



FACULTY OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES ENGINEERING
FINAL YEAR PROJECT REPORT

PROJECT TITLE

INTEGRATING SUSTAINABLE URBAN DRAINAGE SYSTEM INTO STORM WATER
MANAGEMENT FOR AN EFFICIENT MUNICIPAL DRAINAGE
A CASE OF KASESE MUNICIPALITY.

BY

NAME: OCHWO ANDREW

REG NO. BU/UP/2020/1997

EMAIL: andrewochwob@gmail.com

SUPERVISORS: MR. KAJUBI ENOCK

MS. ANANO GLORIA

MR. MASERUKA BENDICTO

A final year project submitted to the Department of Water Resources Engineering in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the award of a Bachelor of Science degree in Water Resources Engineering.

MAY 2024

ABSTRACT

Flooding of urban areas is a worldwide problem as cities grow and the amount of impermeable surfaces increase generating more surface runoff. This poses significant challenges to traditional stormwater management systems, leading to increased flooding. This thesis explores the integration of Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SUDS) as a solution for a more resilient municipal drainage network for Kasese Municipality. The research evaluated the performance of the existing natural and artificial drainage systems within the municipality using hydrologic and hydraulic models in PCSWMM. Rainfall frequency analysis was carried out using the Gumbel distribution after best fit tests and the design storms that correspond to the 2, 5, 10, 25, 50, 100, 200 and 500-year return periods were computed. Using a high-resolution Digital Elevation Model (DEM) of 12.5m, a watershed delineation was carried out and the sub-basin parameters calculated accordingly. Using the watershed parameters obtained, the design storm and the hydraulic properties of the existing drainage network collected from the field as inputs to PCSWMM, runoff simulations were carried out. The analysis revealed that the current system experiences frequent flooding and high flow rates, highlighting the need for improvement. To address this issue, a multi-objective approach was adopted. Firstly, the TOPSIS method was employed to identify the most suitable SUDS option for stormwater runoff control. In light of the analysis, infiltration trenches emerged as the most effective solution. Subsequently, an infiltration trench design was developed and incorporated into the PCSWMM model using LID controls tool. The results demonstrated a significant reduction in flooding and flow rates following the inclusion of the infiltration trench. This finding suggests that integrating SUDS, particularly infiltration trenches, offers a promising approach to enhance the resilience and sustainability of municipal drainage systems for managing urban stormwater runoff.

Keywords: Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SUDS), Stormwater Management, Hydraulic Model, Hydrologic Model, PCSWMM, TOPSIS, Urban flooding.

DECLARATION

I OCHWO ANDREW, solemnly declare that this final year project is my own research, and has never been submitted to Busitema University or any other institution of higher learning for any academic award.

Signature:
Date: 19.06.2024

APPROVAL

I am presenting this report which has been done, read and produced under my efforts to the department of Water Resources Engineering with Approval from the following supervisors:

Ms. Anano Gloria

Signature: 

Date: **18th June 2024**

Name: Mr. Kajubi Enock

Signature: 

Date: **18th June 2024**

Mr. Maseruka Bendicto

Signature

Date

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I thank the almighty God for keeping me in Devine health and enabling me to undertake this project. I express my gratitude to my supervisors, Mr. Kajubi Enock and Ms. Anano Gloria for their guidance, supervision, and support throughout this work and great thanks to my parents, Mr. and Mrs. Odoi Boniventure, for funding this research. I also thank my fellow students who offered necessary help to me during the preparation of this report.

DEDICATION

I dedicate this report to God for all the ideas and help He gave to me through the Holy spirit, May His name be Glorified. To my supervisor, Mr. Kajubi Enock, for his support, guidance, and encouragement throughout this project preparation. To my parents and siblings for their unending support rendered unto me and to the people of Kasese, who deserve a flood-resilient and environmentally sustainable future.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	i
DECLARATION.....	ii
APPROVAL.....	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
DEDICATION	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
LIST OF ACRONYMS	viii
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF FIGURES.....	x
1.0 INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem statement	3
1.3 Objectives	4
1.4 Justification	4
1.5 Research questions	4
1.6 Significance of the study.....	5
1.7 Scope of the study	5
2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW	8
3.0 METHODOLOGY	18
3.1 Data sets, sources, and usage	18
3.2 Existing drainage performance evaluation	19
3.3 SUDS selection and design.....	26
3.4 Assessment of the performance of the drainage system with inclusion of Infiltration trench.	30
4.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS.....	32
4.1 The existing natural and artificial drainage performance.	32

4.2 SUDS selection and infiltration trench design.	45
4.3 Performance of the drainage system with inclusion of Infiltration trench.	51
5.0 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	56
5.1 Conclusion	56
5.2 Recommendation.....	56
5.3 Challenges faced	57
REFERENCES	59
APPENDICES	62

LIST OF ACRONYMS

PCSWMM: Personal Computer Storm Water Management Model

SDGs: Sustainable Development Goals

SUDS: Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems

IPCC: Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change

NBI: Nile Basin Initiative

GIS: Geographical Information System

MCDA: Multi Criteria Decision Analysis

AHP: Analytical Hierarchy Process

LID: Low Impact Development

TOPSIS: Technique for Order Preference by Similarity to Ideal Solution

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1 Showing data sets, function and resolution.....	19
Table 2 Sub catchment characteristics and their input method into PCSWMM	23
Table 3 Goodness of fit test results	32
Table 4 Parameters for the different probability distributions	33
Table 5 shows maximum flooding and peak discharges for different return periods	39
Table 6 Showing Weightage criteria	45
Table 7 Showing Normalized Matrix	46
Table 8 Shows Weighted Normalized Matrix, Ideal best and Ideal worst solution	46
Table 9 Showing Euclidean distances from the ideal best and ideal worst and performance score	46
Table 10 Flood reduction percentages.....	51

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 Location of the study area	6
Figure 2 frequency analysis	20
Figure 3 Showing Watershed delineation	22
Figure 4 PCSWMM model.....	25
Figure 5 PCSWMM SUDS scenario modeling	31
Figure 6 Sub catchment areas	36
Figure 7 Watershed.....	36
Figure 8 Calibration graph.....	37
Figure 9 Flood hydrograph corresponding to 50year return period.....	40
Figure 10 Channel profile showing surcharging in the junctions	40
Figure 11 Shows the peak discharge corresponding to 50year return period	41
Figure 12 Flood depth map.....	42
Figure 13 Flood risk map showing the conventional drainage channels at risk of flooding.....	43
Figure 14 Flood hydrograph for the sample conventional drainage channels showing flood peaks	44
Figure 15 Sample network profile indicating flooding nodes and surcharging.....	44
Figure 16 Infiltration trench	49
Figure 18 Flood hydrograph corresponding to 50year return period showing a significant reduction in flood peaks	53
Figure 19 Channel profile showing no more surcharging in the junctions	53
Figure 20 Flood hydrograph for the sample conventional drainage channels showing no more flood peaks.....	54
Figure 21 Sample network profile indicating no more flooding nodes and surcharging	54
Figure 22 Flood risk map showing no more risk of flooding in conventional drainage channels	55

CHAPTER ONE

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Flooding is a global challenge that has been exacerbated by factors such as climate change and rapid urbanization. Globally, urban areas are experiencing increased flooding due to the alteration of natural hydrological systems. The development of impervious surfaces leads to decreased infiltration and increased surface runoff, overwhelming existing drainage systems (Bazrkar et al., 2015). Cities growing bigger means more buildings and less nature. This disrupts how water naturally soaks into the ground. Rain runs off paved surfaces like roads and sidewalks instead, overloading drainage systems and causing worse floods(Khan et al., 2022).

Across Africa, flooding is a big problem that keeps happening. Heavy rains cause rivers to overflow and flood plains to fill up, leading to trouble everywhere from deserts to the coast. This flooding forces people to move, wrecks buildings and roads, and hurts food crops. While people are trying to fix this with things like higher walls and better weather warnings, there's a need for a bigger plan to keep these floods from causing so much damage (Douglas, 2017).

The concept of Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SUDS) has gained prominence globally as a holistic and environmentally friendly solution to urban stormwater challenges(Fletcher et al., 2015). SUDS focus on mimicking natural drainage processes and promoting water infiltration through the integration of green and blue infrastructures (Zhou, 2014). Cases of effective SUDS implementation is found in cities around the world(Vincent et al., 2017). For instance, in Portland, Oregon, SUDS have been credited with reducing flooding by 85% and improving water quality by 90%. (Abellán García et al., 2021; Lashford et al., 2019).

In Uganda, the impact of urbanization on natural hydrology is evident, with severe flooding incidents reported in various parts of the country. The situation in Kasese Municipality is particularly dire. The region has experienced repeated flooding events, with significant consequences for the local population (Nsubuga et al., 2014; Noble & Kingsland, 2019).

Despite the Ugandan government's continuous effort to control flooding in the Rwenzori region specifically in the Nyamwamba catchment since 2013 (Noble and Kingsland, 2019), Kasese has experienced several other flash floods (Thawite, 2020), which raises concerns not only about the

REFERENCES

- Abellán García, A. I., Cruz Pérez, N., & Santamarta, J. C. (2021). Sustainable urban drainage systems in Spain: Analysis of the research on SUDS based on climatology. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 13(13). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13137258>
- Akhter, M. S., & Hewa, G. A. (2016). The use of PCSWMM for assessing the impacts of land use changes on hydrological responses and performance of WSUD in managing the impacts at Myponga catchment, South Australia. *Water (Switzerland)*, 8(11). <https://doi.org/10.3390/w8110511>
- Author, S., Bartles, M., Faber, B., Fleming, M., Slaughter, J., & North, T. (2023). *HEC-SSP User's Manual Report Documentation Page. 0704*.
- Bazrkar, M. H., Zamani, N., Eslamian, S., Eslamian, A., & Dehghan, Z. (2015). Urbanization and Climate Change - Handbook of Climate Change Adaptation. *Handbook of Climate Change Adaptation*.
- Cotterill, S., & Bracken, L. J. (2020). Water Assessing the Effectiveness of Sustainable Impacts and Challenges. *Water*, 1–21.
- Ellafi, M., Simmons, R., & Deeks, L. (2024). Drainage models: An evaluation of their applicability for the design of drainage systems in arid regions. *Water and Environment Journal*, 38(1), 3–19. <https://doi.org/10.1111/wej.12893>
- Fletcher, T. D., Andrieu, H., & Hamel, P. (2013). *Understanding, management and modelling of urban hydrology and its consequences for receiving waters: A state of the art*. 51(January), 1–7.
- Fletcher, T. D., Shuster, W., Hunt, W. F., Ashley, R., Butler, D., Arthur, S., Trowsdale, S., Barraud, S., Semadeni-Davies, A., Bertrand-Krajewski, J. L., Mikkelsen, P. S., Rivard, G., Uhl, M., Dagenais, D., & Viklander, M. (2015). SUDS, LID, BMPs, WSUD and more – The evolution and application of terminology surrounding urban drainage. *Urban Water Journal*, 12(7), 525–542. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1573062X.2014.916314>
- Francisco, T. H. S., Menezes, O. V. C., Guedes, A. L. A., Maquera, G., Neto, D. C. V., Longo, O. C., Chinelli, C. K., & Soares, C. A. P. (2022). The Main Challenges for Improving Urban Drainage Systems from the Perspective of Brazilian Professionals. *Infrastructures*, 8(1), 5. <https://doi.org/10.3390/infrastructures8010005>
- Castrians, D. (2020). *Infiltration Trench. December*.
- ICLR. (2021). Types of Flooding. *Institute for Catastrophic Loss Reduction, 2021*(April 5). <http://library.thinkquest.org/03oct/02054/floodtype.htm>
- Kazmierczak, A., & Carter, J. (2010). Augustenborg, Malmö: Retrofitting SUDS in an urban regeneration area. *Adaptation to Climate Change Using Green and Blue Infrastructure. A Database of Case Studies.*, 1–8.
- Khan, M. P., Hubacek, K., Brubaker, K. L., Sun, L., & Moglen, G. E. (2022). Stormwater Management Adaptation Pathways under Climate Change and Urbanization. *Journal of*

- Sustainable Water in the Built Environment*, 8(4). <https://doi.org/10.1061/jswbay.0000992>
- Kimera, D., & Tumwijukye, S. (2022). Early River Flood-Warning System Based on Embedded Systems. *East African Journal of Engineering*, 5(1), 1–27. <https://doi.org/10.37284/eaje.5.1.541>
- Lashford, C., Rubinato, M., Cai, Y., Hou, J., Abolfathi, S., Coupe, S., Charlesworth, S., & Tait, S. (2019). SuDS & sponge cities: A comparative analysis of the implementation of pluvial flood management in the UK and China. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 11(1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11010213>
- Li, H., & Wu, H. (2012). Representing Natural and Manmade Drainage Systems in an Earth System Modeling Framework. *Irrigation & Drainage Systems Engineering*, 01(02), 1–2. <https://doi.org/10.4172/2168-9768.1000e107>
- Litrice, X., & Fromion, V. (2009). Modeling of Open Channel Flow. *Modeling and Control of Hydrosystems*, 17–41. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-84882-624-3_2
- Madanchian, M., & Taherdoost, H. (2023). A comprehensive guide to the TOPSIS method for multi-criteria decision making. *Sustainable Social Development*, 1(1), 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.54517/ssd.v1i1.2220>
- Mahdi, N., Elhadi, H., & Pagilla, K. (2017). Case Study of the Chicago River Watershed: Physical Modeling vs Data-driven Modeling of an Urban Watershed. *Journal of Water Management Modeling, Sutherland 2000*, 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.14796/jwmm.c431>
- Mezue, M., Charlesworth, S. M., Warwick, F., MacLellan, M., & Bennett, J. (2019). Exploring the potentials of using suds for attainment of sustainable surface water management in developing countries: a case study of lagos nigeria. In *Stormwater: Sources, Monitoring and Management*.
- Mguni, P., Herslund, L., & Jensen, M. B. (2015). *Green infrastructure for flood-risk management in Dar es Salaam and Copenhagen : Exploring the potential for transitions towards sustainable urban water management. February*. <https://doi.org/10.2166/wp.2014.047>
- Noble, A., & Kingsland, R. (2019). *A Resilient Dam for a Resilient Community in East Africa : Challenges in designing small hydropower for a wild river Resilience for hydropower*. 1–9.
- Nsubuga, F. N. W., Olwoch, J. M., de Rautenbach, C. J. W., & Botai, O. J. (2014). Analysis of mid-twentieth century rainfall trends and variability over southwestern Uganda. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology*, 115(1–2), 53–71. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-013-0864-6>
- Parnas, F. E. Å., Abdalla, E. M. H., & Muthanna, T. M. (2021). Evaluating three commonly used infiltration methods for permeable surfaces in urban areas using the SWMM and STORM. *Hydrology Research*, 52(1), 160–175. <https://doi.org/10.2166/NH.2021.048>
- Republic, T. (2022). *Ministry of water and environment integrated water management and development Terms of Reference For Consultancy Services for Design of River Nyamwamba Maintenance and Flood Protection Works September 2022. September*.
- Roesner, L. A. (2009). Storm Water Management Model Manual. *Usepa, July*, 1–12. <https://data.aquacloud.net/public/2018/hla->

hydrolatinamerica/olivgon5/public/Data/epaswmm5_user_manual.pdf

- Save, U. P. T. O. (2019). *Cities100 : In Portland , watershed restoration is bringing back thriving habitats and boosting climate resilience. October.*
- Sidek, L. M., Chua, L. H. C., Azizi, A. S. M., Basri, H., Jaafar, A. S., & Moon, W. C. (2021). Application of pswmm for the 1-d and 1-d-2-d modeling of urban flooding in damansara catchment, malaysia. *Applied Sciences (Switzerland)*, 11(19), 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app11199300>
- States, U. (2015). *Storm Water Management Model User 's Manual Version 5 . 1 Storm Water Management Model. September.*
- Stolt, M. (2009). *Rhode Island Method for Determining Hydrologic Soil Group by Site Specific Soil Mapping.* 1–16.
- Taherdoost, H., & Madanchian, M. (2023). Multi-Criteria Decision Making (MCDM) Methods and Concepts. *Encyclopedia*, 3(1), 77–87. <https://doi.org/10.3390/encyclopedia3010006>
- Vafaei, N., Ribeiro, R. A., & Camarinha-Matos, L. M. (2018). Data normalisation techniques in decision making: Case study with TOPSIS method. *International Journal of Information and Decision Sciences*, 10(1), 19–38. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJIDS.2018.090667>
- Vincent, S. U., Radhakrishnan, M., Hayde, L., & Pathirana, A. (2017). Enhancing the economic value of large investments in Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS) through inclusion of ecosystems services benefits. *Water (Switzerland)*, 9(11). <https://doi.org/10.3390/w9110841>
- Vivekanandan, N. (2017). Assessment of Extreme Rainfall Using Gumbel Distribution for Estimation of Peak Flood Discharge for Ungauged Catchments. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science*, 1(Vi), 2454–6186. www.ijriss.org
- Wang, J., & Guo, Y. (2020). *Proper Sizing of Infiltration Trenches Using Closed-Form Analytical Equations.* 3809–3821.
- Zhou, Q. (2014). *A Review of Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems Considering the Climate Change and Urbanization Impacts.* 976–992. <https://doi.org/10.3390/w6040976>