



FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES ENGINEERING

FINAL YEAR PROJECT REPORT

A SOCIO HYDROLOGICAL APPROACH TOWARD RIVERINE FLOOD ALLEVIATION FOR RIVER MANAFWA

A case study of the Butaleja district

BY:

Name	Registration number	Email
OKODOI MOSES	BU/UP/2018/3669	okodoimoses2018@gmail.com
ODONGO JASPER	BU/UG/2018/2469	jasperodongo225@gmail.com

SUPERVISOR: MR KAJUBI ENOCK

NOVEMBER 2022

A final year project report submitted to the Department of water resources engineering in partial fulfillment for the award of the Bachelor of Science in Water Resources Engineering of Busitema University

ABSTRACT

River Manafwa sub-catchment tends to experience dramatic flooding events, the worst being the most recent one which occurred May 17th, 2019. Its frequent flooding is attributed to the current climatic changes characterized by intense precipitation, unsustainable human activities in the upstream of the catchment sedimentation of the river course resulting into reduction in River capacity. This has led to several socio-economic impacts to the nearby communities, such as loss of lives and destructions of physical infrastructure. The study was conducted to build a hydrodynamic model in combination with Geographical Information System (GIS) for flood inundation mapping in River Manafwa Catchment basin. HEC-HMS and HEC-RAS models were employed for the 50-, 100-, 200-, and 500-year return periods to achieve the overall specified objective.

A sensitivity analysis of the hydrological model parameters used in this study showed that the model outputs are more sensitive to curve number compared to other parameters. The HEC HMS model was simulated, calibrated and validated using both Manual and automatic methods and the Nash- Sutcliffe Efficiency (NSE) obtained showing that the model was satisfactory.

The simulated peak discharges of $285.5\text{m}^3/\text{s}$, $362.2\text{m}^3/\text{s}$, $416.8\text{ m}^3/\text{s}$, $531.3\text{m}^3/\text{s}$ magnitudes of the respective 50, 100, 200, 500-year return periods produced maximum channel flood depths of 7.11, 7.17, 7.230 and 7.237m respectively as observed from the gauging station. Flood hazard maps were generated for the return periods in the Ras Mapper environment. From the results of the hydraulic model, it was observed that, the flood plain inundated areas increase with the magnitude of flow within the modelled network indicating a high flood risk level for activities and settlements adjacent to the river banks.

The existence of the structural flood mitigation measures, their possible improvements and Community Willingness and ability to pay for adaptation strategies, etc were assessed through field surveys in the majorly affected sub counties of Mazimasa and Himuntu.

This study findings are relevant for planning the development of sustainable flood risk adaptation pathways given the established destructions within the sub-catchment due to flooding.

DECLARATION

We, Okodoi Moses, and Odongo Jasper, hereby certify that this report is our original work and has not been previously submitted to any university or other higher education institution for consideration of any academic award. We take full responsibility for the information in this report

Name: ODONGO JASPER	Name: OKODOI MOSES
SIGN:	SIGN:
DATE:	DATE:

APPROVAL

This is to certify that this final year project report was written under my guidance on the topic
**“A Socio Hydrological Approach Toward Riverine Flood Alleviation for River
Manafwa”**

Name: **Mr. KAJUBI ENOCK**

Signature:  Date.....13/04/2023.

DEDICATION

Firstly, we would wish to thank the Almighty God for enabling us to successfully finish our final year research, then our loving parents OKWARE ANTHONY SEBASTIAN, OPIO TOM(late), NAMAROME BIIRAH and AKULLU FLORENCE, OWORI GEOFREY,OPIO ISAAC, who have been a great inspiration in our lives , they have really supported us so much not only in this research but also throughout the entire academic life.

May the almighty God grant you all your heart desires and to the late father(opio tom), may your soul continue to rest in eternal peace.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

First of all, we would want to express our gratitude to the Almighty God for preserving our health and providing us with the chance to work on this project.

We sincerely appreciate Mr. Kajubi Enock, our supervisor, for providing constant guidance during this project. His suggestions and tenacious efforts to monitor the project's progress were very helpful in ensuring its success.

We also appreciate the entire leadership of Butaleja District and stakeholders including Mr Marley Ben (the Chief Executive Officer), Mr Wasige Richard (the Engineer), Ms. Nankoma Jackline (the communication officer), Ms. Were Lamula (Natural Resources Officer) and the Parish Chief, Mr Wasota Jona.

We also express our gratitude to our classmates for their support and suggestions.

Lastly, we thank all those who were involved directly or indirectly during our research study

MAY THE GOOD LORD REWARD YOU ALL.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Contents

ABSTRACT.....	i
DECLARATION	ii
APPROVAL.....	iii
DEDICATION	iv
ACKNOWLEDGMENT.....	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	vi
LIST OF FIGURES.....	x
LIST OF TABLES.....	xi
LIST OF EQUATIONS	xii
LIST OF ACRONYMS	xiii
1.0 CHAPTER ONE	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Background	1
1.3 Problem statement	2
1.4 Objectives of the study	3
1.4.1 <i>Main objective</i>	3
1.4.2 <i>Specific Objectives</i>	3
1.5 Research questions	4
1.6 Justification	4
1.7 Scope of the study	5
1.7.1 <i>Geographical scope</i>	5
1.7.2 <i>Conceptual scope</i>	5
1.7.3 <i>Technical scope</i>	5
1.8 Description of the study area.....	5
1.8.1 <i>Location</i>	5
1.8.2 <i>Topography</i>	7
1.8.3 <i>Rainfall</i>	7
1.8.4 <i>Temperature</i>	7
1.8.5 <i>Population</i>	7
1.8.6 <i>Geology, Relief and soils</i>	7

1.8.7	<i>Land use and land cover.....</i>	8
1.8.8	<i>Climate and Hydrology.....</i>	8
2	Literature review.....	9
2.1	Introduction	9
2.2	Floods.....	9
	<i>Types of floods</i>	9
2.3	RIVER FLOOD MODELLING	10
2.4	The hydrologic model	10
	<i>Basin Models.....</i>	11
	<i>Meteorological Models</i>	11
	<i>Control Specification</i>	11
	<i>Time series Data.....</i>	11
	<i>Paired Data</i>	11
	<i>Loss method</i>	12
	<i>Transform method</i>	12
	<i>Base flow method</i>	12
	<i>Routing method</i>	12
2.5	Hydraulic Model.....	12
2.6	Flood Mitigation measure.....	14
2.6.1	<i>Green systems.....</i>	15
2.6.2	<i>Technological systems.....</i>	15
	<i>Levees and floodwalls</i>	16
	<i>Dam 17</i>	
	<i>Detention and retention basins.....</i>	17
	<i>Improving channel capacity</i>	17
	<i>Bypass and diversion channels.....</i>	18
2.7	Willingness to pay for flood mitigation measures	18
2.7.1	<i>Revealed preference method</i>	18
2.7.2	<i>Stated preference method</i>	18
2.7.3	<i>Kobo Toolbox.....</i>	19
2.7.4	<i>steps of data collection using the Kobo Toolbox.....</i>	19
2.8	Willingness To Pay Techniques	22
2.8.1	<i>The Iterative Bidding Approach.....</i>	22
2.8.2	<i>Payment Card Approach</i>	22
2.8.3	<i>Dichotomous Choice Approach</i>	22
3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	23

3.0	Introduction	23
3.1	Data acquisition and analysis.....	23
3.2	Secondary data Collection techniques.....	23
3.3	Primary data collection and analysis techniques.....	23
3.4	Qualitative data collection.....	23
3.4.1	<i>Informative interviews</i>	23
3.4.2	<i>Observations</i>	23
3.4.3	<i>Questionnaires</i>	24
3.5	Data processing Tools used for the study.....	24
3.5.1	<i>Equipment used.....</i>	24
3.6	Objective one: To develop a hydrodynamic model that will estimate the flood risk extent.	
	24	
3.6.1	<i>To develop a rainfall-runoff model.....</i>	24
3.6.2	<i>To develop a hydraulic model for different flood scenarios and generate flood hazard maps.</i>	30
3.7	Objective three	33
3.8	Objective three: To assess the acceptability, adoptability, affordability and willingness to-pay by the community for the suitable mitigation measures.....	34
3.8.1	<i>Data collections.....</i>	34
3.8.2	<i>Reconnaissance survey.....</i>	35
3.8.3	<i>Sample size and Household survey</i>	35
	<i>Focus group discussions (FGDs)</i>	36
3.8.4	<i>Key informant interviews (KIs)</i>	36
3.8.5	<i>Direct observations study.....</i>	36
3.8.6	<i>Likert scale.....</i>	36
3.8.7	<i>Ranking of the adaptation strategies</i>	36
3.9	Communities' Affordability Analysis	37
3.9.1	<i>The Willingness to pay of the community.....</i>	38
3.9.2	<i>Amount community is willing to pay.....</i>	38
4	CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS.....	40
4.0	Hydrological model results	40
4.1	RIVER MANAFWA HEC-HMS PARAMETER SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS.....	41
4.2	HEC HMS model calibration	42
	44	
	<i>Nash-Sutcliffe efficiency (NSE)</i>	45
	<i>SIMULATION RUN</i>	45

<i>OPTIMISATION RUN</i>	45
4.3 HYDRAULIC MODEL RESULTS.....	46
4.3.1 <i>FLOOD HAZARD MAPS</i>	46
4.4 structural adaptation strategies	48
4.4.1 <i>Proposed improvements on the Structural flood mitigation measures</i>	49
4.4.2 <i>Heavily affected areas in butaleja district</i>	51
4.4.3 <i>COMMUNITY PROPOSED SOLUTIONS</i>	51
4.4.4 <i>CHARACTERISTICS OF THE SURVEY RESPONDENTS</i>	52
4.5 community perception of flooding in the area.....	53
4.6 respondents perception on the causes of floods	54
4.7 IMPACTS OF FLOODING	55
4.8 flood adaptation strategies.....	55
4.8.1 <i>Preflood adaptation strategies at House Hold Level(HH)</i>	56
4.8.2 <i>Preflood adaptation strategies at Community Level</i>	56
4.8.3 <i>During flood adaptation strategy at HH level</i>	57
<i>During flood adaptation strategy at HH level</i>	57
4.8.4 <i>During flood adaptation strategy at Community level</i>	57
<i>During flood adaptation strategy at Community level</i>	57
4.8.5 <i>Post flood adaptation strategy at HH level</i>	58
<i>During flood adaptation strategy at HH level</i>	58
4.8.6 <i>Post flood adaptation strategy at community level</i>	58
<i>Post flood adaptation strategy at Community level</i>	58
4.9 evaluation of the community willingness to pay	59
4.9.1 <i>A graph showing the variation of frequency with amount the respondents are willing to pay</i>	60
4.9.2 <i>Reasons for the respondents wtp positive and negative responses</i>	60
5 CHAPTER FIVE: CONCLUSIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS AND CHALLENGES FACED	63
5.0 CONCLUSIONS.....	63
5.0.1 <i>Hydrological modelling</i>	63
5.0.2 <i>Hydraulic modelling</i>	63
5.0.3 <i>Flood hazard maps</i>	63
5.1 RECOMMENDATIONS.....	63
5.2 CHALLENGES FACED	64
5.3 REFERENCES.....	66

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 0-1:location of project area.....	6
Figure 0-2:Manafwa watershed	6
Figure 2-1:typical view of hec-ras output.....	14
Figure 2-2: methods to estimate willingness to pay.....	20
Figure 2-3: Theoretical frame work used for estimating WTP	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Figure 3-1:mechanism for hydrological modelling.....	25
Figure 3-2: plot of maximum daily rainfall against return period.....	27
Figure 3-3:Typical view of hec-hms model for Manafwa watershed	29
Figure 3-4:50-year optimization run.....	29
Figure 3-5: mechanism of flood modeling.....	30
Figure 3-6: hec-georas model for river Manafwa geometry	31
Figure 3-7: 3D perspective plot from for river Manafwa	32
Figure 4-1: Process view for the generation of CN.....	40
Figure 4-2:Manafwa watershed classified lulc	40
Figure 4-3:Manafwa watershed CN grid	41
Figure 4-4:100-year simulation run	43
Figure 4-5:50-year calibration run	43
Figure 4-6: 100-year calibration run	44
Figure 4-7: 200-year calibration run	44
Figure 4-8: 500-year calibration run	44
Figure 4-9: 50-year flood hazard map.....	46
Figure 4-10:100-year flood hazard map.....	47
Figure 4-11:200-year flood hazard map.....	47
Figure 4-12:500-year flood hazard map	47
Figure 4-13: identified existing structural mitigation measures	49
Figure 4-14:factors considered for structural flood mitigation measures	50
Figure 4-15:community perception of flooding in the area	53
Figure 4-16:statistics	59
Figure 4-17:Amount.....	59
Figure 4-18:variation of frequency with amount the respondents are willing to pay	60
Figure 4-19: Reasons for community's wtp	61
Figure 4-20:Analysis of the effect of explanatory variables in the regression model.....	62
Figure 5-1: survey on one of the respondents	68
Figure 5-2:key informative interview the parish chief Mazimasa sub county(left) and lc1 chairperson(center).....	68
Figure 5-4:Manafwa monthly rainfall.....	69
Figure 5-3:Manafwa watershed calculated CN, Tc and lag time	69

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1-1: research questions.....	4
Table 3-1: tools and their functions	24
Table 3-2:structural mitigation measures.....	34
Table 3-3: community's affordability analysis.....	38
Table 4-1 :Hydrological modal results.....	41
Table 4-2: parameter sensitization analysis	42
Table 4-3: NSE values for simulation run	45
Table 4-4:NSE value for optimization run.....	45
Table 4-5:flood depth.....	46
Table 4-6: perceived preference of structural mitigation measures	48
Table 4-7: respondent perception on the causes of floods	55
Table 4-8:impacts of floods	55
Table 4-9: flood adaption strategies at HH level	56
Table 4-10:pre-flood adaption strategies at community level.....	56
Table 4-11:During flood adaption strategy at HH level.....	57
Table 4-12:4.8.4 During flood adaptation strategy at Community level.....	58
Table 4-13:1.0.1 Post flood adaptation strategy at HH level.....	58
Table 4-14:4.8.6 Post flood adaptation strategy at community level	59

LIST OF EQUATIONS

Equation 2-1: Energy equation	13
Equation 2-2:manning's equation.....	14
Equation 2-3:economic estimation of WTP.....	21
Equation 3-1::estimating missing values	26
Equation 3-2::Runoff	28
Equation 3-3:initial abstraction.....	28
Equation 3-4:Potential maximum retention	28
Equation 3-5:Cochran sample size formular.....	35
Equation 3-6:weighted average index.....	36
Equation 3-7:contingent valuation method	38
Equation 4-1:: calculation of NSE	45

LIST OF ACRONYMS

DWRM.....	Directorate Of Water Resources Management
MWE.....	Ministry of Water and Environment
UNMA.....	Uganda National Meteorological Authority
UBOS.....	Uganda Bureau Of Statistics
HEC-HMS.....	Hydrological Engineering Centre-Hydrologic Modelling System
HEC-RAS.....	Hydrological Engineering Centre-River Analysis System
GIS.....	Geographical Information System
UNDP.....	United Nations Development Program
WTP	Willingness-To-Pay
CVM.....	Contingent Valuation Method
TIN.....	Triangular Irregular Network
DEM.....	Digital Elevation Model
m.a.s.l.....	meters above sea level
CN.....	curve number
Gps	global positioning system
LULC....	Land use /landcover
HH.....	House Hold
SDF.....	Spatial data format
UNFA.....	Uganda national forest authority

1.0 CHAPTER ONE

1.1 Introduction

This chapter addresses the back ground of the project, problem statement, objectives, justification and scope of the study.

1.2 Background

Floods are water induced disasters that lead to temporary inundation of normally dry land and cause serious damages in the affected location such as loss of lives and properties and destruction of infrastructures.(Onuigbo et al., 2017)

Globally, floods are increasingly among the most devastating natural disasters affecting human life than any other natural disasters (IFRC, 2020). Floods have caused nearly US 386-billion-dollar economic loss in the last three decades of the twentieth century. The United Nations (UN) states that approximately 2.3 billion people were affected and 157,000 died by floods 1995-2015 worldwide (Hoque et al., 2019).

In Uganda, the geo-hazards report for the year 2013 shows that 21 districts in the eastern region, 13 districts in the North and 10 districts in the central region are prone to floods and thus for the period between 2005 to 2013, it shows that around 40 people have been killed by floods and over 300000 displaced by floods. The highest number of recorded deaths and people affected being in 2007 when Mount Elgon and Karamoja regions were hit by extreme high rainfall events(Martin et al., 2012).

Butaleja District is a low-lying and flat area, located at the far bottom of Mount Elgon. The location of the district makes it a drainage area for the Elgon region rendering it susceptible to floods.(*Butaleja Report*, n.d.).

Over the past 60 years, at least 8 major flash floods have affected catchments of mountain Elgon (Doocy et al., 2013).on 7th may 2021, the Elgon mountains were hit by flash floods due to heavy rains causing river manafwa to burst its banks, destroying homes, burying villages in mud and displacing thousands of people.(WBG, 2021) However, one of the most devastating of these events occurred on 9th October 2010, in the manafwa catchment.. This was considered the worst flood event which had last been observed in 1997(DREF, 2010).

5.3 REFERENCES

- Basin, K. R., Khadka, J., & Bhaukajee, J. (n.d.). *Rainfall-Runoff Simulation and Modelling Using HEC-HMS and HEC-RAS Models : Case Studies from Nepal and Sweden.* *Butaleja Report.* (n.d.).
- Darji, K., Prakash, I., Mahmood, K., & Pham, B. T. (2019). Rainfall-Runoff Modelling Using HEC-HMS Model : An Application of Regression Analysis . *Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research (JETIR)*, 6(5), 226–234.
- Di Baldassarre, G., Castellarin, A., & Brath, A. (2009). Analyse des effets de la surélévation des levées sur la propagation des crues: Exemple du Fleuve Pô, Italie. *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, 54(6), 1007–1017. <https://doi.org/10.1623/hysj.54.6.1007>
- Di Baldassarre, G., Viglione, A., Carr, G., Kuil, L., Salinas, J. L., & Blöschl, G. (2013). Socio-hydrology: Conceptualising human-flood interactions. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 17(8), 3295–3303. <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-17-3295-2013>
- Doocy, S., Russell, E., Gorokhovich, Y., & Kirsch, T. (2013). Disaster preparedness and humanitarian response in flood and landslide-affected communities in Eastern Uganda. *Disaster Prevention and Management: An International Journal*, 22(4), 326–339. <https://doi.org/10.1108/DPM-10-2012-0108>
- DREF. (2010). *Uganda: Floods & Landslides in Eastern Uganda DREF operation n° MDRGU015 GLIDE n° LS-2010-000040-UGA. April.* <http://www.ifrc.org/docs/appeals/10/MDRUG015fr.pdf>
- Edyegu, D. (2011). Floods Destroy Rice Gardens In Butaleja. In *New Vision*.
- Eljaoued, W., & Bellamine Ben Saoud, N. (2017). Decision Making in Disaster Management: From Crisis Modeling to Effective Support. *Lecture Notes in Business Information Processing*, 301(April 2019), 122–128. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-67633-3_10
- Fleming, M. J. (2013). *Hydrologic Modeling System Quick Start Guide. December.*
- Ivanova, N., Gugleva, V., Dobreva, M., Pehlivanov, I., Stefanov, S., & Andonova, V. (2016). We are IntechOpen , the world ' s leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists , for scientists TOP 1 %. *InTech*, i(tourism), 13.
- Jennifer, A. (2018). *Factors Associated with Uptake of Floods Early Warning Information in*

Butaleja District , Eastern Uganda By.

Martin, O., Rugumayo, A., & Ovcharovichova, J. (2012). *APPLICATION OF HEC HMS /RAS AND GIS TOOLS IN FLOOD MODELING : A CASE STUDY FOR RIVER SIRONKO – UGANDA* . 1(2), 19–31.

Marzetti, G. V. (2019). *Willingness-To-Pay and stated preference methods in Cost-Benefit Analysis with an application to a project of water infrastructure improvement in Georgetown (Guyana) Willingness-To-Pay and stated preference methods in Cost-Benefit Analysis with an appli. May.* <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.21207.32162>

Mohsin, A. (2016). A Manual for Selecting Sampling Techniques in Research. University of Karachi, Iqra. University. *Munich Personal RePEC Archive*, 2016, 1–56.

Moriasi, D. N., Arnold, J. G., Liew, M. W. Van, Bingner, R. L., Harmel, R. D., & Veith, T. L. (2007). *M e g s q a w s.* 50(3), 885–900.

Onuigbo, I. C., Ibrahim, P. O., Agada, D. U., Nwose, I. A., & Abimbola, I. I. (2017). *Flood Vulnerability Mapping of Lokoja Metropolis Using Geographical Information System Techniques.* 5(5), 229–242. <https://doi.org/10.12691/jgg-5-5-2>

Study, M. A. C., Abdelkarim, A., & Gaber, A. F. D. (2019). *Based on the Integration of Geomatics and Hydraulic.* 1988–2019.

Thompson, M., & Warmink, J. J. (2016). Natural Hazard Modeling and Uncertainty Analysis. *Natural Hazard Uncertainty Assessment: Modeling and Decision Support*, 11–19. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119028116.ch2>

U.S Department of Health and Human Services. (2017). Coastal flooding, climate change, and your health: What you can do to prepare. *Centers for Disease Control and Prevention*, 26. <https://www.cdc.gov/climateandhealth/pubs/CoastalFloodingClimateChangeandYourHealth-508.pdf>

WBG. (2021). Climate Risk Country Profile: Uganda. *The World Bank Group*, 36. www.worldbank.org