

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

DEPARTMENT OF TEXTILE AND GINNING ENGINEERING

FINAL YEAR PROJECT REPORT

ON PRODUCTION OF BRIQUETTES FROM PEELINGS OF DIFFERENT SPECIES OF BANANA

BY NAMATOVU DEBORA PATIENCE BU/UG/2012/253

SUPERVISORS: 1. DR. NIBIKORA ILDELPHONSE 2. MR. SENDAWULA CHARLES

A fourth year project report submitted to the department of Textile and Ginning leading to the award of Bachelors in Textile Engineering of Busitema University

MAY 2016

DECLARATION

I Namatovu Debora Patience, hereby declare to the best of my knowledge that all the educative material contained in this booklet is an account of my own efforts and all the content presented in it is personally developed and has not been presented in any college, university, or any other institution of learning.

Signature....

BUSITEMA	UNIVERSITY LIBRARY
CLASS No.1.	
ACCESS NO.	FFT 0459

APPROVAL

This project report has been submitted for examination with approval from the following supervisors:

	. Date
DR. NIBIKORA IIDELPHONSE	

DEPARTMENT OF TEXTILE AND GINNING

DEPARTMENT OF TEXTILE AND GINNING

NAMATOVU DEBORA PATIENCE BU/UG/2012/253

в.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I am so much grateful to God for the success of this project, His sufficient grace and provision for its accomplishment.

I extend my sincere gratitude to Dr. Nibikora and Mr. Sendawula Charles for serving as my supervisors and for providing encouragement and guidance throughout my work on this paper.

I greatly appreciate my parents, Mr. Simon Luwemba and Mrs. Grace Luwemba, my sisters and brothers who constantly provided me with advice and financial support.

Special thanks to Uganda Industrial Research Institute as well as Mr. Geofrey Korinako together with Afribanana for the help and guidance towards my research.

Finally, a very special expression of appreciation is extended to friends whose encouragement made this effort possible.

DEDICATION

I dedicate this project report to my parents the late Mr. Simon Luwemba, Mrs. Grace Luwemba, my sisters and brothers for their devotion toward my growth and excellence. May God continually bless you.

40

ABSTRACT

Biomass particularly agricultural wastes, has become one of the most promising energy sources for most developing countries. In East Africa, where biomass dominates the domestic energy market, briquetting technology is gaining momentum particularly as wood resources become scarcer and the price of regular charcoal increases. The idea of utilizing the residues from agricultural sectors as primary or secondary energy sources is somewhat attractive since they are free, indigenous and environmentally friendly. The major source of energy to the community is wood fuel since other sources of energy (electricity, gas and kerosene) are grossly inadequate where they are available and they are beyond the reach of the masses. Fuel wood collection leads to deforestation, desertification, global warming and pollution and these bring grave consequences on forest conservation sustainable forest resources management.

The study evaluated briquettes from different species of Musa sapientum plant (banana) peelings that is to say Gros Michel (bogoya), Musa x paradisiaca (plantain/gonja) as well as Musa AAA (matooke) for fuel production. The parameters analysed were moisture content, ash content, volatile matter, density, fixed carbon, nitrogen content and the heating value. The peelings were dried under the sun to remove some of the moisture content. The char was produced through the carbonisation process. The crusher was used for crushing and sieving. Binders for example char dust, starch were added in a mixer and mixed with addition of water. Pressing was done using a compactor and the briquettes were dried under the sun. The auto balance was used for density (g/cm3), the hot air oven for percentage moisture content, a marfle furnace for percentage volatile matter, percentage ash content, percentage fixed carbon, percentage nitrogen content by elemental analyser and the heating value was determined in kcal/kg.

All the peelings from the different banana species were capable of briquette production with Gros michel presenting the highest heating value than the rest.

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1; traditional open air carbonisation method; top-lit-down-draft (TLUD) steel kiln
Figure 2; From left-right: Locally fabricated electric grinding machine and Industrial Hammer Mill10
Figure 3; Motorised Plain Screw Extruder
Figure 4;Roller Press
Figure 5; Musa paradisiaca
Figure 6; Gros Michel
Figure 7; Musa AAA
Figure 8; sun drying
Figure 9; Carbonizing process
Figure 10; Crusher
Figure 11; Mixer
Figure 12; Mixed paste
Figure 13;Presser
Figure 14; Drying of briquettes
Figure 15; Auto balance controller
Figure 16; Hot air oven
Figure 17; Marfle furnace
Figure 18; Elemental analyser
Figure 19; Graph for moisture content
Figure 20; Graph for Percentage Ash content
Figure 21; Graph for Percentage volatile matter
Figure 22; Graph for density
Figure 23; Graph for percentage fixed carbon
Figure 24; Graph for percentage nitrogen content
Figure 25; Graph for heating value

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1; traditional open air carbonisation method; top-lit-down-draft (TLUD) steel kiln
Figure 2; From left-right: Locally fabricated electric grinding machine and Industrial Hammer Mill10
Figure 3;Motorised Plain Screw Extruder
Figure 4;Roller Press
Figure 5; Musa paradisiaca
Figure 6; Gros Michel
Figure 7; Musa AAA
Figure 8; sun drying
Figure 9; Carbonizing process
Figure 10; Crusher
Figure 11; Mixer
Figure 12; Mixed paste
Figure 13;Presser
Figure 14; Drying of briquettes
Figure 15; Auto balance controller
Figure 16; Hot air oven
Figure 17; Marfle furnace
Figure 18; Elemental analyser
Figure 19; Graph for moisture content
Figure 20; Graph for Percentage Ash content
Figure 21; Graph for Percentage volatile matter
Figure 22; Graph for density
Figure 23; Graph for percentage fixed carbon
Figure 24; Graph for percentage nitrogen content
Figure 25; Graph for heating value

· 7

Contents

1.

ł

÷۵,

DECLARATION	1
APPROVAL	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	
DEDICATION	iv
ABSTRACT	v
Contents	1
CHAPTER ONE	3
1.0 INTRODUCTION	3
1.2 Problem statement	
1.3 Justification	
1.4 Scope	6
1.5 Objectives of the study.	6
1.6 Significance of the study	6
CHAPTER TWO	8
2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW	8
2.1 FUNDAMENTAL ASPECTS OF BRIQUETTING	8
2.1.1 Pressure Compaction	
2.1.1 Pressure Compaction	
•	8
2.1.2 Binding Mechanisms of Densification	8 9
2.2 BRIQUETTING PROCESS	
2.1.2 Binding Mechanisms of Densification	
2.1.2 Binding Mechanisms of Densification 2.2 BRIQUETTING PROCESS CHAPTER THREE 3.0 METHODS AND MATERIALS	
2.1.2 Binding Mechanisms of Densification 2.2 BRIQUETTING PROCESS CHAPTER THREE 3.0 METHODS AND MATERIALS 3.1 Data collection.	
2.1.2 Binding Mechanisms of Densification 2.2 BRIQUETTING PROCESS CHAPTER THREE 3.0 METHODS AND MATERIALS 3.1 Data collection. 3.2 Materials;	
2.1.2 Binding Mechanisms of Densification 2.2 BRIQUETTING PROCESS CHAPTER THREE 3.0 METHODS AND MATERIALS 3.1 Data collection. 3.2 Materials; 3.3 Study Area.	
2.1.2 Binding Mechanisms of Densification 2.2 BRIQUETTING PROCESS CHAPTER THREE 3.0 METHODS AND MATERIALS 3.1 Data collection. 3.2 Materials; 3.3 Study Area. 3.4 Sample preparation:	
2.1.2 Binding Mechanisms of Densification 2.2 BRIQUETTING PROCESS CHAPTER THREE 3.0 METHODS AND MATERIALS 3.1 Data collection. 3.2 Materials; 3.3 Study Area. 3.4 Sample preparation: 3.5 Briquette production processes.	
2.1.2 Binding Mechanisms of Densification 2.2 BRIQUETTING PROCESS CHAPTER THREE 3.0 METHODS AND MATERIALS 3.1 Data collection. 3.2 Materials; 3.3 Study Area. 3.4 Sample preparation: 3.5 Briquette production processes. 3.5.1 Pre-drying.	
2.1.2 Binding Mechanisms of Densification 2.2 BRIQUETTING PROCESS CHAPTER THREE 3.0 METHODS AND MATERIALS 3.1 Data collection 3.2 Materials; 3.3 Study Area 3.4 Sample preparation: 3.5 Briquette production processes. 3.5.1 Pre-drying. 3.5 2 Carbonizing.	
2.1.2 Binding Mechanisms of Densification 2.2 BRIQUETTING PROCESS CHAPTER THREE 3.0 METHODS AND MATERIALS 3.1 Data collection 3.2 Materials; 3.3 Study Area 3.4 Sample preparation: 3.5 Briquette production processes 3.5.1 Pre-drying 3.5.2 Carbonizing 3.5.3 Crushing	

NAMATOVU DEBORA PATIENCE BU/UG/2012/253

1

3.5.6 Drying
3.6 SAMPLE ANALYSIS OR TESTING
3.6.1 Density
3.6.2 Percentage moisture content (PMC)
3.5.3 Percentage volatile matter (PVM)
3.6.4 Percentage Ash Content (PAC)
3.6.5 Percentage fixed carbon content (PFC)
3.6.6 Heating value (HV)
3.6.7 Nitrogen content
CHAPTER FOUR
4.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
4.1 Parameters tested and their analysis
4.1.1 Percentage Moisture Content (PMC)
4.1.2 Percentage Ash Content (PAC)
4.1.3 Percentage Volatile Matter (PVC)
4.1.4 Density
4:1:5 Percentage Fixed Carbon (PFC)
4.1.6 Nitrogen content
4.1.7 Heating value
CHAPTER FIVE
5.1 CONCLUSIONS
5.2 RECOMMENDATIONS

NAMATOVU DEBORA PATIENCE BU/UG/2012/253

ŕ,

٠é

Ý_Č

a,S

CHAPTER ONE

1.0 INTRODUCTION 1.1 Background

For most developing countries, biomass particularly agricultural wastes, has become one of their most promising energy sources ((Ferguson, 2012) The idea of utilizing the residues from agricultural sectors as primary or secondary energy sources is somewhat attractive since they are free, indigenous and environmentally friendly (Patomosk, 2009). Furthermore, the decreasing availability of firewood has necessitated that efforts be made towards efficient utilization of agricultural wastes (Mishra, 1996). They have acquired considerable importance as fuels for many purposes viz. domestic cooking, industrial process heating, and power generation (Ferguson, **2012).** Some of them, such as coconut shell, corn cob and wood waste, are ready to be directly used as fuel (Patomosk, 2009). The majority of them, however, are not appropriate to be used as fuel without a suitable process since they have low density, high moisture content, and low energy density (Emerhi, 2011). All of these issues may cause problems in transportation, handling, storage, entrained particulate emission control including direct combustion. One of the optimistic technologies for alleviating these problems is briquetting, which has been studied by many researchers (Patomosk, 2009). The technology may be defined as a densification process for improving the handling characteristics of raw material and enhancing the volumetric calorific value of the biomass (Patomosk, 2009).

Considerable amount of research on briquetting technology has been conducted (Cintia, 2014). Examples of biomass studied are wheat straw hazelnut shell, woods, grass, cotton, olive refuse, banana leaves and pseudostem, rice straw and husk as well as maize cob (Patomosk, 2009).

Worldwide many different types of briquettes exist for a variety of applications (**Prior**, 1990). In industrialised countries briquettes are commonly used as a fuel in industrial boilers and biomass cogeneration plants (**Ferguson**, 2012). In East Africa, where biomass dominates the domestic energy market, briquetting technology is gaining momentum particularly as wood resources become scarcer and the price of regular charcoal increases. Briquettes made from materials that cost little or no money to obtain such as newspaper or partially decomposed plant waste or sawdust can be an alternate source of domestic and industrial energy to charcoal, firewood, gas, coal and

REFERENCES

- Acma H.H., Yaman S., Kucukbayrak S., 2013, Production of biobriquettes from carbonized brown seaweed, Fuel Processing Technology 106, 33-40. DOI:10.1016/j.fuproc.2012.06.014.
- Annual book of ASTM standards, 2006, Standard Test Method Moisture Analysis of Particulate Wood Fuels, E 1871-82.
- Annual book of ASTM standards, 2006, Standard Test Method for Volatile Matter in the Analysis of Particulate Wood Fuels, E 872 – 82.
- Annual book of ASTM standards, 2007, Standard Test Method for Ash in Biomass, E 1755-01.
- Annual book of ASTM standards, 2008, Standard Test Method for Determination of Total Solids in Biomass, E 1756-08. 353
- Camargo F. L., 2006, Study of fast pyrolysis of sugarcane bagasse in bubbling fluidized bed, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, State University of Campinas, São Paulo, Brazil.
- Chou C-S., Lin S-H., Lu W-C., 2009, Preparation and characterization of solid biomass fuel made from rice straw and rice bran, Fuel Processing Technology 90, 980-987., DOI: 10.1016/j.fuproc.2009.04.012
- Felfli F.F., Mesa J. M., Rocha J.D., Filippetto D., Luengo C.A., Pippo W.A., 2011, Biomass briquetting and its perspectives in Brazil, Biomass and Bioenergy 35, 232-242, DOI: 10.1016/j.biombioe.2010.08.011.
- Fernandes E.R.K., 2012, Recovery of waste generated in the banana culture by pyrolysis, University of Joinville Region, Masters in Process Engineering, Joinville/SC, Brazil.
- Furtado T.S., Valin M., Brand M. A., Bellote A.F.J., 2010, Briquetting process variables and quality of forest biomass briquettes, Brazilian Forestry Research 30, 101-106, DOI: 10.4336/2010.pfb.30.62.101 (in Portuguese).
- Kaliyan N., Morey R., V., 2010, Densification characteristics of corn cobs, Fuel Processing Technology, 91, 559–565, DOI:10.1016/j.fuproc.2010.01.001
- Morais M.R., Seye O., Freitas K.T., 2006, Obtaining charcoal briquettes from rice husk using low compaction pressure. In Proceedings of the 6th Meeting Energy in Rural Areas, São Paulo, Brazil.

- Oladeji J.T., 2010, Fuel characterization of briquettes produced from corncob and rice husk resides, The Pacific Journal of Science and Technology, 11, 101-106.
- Sant'anna M.C.S., Lopes D.F.C., Carvalho J.B.R., Silva G.F., 2012, Characterization of waste briquettes obtained with agribusiness, Brazilian Journal of Agroindustrial Products 14, 289-294, Campinas, Brazil (in Portuguese).
- 15. Santos E. S., Souza R. C. R., Seye O., 2004, Use of cupuaçu (Theobroma grandiflorum) bark for energy production, In Meeting of Energy in Rural Areas, 5, Campinas, Brazil
- Soffner M. L. A. P., 2001, Pulp production from banana stem, University of São Paulo, Piracicaba/SP, Brazil, Thesis,
- Suzdalenko V., Barmina I, Lickrastina A., Zake M., 2011, The effect of co-gasification of the biomass pellets with gas on the thermal degradation of biomass, Chemical Engineering Transactions 24, 7-12, DOI: 10.3303/CET1124002.
- Tock J. Y., Lai C. L., Lee K. T., Tan K. T, Bhatia S., 2012, Banana biomass to renewable energy resource potential: The Malaysian case study, Renewable and Sustainable Energy Review 14, 798-805.
- Yamaji F. M., Chrisostomo W., Vendrasco L., Flores W. P., 2010, The use of forest residues for pellets and briquettes production in Brazil. Proceedings Venice 2010, Third International Symposium on Energy from Biomass and Waste.
- Yumak H., Ucar T., Seyidbekiroglu N., 2010, Briquetting soda weed (Salsola tragus) to be used as a rural fuel source, Biomass and Bioenergy 34, 630-636, DOI: 10.1016/j.biombioe.2010.01.006.
- Wilaipon P., 2009, The effects of briquetting pressure on banana-peel briquette and the banana waste in northern Thailand, American Journal of Applied Sciences 6, 167-171, DOI: 10.3844/ajassp.2009.167.171.