

**ASSESSING THE IMPACTS OF NAKIVALE REFUGEE SETTLEMENT CAMP ON
THE ENVIRONMENT. A CASE STUDY OF KASHUMBA SUB COUNTY, ISINGIRO
DISTRICT, SOUTH WESTERN UGANDA.**

BY

NINSHABA AGATHA

BU/UG/2018/2426

**A DISSERTATION SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF NATURAL
RESOURCES AND ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF
THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD OF A BACHELORS DEGREE IN
NATURAL RESOURCE ECONOMICS OF BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY**

MAY

2022

DECLARATION

I NINSHABA AGATHA do confirm with genuineness that this dissertation submitted to the Faculty of Natural Resource and Environmental Sciences is entirely the work of my hands and has not been submitted to any University or any other institution of higher learning by any other person for assessment.

NAME:

SIGNATURE:

DATE.....

APPROVAL.

This is to certify that this dissertation titled “: Assessing the impacts of nakivale refugee settlement camp on the environment. A case study of kashumba sub county, Isingiro district, south western Uganda” by Ninshaba Agatha has been done under my supervision and it is ready to be submitted to the Faculty of Natural resources and Environmental sciences Busitema University.

Signature



Mrs Ariango Esther Gumisiriza

SUPERVISOR

.....

DATE

DEDICATION.

It is with genuine gratitude and warm regard that I dedicate this piece of work to my beloved siblings and cousins. May my academic journey be an insight to you as you aim to achieve your dreams?

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.

I thank the almighty God for granting me the gift of life throughout my entire academic journey, may his name be glorified.

I also thank my parents Mr Bigumire Purinari and Mrs Kobusingye Scovia, my beloved brother Vicent for the continuous care and support rendered to me during my academic journey especially financially and emotionally. May the good lord bless them abundantly?

I also express my heartfelt gratitude and special thanks to my academic supervisor, Mrs. Ariango Esther Gumisiriza for the guidance, great ideas and encouragement during this study. May God richly bless her?

I acknowledge the contribution of the Nakivale settlement camp for their willingness to participate in this study.

Lastly I would like to extend my gratitude to my friends; Ronald, Helen, Daisy, Samuel, Pedro, Winnie and course mates of academic year 2018-2021 and lecturers for the guidance and support throughout my academic journey.

May the Almighty God bless you abundantly?

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION	ii
APPROVAL.	iii
DEDICATION.....	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.....	v
LIST OF FIGURES.....	x
LIST OF TABLES.....	xi
ACRONYMS.....	xii
ABSTRACT.....	xiii
1.0 CHAPTER ONE.....	1
1.1 BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY.....	1
1.2. Problem statement.....	2
1.4 OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY	3
1.4.1 Main objective	3
1.4.2 Specific Objectives.....	3
1.4.3 Research Questions.....	4
1.5. Significance of the study.....	4
1.6. Justification of the study	4
1.7. Conceptual framework.....	5
2.0 CHAPTER TWO. LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1The concept of refugee.....	6
2.2 Activities done by refugees	6
2.2.1 Factors contributing to activities done by refugees on environment.....	8
2.2.2 Impacts of human activities done by refugees on the environment.....	9
2.2.3 Measures taken to address the impacts.....	11
3.0.Chapter three. Materials and Methods	13

3.1. Introduction	13
3.2. Research Design.....	13
3.3. Description of the Study area.....	14
3.4. Sample size and Sampling procedure.....	14
3.4.1. Sample size.....	14
3.4.2. Sampling strategies.....	14
3.5. Data types.....	14
3.6. Methods of data collection.....	15
3.6.1. Observation method.....	15
3.6.2. Questionnaire.....	15
3.6.3. Interviews method.....	15
3.7 Data analysis.....	16
3.8 Ethical considerations.....	16
3.9. Limitations of the study.....	16
4.0. CHAPTER FOUR.....	18
4.1. Introduction	18
4.2. Socioeconomic demographic characteristics of the respondents.....	18
4.2.1. Household size.....	18
4.2.2. Stay place.....	18
4.2.3. Marital status.....	19
4.2.4: Gender.....	20
4.2.5. Age group.....	21
4.2.6. Level of education.....	21
4.2.7. Current occupation.....	22
4.3.0. Activities done.....	23
4.3.1. Activities carried out in the area.....	23
4.3.2. Reasons for carrying out the activities.....	23

4.3.3. Size of the land.	24
4.4.0. Effects of the activities on the environment.	25
4.4.1. Regulations governing the activities carried out on the environment.	25
4.4.2. Effects of the activities on the environment.	25
4.5.0. Measures taken to address the effects on the environment.	26
4.5.1. Measures taken to address the effects of the activities carried out on the environment.	26
4.5.2. Impacts of the activities cannot be mitigated.	27
4.5.3. Organisation that have come up to support the mitigation impacts.	27
4.5.4. Organizations that have come so support on the mitigation of the impacts.	28
4.5.5. Support of the organizations on the mitigation of the impacts of the activities.	29
5.0.CHAPTER FIVE: DISCUSSION OF FINDING	30
5.1 INTRODUCTION.....	30
5.2 Socioeconomic characteristics of the respondents.	30
5.2.1. Household size.....	30
5.2.2. Stay place.....	30
5.2.3. Marital status.	31
5.2.4. Gender	31
5.2.5. Age group.	31
5.2.6 Education level of the respondents.	32
5.2.7. Current occupation	32
5.3.0 Activities done.....	33
5.3.1. Activities carried out.	33
5.3.2. Reasons for carrying out the activities.	33
5.3.3 Size of the land	33
5.4.0. Effects of the activities carried out on the environment.....	34
5.4.1. Regulation governing the activities.	34

5.4.2. Effects of the activities on the environment.	34
5.5.0. Measures taken to address the effects on the environment.	34
5.5.1. Measures taken to address the effects of the activities carried out on the environment.	34
5.5.2. Impacts of the activities cannot be mitigated.	35
5.5.3. Organisation that have come up to support the mitigation impacts.	35
5.5.4. Support of the organizations on the mitigation of the impacts of the activities.	35
6.0. CHAPTER SIX: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	36
6.1. Introduction.	36
6.2. Conclusions.	36
6.3 Areas of further study.....	36
6.4 Recommendations.	36
REFERENCES.	38
APPENDICES.	41

LIST OF FIGURES.

Figure 1: Household size of the respondents.	18
Figure 2: Number of years stayed in the place.	18
Figure 3: Marital status of the respondents.....	19
Figure 4: Gender of the respondents.....	20
Figure 5: Education level of the respondents.....	21
Figure 6: Reasons why the activities are carried out.	23
Figure 7: Size of the land where activities are carried out.....	24
Figure 8: Measures taken to mitigate the impacts of the activities carried out on the environment.	26
Figure 9: Impacts of the activities carried out on the environment cannot be mitigated.....	27
Figure 10: Organisations that have come up to support on the mitigation of the impacts.	27
Figure 11: Organisations that have supported on the mitigation of the impacts of the activities carried out on the environment.	28
Figure 12: Brick laying near the swamps and the lake causing encroachment on swamps.....	45
Figure 13: Improper solid waste disposal in Base camp.....	46
Figure 14: Land bare in Rubondo zone due to over cultivation and animal rearing..	47

LIST OF TABLES.

Table 1: Age group of the respondents.21

Table 2: Current occupation of the respondents.22

Table 3: Activities carried out in the area.23

Table 4: Regulations governing the activities carried out on the environment.25

Table 5: Effects of the activities carried out on the environment.25

Table 6: Support of the organisations on the mitigation of the impacts of the activities on the environment.29

ACRONYMS.

AIDS	Acquired immunodeficiency syndrome
FES	Functional Electrical Stimulation
HIV	Human immunodeficiency virus
NEMA	National Environment Management Authority
NGOs	Non Governmental Organizations
OAU	Organisation of African Unity
OPM	Office of the Prime Minister
UN	United Nation
UNEP	United Nations Environment Programme
UNDP	United Nations Development Programme
UNHCR	United Nation High Commissioner for Refugees
US	united States
STDs	Sexually transmitted diseases.
WW11	World War

ABSTRACT.

The continent that has attracted large number of refugees in the world is Africa. In 2015, around 86 percent of the world's refugees under UNHCR mandate meaning 19.9 million people were hosted by developing countries with 4.4 million people, sub-Saharan Africa is the region with largest number of refugees. Uganda is one of eight African countries that agreed to apply the CRRF concepts according to (Giles, 2017). With 1.4 million refugees, Uganda has become one of the world's largest refugee-hosting nations. It is also hailed as one of the most welcoming countries for refugees, having implemented an open-door policy and self-reliance approaches since 1999. As of 2020, Nakivale hosts nearly 132,000 refugees from various African countries, more than twice as many as it had in 2014 (58,000) , the large influx of refugees put pressure on environment and natural resources.

This study was conducted in Nakivale settlement camp in the three zones of Base camp, Juru, and Rubondo so as to gather information on the impacts of refugees on the environment. This was aimed to gather information to identify the human activities taking place in the camp, assess the impacts of human activities on the environment in Nakivale settlement camp, and identify the mitigation measures to the impacts resulting from human activities in Nakivale settlement camp.

Data was collected using three methods, that is, questionnaire, interviewing, and observation. Simple random sampling method was used to come up with a sample size of 75 respondents. Data was collected, entered and analyzed using SPSS 20 and results were presented by use of frequency tables, bar graphs and pie-charts.

The study revealed that majority of the refugees do more crop growing as a source of livelihood, therefore a lot of pressure is put on environment and natural resources through clearing tress and vegetation for farming hence soil is exposed to heavy rain is eroding. Settlement sites are being littered with degradable and non-biodegradable materials because of trading in different centres. The study further revealed that not all hope is lost as there are potential measures for the refugees to solve such issues through planting trees, sensitization of the farmers then collection of solid wastes and burning of them.

The study recommended that a lot more effort be put in population control as a critical intervention for the increasing number of refugees in the camp hence conserving the environment, also development and enforcement of by- laws in the management of environment in settlement camps should be strengthened.

1.0 CHAPTER ONE

1.1 BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY.

According to the UNEP, (2000) based in Nairobi, Kenya simply defined environment as “the sum of all external factors to which an organism is exposed.”Therefore this study seeks to examine the physical part of the environment such as soils, water; forests among others how they have been impacted by the refugees. Refugees have a negative impact on the environment UNHCR, (2017). The loss of any forest cover may be a major issue because of habitat degradation, the loss of ecosystem functioning often cause lower quality of life. The impact of refugee resettlement on host communities significant, including competition over access to livelihoods exerts pressure on natural resources as trees are cleared for housing and fuel UNHCR, (2017). Over population, land pressures, poverty, famine, epidemics or natural disasters also produce large numbers of up rooted people Lee, (2001).Therefore the issue of environment does not just affect host communities alone, the refugees are also impacted by the environment they live in, when it has been depleted refugees too like their host will suffer the repercussion of polluted water sources, cut trees and prolonged drought among others.

Migration has been part of human existence in the society. People leaving their homes to settle into other places are not a new phenomenon. Migration has existed for almost entire human history. McNeill, (1984) argues that it is safe to assume that when ancestors first become fully human they were already migratory moving about in pursuit of the Big game. People moved with ease by managing climatic and geographic barriers with the invention of clothes and housing allowed go through harsh weather conditions.

One category of migrants since the 20th century is refugees; people have been forced to move because of persecution, war, ethnic and religious conflicts at their homes. Issues that threaten lives like famine have also forced people to leave their countries of origin and seek refuge elsewhere. Reports show that 80 percent of the people that fled to Europe by sea were forced to by war, persecution, famine among others, (summers, and 2017). Most rich countries have been reluctant on taking in more refugees because of fears for national security, jobs and political support and also because they impact on host countries and communities.

According to the United Nations Status of Refugees UNHCR, (1979) a refugee is a person “owing to well-founded fear of being persecuted for reasons of race, religion, nationality, membership of a particular social group, or political opinion, is outside the country of his

REFERENCES.

1. Bagenda, E, Naggaga, A & Smith, E, (2003). Land problems in Nakivale refugee settlement and implications for refugee protection in Uganda
2. Biswas, A.K, Cecilia, & Quiroz, (1994), report on the mission on environmental impacts and Rwandan Refugees. Submitted to ops, United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), New York.
3. Black, R., 1988. Refugees, Environment and Development. London, Longman Development Studies.
4. Breton, G.Le. (1995), “Stoves, trees and refugees: The Fuel wood Crisis Consortium in Zimbabwe”, Refugee Participation Network, 18.
5. Conserve Energy Future. (2018). Environmental Concerns. Retrieved from Conserve Energy Future Web site: <https://www.conserve-energy-future.com/top-25-environmental-concerns.php>
6. Chambers, R. (1983). Rural Development: Putting the Last First (Longman, London).
7. Dzimbiri, L. B., 1993. “Political and Economic Impacts of Refugees: Some Observations on Mozambican Refugees in Malawi.” Refugee13 (6): 4–6. <https://refuge.journals.yorku>.
8. Fox, D. (2007) “Saved by the trees?” New Scientists 196 (2627), 42-46.
9. Hovil, L. (2007). “Self-settled Refugees in Uganda: An Alternative Approach to Displacement?” Journal of Refugee Studies”20 (4): 599–620.
10. Jacobsen, K. (1994). Refugee factor in the environment degradation.
11. Jacobsen, K, (1997). “Refugees’ Environmental Impact: The Effect of Patterns of Settlement”. Journal of Refugee Studies, 10 (1): 19-36.
12. Kalpers, J. (2001). “Armed Conflict and Biodiversity in Sub-Saharan Africa: Impacts, Mechanisms and Responses”, in Armed Conflict and Biodiversity in Sub-Saharan Africa. Washington, D.C.: Biodiversity Support Program. <http://www.worldlife.org/bsp/publications/africa/140/Synthesis-English.htm>
13. Kent, J. M. (1995). Environmental Exodus: an Emergent Crisis in the Global Arena, Washington, DC: The Climate Institute.
14. Lee, S.W, (2001). Emerging threats to international security: environment, refugees, and conflict. Journal of International and Area Studies, 73-90.

15. Dash, L., (1983). Many Rwandan Refugees moving North in Uganda to Escape Attacks.
16. Martin, S, F., Howard, Douglas A., Smith L., Nile, S. Y., Lara, K, & Mark, G., (2017). Environmental Resource Management in Refugee Camps and Surrounding Areas: Lessons Learned and Best Practices. Environmental Impact of Refugee Camps: Resource Usage and Management Project, Final Report, August 11. Washington, DC: Georgetown University Walsh School of Foreign Service.
17. McNeill, W. H. (1984). Human migration in historical perspective. Population and Development Review, 1-18.
18. Summers, H. (2017,July). Global Development.Retrieved from The Guardian Web site: <https://www.theguardian.com>.
19. Thomas F. Hormel Dixon and Marc A Levy (1995-1996) Environment and society.
20. Uganda Government, (2017).Comprehensive Refugee Response Framework Uganda. Kampala: Office of the Prime Minister.
21. Uganda National NGO Forum. (2019,November). “Why is the Land Issue in Uganda Contentious?
22. United Nations Environment Programme, UNEP (2000) Report of the Brainstorming on Environmental Impact of Refugee Settlement and Flows in Africa, UN Compound, Gigiri, Nairobi, Kenya, 14-15 September.
23. UNDP., (2017). Uganda’s contribution to Refugee protection and management.
24. UNEP., (2000). Report of Environmental Impact of Refugee Settlement and Flows in Africa.
25. UNHCR., (1998). Towards Sustainable Environmental Management Practices in Refugee Affected Areas (UNHCR, Geneva).
26. Unhcr. (1998). Towards sustainable Environmental management practices in Refugee Affcted Areas.
27. UNHCR. (2017). Uganda. <http://reporting.unhcr.org>
28. UNHCR. (2018, October).<http://www.unhcr.org>

29. Whitaker, B., "Refugees in Western Tanzania: The Distribution of Burdens and Benefits among Local Hosts" in *Journal of Refugee Studies*, Vol. 15, No. 4, (2002), pg. 342.
30. World Bank, (1996). "Evaluation of reforestation programme in Afghanistan", working paper no 92.