

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

DEPARTMENT OF MINING AND WATER RESOURCES ENGINEERING

FINAL YEAR PROJECT REPORT

ASSESSMENT OF DRILLING AND BLASTING PARAMETERS TO MINIMIZE ORE DILUTION AND ORE LOSS AT KILEMBE MINES.

BY

KAIJUKA BRIAN

REG. NUMBER: BU/UG/2012/100.

TEL: 0774648999/ 0703018663

Email: kbrian075@gmail.com

MAIN SUPERVISOR: Ms. NANGENDO JACQUELINE

A final year project report submitted to the Department of Mining and Water Resources Engineering in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the award of a Bachelor's Degree in Mining Engineering.

May 2016

ABSTRACT.

ě.

Dilution, which is difficult to quantify accurately, is a major concern in underground mining. The level of dilution at Kilembe mines is currently difficult to assess owing to the complexity of the ore body. The acceptable dilution levels differ from mine to mine but the current dilution of 66.6% on the mine is too high. This paper attempts to assess the drilling and blasting parameters so as to minimize excessive dilution levels at Kilembe mines.

Data for drilling and blasting and rock properties were collected in the field.

Minimum dilution was achieved after determining the optimum drilling and blasting parameters that affect dilution, optimum fragmentation, throw and ground vibration and this was done by assessing explosive and rock properties and other factors that contribute to ore dilution.

At the end of this project conclusion and recommendation were given based on title of assessment of drilling and blasting parameters to minimize ore dilution and ore loss at Kilembe mines.

DECLARATION

I KAIJUKA BRIAN hereby declare that the information in this report was written by me out of my own effort and it has never been presented to any university or institution. I hereby submit it to the department of Mining and Water Resource Engineering Busitema University for the award of a Bachelor's degree in Mining Engineering.

SIGNATURE: Kauto / DATE: 30 0512016

> BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY LIBRARY CLASS No.: ACCESS NO.: F. D. 229

> > ii Page

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.

First and foremost I thank the almighty God who gave me strength, Courage and direction to accomplish this project. Keep me healthy and safe from the beginning of this course up to this moment.

Secondly, I would like to take this opportunity to place out my appreciation to my lovely family for their support from the beginning up to now. Without forgetting my friends especially my group discussion mates.

I am grateful to thank my project supervisors, Ms. NANGENDO JACQUELINE and Mr. NASASIRA HILLARY for their continuous supervision and support they rendered to me throughout the development of this project, which enabled me to better understand the problem and enhance the quality of this final report.

I thank the staff of Busitema University especially in the Department of water resource and mining Engineering for the knowledge they have provided me with.

iii | Page

APPROVAL

ج

This is to confirm that this report has been written and presented by KAIJUKA BRIAN.

SUPERVISOR: Ms.NANGENDO JACQUELINE.

Signature.....

Date.....

Contents

 $r_{\rm c}$

7

.

a 5

÷

•...

ABSTRACT							
DECLARATION							
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT							
APPROVAL iv							
LIST OF FIGURES viii							
LIST OF TABLES ix							
LIST OF ACRONYMS							
1 CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION							
1.1 BACKGROUND							
1.1.1 Locətioл							
1.1.2 Geology:1							
1.1.3 Dilution at Kilembe2							
1.2 PROBLEM STATEMENT							
1.3 Justification							
1.4 Objectives							
1.4.1 Main objective							
1.4.2 Specific objectives							
1.5 SCOPE							
2 CHAPTER TWO: LETURATURE REVIEW							
2.1 Introduction							
2.2 Quantification of dilution							
2.3 Classification of dilution							
2.3.1 Planned dilution (internal dilution)							
2.3.2 Unplanned dilution (external dilution)							
2.4 The geological factors influencing establishment of the optimum blasting parameters							
2.4.1 Rock Properties							
2.4.2 Dynamic Compressive Strength of the Rock							
2.4.3 Density							
2.4.4 In-Situ Static Stress							
2.4.5 Voids and Zones of Weakness							
2.4.6 Rock jointing							
2.5 Effect of drilling and blasting on dilution							

vlPage

	2.6 ore o	The dilution	parameters drilling and blasting that influence on muck pile movement and the levels of and/ or ore loss on underground mines
	2.5.1		Borehole diameter, D
	Z.I an	6.2 Id/or-lo	Effects of the borehole diameter on the blast movement, fragmentation and ore dilution oss
	2.0	6.3	Explosive strength (e)
	2.0	6.4	Powder factor
	2.6.5 loss.		Effects of the powder factor on the blast movement, fragmentation and ore dilution and 11
	2.	6.6	Burden (B)
	2.(lo:	6.7 ss:	Effects of Burden on the Rock fragmentation, muck pile movement and ore dilution and/ 12
	2.	6.8	Spacing
	2.6.9		Effects of the spacing on the fragmentation, Blast movement and ore dilution and/ or loss 14
	2.0	6.10	Boreholes pattern
	2.	6.11	Hole depth15
3	CH	HAPTER	TWO: METHODOLOGY
	3.1	Mate	erials used
	3.2 rock	Spec s. 17	ific objective: To determine powder factor basing on the geological properties of the
	3,	2.1	Characterizing rocks to determine the coefficient rock hardness (f)
	3.3 influ	Spec ence or	ific objective two: To determine the current drilling and blasting parameters that have ore dilution and/ or ore loss at Kilembe mines
	3.4	Spec	ific objective 3: Optimization of the drilling and blasting parameters
4	Cŀ	IAPTER	FOUR: RESULTS AND DISCUSION
	4.1	INTR	ODUCTION
	4.2	Spec	ific objective one
	4.3	Spec	ific object two:21
	4.4	Spec	ific objective 3: optimization of drilling and blasting parameters
	4.	4.1	Field trials for selecting best parameters
	4.	4.2	Hole length
	4.	4.3	Hole diameter
	4	4.4	Drill hole spacing and burden
	4.	4.5	Smooth blasting

v

¢.

7

•

Ę

 \mathbf{r}_{i}

vi | Page

		4.4.6	Pattern selection	31
5		CHAPTE	R FIVE: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION.	34
	5.1	1 Co	nclusion	34
	5.2	Z REG	COMMENDATION.	34
RE	FE		35	

LIST OF FIGURES.

er.

÷

 \mathcal{L}^{1}

a,

ŧ

۰.

Figure 1: Difference between planned and unplanned dilution. Source: Coble and Moss, 1994	6
Figure 2: Burden (source: Blasting course, Blast Dynamics Inc. © 2006)1	1
Figure 3: burden	3
Figure 4: Reduced spacing for ore control (source: Blasting course, Blast Dynamics Inc. © 2006	5)
	4
Figure 5 graphical representation of obtained results on hole depth	4
Figure 6: graphical representation of results on hole diameter	7
Figure 7: burden and spacing results	9
Figure 8: smooth blast results	1
Figure 9:Blast design	3
Figure 4: Reduced spacing for ore control (source: Blasting course, Blast Dynamics Inc. © 2006 14 Figure 5 graphical representation of obtained results on hole depth 22 Figure 6: graphical representation of results on hole diameter 22 Figure 7:burden and spacing results 23 Figure 8: smooth blast results 3 Figure 9:Blast design.	6) 4 4 7 9 1 3

viii | Page

LIST OF TABLES.

22

ь.

*

Table 1: The characteristics of rock jointing. Source (Dr. A T.S. Massawe (2008/2009)	MM 321-
Drilling and Blasting course notes).	9
Table 2: Protodyakonov classification of rock hardness.	
Table 3:Rock segregation.	
Table 4: results for powder factor calculation.	
Table 5: Current drilling and blasting parameters	
Table 6:Results for hole length	
Table 7: The values obtained from all the three trials on hole diameter	
Table 8:results for burden and spacing.	
Table 9; smooth blast results	
Table 10:Summary of the optimal drilling and blasting parameters to be used.	

ix | Page

LIST OF ACRONYMS. B-Burden

D- Diameter

 \tilde{e}_{2}

- de Average size in situ segregation
- d_h Upper size of the rock product

e - The strength conversion factor of explosive

f - Coefficient of rock hardness.

Q - Powder factor

S-Spacing

γ- Rock density

1 CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION.

1.1 BACKGROUND.

1.1.1 Location.

ł.

4

Kilembe mines are located within the Kilembe valley in the eastern foothills of the Rwenzori Mountains about 13 km North West of kasese town within kasese district in the western region of the republic of Uganda.

It is accessed by two roads from the capital, Kampala. The shortest route is via Mubende and Fortportal and this route is currently in good condition and the longer route is via Masaka, Mbarara and Bushenyi with a section of about 60km not in good order.

The concession area can also be accessed by chartered flight to kasese Airfield from Entebbe and in the past years, it could be accessed by railway which is now out of order.

1.1.2 Geology:

The Kilembe Series which hosts the copper-cobalt mineralization occurs within the Precambrian Buganda-Toro System. The system stretches across the Southern Ugandan border from the Rwenzori Mountains in the west almost to the Kenyan border. The Rwenzori Mountains are a tilted fault block within the Western Rift Valley system between the DRC and Ugandan Rifts.

Kilembe is situated in an area of highly folded pre-Cambrian Basement mignatites which form the kilembe series, and are intruded by granites, pegmatites and dolerites.

The Kilembe ore bodies are mainly disseminated to massive sulphides and generally appear to form 15% to 100% of the ore which has been mined to date. The principal copper mineral is chalcopyrite with its normal associated suite of secondary derivatives.

Other metallic minerals are pyrite, the principal sulphide and minor Pyrrhotite, Pentiandite, linnaeite, siegenite, molybdenite, sphalerite and magnetite. Cobalt is principally found as a replacement of iron within the pyrite lattice (Schlueter T 1997).

1|Page

REFERENCES.

Schlueter T 1997. Geology of East Africa. Gebrueder Borntraeger Berlin-Stuttgart, 484pp.

Anon (1992) Rock Drilling Manual; Theory and Technique, Atlas Copco, Sweden, pp.8-9.

Pakalnis, R., Poulin, R. and Hadjigeorgiou, J. (1995) 'Quantifying the cost of dilution inunderground mines', Mining Engineering, Vol. 47/12, pp.1136-1141.

Assakkaf, 2003 'Underground mining standard practices and procedure at AGA, Obuasi', Mine Operating Manual, (Unpublished), Section 6, pp.40–45.

Cokayne, E.W. (1982) Sublevel caving: Introduction: in Underground mining methods handbook, (W.A. Hustrulid, sen. ed.), Society of Mining Engineers of the American Institute of Mining, Metallurgical and Petroleum, Inc., New York, pp.872–879.

Ash, R.L, 1973 The influence of geological discontinuities on Rock Blasting, P.D Thesis, univ.minnesota 289 p.

Shankar (2010). SME Mining Engineering Hand Bok. New York: SMEAIME. Blasting course book from Blasting Dynamics. (2006).

Schmertmann (2010). Rock Excavation. Arizona: University of Arizona.

Dominy, S.C., Camm, G.S. and Phelps, R.F.G. (1997) 'Narrow vein mining- a challenge to the operator', Mine Planning and Equipment Selection, AA Balkema, Rotterdam, pp.141–147.

Elbrond, J. (1994) 'Economic effects of ore losses and rock dilution', CIM Bulletin, Vol. 87, No. 978, pp.131–134.

Butcher, R.J. (2002) 'Dilution control in southern African mines', Proceedings of African Mining Brisbane (MassMin 2000), 29 October-2 November 2000, Brisbane, Queensland, Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy, pp.1–6.

Mireku-Gyimah, D. (2005) 'Sublevel caving', Unpublished Underground Mine Design and Planning Lecture Notes, University of Mines and Technology, Tarkwa, pp.1–8.

35 | Page