

BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY

**FACULTY OF NATURAL RESOURCES AND ENVIRONMENTAL
SCIENCES**

TOPIC: ASSESSMENT OF THE ROLE OF WOMEN IN WETLAND CONSERVATION

BY
Okem Hazra
BU/UP/2010/464



A DISSERTATIONS SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF NATURAL RESOURCES
AND ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT
FOR THE AWARD OF A BACHELOR DEGREE OF
NATURAL RESOURCE ECONOMICS OF
BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY

JUNE 2013

DECLARATION

I OKEM HAZRA BU/UP/2010/464 declare that this Dissertation stands as my original work and has never been submitted in at any institution or University for the award of Bachelor of Natural Resource Economics of Busitema University.

Signature:.....

OKEM HAZRA

Date: 14th - 07 - 13

APPROVAL

This research and dissertation has been under my supervision and I hereby in my full capacity and knowledge approve it.

Signature:.....

Theodore Munyuli (B.Sc., M.Sc.,Ph.D.)

Date:.....

DEDICATION

This research work is dedicated to my dear parents Mr.Mpanuka Musa and Mrs.Begum Mumtaz. I extensively received their love, support and guidance through my education. I pray they live longer to see me through my dreams. Special dedication to Dr. Hilda Tadria whose enthusiasms, efforts and encouragement made it possible for me to complete my studies and without her, I wouldn't have achieved this.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The journey to attainment of this Degree has been rough, though exciting. Whatever is in this acknowledgement is truly from the bottom of my heart.

First and foremost my debt which I owe to the Lord for his love and mercy. Then to my supervisor for his constant guidance, skills and parental pieces of advices right from a large piece of script to a more modified dissertation.

Special thanks to my family, sisters and brothers for their love, care, compassion and financial support rendered not forgetting their prayers. You have always been there for me in all spheres of life; you are simply irreplaceable.

Lastly but not least my friends with whom we have gone through trying times that is Mugisha Viola and Martha Kateme not disregarding whoever I have not mentioned.

Thank you for the emotional and social support, you really kept me going.

ABSTRACT

This study was focused on the Role of Women in Wetland Conservation. The research attempts to assess the role of women in wetland conservation in Buyende district. The specific objective of the study was; to develop concept about women participation and their role in wetland conservation.

A systematic research design was applied to the research work. The methods used involved sampling, interviews, questionnaires, observations, and review of written literature. Certain short comings were encountered while using the above methods like shortage of funds, suspicions from the public and unwillingness to release information.

Findings indicate that women play a big role in wetland conservation as they are in direct contact with natural resources such as wetlands, forests, water, land and wildlife. They utilize and conserve these resources to supply basic needs for their families.

Therefore findings showed that; conservation of wetlands in Buyende areas cannot be done without the involvement and training of women. They need to be educated on the values, management and sustainability of natural resources as alternative sources of livelihood.

Basing on the findings, the study has recommended some strategies to address the problem in Buyende which include; training of women in wetland conservation, and wetland management policies which will impact women's role in wetland conservation.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION	i
APPROVAL	ii
DEDICATION.....	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
LIST OF TABLES.....	ix
LIST OF ABRREVIATIONS	x
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
CHAPTER ONE.....	12
INTRODUCTION	12
§1.2. Background.....	13
1.2.2. Research Problem.....	14
1.3. Project Justification	15
1.4. Significance of Study	15
1.5. Case Study.....	17
1.5.2. Scope of the Study.....	18
1.6. Objectives of the Project	18
1.6.1. Main Objective.....	18
1.6.2. Specific Objectives.....	18
1.7. Hypotheses	18
1.8. Conceptual Frame work	19
CHAPTER TWO.....	20

LITERATURE REVIEW	20
2.0 Introduction	20
2.1. Community Participation	20
2.2. Wetland Conservation	21
2.2.2. Women as water managers.....	22
2.2.3. National Policy on wetland conservation and management.....	23
2.2.4. Role of women community in wetland conservation	24
2.3. Wetland Conservation and the role of Women	25
2.4. Women Participation in Wetland Conservation Projects and Programs	26
2.5. Steps to involve women in participation of wetland conservation.....	27
2.6 Willingness to Pay.....	28
CHAPTER THREE	31
RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	31
3.1. Research Design	31
3.2. Study area	31
3.3. Sample and Sampling Design.....	31
3.4. Research Instruments	32
3.5. Procedure.....	32
3.6. Data processing and Analysis.....	32
3.7. Data collection methods	33
3.7.1. Questionnaires.....	33
3.7.2 Target Sample	33
3.7.3. Expected Results	33
CHAPTER FOUR	34

DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS.....	34
4.0 Introduction	34
4.1. The background of the respondents.....	34
4.2, Household source of income.....	37
4.3 Land Acquisition.....	37
4.4. Awareness on the projects implemented	38
4.5: Types of projects implemented	38
4.6: Benefits of wetland conservation	39
4.7. Levels of awareness	39
4.8. Level of women participation in wetland conservation	40
4.9. Women participation in sustainable development.....	40
4.10 Policy Awareness	41
4.11 Consultation with the locals in policy formulation.	41
4.12 Wetland Legacy.....	42
4.13. Involving the entire community in wetland management and conservation.	42
CHAPTER FIVE	45
DISCUSSION, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION.....	45
5.1. Discussion	45
5.2 Conclusion.....	47
5.3 Recommendation.....	48
REFERENCES	50

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Age brackets of respondents.....	35
Table 2: Gender characteristics on respondents.....	35
Table 3: Levels education of respondents.....	36
Table 4: Marital status of the respondents.....	36
Table 5: Showing responses to household source of income.....	37
Table 6: Showing responses to plots acquisition in the parishes.....	37
Table 7: Showing knowledge of projects implemented in the study area	38
Table 8: Showing types of projects implemented in the area.	38
Table 9: Showing types of benefits from wetland conservation.....	39
Table 10: Showing Levels of awareness on the importance of wetland conservation.	39
Table 11: Showing Levels of women participation in wetland conservation.....	40
Table 12: Showing women participation in key factor in sustainable development projects.....	40
Table 13: Showing awareness of wetland management use and conservation policies	41
Table 14: Attitudes of respondents about consultation during policy formulation by the government and leaders	41
Table 15: Showing responses women on wetland legacy.....	42
Table 16: Showing responses on how to achieve the entire community in wetland conservation.	42
Table 17: Showing logistic regression models to test the effect.....	44

LIST OF ABRREVIATIONS

NES	National Environmental Statute
PRA	Participatory Rural Appraisal
WTP	Willing to pay
WMP	Wetlands Management Policy
NWP	National wetlands programme
NEMA	National Environment Management Authority.
UPE	Universal Primary Education.
USE	Universal Secondary Education.

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Showing the location of the three study parishes on the shores of Lake Kyoga.....	17
Figure 2: Conceptual Frame work.....	19
Figure 3: Interrelationship between managing authority and community participation.....	28
Figure 4: Showing the level of willingness to pay	43

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

Wetlands along Lake Kyoga in Buyende district have economic, ecological, aesthetic, socio-cultural and religious values. They provide habitats for several species of wildlife and lie within various ecosystems of high-mountains and lowland plains. These wetlands are important ecosystems and utilized for the benefits of humankind.

Rice cultivation, fishing and collection of wetland products are basic activities performed by local people. Likewise rendering ferry service, cattail technology, craft manufacturing and weaving are other such income generating activities of wetland dependent people.

However, adverse effects remark in many cases; many rural communities have poor knowledge on sustainable utilization and more often conflicts arise for the use of wetland resources.

It is common knowledge in rural Uganda that women (and not men) are indeed the (invisible) managers of natural resources like wetlands. Most rural women are comparatively poor and uneducated. Most of them do not hold a monthly paying job and therefore are commonly referred to as housewives. But these women are great sustainers of rural micro-economic activities. However nowhere is their impact and activities more significant as their indigenous knowledge of, and management of the wetlands. They are crucial because their traditional gender roles bring them in direct contact with the wetlands, and their survival and that of their families depend directly in exploiting and harnessing supplies from these natural resources.

To many poor rural farmers, especially women, nature sustains society because they use the wetlands to feed their families. This sustainability is crucial to them if they have to sustain livelihoods off these resources. Thus when the world environmental protection and conservation policies advocate for protection without any form of use, while ignoring rural women, they become the greatest victims of such a policy.

Women constitute a large percentage (over half) of the rural population in Buyende District. Women are socially more burdened in rural Uganda. Their activities range from family economic activities to running of homes and rural development projects. They are also a more appropriate

REFERENCES

- AWS, 2001. The Penang statement on regional cooperation through partnership for wise use of Wetlands, Asian wetland symposium, 27-30 August, 2001, Ramsar Center, Japan.
- Bergstrom, J. C., and Stoll, J. R. (1993) 'Value Estimation Models for Wetlands-Based Recreational Use Values.' *Land Economics* 69(2).
- Barbier, E., E. Koch, B. Silliman, S. Hacker, E. Wolanski, J. Primavera, E. Granek, S. Polásky, Bhandari, B., 1995. Wetland bio-diversity in Nepal: a case study of Koshi Tappu Wildlife Reserve. In: Proceeding of the editorial meeting of Asian Bio-diversity and Wetland, Awareness of biodiversity in wetland in Asia, 24-26 February, 1994, Serpong-Tangerang, Indonesia, Ramsar Centre Japan, pp.50- 61.
- Bhandari, B., 1998a. An inventory of Nepal's terai wetlands. IUCN- The World Conservation Union, Kathmandu, Nepal.
- Bhandari, B., 1998b. Community participation in conservation projects in Nepal: issue papers on Nepal's wetland. Wetlands and Heritage Unit, IUCN, Kathmandu, Nepal.
- Bishop, Richard C. (1982) 'Option Value: An Exposition and Extension.' *Land Economics*, February, pp. 1-15.
- Cameron, T. (1992) "Nonuser Resource Values," *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* 74, pp. 1133-7.
- Costanza, R., Norton, B. and Bishop, R. C. (1995a) 'The Evolution of Preferences: Why Sovereign Preferences May Not Lead to Sustainable Policies and What to Do about It,' SCASSS workshop on Economics, Ethics and the Environment, Sweden.
- Daily, G. C., and K. Ellison (2002) *The New Economy of Nature*. Washington, D.C.: Island Press.

Dasgupta, P. (1981) *The Control of Resources*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.

Freeman, A. M. (1993) *The Measurement of Environmental and Resources Values: Theory and Methods*. Washington, D.C.: Resources for the Future.

ICIMOD, 2006. Watershed approach to securing resilient livelihoods and ecosystem services. In: Sustainable Mountain Development in the Himalayan region: Managing Watersheds in the Himalayan Region. International Centre for Integrated Mountain Development, No 51 winter 2006, pp.22-24.

ICIMOD, 2007. Drinking water resources. In: G. Rana, A. B. Murray, D. R. Maharjan and A.K. Thaku(eds.), Kathmandu Valley Outlook, pp. 57-71. ICIMOD, Kathmandu.

IUCN, 2004. A review of the status and threats to wetlands in Nepal: IUCN wetland and water Resources programme, IUCN, the World Conservation Union.

Joshi, A. R., S. L. Shrestha and K. Joshi (eds), 2003. Environment Management and Sustainable Development at the Crossroad, pp.92-103. AnKus, Kathmandu, Nepal.

Mendelsohn, R., W. Nordhaus, and D. Shaw (1994) "The Impact of Global Warming on Agriculture: A Ricardian Analysis, *American Economic Review* 84(4), September.

Millennium Ecosystem Assessment (2003) "Concepts of Ecosystem Value and Valuation Approaches." In *Ecosystems and Human Well-being, A Framework for Assessment; A Report of the Conceptual Framework Working Group of the Millennium Ecosystem Assessment*, Island Press.

Mitchell, R. C. and Carson, R. T. (1989) *Using Surveys to Value Public Goods: The Contingent Valuation Method*. Washington: Resources for the Future.

MoFSC, 2003. National wetland policy 2003 (2059). Ministry of Forestry and Soil Conservation, Nepal.

National Research Council (2004) *Valuing Ecosystem Services: Toward Better Environmental Decision-Making*, National Academies Press, 2004, Chapter 2.

Pradhan, G. B. and B. C., Shrestha, 1994. Awareness and community involvement in wetlands Management. In: B. Bhandari, T. B. Shrestha and J. McEachern (eds.), Safeguarding wetlands in Nepal, Proceedings of the national workshop on wetlands management in Nepal, IUCN, Kathmandu, Nepal.

Rijal, A., 2001. Nepal eco-region based conservation. WWF. Baluwatar, Kathmandu.

S. Aswani, L. Cramer, D. Stoms, C. Kennedy, D. Bael, C. Kappel, G. Perillo, and D. Reed (2008) "Coastal Ecosystem-Based Management with Nonlinear Ecological Functions and Values," *Science* 18 January, No. 319, pp. 321-3.

Grant, P. (1986) *Ecology and Evolution of Darwin's Finches*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Schlenker, W., W. M. Hanemann, and A. Fisher (2005) "Will U.S. Agriculture Really Benefit from Global Warming?" *American Economic Review* 95(1), March.

Singer, P. (1975) *Animal Liberation*. New York: Random House.

Skidmore, J. (2001) "Duties to Animals: The Failure of Kant's Moral Theory," *Journal of Value Inquiry* 35, pp. 541-559.

Tillman, D. (1995) 'Species Diversity and Ecosystem Function'. This volume:28

Watson, R. A. (1983) 'A Critique of Anti-Anthropocentric Biocentrism'. In *Environmental Ethics*, 5, pp. 245-256.