



**BUSITEMA
UNIVERSITY**
Pursuing Excellence

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES AND MINING ENGINEERING.

ASSESSMENT OF THE POTENTIAL FOR WATER EROSION CONTROL

CASE STUDY AREA: NAMALU SUB WATERSHED

JOHN ACHUKA

BU/UP/2016/514

+256779295304

ajaabihu@gmail.com

Supervisor: Mr. Oketcho Yoronimo

Final year Research report submitted to the department of mining and water resources engineering in partial fulfillment for the award of a bachelor's degree of science water resources engineering, of Busitema University.

Abstract

Land degradation especially soil erosion has already been treated as one of the most serious Problems all around the world that is threatening human existence and survival, with its impacts far felt environmentally, economically and socially. Establishing the impacts of soil erosion requires thorough studies to determine the erosion rates and map out erosion risk areas, and that is being aided by field studies as well as geospatial analysis. However, the best proven way of arresting the erosion effects is by adopting sustainable soil and water conservation measures. This research centered on establishing a detailed understanding of the erosion and sedimentation processes in the watershed, to evaluate a watershed scale effectiveness of the conservation and, to identify optimal BMP types and sites that may be used in the future to further reduce sedimentation of the Namalu sub watershed. In this study ArcGIS 10.4 was used to prepare thematic maps and develop RUSLE model. SWAT was used to develop the model and evaluate the pre- and post-management practices of the sub watershed. SWAT output viewer and Microsoft excel were used to analyze the results. The results show that there was an increase in annual sediment yield from 2001 to 2010 that is 10.3394/ha in 2001 and 18.7527t/ha in 2010, With a cumulative sediment yield of 706.7667 t/ha. The highest sediment yield was observed in 2007 with 605.25 t/ha. Furthermore, implementation of contouring and terracing resulted in a 75.92% and 74.6% sediment yield reduction with the overall sediment yield reduction of 68.4%. Furthermore, filter strips averagely reduced sediment yield by 82.28% with the highest reduction of 96.246% achieved with a 6 m wide filter strip. Generally, implementation of these conservation measures yields some good results, however, further studies on the cost effectiveness of these conservation practices are highly recommended.

Keywords: RUSLE model, SWAT model, water erosion and sustainable conservation measures

Dedication

This piece of work is dedicated to God Almighty and those who supported me on my journey to complete this level of my education especially my brothers who have worked sacrificially and tirelessly to ensure I attain education.

Declaration

Except where otherwise stated, I hereby declare that this piece of work is my own original work and has never been submitted wholly or partially to any University or institution of higher learning for any award whatsoever.

Signature:

ACHUKA JOHN

Date:

Approval

This piece of work has been approved by;

Main Supervisor

Mr. OKETCHO YORONIMO

Signature.....

Date.....

Acknowledgement

First and foremost, I would like to extend my sincere gratitude to the Almighty God for His unlimited grace and love bestowed upon my life.

In a special way, I would like also to thank my supervisor Mr. Oketcho for the advice, guidance and encouragement offered throughout the study.

I would like to thank the staff in the department of Mining and Water Resources Engineering and other departments for their guidance and assistance during the project research.

I appreciate my brother Nangiro Simon peter and his family, friends and relatives for their guidance and financial support they rendered to me. May the Almighty God bless the works of their hands!

Great appreciation goes to Eng. Collins yebasamukama MWE, Mr. Atibun DAO NakapiripirIt and all sub county staff of namalu sub county for their selfless efforts in assisting me achieve this goal.

Last but undoubtedly not least, I would also like to express my appreciation to my course mates and other colleagues for the moral and physical support given to me in my endeavors to complete this piece of work. May the good Lord bless and reward them with success.

| | |
|---|-----|
| Table of Contents | |
| Abstract | i |
| Dedication | ii |
| Declaration | iii |
| Approval | iv |
| Acknowledgement | v |
| List of acronyms | x |
| CHAPTER ONE: | 1 |
| 1.1introduction | 1 |
| 1.1 Background | 1 |
| 1.2 Statement of the problem | 2 |
| 1.3 Objectives of the study | 2 |
| 1.4 Scope of the study | 2 |
| CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW | 4 |
| 2.1 SOIL EROSION AND DEPOSITION | 4 |
| 2.2 Sediment Movement and Deposition | 5 |
| 2.3 Soil and Water Conservation | 5 |
| 2.4. Soil Erosion Models | 6 |
| 2.4.1 Universal Soil Loss Equation | 7 |
| 2.4.2 Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation (RUSLE) | 7 |
| 2.5 GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SYSTEM (GIS) | 10 |
| 2.5.1 GIS and Soil Erosion Model | 10 |
| 2.6. SWAT MODEL (SOIL AND WATER ASSESSMENT TOOL) | 11 |
| 2.6.1. SWAT MODEL PREPARATION | 12 |
| Chapter Three: methods and materials | 15 |
| 3.1 Study area description | 15 |

| | |
|---|----|
| 3.2 Erosion Risk Assessment | 15 |
| 3.3. To estimate the sediment yield under current conservation measures | 20 |
| 3.4 To identify optimal conservation measures | 26 |
| Chapter Four: Results and Discussion | 30 |
| 4.1 Results and Discussion for erosion risk assessment | 30 |
| 4.2 Results and Discussion for estimating sediment yield under current conservation measures | 37 |
| 4.3 Results and Discussion for Specific Objective Three | 47 |
| Chapter five: Conclusion, Challenges and Recommendations | 63 |
| 5.1 Conclusion | 63 |
| 5.2 Challenges | 63 |
| 5.3 Recommendations | 63 |
| References | 65 |

LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES

| | |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| Figure 1: the rainfall erosivity factor(R) map flow diagram | 16 |
| Figure 2: soil erodibility (K) factor map flow diagram..... | 17 |
| Figure 3:slope length and steepness (LS) factor map flow diagram..... | 19 |
| Figure 4: cover management(C) factor map flow diagram | 19 |
| Figure 5: support practice(P) factor map flow diagram | 20 |
| figure 6: General methodology for SWAT model | Error! Bookmark not defined. |
| figure 7: SWAT model calibration and simulation flow diagram | 26 |
| Figure 8: the raster calculation for the RUSLE factors | 35 |
| Figure 9: the hydrological characteristics of Namalu sub watershed from SWAT output | 43 |
| | |
| Table 1:showing the C values as used by Maniyar watershed: | 10 |
| Table 2:showing slope classification and support practices by shan,1999 (maniyar) | 10 |
| Table 3: showing the USLE_P Values for contouring for namalu | 27 |
| Table 4: SWAT Model VFS Parameters..... | 28 |
| Table 5:VFSRATIO Values of VFS Implementation Scenarios | 28 |
| Table 6:erosion threshold for each risk..... | 35 |
| Table 7:Land use in the study area | 38 |
| Table 8:Soil types in the study area | 38 |
| Table 9:slope classes for the study area | 39 |
| Table 10: Baseline sediment yield per subbasin(t/ha)..... | 44 |
| Table 11: showing the baseline annual sediment load distribution from 2001 to 2010..... | 46 |
| Table 12::showing the annual performance of contouring on sediment yield | 51 |
| Table 13: showing sediment yield reduction under contouring | 52 |
| Table 14: showing the terrace performance on sediment yield(t/ha) per sub basin | 53 |
| Table 15: showing sediment yield after terrace application..... | 55 |
| Table 16: sediment yield reduction under terracing..... | 56 |
| Table 17comparison of overall sediment reduction for contouring and terracing..... | 56 |
| Table 18: sediment yield(t/ha) distribution under different width of filter strips application..... | 58 |

| | |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| Table 19: stream bank stabilization results for most critical year 2007..... | 61 |
| Map 1:showing the soil erodibility factor distribution in Namalu sub watershed..... | 30 |
| Map 2: showing the LS factor distribution..... | 31 |
| Map 3:showing the C factor distribution in Namalu sub watershed..... | 32 |
| Map 4: showing the P factor distribution | 33 |
| Map 5: showing the rainfall erosivity factor distribution in Namalu sub watershed..... | 34 |
| Map 6:showing the soil loss due to soil erosion in Namalu sub watershed | 37 |
| Line graph 1: showing the baseline sediment load distribution per sub basin from 2001 to 2010 | 46 |
| Line graph 2: showing the baseline annual sediment load from 2001 t0 2020 | 47 |
| Line graph 3: the combined graph of annual and sub basin sediment yield..... | 50 |
| Line graph 4: showing annual contouring performance on sediment yield | 51 |
| Line graph 5: showing the annual and sub basin performance of terracing on sediment yield | 55 |
| Line graph 6: sediment yield distribution after terrace application | Error! Bookmark not defined. |
| Line graph 7: sediment yield reduction for filter strips..... | 59 |
| Bar graph 1: showing the sediment load distribution per sub basin | 45 |
| Graph 1: Showing Calibration Performance of The Model | 40 |
| Graph 2:validation performance of the model..... | 41 |

List of acronyms

| | |
|-----------|--|
| C factor | Cover management factor |
| CN | Curve Numbers |
| DEM | Digital Elevation Model |
| DSMW | Digital Soil Map of the World |
| DWRM | Directorate of Water Resource Management |
| FAO | Food and Agricultural Organization |
| GIS | Geographical Information Systems |
| K factor | Soil erodibility factor |
| LS factor | Slope length and slope stiffness factors |
| LULC | land use and land cover |
| MAAIF | Ministry of Agriculture, Animal industry and Fishery |
| MUSLE | Modified Universal Soil Loss Equation |
| MWE | Ministry of Water and Environment |
| NEMA | National Environmental Management Authority |
| NFA | National Forest Authority |
| NRCS | National Research Conservation Service |
| P factor | Conservation practice |
| R factor | Rain fall erosivity factor |
| RUSLE | Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation |

| | |
|------------------------------------|--|
| SWAT | Soil and Water Assessment Tool |
| t ha ⁻¹ y ⁻¹ | ton per hectares per year. |
| TIN | Triangulated irregular Network |
| UBSO | Uganda Bureau of Statistics |
| UNMA | Uganda National Metrological Authority |
| USGS | United States Geographical Survey |
| USLE | Universal Soil Loss Equation |
| UTM | Universal Transverse Mercator |
| WGS | World Geographical System |
| WHO | World Health Organization |