
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES ENGINEERING

FINAL YEAR THESIS.

**APPLICATION OF GIS AND REMOTELY SENSED DATA IN ASSESSING THE
POTENTIAL FOR DAM SITE ZONATION.**

Case study Area: Greater Soroti, Eastern block

BY

TIKOL JOSEPHINE

BU/UG/2019/0005

josephinetikol@gmail.com

0778517942/ 0740165447

SUPERVISOR: Mr. OKETCHO YORONIMO

Submission Date: 12th/ March/2024

“Submitted as a Final Year Thesis to the Department of Water Resources Engineering as a partial fulfillment for the award of a Bachelor’s degree at Busitema University.”

ABSTRACT.

Water scarcity coupled with food insecurity has been a major problem all over developing countries including Uganda; this is especially in rural areas where the citizenry is solely dependent on open water sources and rain-fed agriculture. This situation has been worsening with a constant negative change of climatic conditions. Dam site selection is a crucial task in the planning and development of water resource projects. Geographic Information Systems (GIS) have emerged as valuable tools for evaluating potential dam sites by incorporating various spatial data layers and analysis techniques.

This paper reviewed various case studies and methodologies employed in dam site selection using GIS. It discussed the key factors considered during the site selection process, including water availability, geological stability, environmental impacts, and socioeconomic considerations. Additionally, it explored the challenges and limitations associated with GISbased dam site selection, such as data availability, accuracy, and the need for expert knowledge in interpretation and analysis.

Chapter one included the background of the research, the problem statement, objectives, justification and scope of the project research.

Chapter two included the brief overview of dam development in Africa, Uganda in particular, the description of GIS and MCDA for land suitability analysis, as well as the Analytical Hierarchy Process.

Chapter three included the tools and the methods, and/ or activities used to generate the suitability model. The final output of this project was land suitability maps for dam sites.

According to the study, **44%** of Greater Soroti was highly suitable for dam site location, **41%** was moderately suitable and the rest **14%** was completely not Suitable for dam site location.

Chapter four included the methods used to validate the generated suitability model by actual findings on the ground, and experimental analysis. The findings of this research should therefore be considered by several stakeholders in order to increase water accessibility in Greater Soroti, Uganda and East Africa at large.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.

I give thanks to the Lord for He is good and His love endures forever, the fear of the Lord, is the beginning of wisdom

I owe my utmost recognition to my supervisor, **Mr. OKETCHO YORONIMO**, who contributed towards my success and completion of this project. Without his unreserved help, valuable guidance, patience and dedication, this study would not be achieved.

Special thanks go to administration of Busitema University more especially the Department of Mining and water Resources engineering for providing a conducive learning environment, and for always being there for me when I needed help; thanks so much for always bridging a gap for me to learn. I just won't forget your efforts soon.

I would like to convey my sincere appreciation to **Mr. BENEDICTO MASERUKA** for his meticulous guidance throughout the project period.

I indeed can't forget to appreciate my colleagues, the Collaborative BSc. Water Resources Engineering class of the year 2019, Busitema University, who were often there for me whenever I needed any help. I really thank you from the bottom of my heart.

Lastly, my sincere appreciation goes to my mother and brother for their friendship, generosity, love and kindness. I thank God for all the countless blessings that he has given me through them in every step of life.

Above all, Almighty God, you are the master of everything, may you continue blessing us.

DEDICATION.

I dedicate this report to my supervisor, Mr. Oketcho Yoronimo and to the beloved Busitema University community and more specifically to my beloved daughter, Kemanzi M. Joseline. May the almighty God bless and reward them abundantly.

APPROVAL

This thesis has been submitted to the department of Mining and Water Resources Engineering of Busitema University with approval of the following University Supervisor.

Mr. OKETCHO YORONIMO

Signature:



3 Nov 2023 10:07:18
33M P59989 9837526
Uganda
Approved
OKETCHO YORONIMO
10162934088

Date:

Contents

ABSTRACT	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	ii
DEDICATION	iii
DECLARATION	iv
APPROVAL.....	v
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	x
1.0 CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Statement of the Problem	2
1.3 Objectives.....	3
1.3.1 Main Objective	3
1.3.2 Specific Objectives.....	3
1.4 Research Question(s).....	4
1.5 Scope of the Study.....	4
1.5.1 Geographical scope	4
1.5.2 Conceptual scope.....	5
1.5.3 Time scope.....	5
1.6 Justification	5
2.0 CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1 History of dam construction in the world	6
2.2 Existing situation in Greater Soroti.....	7
2.3 Locating the best dam site.....	7
2.4 Overview of GIS, Remote Sensing and MCDA for Land Suitability Analysis	8
2.4.1 Analytical Hierarchy Process-briefly explained.....	10

3.0 CHAPTER THREE: METHODOLOGY	12
3.0.1 Introduction	12
3.0.2 Research Design	13
3.1 Methodology for specific objective one.....	13
3.1.1 Selection of criteria	13
3.1.2 Gathering the basic data regarding the criteria and the tools used.....	16
3.1.3 Study area delineation	17
3.1.4 Clipping.....	18
3.1.5 Data Vectorization	18
3.1.6 Masking.....	18
3.1.7 Buffer analysis.....	18
3.1.8 Standardization.....	19
3.1.9 Reclassification	19
3.2 Methodology for specific objective two.....	25
3.2.1 Multicriteria evaluation	25
3.2.2 Weight overlay tool	26
3.3 Methodology for specific objective three.....	27
3.3.1 On-field analysis.....	27
3.3.2 Laboratory Analysis	28
4.0 CHAPTER FOUR: PRESENTATION AND DISCUSSION OF RESULTS	31
4.1 PREPARATION AND GENERATION OF RELEVANT THEMATIC MAPS.....	31
4.1.1 Slope Suitability	31
4.1.2 Catchment size suitability	33
4.1.3 Elevation suitability.....	34
4.1.4 Hydrogeology suitability.....	35
4.1.5 Land use/ cover suitability	42

4.1.6 Proximity analysis suitability	44
4.2 CARRYING OUT THE MULTICRITERIA EVALUATION OF THE MODEL AND RANKING POTENTIAL AREAS FOR DAM SITE ZONATION.....	49
4.2.1 Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) ranking results	49
4.2.2 Weighted overlay results	53
4.3 VALIDATING THE GENERATED SUITABILITY MODEL FOR ACCURACY AND RELIABILITY	59
4.3.1 On field analysis.....	59
4.3.2 Laboratory Analysis	63
5.0 CHAPTER FIVE: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	67
5.1 CONCLUSIONS.....	67
5.2 RECOMMENDATIONS.....	67
REFERENCES	68
APPENDIX	72

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1 shows rating scale preferences between two different criteria	10
Table 2 shows the data required, source and function.	17
Table 3 shows slope reclassification	20
Table 4 shows catchment size reclassification.....	20
Table 5 shows elevation reclassification	20
Table 6 shows geology reclassification	21
Table 7 shows stream order reclassification	21
Table 8 shows rainfall reclassification	22
Table 9 shows soil texture reclassification	22
Table 10 shows soil pH reclassification.....	23
Table 11 shows land use/ cover reclassification	23
Table 12 shows proximity to rivers reclassification	24
Table 13 shows proximity to roads reclassification	24

Table 14 shows proximity to protected areas reclassification	24
Table 15 shows proximity to settlements reclassification	25
Table 16 shows Random Indices	27
Table 17 shows slope suitability	32
Table 18 shows catchment area suitability	34
Table 19 shows elevation suitability	35
Table 20 shows geology suitability.....	36
Table 21 shows stream order suitability	38
Table 22 shows rainfall suitability	39
Table 23 shows soil texture suitability.....	40
Table 24 shows soil pH suitability	42
Table 25 shows land use/ cover suitability	43
Table 26 shows proximity to rivers suitability	44
Table 27 shows proximity to roads suitability	46
Table 28 shows proximity to protected areas suitability	47
Table 29 shows proximity to settlements suitability.....	48
Table 30 shows pairwise comparison matrix (Hydrogeology)	49
Table 31 shows pairwise comparison matrix (proximity analysis)	49
Table 32 shows pairwise comparison matrix (Dam site suitability)	49
Table 33 shows normalized pairwise comparison matrix (Hydrogeology)	50
Table 34 shows normalized pairwise comparison matrix (proximity analysis)	50
Table 35 shows normalized pairwise comparison matrix (dam site suitability)	50
Table 36 shows estimating consistency ratio (Hydrogeology)	51
Table 37 shows estimating consistency ratio (proximity analysis)	51
Table 38 shows estimating consistency ratio (Dam site suitability)	51
Table 39 shows weighted overlay (Hydrogeology)	53
Table 40 shows Hydrogeology suitability	54
Table 41 shows weighted overlay (proximity analysis)	55
Table 42 Proximity analysis suitability.....	56
Table 43 shows weighted overlay (dam site suitability)	57
Table 44 shows the dam site suitability.	58
Table 45 shows the dam sites visited.	59

Table 46 shows interview results from three districts	60
Table 47 shows calculation for the Chi-square statistic value	61
Table 48 shows elevations for different dam sites	62
Table 49 shows soil texture results	63
Table 50 shows soil pH results	65

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 shows the case study area (Teso sub-region).	4
Figure 2 shows existing situation (floods Vs water scarcity).	7
Figure 3 shows the Conceptual framework	12
Figure 4 shows grid-masking process	18
Figure 5 shows the Analytical Hierarchy Process.	27
Figure 6 shows USDA textural triangle	30
Figure 7 shows slope suitability map	32
Figure 8 shows catchment area suitability	33
Figure 9 shows elevation suitability	35
Figure 10 shows geology suitability	36
Figure 11 shows stream order suitability	37
Figure 12 shows rainfall suitability.....	39
Figure 13 shows soil texture suitability	40
Figure 14 shows soil pH suitability	41
Figure 15 shows land use/ cover suitability	43
Figure 16 shows proximity to rivers suitability	44
Figure 17 shows proximity to roads suitability.....	45
Figure 18 shows proximity to protected areas suitability	47
Figure 19 shows proximity to settlements suitability	48
Figure 20 shows Hydrogeology suitability map	54
Figure 21 shows proximity analysis suitability map.....	56
Figure 22 shows the final dam site suitability map.....	58
Figure 23 shows a validated dam site suitability map	60
Figure 24 shows a scatter plot of GIS vs Field data (elevation)	63
Figure 25 shows a plot of GIS vs field data (soil texture-% clay)	65

Figure 26 shows a plot of GIS vs Field data (soil pH)..... 66
Figure 27 shows rail tail areas for Chi-square distribution 72
Figure 28 shows soil testing exercise..... 72

1.0 CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

In the year 2012, the United Nations held a conference on sustainable development in Rio de Janeiro. The objective was to produce a set of universal goals that meet the urgent environmental, political and economic challenges facing our world. In the year 2015, the 17 Sustainable Development Goals of the 2030 agenda were adopted by the world leaders and officially came into force (Bai et al., 2023). On 1st, January 2016, the United Nations via its Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) and Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) stressed universal and provincial collaboration to ascertain water concerns then resolve them cooperatively (Whittingham et al., 2023). This was due to realization of the increasing universal water utilization in domestic, industrial and agricultural areas that posed a probable risk to the future generation.

1.1 Background

Several government bodies and countries met in 2015 to implement the seventeen sustainable development goals (Bai et al., 2023). Among the goals, end poverty in all its forms everywhere and end hunger, achieve food security, and improved nutrition and promote sustainable agriculture were obtained as SDGs one and two respectively. This was due to the realization that food insecurity and malnutrition consigns large portions of the population to poverty, scarcity, vulnerability, hunger, low prospects of human development and even death.

The African continent is amongst the fastest developing continents on the globe. Its population hopped steeply from 0.927 billion in 2005 to 1.427 billion in 2022 (Ibrahim et al., 2023). With this fast growing population, it is estimated that by 2030, an approximate population of 150 million persons in Africa are likely to encounter a serious water hassle, agricultural production would be strictly reduced and production from rain-fed farming would possibly diminish by about 50% in various areas (Gambe et al., 2023). It is estimated by the United Nations Environment Program that above 2 billion people will live under conditions of nonstandard water hassle by 2050 (Dafevwakpo et al., 2023).

Uganda currently has a population of 49.38 million people, growing at a rate of 3.32% annually (Kawuki et al., 2023); agriculture is a crucial sector accounting for only 23.84% of the country's GDP. This low level of agriculture contribution to GDP is due to unreliable rainfall that can hardly sustain several agricultural activities. In addition, approximately 19% of Ugandans only

REFERENCES

- Ajibade, T. F., Nwogwu, N. A., Ajibade, F. O., Adelodun, B., & Idowu, T. E. (2020). *Potential dam sites selection using integrated techniques of remote sensing and GIS in Imo State , Southeastern , Nigeria*. 5, 1–16.
- Akumu, G. (2023). <https://doi.org/10.18697/ajfand.117.22345>. 23(2), 22328–22353.
- Al-ruzouq, R., Shanableh, A., Yilmaz, A. G., & Idris, A. (2019). *Dam Site Suitability Mapping and Analysis Using an Integrated GIS and Machine Learning Approach*.
- Alatawi, S. (2015). *Dam Site Selection Using Remote Sensing Techniques and Geographical Dam Site Selection Using Remote Sensing Techniques and Geographical Information System to Control Flood Events in Tabuk City*. January. <https://doi.org/10.4172/21577587.1000189>
- Arquero, A., Álvarez, M., & Martínez, E. (2009). *Decision Management Making by AHP (Analytical Hierarchy Process) trough GIS data*. 7(1), 101–106.
- Asala, L. (2017). *Site Suitability Mapping of Water Harvesting Structures Using GIS and Remote Sensing. Case Study: Machakos County*. <http://geology.uonbi.ac.ke/node/1109>
- Bai, C., Zhou, H., & Sarkis, J. (2023). *Evaluating Industry 4 . 0 technology and sustainable development goals – a social perspective*. 0–21. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2022.2164375>
- Basin, K. R., Khadka, J., & Bhaukajee, J. (n.d.). *Rainfall-Runoff Simulation and Modelling Using HEC-HMS and HEC-RAS Models : Case Studies from Nepal and Sweden*.
- Bayazit, Y., Bakış, R., & Koç, C. (2021). A study on transformation of multi-purpose dams into pumped storage hydroelectric power plants by using GIS model. *International Journal of Green Energy*, 18(3), 308–318. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15435075.2020.1865362>
- Browning, M. (2017). *Within What Distance Does — Greenness || Best Predict Physical Health ? A Systematic Review of Articles with GIS Buffer Analyses across the Lifespan*. 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph14070675>
- Collignon, B., & Collignon, B. (2000). *Region*. June. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.27473.81761>
- Constantin, R., Guy, G., Vincent, C., Peters, V., & Dassargues, A. (n.d.). *GIS-based hydrogeological databases and groundwater modelling*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-001-0167-3>

- Croke, K. (2023). Comparative Politics, Political Settlements, and the Political Economy of Health Financing Reform Comment on “Health Coverage and Financial Protection in Uganda: A Political Economy Perspective.” *Kerman University of Medical Sciences*, 12, 7630. <https://doi.org/10.34172/ijhpm.2023.7630>
- Dafevwakpo, O. J., Hope Amadi, A., & Bello Anka, R. (2023). Realization of United Nations’ Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs): The Project Manager’s role. *International Journal of Social Science And Human Research*, 06(01), 661–666. <https://doi.org/10.47191/ijsshr/v6-i1-86>
- Dai, X. (2016). *Dam site selection using an integrated method of AHP and GIS for decision making support in Dam site selection using an integrated method of AHP and GIS for decision making support in Bortala , Northwest China By. 14.*
- Ding, L., & Kinnucan, H. W. (2011). This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search . Help ensure our sustainability . *Journal of Gender, Agriculture and Food Security*, 1(3), 1–22.
- Gambe, T. R., Turok, I., & Visagie, J. (2023). The trajectories of urbanisation in Southern Africa: A comparative analysis. *Habitat International*, 132(December 2022). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.habitatint.2023.102747>
- Gebre, S. L. (2015). *Hydrology : Current Research Application of the HEC-HMS Model for Runoff Simulation of Upper Blue Nile River Basin. 6(2).* <https://doi.org/10.4172/21577587.1000199>
- Güven, A. B. (2019). Political economy. *The Routledge Handbook of Turkish Politics*, 554, 151–162. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315143842>
- Ibrahim, R. L., Al-Mulali, U., Ajide, K. B., Mohammed, A., & Al-Faryan, M. A. S. (2023). The Implications of Food Security on Sustainability: Do Trade Facilitation, Population Growth, and Institutional Quality Make or Mar the Target for SSA? *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 15(3). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15032089>
- Kawuki, J., Namboze, J., Chan, P. S. fong, Chen, S., Liang, X., Mo, P. K. H., & Wang, Z. (2023). Differential COVID-19 Vaccination Uptake and Associated Factors among the Slum and Estate Communities in Uganda: A Cross-Sectional Population-Based Survey. *Vaccines*, 11(2), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines11020440> Kemunto,
- N. (2016). *the University of Nairobi. November, 1–3.*

- Lecturer, E., Neki, P., Sharma, R., & College, G. (2017). *Historical Development of Dams in India*. 22(8), 16–18. <https://doi.org/10.9790/0837-2208071618>
- Mugerwa, S., Stephen, K., & Anthony, E. (2014). *Status of Livestock Water Sources in Karamoja*. 4(1), 58–66. <https://doi.org/10.5923/j.re.20140401.07>
- Mutengu, A. K. J. (2011). *Evaluation of Community Resilience in Teso , Uganda*. December.
- Natarajan, S., & Medium-sized, H. Á. H. Á. (2020). An Integrated Hydrologic and Hydraulic Flood Modeling Study for a Medium-Sized Ungauged Urban Catchment Area : A Case Study of Tiruchirappalli City Using HEC-HMS and HEC-RAS. *Journal of The Institution of Engineers (India): Series A*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40030-019-00427-2>
- Njiru, F. M. (2017). *University of Nairobi*. August.
- O’Brien, M. J., & Escudero, A. (2022). Topography in tropical forests enhances growth and survival differences within and among species via water availability and biotic interactions. *Functional Ecology*, 36(3), 686–698. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13977>
- Okello, D. K., Biruma, M., & Deom, C. M. (2010). *Overview of groundnuts research in Uganda : Past , present and future*. 9(39), 6448–6459.
- Oleyiblo, J. O., & Li, Z. (2010). Application of HEC-HMS for flood forecasting in Misai and Wan ’ an catchments in China. *Water Science and Engineering*, 3(1), 14–22. <https://doi.org/10.3882/j.issn.1674-2370.2010.01.002>
- Othman, A. A., Al-maamar, A. F., Ali, D., Amin, M., Liesenberg, V., Hasan, S. E., Obaid, A. K., & Al-quraishi, A. M. F. (2020). *GIS-Based Modeling for Selection of Dam Sites in the Kurdistan Region , Iraq*.
- Panagopoulos, T., Jesus, J., Antunes, M. D. C., & Beltr, J. (2006). *Analysis of spatial interpolation for optimising management of a salinized field cultivated with lettuce*. 24, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eja.2005.03.001>
- Rienye, M. N. (2022). *University of Nairobi*. June.
- Riley, L., & Crush, J. (2023). Transforming Urban Food Systems in Secondary Cities in Africa. In *Transforming Urban Food Systems in Secondary Cities in Africa*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-93072-1>
- Rojanamon, P., Chaisomphob, T., & Bureekul, T. (2009). *Application of geographical information system to site selection of small run-of-river hydropower project by considering engineering*

/ economic / environmental criteria and social impact. 13, 2336–2348.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2009.07.003>

Romanescu, G., Romanescu, A., & Romanescu, G. (2014). *HISTORY OF BUILDING THE MAIN DAMS AND RESERVOIRS*. 485–492.

Roy, A., Mayega, W., Tumuhameye, N., Atuyambe, L., Bua, G., Ssentongo, J., & Bazeyo, W. (2015). *Qualitative Assessment of Resilience to the Effects of Climate Variability in the Three Communities in Uganda*. July.

Roy, D., Begam, S., Ghosh, S., & Jana, S. (2013). *CALIBRATION AND VALIDATION OF HECHMS MODEL FOR A RIVER BASIN IN EASTERN INDIA*. 8(1), 40–56.

Taylor, R. G., Koussis, A. D., & Tindimugaya, C. (2009). *Groundwater and climate in Africa — a review*. 6667. <https://doi.org/10.1623/hysj.54.4.655>

Whittingham, K. L., Earle, A. G., Hiz, D. I. L., & Argiolas, A. (2023). *The impact of the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals on corporate sustainability reporting*.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/23409444221085585>

Yavuz, F. (2016). *AHP and GIS based land suitability analysis for Cihanbeyli (Turkey) County*.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-016-5558-9>