BUSITEMA



UNIVERSITY

FACULTY OF NATURAL RESOURCE AND ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCE AND ENVIRONMENTAL ECONOMICS

ESTIMATION OF ABOVE GROUND CARBON STOCK OF DIFFERENT LAND COVER TYPES IN NAMASAGALI SUB COUNTY

BY

YERINDE AMBROSE

BU/UG/2017/ 135



SUPERVISOR: PROFFESSOR ISABIRYE MOSES

A RESEARCH THETHIS SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF NATURAL RESOURCES AND ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE AWARD OF THE DEGREE OF BACHELOR OF SCIENCE IN NATURAL RESOURCES ECONOMICS OF BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY

DECEMBER 2020

DECLARATION

I YERINDE AMBROSE, assert that this research report submitted to the Faculty of Natural Resource and Environmental Sciences is my original work and to the best of my knowledge, it has not been submitted by any other person to any institution for the award of a degree or any other purposes.

Signature.

Date. 10 12/2020

YERINDE AMBROSE

BU/UG/2017/135

APPROVAL

This is to certify that YERINDE AMBROSE, REG No. BU/UG/2017/135 has submitted this research thesis to Busitema University, Faculty of Natural Resource and Environmental Sciences for consideration; as his research which shall bid a partial fulfillment for a Bachelor of Science degree in Natural Resource Economics.

Signature:

SUPERVISOR'S NAME: PROFESSOR ISABIRYE MOSES

DATE: 12 2020

DEDICATION

This dissertation is dedicated to my beloved parents and siblings, and NRE Class of 2017 whose unyielding love, support and encouragement have enriched my soul and inspired me to pursue and complete this research.

I would also like to dedicate this report to my dearly loved Daughter Tukahirwa Samantha, relatives and friends who offered me a lift all through this research in terms of advices, finances, and material support.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I thank the almighty God for the gift of life and the great wisdom he has provided to me and seeing me through this case study period as well as through my entire education.

Sincere thanks also go to my beloved parents Mr. Nzairwenabo Sylvester, Ms. Tukahirwa Theresa and all my siblings for their care and love.

Special thanks also go to my academic supervisor Professor. Isabirye Moses for his constant guidance, encouragement and council throughout the dissertation period.

Lastly, I would like to thank Mrs. Manana, my friends Obong Anthony, Tugabirwe Sumaiya, Sserugo William, Barongo Collin, Mokili Sadam, Oteka Ronald, Namakula Gloria, Murungi Moreen, Daisy Andinda, Nuwampaire Julian, Owomugisha Hellen, Munyambabazi John, Nanyanzi Aisha, Derrick, Kevin Amito, Oguta Job and Nalumansi Mariam whose assistance during data collection for this dissertation was so valuable.

I furthermore want to acknowledge a debt to Mr. Kisu Kisira Henry for guiding the Natural Resource Economics class of 2017/18 academic year.

I cannot mention everyone who has immensely contributed to my studies but to you all, thanks a lot for your contribution towards this dissertation.

May the Almighty God, the Heavenly Father reward you abundantly.

List of Figures		
Figure 3. 1: Map of Namasagali showing data collection sites	13	
Figure 4. 1; Above ground biomass of both maize and sugarcane	23	
Element 2. Alberta annual content did bath and an all accordant for the second	1 -78	
Figure 4. 2: Above ground carbon under both maize and sugarcane land cover	24	
Figure 4. 3: Comparison of above ground biomass estime for the four land cover types	25	
Flores 4. 4: Total Code on the least of the four different lead according	26	
Figure 4. 4: Total Carbon stock estimate for the four different land cover types	20	

List of Tables

Table 4.1: descriptive statistics for above ground biomass and carbon under pine land cover 21
Table 4. 2: Descriptive statistics for above ground biomass and carbon under eucalyptus land cover
Table 4. 3: Descriptive statistics for above ground biomass and carbon under acacia SSP land cover
Table 4. 4: Descriptive statistics for above ground biomass and carbon under agro-forestry land cover
Table 4. 5: Overall biomass estimate from the four different forest land cover types
Table 4. 6: Overall above ground carbon stock estimate for the four different forest land cover types
Table 4. 7: Potential economic value of above ground carbon sequestration in the four land cover types
Table 4. 8: Hypothesis testing results

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION	i
APPROVAL	ii
DEDICATION	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
List of Figures	v
List of Tables	vi
List of Acronyms	X
ABSTRACT	xii
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of the study	1
1.2 Problem statement	3
1.3 Objectives of the study	4
1.3.1 Overall objective	4
1.3.2 Specific objectives	4
1.4 Hypothesis	4
1.5 Significance of the study	4
1.6 SCOPE OF THE STUDY	5
1.7 Limitations of the study	5
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1 Carbon pools	6
2.2 The global carbon cycle	7
2.2.1 The global carbon cycle and its disruption	7
2.2.2 Carbon and global climate change	9
2.3.1 Estimation of carbon stocks	10

2.3.2 Carbon stocks in eucalyptus tree species	10
CHAPTER THREE: MATERIALS AND METHODS	14
3.1 Introduction	14
3.2 Study area	14
3.3 Site selection	15
3.4.1 Sample size	15
3.4.2 Obtaining samples	
3.5 Data types and Collection methods	
3.5.1 Data types	16
3.5.2 Data collection methods	16
3.5.3 Tree Height	17
3.6 Data collection tools	
3.7 Carbon stock assessment	18
3.7.1 Conversion of biomass to carbon	18
3.7.2 Estimation of above ground biomass in forest land cover	18
3.8 Comparison of the aboveground biomass in the Forest plantati	on land
cover and agriculture land cover	19
3.9 Approximation of economic value Carbon.	19
3.10 Data processing and analysis	20
3.10.1Hypothesis testing	20
CHAPTER FOUR: PRESENTATION AND DISCUSION OF RESU	JLTS21
4.3: Difference in the above ground carbon stock potential betw	veen maize
and sugarcane	23
4.4: Average and total aboveground biomass estimate in tone p	er hectare
of different forest land cover types	24

4.5	Histogram representing differences in the above ground biomass of
diffe	rent forest land cover types24
4.6:	Average and total aboveground carbon stock estimate in tone per
hecta	are of different forest plantation25
4.7:	Difference in the total above ground carbon stock estimate in the
four	different forest land cover types26
4.8:	Economic Value of Above ground Carbon Sequestration26
4.9:	Hypothesis test27
CHAP	TER FIVE29
5.0:	Discussion, Conclusion and Recommendation29
5.1:	Discussion29
5.1	.1: The stocks of carbon in the different land cover types in Namasagali.29
5,2:	Conclusion29
5.3:	Recommendation30
REFE	RENCES31
APPE	NDICES33
App	endix1: DATA33
Acac	eia SSP36
Agre	o-forestry37
Suga	arcane38
Ann	endiv?: Field photos

List of Acronyms

AGC Aboveground Carbon

AGB Aboveground Biomass

CO₂ Carbon dioxide

⁰C Degrees centigrade

.C Carbon

CFR Central Forest Reserve

DBH Diameter at Breast Height (1.3M)

Exp Exponential

EPA Environmental Protection Agency

FACE Forests Absorbing Carbon Emissions

FAO Food and Agricultural Organization

g gram

GHG's Green House Gases

GIS Geographical Information System

GPS Global Positioning System

GtC Gigatons of carbon

H Height

Ha Hectare (10000m²)

IFAD International Fund for Agricultural Development

IPCC Panel for Climate Change International

Km Kilometer

MAAIF Ministry of Agriculture, Animal Industry, and Fisheries

M Meter

Mg Mega grams

MW Mega Watts

NFA National Forestry Authority

NGO Non-Governmental Organization

NTFP Non Timber Forest Products

PEMA Participatory Environmental Management Programme

PHRD Policy and Human Resources Development Fund

KPO Palm Kernel Oil

RED Renewable Energy Directive

SOC Soil Organic carbon

t/Ha tons per Hectare

TEV Total Economic Value

UNDP United Nations Development Programme

UNEP United Nations Environment Programme

UNFCCC United Framework Convention on Climate Change

USA United States of America

ABSTRACT

This study on assessment of aboveground carbon stock in different land cover types of agriculture and forestry was carried out in Namasagali Sub County. Forests sequester and store more carbon than any other terrestrial ecosystem and are an important natural 'brake' on climate change. When forests are cleared or degraded, their stored carbon is released into the atmosphere as carbon dioxide (CO2). According to the Kyoto Protocol, land use, land-use change, and forestry (LULUCF) are recognized as serving the role of carbon source and sink in relation to a change in land cover and carbon stocks. It also influences the amount of biomass and carbon stored in vegetation (Ipcc et al., 2019). The main objective of the study was to estimate the above ground carbon stocks in different land cover types. This was achieved by, assessing the biomass in a plot of 50 m*50 m established in all forests and all the tree stands within the chosen plots while measuring tree diameter for all qualified trees in the sampled plots with consideration of the DBH while in agriculture land cover, maize stalks were collected and weighed. The biomass was converted to carbon using the form factor "carbon = 50% of the biomass in trees and 0.45^ (19). In this study, it was found out that carbon stock was highest in forest land cover especially under eucalyptus plantation and least in agriculture, maize in particular. It was also confirmed through hypothesis testing there is significant variation in carbon stock capacity among different land cover types, where the overall Prob > chi2 = 0.0007. The study concludes that there was a variation in carbon pools in different land-cover types in Namasagali, where ABGC stock estimated was highest in forestry ranging from eucalyptus plantation to agro-forestry land-cover and least in agriculture, maize in particular. However, it was realized in the study that the dry mass of maize stored very low carbon content because much of the carbon was accumulated in soil to form soil organic carbon (SOC) during maturity of maize. However, it emphasized that planting of more fast growing tree species such as eucalyptus to increase on the terrestrial carbon sink capacity and need for establishment of schemes such as payment of ecosystem services (PES), carbon markets such that the individuals practicing conservation are rewarded.

Keywords: Carbon stock. Land cover, Aboveground, Biomass

CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the study

Forests sequester and store more carbon than any other terrestrial ecosystem and are an important natural 'brake' on climate change. When forests are cleared or degraded, their stored carbon is released into the atmosphere as carbon dioxide (CO2). According to the Kyoto Protocol, land use, land-use change, and forestry (LULUCF) are recognized as serving the role of carbon source and sink in relation to a change in land cover and carbon stocks. It also influences the amount of biomass and carbon stored in vegetation (Ipcc et al., 2019). Tropical deforestation is estimated to have released of the order of 1-2 billion tonnes of carbon per year during the 1990s, roughly 15-25% of annual global greenhouse gases (GHGs) emissions (Fearnside and Laurance 2003; Houghton 2005). The largest source of GHGs emissions in most tropical countries is from deforestation and forest degradation. So, that terrestrial ecosystem in the global carbon cycle has raised considerable interest among researchers and policy makers. Exchange between atmosphere and vegetation involves large two way fluxes, with fixation of CO2 into biomass through photosynthesis approximately balanced by the release of CO2 through processes of decomposition and burning. It is estimated about 60 Pg carbon is exchanged (in both directions) between terrestrial ecosystems and the atmosphere every year, with a net terrestrial uptake of 0.7±1.0 Pg C (Lasco 2002).

However, relative to the size of the atmospheric pool of CO₂, land use change and forest conversion are significant source of CO₂ contributing to around 1.7±0.6 Pg C per year. Current efforts to mitigate the impact of climate change are through ways of increasing carbon sequestration and/or mitigating carbon emission (Roxburgh et al. 2006). Increased carbon stocks (carbon sequestration) can be achieved by (1) natural increases in forest growth and biomass, (2) increasing tree stocks in existing forest either through increasing growth or decreasing harvest and (3) establishing fast growing tree plantation (Niles 2002). Carbon sequestered is stored in the form of woody biomass, thus the simple way to increase carbon stock is to plant and manage trees (Bonino 2006). Terrestrial carbon stocks consist of above and below ground carbon. Above ground carbon stocks component includes biomass (stems, twigs, leaves, vines, epiphytes and

REFERENCES

- Allometric models for tree volume and total aboveground biomass in a tropical humid forest in Costa Rica. (2005). Segura M, Kanninen M.
- The Effects of Forest Management on Carbon Allocation in Eucalyptus Plantation. . (5. Wan, S.Z. The Effects of Forest Management on Carbon Allocation in Eucalyptus Plantation. Ph.D. De 2014). Guangzhou, China: Wan, S.Z Ph.D.
- Allometry and partitioning of above- and belowground tree biomass in an age-sequence of white pine forests. (2007). Peichl M.; Arain and M.A.
- Chiabai, A., Travisi, C., Ding, H., Markandya, A., & Nunes., a. P. (2009). Economic Valuation of Forest Ecosystem Services: Methodology and Monetary Estimates.
- Development of Allometric Equation for Estimating Aboveground Biomass in Ampang forest reserve. (2017). Khalid N, Hamid JRA.
- Economic Valuation of Forest Ecosystem Services: Methodology and Monetary Estimates. (2009). Chiabal, A; C.M. Travisi; H. Ding; A. Markandya.
- Huang, L., Liu, J., Shao, Q., & Xu, X. (2012). Carbon sequestration by forestation across China: Past, present, and future.
- IPCC . (2007). Climate Change Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability. Four Assessment . IPCC.
- IPCC. (2006). Guidelines for National Greenhouse Inventories. IPCC.
- International, W., & December, O. (2013). Continuing to exploit and deforest Wilmar's ongoing abuses. 1-6.
- Ipcc, A., Report, S., Roberts, D., Ferrat, M., Connors, S., Haughey, E., & Malley, J. (2019).

 Climate Change and Land.
- Isaac Kiyingi, A.-K. E. (2016). The economics of the carbon sequestration potential of plantation forestry in south-western Uganda.
- J, N. (2009). Allometric equations for tree species and carbon stocks for forests of Northwestern Mexico.
- J., K. (2011). Forest plantations and woodlots in Uganda.
- Jayakumar, K. T. (2012). Methods to Estimate Above-Ground Biomass and Carbon Stock in Natural Forests.

- Kasten, T. (2009). Carbon Stock Assessment and Modelling in Zambia A UN- UN REDD.
- Methods to Estimate Above-Ground Biomass and Carbon Stock in Natural Forests. (2012).
- N. H. Ravindranath, a. M. (2008). Handbook for greenhouse gas inventory, carbon mitigation and round wood production projects.
- NFA. (2009.). Land cover of Uganda 2005 by NFA Inventories. NFA.
- Omoro L.M.A., S. M. (2013). Tree biomass and soil carbon stocks in indigenous forests in comparison to plantations of exotic species in the Taita Hills of Kenya.
- Raich, J., Clark, D., Schwendenmann, L., & Wood, T. (2014). Aboveground tree growth varies with belowground carbon allocation in a tropical rainforest environment.
- Sekajugo J, I. M. (2015). Sugarcane carbon sequestration potential under th (Allometric models for tree volume and total aboveground biomass in a tropical humid forest in Costa Rica., 2005)e clean development mechanism.
- Singh V, T. A. (2011). Formulating allometric equations for estimating biomass and carbon stock in small diameter trees.
- Wei, Y., Li, M., Chen, H., Lewis, B., Yu, D., Zhou, L., . . . Dai, L. (2013). Variation in carbon storage and its distribution by stand age and forest type in boreal and temperate forests in northeastern China.
- Zhang, H., Guan, D., & Song, M. (2012). Biomass and carbon storage of Eucalyptus and Acacia plantations in the Pearl River Delta, South China.
- Zhao, J., Wu, J., & Shao, W. Z. (2015). Carbon Storage and Allocation Pattern in Plant Biomass among Different Forest Plantation Stands.