FACULTY OF NATURAL RESOURCES AND ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES

ASSESSING THE EFFECTS OF CLIMATE VARIABILITY ON THE LIVELIHOODS OF SUGARCANE OUTGROWERS

A CASE STUDY OF NAMASAGALI SUB-COUNTY, KAMULI DISTRICT

BY

PEDO LOKERIS CLAIRE

BU/UG/2019/0071

FEBRUARY 2023

A report submitted to the faculty of Natural Resource Economics and Environmental Sciences Busitema University in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Bachelor of Science in Natural Resource Economics.

I PEDO	LOKERIS	CLAIRE	hereby	declare	that thi	s report	is	entirely	the	work	of	own
endeavoi	rs and has no	ot been sul	omitted 1	to any ot	her insti	tution fo	or a	ny awarc	1.			

NAME: PEDO LOKERIS CLAIRE
REG. NO: BU/UG/2019/0071
SIGNATURE:
DATE:

Approval

This is to confirm that this report is entirely the work of PEDO LOKERIS CLAIRE that has been done under my supervision and therefore ready for submission to the faculty of Natural Resources and Environmental Sciences.

Supervisor: PROFESSOR MWANJA WILSON WAISWA	
Signature	
Date	

Dedication

I dedicate this piece of work to my beloved family, my loving and hardworking mother Nate Agnes Lona, my father Lokeris Paul De Aparite and my brothers Humphrey Emmy Angella, Victor Lokeris Locha and Francis Lokeris Koriang.

Acknowledgement

I would like to firstly thank the Lord for having enabled me to ably conduct my research in good health and for life granted.

I extend my sincere appreciation and gratitude too all that made this academic research a success. To my beloved parents, for all the support rendered to make sure that I come up with this dissertation. Especially the financial support that covered all the expenses in the field and throughout the whole process, I cannot thank you enough. Be blessed always!

My supervisor whose tireless efforts cannot surely go unnoticed, I appreciate your time and corrections to make all that I had as an idea meaningful to the writing of this book. For the guidance all through, am grateful.

And to my friends and course mates; Oscar, Maureen, Agatha, Emmanuel, Lucky, Judith, Deborah and Simon. I cannot list all here, am so gratified for the time and all the support you rendered when I needed a shoulder to lean on.

Table of Contents

Declaration	ii
Approval	iii
Dedication	iv
Acknowledgement	v
List of figures	x
List of tables	x
List of abbreviations	xi
Abstract	xii
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Background	1
1.2.1 Climate Variability and sugarcane growing	1
1.2.2 Sugarcane growing and community livelihoods	2
1.3 Problem statement	4
1.4 Objectives	5
1.4.1 Main objective	5
1.4.2 Specific objectives	5
1.5 Research questions	5
1.6 Conceptual framework	6
1.8 Scope of the study	7
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	8
2.1 The concept of sugarcane out growers/ Contract farming	8
2.2 The contribution of sugarcane growing to the livelihoods of sugarcane growers	10
CHAPTER THREE: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	12
3.0 Introduction	12
3.1 Research design	12
3.2 Study area/ targeted population	12

3.3 Sample size and sampling procedure	13
3.3.1 Sample size	13
3.3.2 Sampling procedure	13
3.4 Data types and collection methods	13
3.4.1 Data types	13
3.4.2 Data collection methods	14
3.5 Validity and reliability of data collection instruments	14
3.5.1 Validity of data collection instruments	14
3.5.2 Reliability on the data collection instruments	14
3.6 Ethical considerations	14
3.7 Data analysis	15
CHAPTER FOUR: ANALYSIS	16
4.0 Introduction	16
4.1 Socio-economic characteristics	16
4.2 Livelihood Status	17
4.2.1 Livelihood activities	17
4.2.2 If sugarcane growing affects other livelihoods	18
4.2.3 Ways in which sugarcane growing affects other livelihoods	18
4.2.4 Other crops grown apart from sugarcane	19
4.2.5 Duration in sugarcane growing	20
4.3 Effects of climate variability	20
4.3.1 Change in quantity of agricultural output	20
4.3.2 Change realized in agricultural output	21
4.3.3 Trend of change in the output of sugarcane	21
4.3.4 If livelihoods activities engaged in affect the wetland	22
4.3.5 Effects of livelihood activities on the wetland	22
4.4 Measures to address the effects of climate change	22
4.4.1 Shows if the climate change impacts can be mitigated	22

4.4.2 Table showing measures taken to adaptation to climate variability	23
4.4.3 Effectiveness of adaptation measures	23
4.4.4 Adaptation to flooding	24
4.4.5 Mitigation measures by government	24
4.4.6 Presence of supporting organizations	25
4.4.7 Organizations that aid in climate change adaptation	25
4.4.8 Benefits derived from the organizations	25
4.4.9 Limitations faced by organizations in implementing support programs	26
4.5 Recommended adaptation measures	27
CHAPTER FIVE: DISCUSSION	28
5.0 Introduction	28
5.1 Socio-economic characteristics	28
5.1.1 Age	28
5.1.2 Sex	28
5.1.3 Marital Status & Household position	28
5.1.4 Education level	29
5.1.5 Employment status	29
5.2 Livelihood status	29
5.2.1 The effects of sugarcane growing to other livelihoods	29
5.3 Effects of climate variability	30
5.3.1 Change in quantity of agricultural output	30
5.3.2 Trend of change in sugarcane output	30
5.3.3 The impacts of sugarcane growing on wetland ecosystems	30
5.4 Mitigation	30
5.4.1 Mitigation measures used	30
5.4.2 Effectiveness of the measures	30
5.4.3 Mitigation measures by government	31
5.4.4 Limitations to support in regard to mitigation and adaptation	31

5.5 Recommendations	31
5.5.1 Recommended adaptation measures	31
CHAPTER SIX: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	32
6.0 Introduction	32
6.1 Conclusions	32
6.2 Recommendations	33
6.3 Areas of further study	33
REFERENCES	34

List of figures

Figure 1: A map of Kamuli district showing its sub counties	13
Figure 2: Showing whether sugarcane growing affects other livelihoods	18
Figure 3: Other crops grown apart from sugarcane	19
Figure 4: Showing how long farmers have been engaged in sugarcane growing	20
Figure 5: Showing approval of a change in agricultural output	20
Figure 6: Change has been realized in agricultural output	21
Figure 7: Trend of change in the output of sugarcane	21
Figure 8: Showing if livelihoods engaged in affect the wetland	22
Figure 9: Showiing if climate change impacts can be mitigated	22
Figure 10: Showing the effectiveness of adaptation measures	23
Figure 11: Showing the presence of supporting organisations	25
Figure 12: Showing organizations that aid in climate adaptation	25
Figure 13: Showing recommendations to climate adaptation	27
T * 4 . 64.11	
List of tables	
Table 1: Socio-economic characteristics	16
Table 2: Livelihood activities engaged in by the respondents	18
Table 3: Effects of sugarcane growing on other livelihoods	19
Table 4: Effects of livelihood activities on wetland ecosystems	22
Table 5: Adaptation measures to climate variability	23
Table 6: Adaptation measures to flooding	24
Table 7: Mitigation measures implemented by government	24
Table 8: Limitations to implementation of support programmes	26

List of abbreviations

CC Climate Change

EAC: East African Community

FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization

GDP: Gross Domestic Product

HBS: Harvard Business School

KESREF: Kenya Sugar Research Foundation

UBOS: Uganda Bureau Of Statistics

Abstract

Sugarcane growing is a livelihood activity that is largely carried out in Eastern Uganda, seen as a major source of income and employment by the farmers. This study assessed the effects of climate variability on the livelihoods of sugarcane growers in Namasagali sub-county, Kamuli district. It investigated the relationship between sugarcane growing and role of wetland ecosystems in the Namasagali sub-county. The study shows how sugarcane growing comparative contributing to Nalwekomba wetland's capacity to buffer the worsening hazards of climate change such as extreme flooding and rising temperatures and their impacts. This study shows how sugarcane growers are considerably vulnerable to the effects of climate change such as impacts of rising air and surface temperatures and extreme flooding that do not favor the growth of sugarcane which in turn affects their yields and sources of income for sustainable livelihood.

The study was based on questionnaires aided survey, direct field observations, and direct interviews with key informants. Data was collected from 51 sugarcane growers, chosen randomly from different villages in the study area. Entry and analysis of data was done using Microsoft Excel.

The study established that sugarcane growing is a livelihood that many have increasingly resorted to in the past five years. A number of the farmers usually carry out sugarcane growing as independent out growers and not contract farmers hoping to get market along the way especially as first timers. It is a male dominated livelihood activity perhaps due to the land tenure rights in societies, or probably the fact that women care more for household food security and emphasis food crops while men care more about household income status. The study established that sugarcane farmers were adapting to extreme flooding through comparatively affordable ways by mainly constructing trenches, which drains the wetland. Unfortunately, this limits the capacity for the wetland to deal with the major challenge of the rising and high temperatures and prolonged droughts since sugarcane requires a lot of water to grow, and yet the fields would have been drained during the extreme flooding.

In as much as sugarcane growing is a danger to wetland ecosystems, there is need to come up with and support alternative sources of livelihoods. Supporting several mitigation and adaptation program is also very vital to reduce conflicting loyalties in the face of climate variability.

CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

This chapter introduces the background, problem statement, objectives, research questions, significance and the scope of the study.

1.2 Background

1.2.1 Climate Variability and sugarcane growing

A combination of long-term change in the weather patterns worldwide (i.e., global climate change), caused by natural processes and anthropogenic factors, may result in major environmental issues that have affected and will continuously affect agriculture (IPCC 2014). Atmospheric CO₂ concentration [CO₂] has increased by about 30% since the mid-18th century due to increases in combustion of fossil fuels, industrial processes, and deforestation (Houghton et al 2001). Projections indicate that atmospheric [CO₂] would increase to about 550ppm in a low emission scenario or could double (800ppm) from current levels in a high emission scenario by the end of the 21st century. Global warming is directly associated with increasing atmospheric [CO₂] and other greenhouse gases. (IPCC 2014). Global surface mean temperatures had increased from 0.55 to 0.67°C in the last century and are project to rise from 1.1 to 2.9°C (low emission) or 2.0 to 5.4°C (high emission) by 2100 relative to 1980–1999, depending on GHG emission level, region, and geographic location (IPCC 2014).

Increases in atmospheric [CO₂] and air temperature can be beneficial for some crops (especially C3 plants) in some places (E. Tao Et al, 2006). Climate variability and climate change are projected to result in changes in sea levels, rainfall pattern, and the frequency of extreme high- and low-temperature events, floods, droughts, and other abiotic stresses (R. S Dhillon and G.vonWuelisch, 2013) as well as tornados and hurricanes. High temperatures accompanied by drought stress have been two of the major issues influencing agricultural production and economic impacts in many regions of the world. The challenges, faced by the agricultural sector under the climate change scenarios, are to provide food security for an increasing world population while protecting the environment and the functioning of its ecosystems (Cambridge University Press, 2007). For most countries that are highly dependent on rainfall with limited or no proper irrigation conditions and/or that have poor mitigation systems, these challenges may be amplified (P.K Thornton et al, 2009). Agriculture is vulnerable to climate change through the direct effects of changing climate conditions (e.g., changes in temperature and/or precipitation), as well as through the indirect

REFERENCES

- Climate change; Impact on agriculture and costs of adaptation... Food Policy Report/ 2009/ Pages 30 DOI: http://dx. doi.org/102499/089695354 Gerald C. Nelson, Mark W. Robertson, Timothy Sulser, Tingjuzhu Claudia Ringler, SiwaMsangi, Amanda Palazzo
- 2. Vulnerability of small holder sorghum farmers to climate variability in a heterogeneous landscape of south western Uganda. Frank Mugagga, Noeline Nakanjakko, Denis Nseka
- Farmers' perception on the impacts of climate variability and change on food security in Rubanda District, South Western Uganda. ByamukamaWillbroad, Bello N. J and Omoniyi T.E
- An assessment of Swaziland sugarcane farmers associations' vulnerability to climate change, Journal of Integrative Environmental Sciences, 14:1, 39-57, DOI: 1080/1943815X.2017.1335329 Bon'sile Faith Nicollete Mhlanga-Ndlovu &GodwellNhamo (2017)
- 5. Assessing impact of climate change and extreme weather events in the sustainability of sugarcane production, Journal of Global Agriculture and Ecology, 11(4): 33-45, ISSN: 245404205 BahatiHakimuMsomba, Patrick MaduluNdaki&EliakiraKisetuNassary (2021)
- 6. Impact of the Magobbo sugarcane scheme on the livelihoods of smallholder out growers in Mazabuka district, southern Zambia by ChrispinRadokaMatenga 2020
- 7. Impacts of sugarcane farming on coastal wetlands of the north coast of Zululand, Kwadukuza, South Africa Melisa .m. Matavire, March 2015
- 8. Expansion of Commercial Sugarcane Cultivation among Smallholder Farmers in Uganda: Implications for Household Food Security Edward N. Mwavu1,*, Vettes K. Kalema 2, Fred Bateganya 3, Patrick Byakagaba 1, Daniel Waiswa 1, Thomas Enuru 1 and Michael S. Mbogga 1, June 2018
- 9. Out grower schemes; A pathway to sustainable agriculture Jane Abramovich, Samantha Krause September 2014
- 10. Socio-economic impacts of sugarcane farming on livelihoods and the biophysical environment in Transmara Sub-County, Kenya. Oyugi, Beryl Akoth, 2016.
- Climate change and eastern Africa: a review of impact on major crops Umesh Adhikari ,
 A. Pouyan Nejadhashemi& Sean A. Woznicki
- 12. Building Resilience and reducing Vulnerability to Climate Change: Implications for Food Security in Ghana by Joseph A. Yaro, Department of Geography and Resource Development, University of Ghana, 2013

- 13. The spatial distribution of forest biomass in the Brazilian Amazon: a comparison of estimates, Global Change Biology, vol.7, no.7, pp.731–746 R.A. Houghton, K. T. Lawrence, J. L. Hackler, and S. Brown, 2001.
- 14. Limits To Climate Change Adaptation in Uganda, Denis Kateregga, 2015
- 15. Re-wilding degraded land and endangered species, Project for Humanity in Kamuli district, 2020
- 16. Uganda Radio Network, 2012
- 17. Food and Agriculture Organization, 2003
- 18. FAO, 2009
- 19. FAO Chad, 2016
- 20. UBOS, 2020
- 21. World Bank Fact Sheet, 2013
- 22. National Sugar Policy, 2010
- 23. Uganda Trades, 2010