

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES AND MINING ENGINEERING

FINAL YEAR PROJECT REPORT

INVESTIGATING THE EFFICIENCY OF MANGO LEAVES & WATERMELON RIND POWDERS TO REMOVE LEAD (II) IONS FROM INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER

CASE STUDY: UGANDA BATTERIES LIMITED

NANNOZI REHEMA

BU/UG/2013/102

nannozirehema@gmail.com

Lcom * DATEL

TEMA

UN.

PROJECT SUPERVISORS

MAIN PROJECT SUPERVISOR: Ms. NJUKI HOPE

CO PROJECT SUPERVISOR: Mr. WANJI MARIO

This report is presented to the faculty of Engineering as a partial fulfillment of the requirements for the award of a bachelor's degree in Water Resources Engineering of Busitema University.

MAY 2017

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The efficiency of the combination of mango leaves and watermelon rind powders as a low-cost adsorbent for the removal of Pb (II) ions from industrial waste water at Uganda Batteries Limited was investigated in this research. The influences of adsorbent load, pH, contact time, adsorbent ratios and agitation rate on a sample were studied in batch experiments at room temperature. The findings showed that the lead uptake increased with increasing adsorbent load, agitation rate, Ph and contact time. The maximum uptake of Pb (II) ions was at pH 5, contact time of 1hour, adsorbent load of 5grams with adsorbent ratio of MLP75:WMR25 and agitation rate of 200rpm.

Parameter values were chosen on basis of efficiency and implied cost. These values yielded an average efficiency of 97.02% when used to adsorb lead from UBL waste water.

The economic analysis showed that the combined adsorbent was more cost effective to treat leaded waste water compared to polyacrylamide. The cost of treatment was reduced by 90%.

^d The results showed that the combination of watermelon rind and mango leaves has the potential to be applied as alternative low-cost bio sorbent in the remediation of heavy metal contamination in waste water.

i

DECLARATION

I NANNOZI REHEMA hereby declare that this report is a true work of my hands and has never been presented by any person or institution for an academic award.

Signature: Date: 26/05/2017

BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY LIBRARY
CLASS No.:
ACCESS NO. PETOS66

APPROVAL

This project proposal has been submitted to the faculty of Engineering for examination with approval of my supervisors mentioned below;

MAIN SUPERVISOR: Ms. NJUKI HOPE

Signature...... Date.....

CO-SUPERVISOR: Mr. WANJI MARIO

Signature	
Date	

100 200 100

DEDICATION

This report is dedicated to my beloved family in appreciation for their selfless care and unflinching support provided to me since childhood, and for the spirit of hard work, courage and determination instilled into me, which attributes I have cherished with firmness and which have indeed made me what I am today.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

۳,

First and foremost, I thank the Almighty God for His the protection and guidance He has always granted me throughout my life.

I thank the staff of the department of Mining and Water Resources Engineering for giving me knowledge in the field of Water resources. Specifically, I am very grateful to my final year project supervisors; Ms. Njuki Hope and Mr. Wanji Mario who gave me all the necessary guidance, advice and encouragement during preparation of this report.

I am also grateful to the Uganda Industrial Research Institution staff for the help and assistance granted to me during the experiments.

I appreciate my parents for the support they have continued to offer me in order to attain quality education. May the Almighty God bless the work of your hands and may He make you live long enough to enjoy the fruits of your labors.

Finally, I thank all my friends and colleagues for the assistance they have given me in endeavors to see me through with my research.

LIST OF ACRONYMS

 I_{ij}

ATSDR	Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry
FTIR	Fourier Transform Infra-Red
HCL	Hydrochloric
WMR	Watermelon rind
MLP	Mango Leaf Powder
NAADS	National Agriculture Advisory Services
NaOH	Sodium Hydroxide
UBL	Uganda Batteries Limited
NEMA	National Environmental Management Authority
rpm	revolutions per minute
US EPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
WHO	World Health Organisation

vi

LIST OF FIGURES

ų,

Figure 1: Table showing Unit operations and processes and treatment systems used to remove the major	
contaminants in wastewater	14
Figure 2: Showing wastewater parameters	26
Figure 3: Showing calibration curve for the stock solutions	27
Figure 4; Showing effect of contact time on lead uptake	28
Figure 5: Showing lead removal against agitation rate	29
Figure 6: Showing lead removal against pH	30
Figure 7: Showing lead removal against adsorbent load	32
Figure 8: Showing lead removal against adsorbent ratios	33
Figure 9: Showing lead removal against the different treatments	34
Figure 10: Showing comparison of unit treatment cost using different adsorbents	37
Figure 11: showing measurement of the adsorbent Figure 12: showing addition of wastewater	42
Figure 13: showing filtration of the sample Figure 14: showing digestion of the sample4	42

'vii

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2: The table showing the absorbance of the standard stock solutions.27Table 3: A table of percentage removal with varying contact time.28Table 4: A table of percentage removal with varying agitation rate.29Table 5: A table of percentage removal with varying pH30Table 6: A table of percentage removal with varying adsorbent load31Table 7: A table of percentage removal with varying adsorbent ratio32Table 8: A table of cost comparison of using the combined adsorbent and polyacrylamide36Table 9: showing the project benefits and costs37	Table 1: Showing the water parameters	.25
Table 3: A table of percentage removal with varying contact time.28Table 4: A table of percentage removal with varying agitation rate.29Table 5: A table of percentage removal with varying pH30Table 6: A table of percentage removal with varying adsorbent load31Table 7: A table of percentage removal with varying adsorbent ratio.32Table 8: A table of cost comparison of using the combined adsorbent and polyacrylamide36Table 9: showing the project benefits and costs37	Table 2: The table showing the absorbance of the standard stock solutions	27
Table 4: A table of percentage removal with varying agitation rate 29 Table 5: A table of percentage removal with varying pH 30 Table 6: A table of percentage removal with varying adsorbent load 31 Table 7: A table of percentage removal with varying adsorbent ratio 32 Table 8: A table of cost comparison of using the combined adsorbent and polyacrylamide 36 Table 9: showing the project benefits and costs 37	Table 3: A table of percentage removal with varying contact time	. 28
Table 5: A table of percentage removal with varying pH	Table 4: A table of percentage removal with varying agitation rate	.29
Table 6: A table of percentage removal with varying adsorbent load31Table 7: A table of percentage removal with varying adsorbent ratio32Table 8: A table of cost comparison of using the combined adsorbent and polyacrylamide36Table 9: showing the project benefits and costs37	Table 5: A table of percentage removal with varying pH	.30
Table 7: A table of percentage removal with varying adsorbent ratio 32 Table 8: A table of cost comparison of using the combined adsorbent and polyacrylamide 36 Table 9: showing the project benefits and costs 37	Table 6: A table of percentage removal with varying adsorbent load	.31
Table 8: A table of cost comparison of using the combined adsorbent and polyacrylamide	Table 7: A table of percentage removal with varying adsorbent ratio	.32
Table 9: showing the project benefits and costs	Table 8: A table of cost comparison of using the combined adsorbent and polyacrylamide	.36
	Table 9: showing the project benefits and costs	.37

ł,

CONTENTS
EXECUTIVE SUMMARYi
DECLARATION
APPROVAL
DEDICATION
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT
LIST OF ACRONYMS
LIST OF FIGURES
LIST OF TABLES
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION
1.1 Background
1.2 Problem statement
1.3 Justification
1.4 Objectives of the study
1.5 Scope and limitations
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW
2.1 Physical properties of lead
2.2 Uses of lead
2.3 Environmental sources of lead
2.4 Effects of lead on humans 5
2.5 Aquatic Ecosystem Impacts of Lead
2.6 Effects of lead on plants
2.7 Conventional methods for lead (II) ions removal from industrial wastewater
2.7.1 Precipitation
2.7.2 Ion exchange
2.7.3 Electro-coagulation 7
2.7.4 Reverse osmosis
2.7.5 Adsorption
2.8 Watermelon (Citrullus lanatus)
2.8.1 General description
2,9 Previous studies conducted on watermelon rind as an adsorbent

İX

.

2.9 Mango leaves as an adsorbent
2.9.1 Characterization of the Mango leaf powder as an adsorbent
2.9.2 Previous studies conducted on mango leaves as an adsorbent
2.10 General about wastewater treatment
2.11 Contaminants of concern in wastewater treatment
2.12 Mechanism of interaction between the adsorbent powders and lead
2.13 Existing policies and regulations on waste water discharge in Uganda
2.14 Cost benefit analysis
CHAPTER 3: METHODOLOGY
3.1 Introduction
3.2 Study area
Desk studies
Consultations
3.4 Characterization of Uganda batteries limited wastewater
3.4.1 Determining the concentration of lead (II) ions in the wastewater
3.4.2 Determination of Temperature
3.4.3 Determination of pH 21
3.5 Preparation of the adsorbent powders
3.6 Determination of the optimum conditions uptake of lead (ii) ions by the combined
adsorbent
Batch control experiments based on Jar Tests
3.6.1 Effect of adsorbent load
3.6.2 Effect of pH
3.6.3 Effect of contact time
3.6.4 Effect of agitation rate
3.6.5 Effect of adsorbent ratio
Calculation of the percentage lead uptake
3.7 Economic analysis
CHAPTER 4: DATA PRESENTATION AND ANALYSIS
4.0 Introduction
4.1 Characterization of wastewater at uganda batteries limited

4.3 Determination of the optimum conditions uptake of lead (ii) ions by the combined
adsörbent
4.3.1 Instrument calibration
4.3.2 Concentration of lead (II) in each of the samples
4.3.3 Effect of contact time
4.3.4 Effect of agitation rate
4.3.5 Effect of pH
4.3.6 Effect of adsorbent load
4.3.7 Effect of adsorbent ratio
4.3.8 Comparison of the combined adsorbent and polyacrylamide
4.4 Economic analysis
CHAPTER FIVE: CONCLUSIONS, CHALLENGES AND RECOMMENDATIONS
5.0 Preamble
5.1 Conclusion
5.2 Recommendations
REFERENCES
INDEX

Q

е,

CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Excessive release of heavy metals such as lead due industrial activities and technological 56 developments has posed a great problem worldwide. Heavy metals pose a significant threat to the environment and public health due to their toxicity, resulting from their accumulation in food chain and persistence in nature (Kanawade and Gaikwad, 2011). Lead (II) ions introduced to the aquatic streams by means of electroplating, pigments, metallurgy, battery industries as well as mining have led to severe environmental pollution (Demirbas E, 2004). Lead interferes with a variety of body processes and is toxic to body organs and tissues. The Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME) estimated that in 2013 lead exposure accounted for 853 000 deaths due to long-term effects on health, with the highest burden being in low and middle income countries(WHO, 2016).

Removal of Lead (II) ions from waste water is Crucial because of the public health hazards it exposes humans to as a result of its consumption. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), the maximum permissible limit (MPL) of lead in drinking water is 0.05 mg/L. The permissible limit (mg/L) for Pb (II) in wastewater, given by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), is 0.05 mg/L (Goel et al, 2005). The conventional treatment processes used to remove Lead (II) ions include precipitation, ion exchange, filtration, membrane filtration, electrochemical treatment, and reverse osmosis (Stirk and Staden, 2000).

The need for economical and effective methods for removing heavy metals from wastewater has therefore resulted in the search for relatively cheaper materials that may be useful in removing the heavy metals in the environment (Okiemen, 1991). However the existing methods have a disadvantage of being expensive, sophisticated equipment, high cost of operation and high energy requirements (Ahalya, 2003). The need for environmental friendly and cost-effective methods for removing lead (II) ions from wastewater has therefore resulted in the search for relatively cheaper materials that may be useful in reducing the levels of heavy metals in the environment (Okiemen, 1991). A number of innovative methods have been used to remove lead (II) ions, such as biosorption (Volesky, 1987), biosorption on to biopolymers (Jang et al., 1998), adsorptive filtration using coated sands (Benjamin et al., 1996), and biosorption on magnetic iron oxides(Dean and Bosqui, 1972). Moreover, biosorption has aroused most interests

1

REFERENCES

d.

U.S. Geological Survey, 2016. Lead, s.l.: s.n.

Ahalya, N. &. R., 2003. Biosorption of heavy metals. *Research journal of chemistry and environment*, pp. 71-79.

Ahuluwalia, S. G. D., 2007. Microbial and plant derived biomass for removal of heavy meatals from wastewater. In: *Bioresource Technology*. s.l.:s.n., pp. 2243-2257.

Ajayi, O. A. A. O. M. A. A., 2005. Evaluation of the adsorption capability of oyester and snall shell powder adsorbents for the sorption of lead (II) ions from aqueous solution. In: *Science focus*. s.l.:s.n., pp. 91-96.

Candolle, 1882. Origin of plants. Water-melon, p. 262.

Dalaware health and social services, 2015. Lead poisoning, s.l.: s.n.

Demirbas E, K. M. S. E. O. T., 2004, Adsorption kinematics for the removal of chromium(VI) from aqueous solution on the activated carbons prepared from agricultural wastes. [Online].

Ferrari, L., Kaufmann, J., Winnefeld, F. & Plank, J., 2010. Interaction of cement model systems with superlasticizers investigated by atomic force microscopy, zeta potential, and adsorption measurements. s.l.: J Colloid Interface Sci.

Fu, F. &. W. Q., 2011. Removal of heavy metal ions from wastewater. A review journal of environment management, pp. 407-418.

Goel J, K. k. R. C. K. G. V., 2005. Removal of lead (II) by adsorption using treated granular activated carbon. s.l.; J Hazard Mater.

Golub, M. S. e., 2005. Metals, fertility and reporductive toxicity. s.l.: Taylor and Francis.

Hunson M, P. K. D. S. V. H. D. P., 2005: Electrochemical treatment of heavy metals from industrial effluent and modeling of copper reduction. *Water resources*, 39(4), pp. 610-6.

Inc, M. &. E., 1989. Wastewater engineering- Treatment, Disposal and Reuse. USA: McGraw-Hill Inc.

Kurniawan A., O. V. S. A. T. K., 2011. Ecol. Eng, Volume 37, p. 940.

Landis, W. G. a. M.-H. Y., 2003. Introduction to environmental toxicology. *Impacts of chemicals upon ecological systems*.

Lauren, G., 2010. Childhood lead exposure after the phaseout of leaded gasoline. Children's health.

M.A., B., 2010. New trends in removing heavy metals from industrial wastewater. *Arabian journal of chemistry*, pp. 361-377.

M.R. Agnes, M., 2005. Determination of cirtulline in watermelon rind, s.l.: J. chromatogr.

Mackenzie L. Davis, D., 1991. Introduction to environmental engineering, Singapore: McGraw-Hill, Inc.

Maynard, D. & Mynard, D. N., 2012. Cucumber, melons and watermelons. In: C. u. press, ed. *The cambridge world history of food*. s.l.:s.n.

Oklemen, F. O. E. O. D., 1991. Sorption of candium and lead ions on modified groundnut (Arachis hypogea) husk. In: *Biotechnol. s.*l.:s.n., pp. 97-103.

Park D., Y. Y. M. P., 2010. Biotechnol and Bioproc Eng. 15 ed. s.l.:s.n.

R. Lakshimipathy, N. S., 2013. Application of watermelon rind as an adsorbent for removal of nickel and cobalt from aqueous solution. Volume 122, pp. 63-65.

R. Lakshmipathy, A. V. N., 2013. Watermelon rind as biosorbent for removal of Candium from aqueous solution. *FTIR EDX, and Kinetic studies,* Volume 90, pp. 1210-1217.

S.J.Arceivala, 1998. Wastewater treatment for pollution control, New Delhi: Tata McGraw-Hill Publ. Co.Ltd.

Shukia SR, P. R., 2005. Removal of Pb(II) from solution using cellulose containing materials. Volume 80, pp. 176-183.

Singh D, T. A. G. R., 2012. Phytoremediation of lead from wastewater using aquatic plants. 8(1), pp. 1-11.

Stirk WA, S. J., 2000. Removal of heavy metals from solution using dried brown seaweed mawerial. Botanica Marina, Volume 43, pp. 467-473.

Sutherland, C. A. et al., 2005. Lead. In: Ullmann's Encyclopedia of industrial chemistry. s.l.:s.n.

Taub, F. B., 2004. *Biological Impactsmof Pollutants on Aquatic organisms*, Seattle, WA: University of Washington College of Ocean and Fishery Science.

Wehner, T. C., 1986. Watermelon Crop Information. In: Environmental Engineering. Singapore: McGraw-Hill Inc.

Yun Y, P. D. P. J. V. B., 2001. Biosorption of trivalent chromium on brown seaweed biomass. *Envin. Sci. Technol*, Volume 35, pp. 4353-4358.

Zhou JL, H. P. L. R., 1998. Sorption and desorption of Cu and Cd by microalgae. *Environ. Pollut*, Volume. 101, pp. 67-75.