

**BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY**

**FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE AND ANIMAL SCIENCES**

**AGRIBUSINESS AND EXTESION DEPARTMENT**

**ASSESSING SOCIO-ECONOMIC FACTORS INFLUENCING ADOPTION OF  
RECOMMENDED ROBUSTA COFFEE INPUTS AMONG SMALLHOLDER FARMERS  
IN NTENJERU SUB-COUNTY, MUKONO DISTRICT.**

**BY**

**BYUMA FRED**

**REG.NO: BU/UP/2021/0201**

**[byumafre34@gmail.com](mailto:byumafre34@gmail.com)**

**A SPECIAL PROJECT REPORT SUBMITTED TO THE AGRIBUSINES AND EXTESION  
DEPARTMENT FOR PARIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENT FOR THE AWARD  
OF BACHELOR OF AGRIBUSINESS AT BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY**

**OCTOBER, 2024**

## DECLARATION

### DECLARATION

I Byuma Fred, do here by declare to the department of Agribusiness and Extension, that this is my original work and has never been submitted for bachelor degree award in any other institution. I continuously saying that this report has neither been presented elsewhere for a particular academic award.


Signature.....  ..... Date... 31<sup>st</sup> / 10 / 2024

BYUMA FRED

STUDENT

**APPROVAL**

This special project report has been submitted to the Department of Agribusiness and Extension with approval of the university supervisor.

Signature.......... Date..... 4/11/2024 .....

Dr. Ronald Kabbiri

## **DEDICATION**

I dedicate this piece of my work first to God that has made it far and to my beloved parents Mr. Senyanja Fred and Mrs. Antanyi Florence and the entire family.

Special appreciation goes to my supervisor Dr. Kabbiri Ronald.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

I would like to express my gratitude to God for allowing me complete this project.

I appreciate the contributions made by Agribusiness and Extension department, the lecturers, and all my fellow students.

My heartfelt thanks go to my supervisor, *Dr Ronald Kabbiri* for his valuable time, insightful feedback, guidance, and patience throughout this research process. I am also thankful to my family, friends, and peers for their support and ideas throughout the study.

## Table of Contents

DECLARATION .....	i
APPROVAL.....	i
DEDICATION .....	iii
ACKNOWLEDGMENT.....	iv
LIST OF TABLES.....	vii
LIST OF FIGURES .....	viii
LIST OF ACRONYMS .....	ix
ABSTRACT.....	x
CHAPTER ONE .....	1
<b>INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY .....	1
1.2 PROBLEM STATEMENT .....	2
1.3RESEARCH OBJECTIVES .....	3
1.3.1 GENERAL OBJECTIVE.....	3
1.3.2 SPECIFIC OBJECTIVES .....	3
1.4 Research questions .....	3
1.4.1HYPOTHESES .....	4
1.5 SIGNIFICANCE.....	4
1.6 JUSTIFICATION OF THE STUDY .....	4
1.7 SCOPE OF THE STUDY <b>Content scope</b> .....	<b>5</b>
CHAPTER TWO .....	6
2. LITERATURE REVIEW .....	6
2.1 Production trends of coffee .....	6
2.2 The factors on coffee profitability. ....	7
2.3 Socio-economic factors affecting the yield of farmers .....	7

2.4 Assessment of costs affecting optimization of coffee yields .....	8
2.5 The Adoption of Agricultural Technologies by Smallholder Farmers .....	8
CHAPTER THREE.....	9
3. METHODOLOGY.....	9
3.2 Frame work .....	10
3.4 Sample size determination .....	11
3.5 Sampling Procedure and technique.....	11
3.6 Quality Control Methods .....	12
3.7 Data analysis .....	12
3.8 Ethical considerations .....	13
CHAPTER FOUR.....	14
RESULTS PRESENTATION AND DISCUSSION OF RESULTS .....	14
4.1 The response rate .....	14
4.2 Socio-demographic characteristics of the respondents .....	14
4.2.1 To Identify the Coffee Production Inputs Used by Farmers in Ntenjeru sub county. ....	19
4.2.2 To Identify the Socio- Economic Challenges Associated With the Uptake of .....	21
Recommended Inputs for Coffee Production.....	21
4.2.3 To Identify the Policy Interventions to Address Socio-Economic factors to the Use of Recommended Inputs.....	24
CHAPTER FIVE.....	26
5.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 5.1 .....	26
RECOMMENDATIONS .....	27
References .....	28
Appendices.....	35

**LIST OF TABLES.**

Table 1 showing gender, age , household head, marital status, household size, land size average monthly income of the farmer..... 13

Table 2 showing main source of income, land acquisition/ ownership type and education level of the farmer. .... 16

Table 3 showing inputs and management practices used by farmers. .... 17

Table 4 model summary for the logistic model ..... 19

Table 5 showing variables in the equation ..... 19

Table 6 showing policy interventions to address the challenges to adoption of recommended Input and management practices. .... 21



**LIST OF FIGURES**

Figure 1 map Mukono district showing the location of Ntenjeru sub-county.....8

## LIST OF ACRONYMS

AFS	Agro forestry system
MAAIF	Ministry of Agriculture Animal industry and Fisheries
UCDA	Uganda Coffee Development Authority
IBM	Integrated Pest Management
FFS	Farmer Field Schools
CF	Conceptual Framework
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
NARO	National Agricultural Research Organization
PMA	Plan for Modernization of Agriculture
SSA	Sub-Saharan Africa
UNCS	Uganda National Coffee Strategy
OR	Odds Ratio
CI	Confidence interval
CWD	Coffee wilt disease
PDM	Parish Development Model
NAADS	National Agricultural Advisory Services

## ABSTRACT

The study assessed socio-economic factors affect the adoption of recommended Robusta coffee inputs among smallholder farmers in Ntenjeru sub-county Mukono district. Robusta coffee (*coffea canephora*). The use of improved agricultural recommended inputs and management. Objectives were; to identify the inputs used in coffee production, to identify socio-economic challenges that affect the uptake of recommended coffee management practices, to identify the policy interventions to address the challenges to up take of recommended input and practices. Results for objective one the results show that fertilizer application was the major input used with 83.2% where by organic fertilizers had 67.2%. The socio-economic challenges the results show that seven factors were significant at 5% significance and these include: age of the farmer [exp=1.932,=0.022], land size [exp(B)= 1.234, sig= 0.018], education level [exp (B)=1.146, sig= 0.002], household size [OR=1.293, sig= 0.012],un-accessibility to credit [exp(B)= 1.000, sig= 0.031].The policy interventions that were recommended by respondents include; parish development model (77%) and land reforms policy (11%) and those that are so far implemented was *One acre fund* which improves on market for coffee. In conclusion fertilizers is the major input used, the study indicates there are significant socio-economic factors like age, land size, education, un-accessibility to credit. Recommendations made were that there is need for more education, revising land reforms, changing the mindset and perceptions of farmers towards use of recommended input and management practices for coffee production

## CHAPTER ONE

### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY

Robusta coffee (*Coffea canephora*) is vital of the world's most widely cultivated coffee varieties, known for its robust growth in diverse environmental conditions (Kiwelu et al., 2021).

Robusta coffee production in the world, Uganda takes the fifth position with 5.65 million bags (of 60kg) which accounts for 4.7% on the world's Robusta coffee production(International Coffee Organization (ICO), 2023).

In sub Saharan Africa, Uganda is the largest producer of Robusta coffee with 5.65 million bags (of 60kg) accounts to 70% of production followed by Ivory Coast with 1.77 million bags accounts to 22% (Highlights, 2021).

Socio-economic factors are the conditions that affect the social and economic well-being of community or a population. In the context of the adoption of improved Robusta coffee inputs, these factors can be education, market access (Wambua et al., 2021).

Coffee production in Uganda has stagnated at an average of 5 million bags per annum. Smallholder farmers dominate coffee production, with average coffee farm sizes estimated at 0.33ha per household (Luzinda, 2018).

Estimated at an average of 369kg/ ha, input use is low when compared to the experimental yield of 3,500kg/ha. This is attributed to poor agronomic practices, inefficient research-extension-farmer linkages and incidence of Coffee Wilt Disease (CWD) which destroyed over 50% of the old coffee trees (Hasibuan et al., 2022).

## REFERENCES

- freeman, k. (2020). the role of information and interaction processes in the adoption of agricultural inputs in uganda. *agronomy*, 10, 202.
- Hasibuan, A. M. (2022). local-adapted and high- yield varieties for sustainable Robusta coffee farming . *earth and environmental science*, 2-3.
- Mwijage, A. (2023). low fertilizer use as the determinant of low land productivity in smallholder coffeebanana based farming sysyem. *annual agricultural conference and exhibitions*, 13-24.
- Ndirangu, s. (2019). effects of recommended improved crop technologies and socio-economic factors on coffee profitability among smallholder farmers . *African journal of agricultural research*, 1-3.
- P, M. (2023). nutrient balances under different coffee cropping systems and soil input practices in mt Elgon region. *agriculture and environmental sciences*, 6-9.
- patrick, m. (2018). analysis of socio-economic factors affecting the coffee yields of smallholder farmers . *African journal of agricultural research*, 1-4.
- Rugerinyange, S. (2023). effects of marketing information on coffee farmers and trader's performance in central uganda. 1-10.
- Wambua, D. (2021). smallholder coffee productivity as affected by socioeconomic factors and tchnology adoption. *international journal of agronomy*, 1-8.
- wambua, D. M. (2021). smallholder coffee productivity as affected by socioeconomic factors and technology adoption. *international journal of agronomy*, 1-27.
- Freeman, K., & Qin, H. (2020). The role of information and interaction processes in the adoption of agriculture inputs in Uganda. *Agronomy*, 10(2), 1–16.  
<https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy10020202>

- Hasibuan, A. M., Randriani, E., Wicaksono, I. N. A., Dani, & Santoso, T. J. (2022). Local adapted and high-yield varieties for sustainable Robusta coffee farming: Evidence from South Sumatera, Indonesia. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 974(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/974/1/012130>
- Highlights, K. (2021). *Report 2021/22 Issue 3. DECEMBER*.
- International Coffee Organization (ICO). (2023). Coffee Report and Outlook (CRO). *International Coffee Organization ICO, April*, 1–39.
- Kiwelu, L. K., Damas, P., & Mpenda, Z. (2021). Assessment of Factors Causing Coffee Yield Gap Among Smallholder Farmers in Mbinga and Mbozi Districts. *Research Square*, 6(1), 1–25. <https://doi.org/10.11648/j.ijae.20210601.13>
- Kiyingi, I., & Gwali, S. (2012). Productivity and profitability of robusta coffee agroforestry systems in central Uganda. *Uganda Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 13(1), 85–93.
- Luzinda, H. (2018). Factors Influencing Adoption of Improved Robusta Coffee Technologies in Uganda. *Uganda Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 18(1), 33–41. <https://doi.org/10.4314/ujas.v18i1.3>
- Mangheni, M. N., Miiro, R., & Magala, D. B. (2018). Leadership Mechanisms Associated With Performance of Coffee. *Int. J. Agr. Ext.*, 06(02), 149–163.
- Mote, K. J., Gokavi, N., Babou, C., Mukharib, D. S., Rudragouda, Govindappa, M., Jayakumar, M., Chandrashekar, N., Gopinandhan, T. N., & Niranjana. (2024). Effect of fertigation levels on fertilizer use efficiency, yield, quality and economics of Robusta coffee. *Irrigation and Drainage*, 73(1), 89–101. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ird.2869>
- Tran, D. N. L., Nguyen, T. D., Pham, T. T., Rañola, R. F., & Nguyen, T. A. (2021). Improving irrigation water use efficiency of robusta coffee (*Coffea canephora*) production in lam dong

province, vietnam. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 13(12), 1–17.  
<https://doi.org/10.3390/su13126603>

UCDA. (2015). Uganda National Coffee Strategy 2040, Plan for 2015/16-2019/20. *Uganda Coffee Development Authority*, June, 1–94.  
[ugandacoffee.go.ug/sites/default/files/202203/National Coffee Strategy Design.pdf](http://ugandacoffee.go.ug/sites/default/files/202203/National%20Coffee%20Strategy%20Design.pdf)

Wairegi, L. W. I., Bennett, M., Nziguheba, G., Mawanda, A., Rios, C. de los, Ampaire, E., Jassogne, L., Pali, P., Mukasa, D., & van Asten, P. J. A. (2018). Sustainably improving Kenya's coffee production needs more participation of younger farmers with diversified income. *Journal of Rural Studies*, 63(July), 190–199.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrurstud.2018.07.009>

Wambua, D. M., Gichimu, B. M., & Ndirangu, S. N. (2021). Smallholder Coffee Productivity as Affected by Socioeconomic Factors and Technology Adoption. *International Journal of Agronomy*, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/8852371>

Freeman, K., & Qin, H. (2020). The role of information and interaction processes in the adoption of agriculture inputs in Uganda. *Agronomy*, 10(2), 1–16.  
<https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy10020202>

Hasibuan, A. M., Randriani, E., Wicaksono, I. N. A., Dani, & Santoso, T. J. (2022). Localadapted and high-yield varieties for sustainable Robusta coffee farming: Evidence from South Sumatera, Indonesia. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 974(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/974/1/012130>

Highlights, K. (2021). *Report 2021/22 Issue 3. DECEMBER*.

International Coffee Organization (ICO). (2023). Coffee Report and Outlook (CRO).

*International Coffee Organization ICO, April, 1–39.*

- Kiwelu, L. K., Damas, P., & Mpenda, Z. (2021). Assessment of Factors Causing Coffee Yield Gap Among Smallholder Farmers in Mbinga and Mbozi Districts. *Research Square, 6*(1), 1–25. <https://doi.org/10.11648/j.ijae.20210601.13>
- Kiyingi, I., & Gwali, S. (2012). Productivity and profitability of robusta coffee agroforestry systems in central Uganda. *Uganda Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 13*(1), 85–93.
- Luzinda, H. (2018). Factors Influencing Adoption of Improved Robusta Coffee Technologies in Uganda. *Uganda Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 18*(1), 33–41. <https://doi.org/10.4314/uja.v18i1.3>
- Mangheni, M. N., Miiro, R., & Magala, D. B. (2018). Leadership Mechanisms Associated With Performance of Coffee. *Int. J. Agr. Ext., 06*(02), 149–163.
- Mote, K. J., Gokavi, N., Babou, C., Mukharib, D. S., Rudragouda, Govindappa, M., Jayakumar, M., Chandrashekar, N., Gopinandhan, T. N., & Niranjana. (2024). Effect of fertigation levels on fertilizer use efficiency, yield, quality and economics of Robusta coffee. *Irrigation and Drainage, 73*(1), 89–101. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ird.2869>
- Tran, D. N. L., Nguyen, T. D., Pham, T. T., Rañola, R. F., & Nguyen, T. A. (2021). Improving irrigation water use efficiency of robusta coffee (*Coffea canephora*) production in lam dong province, vietnam. *Sustainability (Switzerland), 13*(12), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13126603>
- UCDA. (2015). Uganda National Coffee Strategy 2040, Plan for 2015/16-2019/20. *Uganda Coffee Development Authority, June, 1–94.* [ugandacoffee.go.ug/sites/default/files/202203/National Coffee Strategy Design.pdf](http://ugandacoffee.go.ug/sites/default/files/202203/National%20Coffee%20Strategy%20Design.pdf)
- Wairegi, L. W. I., Bennett, M., Nziguheba, G., Mawanda, A., Rios, C. de los, Ampaire, E., Jassogne, L., Pali, P., Mukasa, D., & van Asten, P. J. A. (2018). Sustainably improving



Kenya's coffee production needs more participation of younger farmers with diversified income. *Journal of Rural Studies*, 63(July), 190–199.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrurstud.2018.07.009>

Wambua, D. M., Gichimu, B. M., & Ndirangu, S. N. (2021). Smallholder Coffee Productivity as Affected by Socioeconomic Factors and Technology Adoption. *International Journal of Agronomy*, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/8852371>

Freeman, K., & Qin, H. (2020). The role of information and interaction processes in the adoption of agriculture inputs in Uganda. *Agronomy*, 10(2), 1–16.  
<https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy10020202>

Hasibuan, A. M., Randriani, E., Wicaksono, I. N. A., Dani, & Santoso, T. J. (2022). Local adapted and high-yield varieties for sustainable Robusta coffee farming: Evidence from South Sumatera, Indonesia. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 974(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/974/1/012130>

Highlights, K. (2021). *Report 2021/22 Issue 3. DECEMBER.*

International Coffee Organization (ICO). (2023). Coffee Report and Outlook (CRO).  
*International Coffee Organization ICO, April*, 1–39.

Kiwelu, L. K., Damas, P., & Mpenda, Z. (2021). Assessment of Factors Causing Coffee Yield Gap Among Smallholder Farmers in Mbinga and Mbozi Districts. *Research Square*, 6(1), 1–25. <https://doi.org/10.11648/j.ijae.20210601.13>

Kiyangi, I., & Gwali, S. (2012). Productivity and profitability of robusta coffee agroforestry systems in central Uganda. *Uganda Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 13(1), 85–93.

- Luzinda, H. (2018). Factors Influencing Adoption of Improved Robusta Coffee Technologies in Uganda. *Uganda Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 18(1), 33–41.  
<https://doi.org/10.4314/ujas.v18i1.3>
- Mangheni, M. N., Miiro, R., & Magala, D. B. (2018). Leadership Mechanisms Associated With Performance of Coffee. *Int. J. Agr. Ext.*, 06(02), 149–163.
- Mote, K. J., Gokavi, N., Babou, C., Mukharib, D. S., Rudragouda, Govindappa, M., Jayakumar, M., Chandrashekar, N., Gopinandhan, T. N., & Niranjana. (2024). Effect of fertigation levels on fertilizer use efficiency, yield, quality and economics of Robusta coffee. *Irrigation and Drainage*, 73(1), 89–101. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ird.2869>
- Ronalds, A. J., David, O., & Opio, F. (2023). Socioeconomic Factors Influencing Uptake of Coffee Production Recommended Practices in Kichwamba and Kirugu Sub-Counties Rubirizi District, Uganda. *East African Journal of Agriculture and Biotechnology*, 6(1), 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.37284/eajab.6.1.1069>
- Tran, D. N. L., Nguyen, T. D., Pham, T. T., Rañola, R. F., & Nguyen, T. A. (2021). Improving irrigation water use efficiency of robusta coffee (*Coffea canephora*) production in lam dong province, vietnam. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 13(12), 1–17.  
<https://doi.org/10.3390/su13126603>
- UCDA. (2015). Uganda National Coffee Strategy 2040, Plan for 2015/16-2019/20. *Uganda Coffee Development Authority*, June, 1–94. [ugandacoffee.go.ug/sites/default/files/2022-03/National Coffee Strategy Design.pdf](http://ugandacoffee.go.ug/sites/default/files/2022-03/National%20Coffee%20Strategy%20Design.pdf)
- Wairegi, L. W. I., Bennett, M., Nziguheba, G., Mawanda, A., Rios, C. de los, Ampaire, E., Jassogne, L., Pali, P., Mukasa, D., & van Asten, P. J. A. (2018). Sustainably improving Kenya’s coffee production needs more participation of younger farmers with diversified income. *Journal of Rural Studies*, 63(July), 190–199.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrurstud.2018.07.009>

Wambua, D. M., Gichimu, B. M., & Ndirangu, S. N. (2021). Smallholder Coffee Productivity as Affected by Socioeconomic Factors and Technology Adoption. *International Journal of Agronomy*, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/8852371>