

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES ENGINEERING

FINAL YEAR PROJECT REPORT

PROGRAM: B.Sc. WATER RESOURCES ENGINEERING

TITLE: DEVELOPING FLOOD RESILIENCE FOR KYABAMBA WATERSHED IN KISIIZI THROUGH FLOOD WATER HARVESTING TECHNOLOGY

BY

MIGADDE LABSON TAMUZADDE

BU/UP/2019/1858

ARYAMPWERA HURUSURA

BU/UP/2019/1899

SUPERVISOR: Mr. KAJUBI ENOCK

A final year project report submitted to the Department of Water Resources Engineering as a partial fulfillment of the requirements for the award of a Bachelor of Science degree in Water Resources Engineering.

Abstract

Flooding is the second natural disaster that affects numerous communities worldwide, causing substantial damage to infrastructure, livelihoods, and human lives. Globally, floods have recently become rampant which many writers have attributed to climate change as a result of increased human activity that produces greenhouse gases. The same factor of climate change poses a threat of increased water scarcity in diverse regions especially the Sub-Saharan African region. For this reason, flood water harvesting technologies have been developed to mitigate adverse impacts of flooding and as well enhance flood resilience. This study thus presents a process taken in Kyabamba Catchment looking at the possibility of employing flood water harvesting technology to bring about flood resilience in the community.

In this study, data was collected from different sources and screening done on the rainfall and discharge datasets using the linear scaling percentage bias and linear regression respectively. Kyabamba Catchment being ungauged, physical similarity regionalization was done with Mitano Catchment as the donor. Rainfall frequency analysis with the aid of HEC-SSP 2.3, Hydrologic modeling with HEC-HMS 4.11 and continuous calibration for Mitano Catchment was done and parameters transferred to Kyabamba Catchment. Through 2-D hydrodynamic modeling using HEC-RAS 6.4.1 and Vulnerability analysis using spatial multicriterial Evaluation, flood risk assessment of Kyabamba Catchment was established. Potential flood water harvesting technologies that is to say Spate irrigation and recession farming, underground tank, valley dam, and surface reservoir were identified and a socio hydrologic approach with the help of a questionnaire was adopted to determine the most suitable technology. SPSS was used to evaluate the questionnaire and analyze the data. Information generated suggested a surface reservoir for the outcompeting technology however the local community of Kisiizi admitted to no water scarcity being rich with springs that continually flow from the hills. However, the neighboring communities of Kisiizi experience water scarcity being at a distance from the sources of water.

A retarding Basin with a broad crested weir was designed on one reach and this caused a flood reduction of 11.1%.

Table of Contents

A	bstract	t		i
D	eclarat	tion		V
Α	pprova	al		vi
Α	cknow	ledger	ment	vii
Li	st of A	crony	ms	. viii
Li	st of E	quatio	ns	ix
Li	st of ta	ables		ix
1 INTRODUCTION			JCTION	1
	1.1	BAC	KGROUND	1
	1.2	PRO	BLEM STATEMENT	3
	1.3	OBJI	ECTIVES	3
	1.3	.1	Main objective	3
	1.3	.2	Specific objectives	3
	1.4	JUST	TIFICATION	4
	1.5	SCO	PE OF STUDY	4
2	Literature review;			5
	2.1	Floo	ds	5
	2.2	Туре	es of floods	5
2.3 Fluvial, or river flood		Fluv	ial, or river flood	5
	2.4	Pluv	ial, or surface water flood	5
2.5 Coastal		Coas	stal flood	5
	2.6	Caus	ses of floods	5
	2.7	Hyd	rologic modelling	6
	2.8	Hyd	rologic models	6
	2.9	Clas	sification of hydrologic models;	6
	2.9	.1	Distributed Models:	7
	2.9	.2	Lumped Models	7
	2.9	.3	Physical Models:	7
	2.9	.4	Hybrid Models:	7
	2.9	.5	Soil and Water Assessment Tool (SWAT)	7
	2.9	.6	Hydrologic Modelling System (HEC-HMS)	8
	2.9	.7	Factors that influence rainfall runoff relationships	8

	2.9.	8	Model Validation	8
	2.9.	9	Nash-Sutcliff Efficiency (NSE)	9
	2.9.	10	Coefficient of determination R2	10
	2.9.	11	Root mean square Error	10
	2.9.12		Percentage bias	10
	2.9.	13	RMSE-observations standard deviation ratio (RSR)	10
	2.10	Floc	od risk vulnerability and Flood risk mapping	11
	2.11	Spat	tial Multi-Criteria Analysis	12
	2.12	Floc	od water harvesting	13
	2.12	2.1	Types of storage	13
	2.12	2.2	Identification of suitable floodwater harvesting system	13
	2.13	Area	a of Study	15
	2.13	3.1	Location	15
3	ME	THOD	OLOGY	16
	3.1	Met	thodology for Objective one	16
	3.1.	1	Data collection	16
	3.1.	2	Data Screening and preparation	16
	3.1.	3	Rainfall frequency analysis	18
	3.1.	4	Regionalization	19
	3.1.5		Calibration and validation of the model	22
	3.2	Met	thodology for Specific objective 2	23
	3.2.	1	1- D Hydrodynamic modelling	23
	3.2.2		Vulnerability Analysis	23
	3.3	Obje	ective three	26
	3.3.	1	Socio hydrologic approach	26
	3.3.	2	Designing of the flood harvesting system	26
4	Res	ults a	nd discussion	31
	4.1	Spe	cific objective one	31
	4.1.	1	Bias correction for rainfall	31
	4.1.	2	Linear regression for Mitano stream flow	32
	4.1.	3	Regionalization	34
	4.1.	4	Mitano catchment	34
	4.2	Ohie	ective Two:	40

4.3 Ob	ojective three	46
4.3.1	Socio-hydrologic approach	46
4.3.2	Reliability and correlation analysis	52
4.4 De	esigning of the flood harvesting system	53
4.4.1	Designing of an intake open channel	53
4.4.2	Designing of a 1D culvert.	54
4.4.3	Designing two 1D levees	55
4.4.4	Designing the retarding basin storage	56
4.4.5	The Weir	57
4.4.6	Designing the Reservoir storage	58
5 Conclus	sion, Challenges and Recommendation	60
5.1 Co	nclusion	60
5.2 Ch	allenges	61
5.3 Re	commendations	61
6 Referen	nces	62
7 Append	lices	65

Declaration

I, MIGADDE LABSON TAMUZADDE, AND ARYAMPWERA HURUSURA, solemnly declare that this final year project report is a result of our efforts, and has never been submitted to Busitema University or any other institution of higher learning for any academic award.

Name: MIGADDE LABSON TAMUZADDE

Reg. No.: BU/UP/2019/1858

Signature:

Date: 26th January 2024

Email: labsonmig@gmail.com

Name: ARYAMPWERA HURUSURA

Reg. No: BU/UP/2019/1899

Signature:

Date:

26th January 2024

Email: hurusuragift@gmail.com

Approval

This is to confirm that the project report was completed under my guidance and is prepared for submission to the Department of Water resources Engineering, Faculty of Engineering and Technology, Busitema University.

Supervisor;

Signature:

Name: Mr. Kajubi Enock

Date: 11th January, 2024

Email: <u>fk.enock@gmail.com</u>

Acknowledgement

We thank the almighty GOD, who has given us life and made it possible that we could reach this academic level.

We also acknowledge the support of our Supervisor Mr. Kajubi Enock who generously guided us and put forth his precious time for us to see that our work is of substance.

List of Acronyms

SDG Sustainable Development Goal

NDP National Development Plan

GIS Geographical Information system

USEPA United States Environment Protection Agency

AGWA Alliance for Global Water Adaptation

HEC-HMS Hydrologic Engineering Centre – Hydrologic Modelling System

USGS United States Geological Centre

DEM Digital Elevation Model

HRU Hydraulic Response Unit

LULC Land Use Land Cover

NDVI Normalized Deviation of Vegetation Index

TWI Topographic Wetness Index

List of Equations

Equation 2-1 Nash Sutcliffe Efficiency	9
Equation 2-2 : Coefficient of determination	10
Equation 2-3 Root mean square error	10
Equation 2-4: Percentage Bias	10
Equation 2-5: RMSE-observations standard deviation ratio	11
Equation 3-1	16
Equation 3-2	17
Equation 3-3 : Physical similarity	20
Equation 3-4	21
Equation 3-5	21
Equation 3-6	21
Equation 3-7	22
Equation 3-8(Continuity Equation)	23
Equation 3-9(Momentum Equation)	23
Equation 3-10	
Equation 3-11	30

List of tables

- Table 1 : Nash Sutcliffe Efficiency Index
- Table 2: Data use and sources
- Table 3: Distribution ranking based on Goodness of fit test
- Table 4 : Distribution ranking based on Parameter estimation
- Table 5 Table generated for the hydrographs
- Table 6: Results for rainfall frequency analysis: where Rfl_dpt is rainfall depth in mm while I is rainfall intensity in mm/h
- Table 7: Performance of the HMS model for Mitano Catchment
- Table 8: Flows for the different return periods.
- Table 9: Flood inundation for the different return periods.
- Error! Reference source not found.

1 INTRODUCTION

This chapter consists of; background, problem statement, justification, scope of study and objectives of the project.

1.1 BACKGROUND

According to Barnard et al., (2019), the US Department of Defense (DoD) pointed out a one ensuring threat multiplier climate change, that stages a risk of geopolitical instability across the whole world. Bevacqua et al., (2019) expresses the Climate change terror as a multidimensional threat but mostly hydrologically. In his study, Tabari, (2020) concludes with evidence of increased extreme weather events especially extreme flooding and precipitation as attributed to climate change and more precisely, global warming. He continues to state that the changes in extreme weather events will even intensify over numerous parts of the globe yet with a decreasing water availability (increasing water scarcity)(WBG, 2021). Human activity that produces greenhouse gases, such as burning fossil fuels, is attributed to the cause of increasing global warming which in turn triggers climate change resulting into increased frequency and intensity of rains and droughts and floods(Christopher, 2022).

Floods are one of the most disastrous natural catastrophes that have caused a high mortality rate, infrastructure destruction, and considerable financial losses. Floods happen when there is an overabundance of water after a heavy rain and the natural drainage systems are unable to transport it (Merz et al., (2021), Delorence-BU, (2017). Landcover, geography, the stream network's poor ability to transport runoff, and climatic conditions brought on by heavy rain events are other factors that contribute to floods (Sholihah et al., 2020).

The most intense floods recorded in northeastern South America from 2015-2016 caused thousands of deaths and losses of millions of dollars in terms of property(Papaioannou et al., 2018). Cerrado region of Brazil, on 19th February 2023 and Turkish provinces of Anlurfa and Adyaman on March 15, 2023 are one of the fresh victims of in terms of deaths and loss of property.(*Turkish Floods*, 2023). The average annual economic loss due to flooding is about 18 billion US dollars for the last 30 years according to the International Disaster Database. Tramblay et al., (2020) states that over 27000 fatalities during the period 1950–2019 have been recorded in Africa. Uganda has faced a large economic set back as many of her major rivers have been flooding severely.

While floods are devastating the world, on the other hand is the increasing hydrological drought which has put forth a water scarcity crisis. Water scarcity has been placed among the top three

6 References

- 1. 2023 Turkish floods. (2023).
- 2. Abdeldayem, O. M., Eldaghar, O., Mostafa, M. K., Habashy, M. M., Hassan, A. A., Mahmoud, H., Morsy, K. M., Abdelrady, A., & Peters, R. W. (2020). *Mitigation Plan and Water Harvesting of Flashflood in Arid Rural Communities Using Modelling Approach: A Case Study in Afouna Village*, Egypt. 1–24.
- 3. Adaawen, S., Rademacher-Schulz, C., Schraven, B., & Segadlo, N. (2019). *Drought, migration, and conflict in sub-Saharan Africa: what are the links and policy options?* 15–31. https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-814820-4.00002-x
- 4. Anim, D. O., & Ofori-Asenso, R. (2020). Water scarcity and COVID-19 in sub-Saharan Africa. *Journal of Infection*, 81(2), e108–e109. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2020.05.032
- 5. Barnard, P. L., Erikson, L. H., Foxgrover, A. C., Hart, J. A. F., Limber, P., Neill, A. C. O., Ormondt, M. Van, Vitousek, S., Wood, N., Hayden, M. K., & Jones, J. M. (2019). *Dynamic flood modeling essential to assess the coastal impacts of climate change*. 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-40742-z
- 6. Bevacqua, E., Maraun, D., Vousdoukas, M. I., Voukouvalas, E., & Vrac, M. (2019). Higher probability of compound flooding from precipitation and storm surge in Europe under anthropogenic climate change. September, 1–8.
- 7. Brewis, A., Rosinger, A., Wutich, A., Adams, E., Cronk, L., Pearson, A., Workman, C., & Young, S. (2019). Water sharing, reciprocity, and need: A comparative study of interhousehold water transfers in sub-Saharan Africa. *Economic Anthropology*, *6*(2), 208–221. https://doi.org/10.1002/sea2.12143
- 8. Cartland, R., Sendegeya, A. M., & Hakizimana, J. de D. K. (2023). Performance Analysis of a Hybrid of Solar Photovoltaic, Genset, and Hydro of a Rural-Based Power Mini-Grid: Case Study of Kisiizi Hydro Power Mini-Grid, Uganda. *Processes*, 11(1). https://doi.org/10.3390/pr11010175
- 9. Christopher, F. (2022). Review of Related Literature About Floods Management & Socio-Economic Development of People of Kambuga Subcounty, Kanungu District in Uganda. *International Journal of Academic Management Science Research (IJAMSR)*, 6(July), 160–164. www.ijeais.org/ijamsr
- 10. Delorence-BU, M. (2017). FLOOD RISK ASSESSMENT IN NAMISINDWA DISTRICT USING GIS. 1–14.
- 11. Deogade, P. P., Gulab, M., & Sabapure, P. V. (2020). STUDY ABOUT FLOOD CONTROL BY UNDERGROUND WATER TANK IN BORI GOSAVI VILLAGE. 1845–1847.
- 12. Diego Garcia-Landarte Puertas, Frank van Steenbergen, A. M., & Haile, Matthijs Kool, T. G. E. (2016). *Flood based farming systems in Africa 5*.
- 13. du Plessis, A. (2019). Current and Future Water Scarcity and Stress. *Springer Water*, 13–25. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-03186-2_2
- 14. Elewa, H., Sciences, S., & Qaddah, A. (2012). Determining Potential Sites for Runoff Water Harvesting using Remote Sensing and Geographic Information Systems-Based Modeling in Sinai Determining Potential Sites for Geographic Information Systems-Based Modeling in Sinai Department of Water Resources, January.
- 15. Giordano, M., Barron, J., & Ünver, O. (2019). Water Scarcity and Challenges for Smallholder Agriculture. *Sustainable Food and Agriculture*, 75–94. https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-812134-4.00005-4
- 16. Jehan Gulamussen, N., Arsénio, A. M., Pedro Matsinhe, N., & Cornelis Rietveld, L. (2019). Water reclamation for industrial use in sub-Saharan Africa-A critical review. *Drinking Water Engineering and Science*, 12(2), 45–58. https://doi.org/10.5194/dwes-12-

- 45-2019
- 17. Justine, O. (2018). Researchrf~port. *EFFECTS OF SEASONAL FLOODS ON SOCCIO-ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT IN BUKEDEA DISTRICT, October.*
- 18. Kajubi, E. (2016). Application of geospatial techniques in the analysis and mitigation of the flood risk along Nyamwamba River.pdf.
- 19. Kisiizi hospital. (2020). The clean up is underway www.kisiizihospital.org.ug
- 20. Kolathayar, S., Sitharam, T. G., & Yang, S. (2019). Coastal reservoir strategy to enhance India's freshwater storage by impounding river flood waters: a detailed overview. 703–717. https://doi.org/10.2166/ws.2018.140
- 21. Los, U. M. D. E. C. D. E. (2016). *Modeling methods and practices in soil and water engineering*.
- 22. Merz, B., Blöschl, G., Vorogushyn, S., Dottori, F., Aerts, J. C. J. H., Bates, P., Bertola, M., Kemter, M., Kreibich, H., Lall, U., & Macdonald, E. (2021). Causes, impacts and patterns of disastrous river floods. *Nature Reviews Earth and Environment*, 2(9), 592–609. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-021-00195-3
- 23. Moges, E., Demissie, Y., Larsen, L., & Yassin, F. (2021). Review: Sources of hydrological model uncertainties and advances in their analysis. *Water (Switzerland)*, 13(1), 1–23. https://doi.org/10.3390/w13010028
- 24. Moriasi, D. N., Gitau, M. W., Pai, N., & Daggupati, P. (2015). *H w q m : p m e c*. 58(6), 1763–1785. https://doi.org/10.13031/trans.58.10715
- 25. Papaioannou, G., Loukas, A., & Vasiliades, L. (2018). Flood Risk Management Methodology for Lakes and Adjacent Areas: The Lake Pamvotida Paradigm. i, 21. https://doi.org/10.3390/ecws-3-05825
- 26. Podvezko, V. (2009). Application of AHP technique. *Journal of Business Economics and Management*, *10*(2), 181–189. https://doi.org/10.3846/1611-1699.2009.10.181-189
- 27. Poudel, R. (2017). Surface Hydrologic Modeling and Analyzing Watershed Hydrologic Response to Landcover Change. *Thesis*, 13.
- 28. Prinz, D., & Singh, A. (n.d.). *Technological Potential for Improvements of Water Harvesting*.
- 29. Rehman, A. (2015). Flood Disaster in Pakistan and its Impact on Agriculture Growth (A Review). 1700(2003), 39–42.
- 30. Sholihah, Q., Kuncoro, W., Wahyuni, S., Puni Suwandi, S., & Dwi Feditasari, E. (2020). The analysis of the causes of flood disasters and their impacts in the perspective of environmental law. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 437(1). https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/437/1/012056
- 31. Singh, V. P. (2018). Hydrologic modeling: progress and future directions. *Geoscience Letters*, 5(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40562-018-0113-z
- 32. Srinivasan, R., Santhi, C., Harmel, R. D., & Griensven, A. Van. (2012). *Swat: m. 55*(4), 1491–1508.
- 33. Swain, S., Mishra, S. K., Pandey, A., Pandey, A. C., Jain, A., & Chauhan, S. K. (2022). Hydrological modelling through SWAT over a Himalayan catchment using high-resolution geospatial inputs. *Environmental Challenges*, 8(July), 100579. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envc.2022.100579
- 34. Tabari, H. (2020). Climate change impact on flood and extreme precipitation increases with water availability. *Scientific Reports*, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-70816-2
- 35. Tramblay, Y., Villarini, G., & Zhang, W. (2020). Observed changes in flood hazard in Africa. *Environmental Research Letters*, *15*(10). https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/abb90b
- 36. V, S. S. S., Roy, P. S., Chakravarthi, V., & G, S. R. (2017). Flood Risk Assessment using

- Multi-criteria analysis: A case study from Kopili Flood risk assessment using multi-criteria analysis: a case study from Kopili River Basin, Assam, India. December. https://doi.org/10.1080/19475705.2017.1408705
- 37. Wang, S., Zhang, K., van Beek, L. P. H., Tian, X., & Bogaard, T. A. (2020). Physically-based landslide prediction over a large region: Scaling low-resolution hydrological model results for high-resolution slope stability assessment. *Environmental Modelling and Software*, *124*(September 2019), 104607. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2019.104607
- 38. WBG. (2021). Climate Risk Country Profile: Uganda. The World Bank Group, 36.
- 39. Yang, M., Qian, X., Zhang, Y., Sheng, J., Shen, D., & Ge, Y. (2011). Spatial Multicriteria Decision Analysis of Flood Risks in Aging-Dam Management in China: A Framework and Case Study. 1368–1387. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph8051368
- 40. Zhai, X., Guo, L., Liu, R., Zhang, Y., & Zhang, Y. (2021). Comparing Three Hydrological Models for Flash Flood Simulations in 13 Humid and Semi-humid Mountainous Catchments. *Water Resources Management*, *35*(5), 1547–1571. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11269-021-02801-x
- 41. Zurich Insurance. (2020). Four common types of flood explained. *July 22, 2020*, 1–5. https://www.zurich.com/en/knowledge/topics/flood-and-water-damage/three-common-types-of-flood