



**BUSITEMA
UNIVERSITY**
Pursuing Excellence

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES ENGINEERING

FINAL YEAR PROJECT REPORT

**ASSESSING THE IMPACTS OF MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTES DUMPSITE ON
GROUNDWATER QUALITY**

CASE STUDY; AMINIT DUMPSITE IN SOROTI CITY

BY ALUKU SARAH

REG: BU/UP/2018/3599

Email:alukusarah6@gmail.com

SUPERVIORS: ENG BAALGALA BRIAN SEMPIJJA

AND MR.BAAGOLE CHRISTOPHER

DECLARATION

I ALUKU SARAH fully declare that the work presented in this research report is my own work except where due references are made. It has not been submitted before for any academic award to any institution of higher learning.

Signature.....

Date.....

APPROVAL

I certify that the work reported in this research has been written under the supervision of;

NAME: Eng. BAAGALA BRIAN SEMPIJJA AND MR. BAAGOLE CRISTOPHER

Signature.....

Date

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I would like to thank the almighty God for keeping me in good health and offering me the opportunity to undertake this project.

My sincere gratitude also goes my supervisors for their continuous guidance during this research. Their advice and tireless effort in following up the progress of this research.

DEDICATION

I dedicate this final year project report to my mum, uncles and aunties in appreciation of the support offered to me and the effort they put in during my study.

ABSTRACT

With increasing population comes the concern for waste disposal. The absence of sanitary disposal methods has left Soroti city residents with open dumpsites as their only source of waste disposal. The resulting leachate formed from the decomposition of these waste materials is highly polluted and finds its way to the underground water supply. Gradual accumulations of contaminants in the water sources can result into high loads of pollutants which are potentially toxic to the users. The major aim of this study was to assess the impact of municipal solid waste dumpsites on groundwater quality within an open dumpsite since the major source of water for both drinking and domestic use is groundwater. Objectives were, to determine contaminants in groundwater, to evaluate groundwater quality using the Water Quality Index and to simulate the contaminant flow from the dumpsites to groundwater sources.

The Water Quality Index was determined using the National Sanitation Foundation-Water Quality Index (NSF-WQI) method based on eight major water quality parameters: pH, water temperature, Electrical conductivity, turbidity, dissolved oxygen, Total Dissolved Solids (TDS), nitrates and fecal coliforms. Water quality index at W1 is 72 (good water), W2 is 97 (excellent water), W3 is 65 (poor water), W4 is 62 (poor water), and W5 is 34 (poor water). The low value of Water Quality Index at three wells (W3, W4, and W5) are affected by the high value of temperature, pH, and TDS in W4. Low value of dissolved oxygen and high pH in W3 and W5 having too numerous fecal coliforms, high temperature and pH. The study also revealed that the concentration of contaminants from dumpsites to the wells decreases with increase in the distance.

1 Table of Contents

DECLARATION	2
APPROVAL	3
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	4
DEDICATION	5
ABSTRACT	6
List of figures.....	9
List of tables.....	9
CHAPTER ONE	10
2 INTRODUCTION	10
2.1 Background	10
2.2 Problem statement.....	11
2.3 OBJECTIVES	12
2.3.1 Main objective.....	12
2.3.2 Specific objectives	12
2.4 Justification.....	12
2.5 Significance.....	12
2.6 SCOPE OF THE STUDY	12
2.6.1 Geographical scope.....	12
2.6.2 Time scope	13
2.6.3 Conceptual scope	13
CHAPTER TWO:	14
3 LITERATURE REVEIW	14
3.1 Introduction.....	14
3.2 Differences between Landfills and Dumpsites	14
3.3 Municipal solid waste	14
3.4 Effects of improper management of municipal solid waste on the environment.....	15
3.5 Ground water supplies.....	15
3.6 Groundwater pollution.....	16
3.7 Major Sources of groundwater contamination.....	17
3.7.1 Leachate	17
3.8 WQI determination methods.....	18
3.8.1 Weighted Arithmetic Water Quality Index Method (WAWQI)	18

3.8.2	National Sanitation Foundation Water Quality Index (NSFWQI)	18
3.8.3	Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment Water Quality Index (CCMEWQI) ...	19
3.8.4	Oregon Water Quality Index (OWQI)	19
	Dissolved Oxygen:	19
3.9	Groundwater flow modelling using a hydroscape.	21
	2.11 Advantages of hydroscape model	22
CHAPTER THREE:		24
4	METHODOLOGY	24
4.1	Introduction.....	24
4.2	Location of study area.....	24
4.2.1	Population	25
4.2.2	Economic activity	25
4.3	Specific objective one	26
	To characterize groundwater.....	26
4.3.1	Study design.....	26
	The results for the selected groundwater parameters were tabulated and Microsoft excel was used to generate line graphs to clearly illustrate the results obtained from the laboratory analysis.....	29
4.4	Specific objective two.....	29
4.5	Specific objective three.....	31
4.5.1	Calibration of the mode.....	31
5	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	32
5.1	Specific objective one	32
5.1.1	Analysis of physico-chemical and microbiological quality of water samples	33
5.1.2	Laboratory data water quality analysis	34
5.2	Specific objective two: To evaluate groundwater quality using Water quality index (WQI)	41
5.3	Specific objective three.....	42
CHAPTER FIVE		47
6	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMENDATIONS	47
6.1	Conclusions.....	47
6.2	Recommendation.	47
	References.....	49
	Appendix.....	51

List of figures

Figure 1 showing leachate formation.....	18
Figure 2 map showing the location of the study area	25
Figure 3 map showing the location of wells and dumpsite.....	33
Figure 4 showing results for fecal coliform.....	34
Figure 5 shows variation of temperature in different wells	35
Figure 6 shows variation of electrical conductivity in different wells.....	35
Figure 7 shows the variation ph in different wells.....	36
Figure 8 shows the variation of fecal coilforms in different wells	37
Figure 9 show the variation of turbidity in different wells	38
Figure 10 shows the variation of dissolved oxygen in different wells	39
Figure 11 shows the variation of nitrates in different wells.....	40
Figure 12 shows the variation of total dissolved solids in different wells.....	40

List of tables

Table 1 shows sources and examples of municipal solid wastes.....	15
Table 2 showing the parameters and their test methods	26
Table 3 showing WQI range and type of water	31
Table 4 showing the GPS coordinates for both wells and the dumpsite.....	32
Table 5 showing concentration level for each parameter	33
Table 6 showing water quality index for each well and water status	41

CHAPTER ONE

2 INTRODUCTION

2.1 Background

Globally, over two billion people worldwide lack access to safe and clean drinking water (WHO, 2017). In a large proportion of developing countries, municipal solid waste (MSW) is disposed simply and unscientifically in various kinds of geological areas, which results in serious environmental and ecological risk to the surrounding water, air and soil. (Funk et al., 2017). According to the World Bank report, it estimates that the generation rate of MSW is expected to increase to 0.7 kg/capital/day and 376,639 tonnes/day by 2025. Of all the environmental concerns that most developing countries face, the lack of adequate, good quality water remains the most serious (Ali, 2012). The practice of landfill system as a method of waste disposal in many developing countries is usually far from standard recommendations. (According to World Bank organization 2019). A standardized landfill system involves carefully selected location, and is usually constructed and maintained by means of engineering techniques, ensuring minimized pollution of air, water and soil and risks to man and animals.

Landfills are properly design to offer a great advantage over the open dumpsites like minimization of environmental issues and reduction of health risks. However, they have been considered to be major contributors to groundwater contamination due to the leakage of solutions from leachate to the ground.

In Africa, Nigeria and most other developing countries, solid wastes are disposed or dumped in open dumpsites, barren lands and many are not properly managed at all. Over many decades, land filling has been favored as a method of waste disposal for a number of reasons, often because it is probably the cheapest available method and also as a result of the availability of holes in the ground. (Igboama et al., 2022). Most of the landfills are open dumping grounds, and they pose serious environmental and health threat to humans (Yusuf et al., 2019). (Igboama et al., 2022). The continuous degradation of groundwater quality by anthropogenic activities from open dumpsites greatly affect its portability. Similarly physicochemical, bacteriological and heavy metal pollution of groundwater has a direct impact on human health which lead to water borne diseases such as typhoid, cholera(O et al., 2011) and Once groundwater becomes

References

- Agency, U. S. E. P., Solid, I., Division, W., Associates, F., & Village, P. (n.d.).
*CHARACTERIZATION OF MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE IN THE UNITED STATES : 1997
UPDATE Prepared for.*
- Ali, A. F. (2012). *Groundwater Pollution Threats of Solid Waste Disposal in Urban Kano ,
Nigeria : Table of Contents.*
- Ejaz, N., Akhtar, N., Nisar, H., & Naeem, U. A. (n.d.). *Environmental impacts of improper solid
waste management in developing countries : a case study of Rawalpindi City.* 142, 379–
387. <https://doi.org/10.2495/SW100351>
- Funk, S. P., Hnatyshin, D., & Alessi, D. S. (2017). SoftwareX HYDROSCAPE : A new versatile
software program for evaluating contaminant transport in groundwater. *SoftwareX*, 6, 261–
266. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.softx.2017.10.001>
hydroscape_v1. (n.d.).
- Ichwana, I., Syahrul, S., & Nelly, W. (2016). *Water Quality Index by Using National Sanitation
Foundation-Water Quality Index (NSF-WQI) Method at Krueng Tamiang Aceh.* 110–117.
<https://doi.org/10.21063/ICTIS.2016.1019>
- Igboama, W. N., Hammed, O. S., Fatoba, J. O., Aroyehun, M. T., & Ehiabhili, J. C. (2022).
Review article on impact of groundwater contamination due to dumpsites using geophysical
and physiochemical methods. *Applied Water Science*, 12(6), 1–14.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s13201-022-01653-z>
- Journal, E., Studies, E., & Vol, M. (2013). *SOURCE SPECIFIC QUANTIFICATION,
CHARACTERISATION AND MANAGEMENT OF SOLID WASTE IN LAPAI, NIGER
STATE, NIGERIA * EJARO, S.P.¹ and JIYA, S.N.².* 6(5), 561–569.
- Karunanidhi, D., Subramani, T., Roy, P. D., & Li, H. (2021). Impact of groundwater
contamination on human health Impact of groundwater contamination on human health.
Environmental Geochemistry and Health, January. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10653-021-
00824-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10653-021-00824-2)
- Lubrica, J. V., & Abiasen, J. T. (n.d.). *Assessment of the impact of open waste dumpsite on*

groundwater quality : A case study of the Payatas dumpsite in Quezon City , Philippines
Assessment of the impact of open waste dumpsite on groundwater quality : A case study of
the Payatas dumpsite in Que. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/344/1/012050>

Naseri-rad, M., Berndtsson, R., Mcknight, U. S., Persson, M., & Persson, K. M. (2021). *INSIDE-T : A Groundwater Contamination Transport Model for Sustainability Assessment in Remediation Practice.*

O, A. A., V, O. A., A, A. G., & A, O. A. (2011). *Assessment of groundwater contamination by leachate near a municipal solid waste landfill.* 5(November), 933–940.
<https://doi.org/10.5897/AJEST11.272>

Oyebode, O. J., Olowe, K. O., & Makanjuola, O. V. (2022). Analytical modeling of contaminant transport in groundwater using the Karanovic solution: a case study of Baruwa, Nigeria. *International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology, March.*
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s13762-022-04022-0>

Paper, C., Patil, N. S., & Prabhu, N. (2020). *Analysis of water quality parameters of groundwater near Ranebennur Industrial Area , Haveri district , Karnataka , India. March.*
<https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5141562>

Water, G. D., Index, Q., & Analysis, S. (n.d.). *No Title.*

Yusuf, A. A., Peter, O., Hassan, A. S., Tunji, L. A., Oyagbola, I. A., Mustafa, M. M., & Yusuf, D. A. (2019). Municipality solid waste management system for Mukono District, Uganda. *Procedia Manufacturing, 35*, 613–622. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.promfg.2019.06.003>