study1
1.2 Statement of the problem2
1.3 Justification of the study
1.4 General and specific objectives of the study4
1.4.1 General objective4
1.4.2 Specific objectives4
1.5 Research questions4
1.6 Scopes (coverage) of the study4
1.6.1 Content scope
1.6.2 Geographical scope5
1.6.3 Time scope5
1.7 Conceptual framework6
1.8 Limitations of the study7
1.9 Operational definitions of key terms

1	.10 Organization of the study	8
CH/	APTER TWO; LITERATURE REVIEW	9
2	2.0 Introduction	9
2	2.1 State of the wetlands in Uganda	9
Ź	2.2 Potential Impacts of Wetland Reclamation	10
	2.2.1 Impacts on Vegetation	10
	2.2.2 Impact on Animals	11
	2.2.3 Impacts on Water	11
	2.2.4 Impacts on the environment	12
	2.2.5 Main Ecosystem Services and Sub-components Affected	13
	2.2.6 Impacts Experienced by Local Communities	13
2	2.3 State of the wetland today as compared to the past situation	14
2	2.4 Community valuation of the wetland	18
2	2.5 Measures useful in wetland conservation	., 22
CH	APTER THREE: RESEARCH METHODOLÓGY	24
. 3	3.0 Introduction	24
120	3.1 Research design	24
2	3.2 Description of area of study	24
	3.2.1 Location	24
	3.2.2 Climate	26
3	3.3 Sample size and sampling procedure	26
	3.3.1 Sample size	26
	3.3.2 Sampling procedure	26
3	3.4 Data types and collection methods	26
	3.4.1 Data types and sources	26
	3.4.2 Data collection methods	26

3.5 Reliability of data collection instruments	7
3.6 Validity of data collection instruments	7
3.7 Ethical consideration	В
3.8 Methods of data processing and analysis	3.
3.8.1 Data processing	3
3.8,2 Methods of analysis	8
CHAPTER FOUR; DATA PRESENTATION, ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSIONS	9
4.0 Introduction	9
4.1. Demographic information	9
4.1.1 Distribution of respondents by Gender	9
4.1.2 Distribution of respondents by Age	0
4.1.3 Distribution of Respondents by Education levels	0
4.2 Socio-economic characterization	Ź
4.3 Effects of land reclamation on the provisional value of Nalwekomba wetland	5
4.4 Current state of Nalwekomba wetland38	3
4.5 Value people attach to the wetland	2
4.6 Measures put in place to conserve Nalwekomba wetland	9
CHAPTER FIVE: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	3
5.0 Introduction	3
5.1 Conclusion	3.
5.2 Recommendations54	4
5.3 Areas of further research	6
REFERENCES	7
APPENDICES)
OUESTIONNAIDE	

ABSTRACT

Nalwekomba Wetland is under considerable pressure from the public through wetland reclamation. Wetlands in general are among the world's most productive ecosystems and support millions of people through the essential services they provide. Nalwekomba Wetland supports livelihoods of its local communities. Nalwekomba is currently threatened by the expansion of rice cultivation.

This study aims to assess the potential effects of wetland reclamation on the provisional value provided by Nalwekomba Wetland to help decision makers make informed decisions. The research will provide recommendations to allow policy makers and interest groups to better manage the Wetland resource.

Observations, key informant interviews, and secondary information were used to assess the ecological and socio-economic importance of the wetland. Direct market pricing and benefit transfer techniques were used to estimate the economic value of the wetland.

The study found out that Nalwekomba Wetland provides basically provisioning, habitat and regulating services to local communities. The main benefits to local communities are water, fish, agricultural produce, medicines and construction materials. Wetland reclamation has negative effects on for example water quality, agriculture and also on the wetland's habitat services.

The aim of this study was to estimate the potential effects of wetland reclamation on Nalwekomba Wetland to its provisional value. Based on the findings, it is recommended that appropriate steps be taken to ameliorate the negative impacts. Such steps include awarding of property rights to make sure encroachers are held accountable for liabilities, involving stakeholders in planning and managing the use of the wetland, improving monitoring of discharge and encroachment, and restoring the degraded buffer zones around the wetland. Another important step to protecting this and other wetlands will be to use the information provided by this study as a guideline in making informed management.

CHAPTER ONE: GENERAL INTRODUCTION

1.0 Introduction

This chapter contains the background of the study, problem statement, objectives of the study, research questions, conceptual framework, justification of the study, scope of the study, limitation of the study, operational definition of the key terms and organization of the study.

1.1 Background of the study

A wetland is a vegetated area of land that is flooded either permanently or seasonally. In Uganda, wetlands are normally referred to as swamps. Wetland ecosystems in the world account for about 6% of the global land area and consist of a wide variety of vegetation types and are found in all climate of the world (Turner, 1990; cited in Ngaku, 2002).

The most common vegetation in Uganda's wetlands is papyrus but other wetlands include bogs, flood plains and swamp forests. In Uganda, wetlands occupy about 11% -13% of total land surface area (NEMA, 2011). They are mostly located in the central region of the country. Some are found in the West, Eastern and Southern areas. Wetlands are mostly found boundaring rivers and lakes.

Wetlands in Uganda as well as other parts of the world are a natural resource of considerable importance. They are recognized for their functions, services and attributes that constitute a considerable ecological, social and economic value that may be lost when wetlands are converted or altered (IUCN, 2002).

Wetland goods include: Fish, wild foods, medicines, fuel wood, building poles, sand graves, clay, thatched materials, pasture and water, among others. Wetland functions and services include; micro-climate regulation, water purification, water recharge, nutrient retention, biodiversity and habitat provision (MoWLE, 2001). Wetlands provide food through cultivation of crops on swamp edges and harvesting of wetland vegetation. For centuries, extrusive rice fields

REFERENCES

- Anthony E. Boardman, D. H. G., Aidan R. Vining, David L. Weimer. (2011). *Cost-Benefit Analysis:*Concepts ad Practice (Fourth Edition ed. Vol. Fourth Edition): Pearson Education Inc,
 New Jersey.
- Barbier, E.B., Acreman, M., & Knowler, D. (1997). Economic valuation of wetlands: a guide for policy makers and planners, Gland, Switzerland.
- Brundtland, G. H. (1987). World commission on environment and development. United Nations.
- De Groot, R. S., Wilson, M. A., & Boumans, R. M. (2002). A typology for the classification, description and valuation of ecosystem functions, goods and services. *Ecological Economics*, 41(3), 393-408.
- De Groot, R., Fisher, B., Christie, M., Aronson, J., Braat, L., Haines-Young, R., Gowdy, J., Maltby, E., Neuville, A., & Polasky, S. (2010). Integrating the ecological and economic dimensions in biodiversity and ecosystem service valuation.
- De Groot, R., Stuip, M., Finlayson, M., & Davidson, N. (2006). Valuing wetlands: guidance for valuing the benefits derived from wetland ecosystem services: International Water Management Institute.
- de Zeeuw, H., & Wilbers, J. (2004). PRA tools for studying urban agriculture and gender.

 Resource Centre on Urban Agriculture and Forestry (RUAF). http://www.ruaf.

 org/ruafpublications/gender_tools. p df.
- Dobson, A., Lodge, D., Alder, J., Cumming, G. S., Keymer, J., McGlade, J., Mooney, H., Rusak, J. A., Sala, O., Wolters, V., Wall, D., Winfree, R., & Xenopoulos, M. A. (2006). HABITAT LOSS, TROPHIC COLLAPSE, AND THE DECLINE OF ECOSYSTEM SERVICES. *Ecology*, 87(8), 1915-1924. doi: 10.1890/0012-9658(2006)87[1915:hltcat]2.0.co;2
- Emerton, L. (1998). Economic tools for valuing wetlands in Eastern Africa: IUCN-The World

 Conservation Union, Eastern Africa Regional Office.
- Farber, S. C., Costanza, R., & Wilson, M. A. (2002). Economic and ecological concepts for valuing ecosystem services. *Ecological Economics*, 41(3), 375-392.
- Gordon, L. J., Peterson, G. D., & Bennett, E. M. (2008). Agricultural modifications of hydrological flows create ecological surprises. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 23(4), 211-219.

- Government of Uganda, G. (1995). Constitution of the Republic of Uganda. Kampala:

 Government of Uganda Retrieved from http://www.parliament.go.ug/new/images/stories/constitution/Constitution of Uganda
 a 1995.pdf.
- Government of Uganda, G. (2000). The National Environment (Wetlands, River Banks and Lake Shores Management) Regulations, No. 3/2000. NEMA Retrieved from http://www.nemaug.org/regulations/wetlands-riverbanks.pdf.
- Heldi Wittmer, H. v. Z., Claire Brown, Vulian Rode, Ece Ozdemiroglu, Nick Bertrand, Patrick ten Brink, Andrew Seidl, Marianne Kettunen, Leonardo Mazza, Florian Manns, Jasmin Hundorf, Isabel Renner, Strahil Christov, Pavan Sukhdev (2013). The Economics of Ecosystems and Biodiversity: Guidance Manual for TEEB Country Studies. Version 1.0.

 94. Retrieved from http://www.teebweb.org/publication/guidance-manual-teeb-country-studies-4/ website: http://www.unep.org/pdf/TEEB GuidanceManual 2013.pdf
- Kakuru, W., Turyahabwe, N., & Mugisha, J. (2013). Total Economic Value of Wetlands Products and Services in Uganda. *The Scientific World Journal*, 2013.
- Lambert, A. (2003). Economic valuation of wetlands: an important component of wetland management strategies at the river basin scale. *Conservation Finance Guide, Washington*.
- Matthews, G. V. T. (1993). The Ramsar Convention on Wetlands: its history and development.
- MEA, M. E. A. (2005). Ecosystems and human well-being. Synthesis. Island Press, Washington DC.
- Mitsch, W. J., & Gosselink, J. G. (2000). The value of wetlands: importance of scale and landscape setting. *Ecological Economics*, 35(1), 25-33.
- MNR. (1995a). The National Environment Management Policy. Retrieved from http://www.asareca.org/PAAP/Policy%20Instruments/Uganda%20National%20Environment%20Management%20Policy%201995.pdf.
- MNR. (1995b). National Wetland Policy- Uganda, National Policy for the Conservation and Management of Wetland Resources. Retrieved from

- http://www.ramsar.org/cda/en/ramsar-news-archives-1999-national-wetland-21174/main/ramsar/1-26-45-90%5E21174_4000_0___.
- MWLE. (1999). A National Water Policy. Government of Uganda Retrieved from www.ruwas.co.ug/reports/National%20Water%20Policy.pdf.
- NEMA. (2011). 20 years of Wetland Conservation in Uganda- Have Uganda's Wetlands become Wastelands Again? Kampala: Nature Uganda Retrieved from http://natureuganda.org/downloads/presentations/WETLANDS%20STATUS.pdf.
- Perman, R. (2011). Natural resource and environmental economics, 4th Edition: Pearson Education.
- Phillips, A. (1998). Economic values of protected areas: Guidelines for protected area managers: lucn.
- Ramsar (Producer). (1993). The Ramsar Convention and its Mission. Retrieved from http://www.ramsar.org/cda/en/ramsar-about-mission/main/ramsar/1-36-53 4000 0
- Ramsar. (2014, 09/04/2014). The Ramsar Convention on Wetlands 09/04/2014. Retrieved 05/05/2014, 2014, from http://www.ramsar.org/cda/en/ramsar-about-parties-parties/main/ramsar/1-36-123%5E23808-4000_0
- Schuyt, K. D. (2005). Economic consequences of wetland degradation for local populations in Africa. *Ecological Economics*, 53(2), 177-190.
- Sukhdev, P. (2008). The economics of ecosystems and biodiversity. European Communities.
- TEEB (Producer). Ecosystem Services. *The Economics of Ecosystems and Biodiversity*. Retrieved from http://www.teebweb.org/resources/ecosystem-services/
- TEEB, U. (Producer). (22/01/2014). The Economics of Ecosystems and Biodiversity (TEEB).

 Retrieved from www.teebweb.org
- ten Brink, P., Berghöfer, A., Schröter-Schlaack, C., Sukhdev, P., Vakrou, A., White, S., & Wittmer, H. (2009). TEEB-the economics of ecosystems and biodiversity for national and international policy makers. *Cambridge, UK: UN Environment Programme-World Conservation Monitoring Centre*.