

CLIMATE CHANGE AND SUGARCANE PRODUCTIVITY IN UGANDA

Implications on livelihood sustainability in Busedde sub county, Jinja district

BETTY NAMAZZI

UNIVER DATE: IBRA

BU/GS14/MCC/009

A Research Thesis presented to the Directorate of Research and Innovation in partial fulfilment of the requirement for a Masters in Climate Change and Disaster Management of Busitema University.

OCTOBER 2017

DECLARATION

I, Namazzi Betty hereby certify that this dissertation is a result of my original research work and I present it without any reservations.

N fly

19th 09 2017

Betty Namazzi

Date

CLASS No.t.	BUSITEMA UN	IVERSITY LIPP
ACCESS NO.	CLASS No.t	LIBRARY
	ACCESS NO.:	

APPROVAL

The research work culminating in to this thesis or dissertation was conducted under my guidance and supervision.

First Supervisor

Name: Dr Moses Isabirye	PLN
Qualifications	PTD
Signature	S. Date 19/09/2017

Second Supervisor Name: Mr. Kakungulu Moses

Qualifications: MA. EPP (Mak); PhD Candidate (KU Leuven)

KAV> Signature

Date; 18-09-2017

DEDICATION

I dedicate this dissertation to my family and friends. You have supported me throughout the process.

I will always appreciate you all, especially Mr. Nsubuga Benard and Ms. Khanzira Prossy for helping me develop my technology skills.

٣.

I dedicate this work and give special thanks to my wonderful husband Mr. Semakula Emmanuel for being there for me throughout the entire Masters program and the many hours of proofreading my work. You have been my best cheerleader.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to acknowledge the Almighty God for keeping me alive to witness the successful completion of this research.

Sincere gratitude goes to my supervisor, Dr Isabirye Moses for guiding and encouraging me through this research, my appreciation also goes to Mr Kakungulu Moses my co-supervisor for his advice.

I want to use this opportunity to thank Prof. Ochwoh, Mr. Nsubuga Bernard and Ms. Khanzira Prossy for their endless support and readiness to help when needed. You really were awesome and I truly appreciate your kind gesture.

I also want to appreciate the contribution of the team from Kakira estate for providing me with the necessary information on sugarcane agronomic and permitting me access to information of the various sugarcane farmers.

I also thank my husband Mr Semakula Emmanuel for his advice and support during this research.

۷

Finally and most importantly, I will like to thank my parents for believing in me.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

• 5

۰.,

5

٩.

DECLARATIONii
APPROVAL iii
DEDICATIONiv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT
TABLE OF CONTENTSvi
LIST OF TABLES
LIST OF FIGURESx
LIST OF MAPS
LIST OF APPENDENCESxii
ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATION xiii
ABSTRACTxiv
CHAPTER ONE
INTRODUCTION1
1.1 Background1
1.2. Problem statement
1.3. Justification of the study
1.4. Objectives of the study
1.4.1 Main objective of the study
1.4.2. Specific objectives of the study
1.5, Research questions
1.6. Significance of the study
1.7. Scope of the study
CHAPTER TWO
LITERATURE REVIEW
2.0. Introduction
2.1. Land suitability assessment
2.1.1. Sugar cane modelling
2.2. Methods applied for land suitability assessment
2.2.1. Geographic Information Systems
2.2.2. Remote Sensing
2.2.3. Spatial Multi Criteria Decision Making
2.2.4 The economic methods used in land suitability assessment

2.3. Effects of climate change to sugarcane production	.11
3.4. Optimum resource combination	 .11

CHAPTER THREE
MATERIAL AND METHODS
3.1. Introduction15
3.2. Study area
3.2.1. Vegetation cover of the study area
3.2.2. Topography and Geology
3.2.3. Soils
3.2.4. Climate
3.2.5. Land use
3.2.6 Land Tenure system
3.2. Methodology
3.2.1. Research design
3.5. Data preparation
3.6. Data analysis
3.6.1 Suitability analysis
3.6,2. Average gross returns and average net returns
3.6.4 Linear programming model
CHAPTER FOUR
RESULTS
4.1. Results of the study
4.2. Optimal crop combination
4.2.1. Contribution of sugar cane and food crop (banana) to farmer's income
CHAPTER FIVE
5.0. DISCUSSION
5.1. Findings on areas that are suitable for sugarcane growing
5.2 Finding on the optimum resource combination
5.2.1. Contribution of bananas to farmer's income basing on average returns
5.2.2 The contribution of sugar cane to farmer's income basing on average returns,
5.2.3 Food requirement

CHAPTER SIX	37
CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	37
6.1 Conclusion of the study	37
6.2 Recommendations	38
References	.39
APPENDICES	41
Appendex 1	41
Sugar cane crop requirements	41

The second s

۰.

LIST OF FIGURES

Elenna A 1. Outline al anon	a a mala la la catal a sa	·
Figure 4 C Oninnal crop	compilization	
righter (into optimist or optimist		

X

LIST OF MAPS

Map 3.1: study area	18
Map 3.2: Uganda Digital elevation model	19
Map 3.3: Temperature maps a) current temperature and b) predicated	21
Map 3.4: Classified temperature maps a) with current temperature and b) predicted temperature	22
Map 3.5: Classified soil map	23
Map 3.6: Classified rainfall map	24
Map3. 7: Gazzatted map	25
Map 4. 1: Suitability map for sugar cane production a) with current temperature and b) predicated temperature	29

ì

LIST OF APPENDENCES

Appendix1	41
Appendix 2	45

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATION

AHP	Analytic Hierarchy Process
DEM	Digital Elevation Model
EM	Electro Magnetic
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization
GIS	Geographical Information System
HA	Hectares
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
ISA	International Standard Atmosphere
KG	Kilograms
ĹP	Linear Programming
MCDM	Multi Criteria Decision Making
MW	Mega Watts
UNFCCC	United Nations Framework Conventions on Climate Change

ABSTRACT

There is an increasing demand for sugar both on the national and international market which implies expansion of more land for sugar cane production to meet this increasing demand. The allocation of more land to sugar cane growing upshots into a reduction of land allocated for food crops like banana and this results in food insecurity as farmers are confronted with the problem of optimal allocation of farm resources. Considering the effects that may arise from climate change on sugarcane production, the study aimed at promoting sugar cane growing promote sugar cane production, food security and household income with the changing climate. In order to be able to achieve this goal, the study employed both the bio physical evaluation methods to identify areas that can support sugarcane growing with changing climate and economic valuation so as to identify the optimal allocation of resources that ensure sugar cane farmers are food security and maximize the net returns to increase their household incomes. From the study results show that there are more areas that suitable for sugarcane growing with minimum conflict to land use due to low population densities. Additional it was also revealed that in order to solve the problem of food insecurity and ensure steady household incomes, a farmer should produce 1 unit of sugarcane and 1 unit of bananas using 1 hectare for sugarcane and 2 hectare for banana growing while fully utilizing the available resources. From observation made in the study it is recommended that new areas identified as suitable for sugarcane production require addition of application of fertilizers and irrigation in order to get high yields which will increase household income and for any farmer to decide to undertake sugar cane farming he should have a minimum of 3 hectares.

Keywords: Suitability, Optimal Crop Combination, Food

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Sugar cane is a global important crop that provides nearly 80% of the sugar consumed worldwide (Murphy, 2017). It is one of the world's major C4 crops grown mainly in the tropic and sub tropics regions and they provide nearly 75 % of sugar produced for human consumption in the world (Souza *et al.*, 2008). The crop is grown in more than 120 countries and Brazil is the leading producer of sugarcane with 33% of global sugar production followed by India at (23%), China and Pakistan stand at (7%) and (4%) respectively (FNP, 2009). Worldwide sugar cane occupies an area of 20.42 million ha with a total production of 1328 million metric tonnes (FAOSTAT, 2005) and the trend for sugar production has increased as observed in 2014 (World Data Atlas, 2014) where the world's total sugar cane was estimated at 27.1 million ha.

Sugar cane production is not only used for sugar consumption but it also curbs greenhouse gas emissions through production of various forms of energy, including ethanol, bioelectricity and bio hydrocarbons. It's already happening today in the different parts of the world for example in Brazil where sugar cane is now the number one source of renewable energy and represents 17 percent of the country's total energy supply, in Nigeria production of bio ethanol amounts to 11500MW from sugar cane (Heinimo, 2009). In Uganda the power station at Kakira is capable of producing 52 megawatts. Furthermore, sugar cane production has contributed to economic growth and development of the different countries through contributing to their Gross Domestic Product. Sugar cane accounts for 8.5% of total foreign earnings and generates direct and indirect employment for approximately 51,000 people (Government Fiji 2002). In Uganda Sugar cane production contributes 16.8% to Gross Domestic Product (UBOS, 2014) and also provides direct employment to 20,000 and 50,000 direct and indirect employments respectively. A study undertaken in South Africa revealed that sugarcane is a key resource to livelihood of small scale farmer's involved (Cockburn et al., 2014). In Zimbabwe sugar industry is contributing 1.4% of Gross Domestic Product (Annual Action Programme, 2009) alongside employing 25,000 people directly and 125,000 people indirectly.

1

References

Ashok K. Srivastava1, Mahendra K (2012), Sugarcane production: Impact of climate change and its mitigation

Department of climate change, Ministry of Water (2016), United Nations Climate Change Conference. Marrakech.

Duli Zhao and Yang Rui Li (2015), Climate Change and Sugarcane Production: Potential Impact and Mitigation Strategies

Fabio R. Marin & James W. Jones & Abraham Singels (2012), Climate change impacts on sugarcane attainable yield in southern Brazil

FAO, 1976. A Frame Work For Land Evaluation.

FAO, 2007. A Framework For Land Evaluation.

Intergovernmental panel climate change fifth report 2014 what is in it for Africa?

Intergovernmental panel on climate change (2012). Climate Change Synthesis Report Isabirye M. 2005 Land Evaluation around Lake Victoria: Environmental Implications of Land Use change, PhD Dissertation, KU Leuven, Belgium

Majeke, F., (2013), Optimum Combination of Crop Farm Enterprises: A Case Study Of A Small-Scale Farm In Marondera, Zimbabwe.

Ministry Of Tourism, Trade and Industry (2010), National Sugar Policy

Misheck Chandiposha (2013), Potential impact of climate change in sugarcane and mitigation strategies in Zimbabwe

Mohamed A.E. Abdel Rahman (2016), Assessment of land suitability and capability by integrating remote sensing and GIS for agriculture in Chamarajanagar district, Karnataka, India

Murphy, r., 2017. sugarcane production systems, uses and economic importance.

National Environment Management Authority (2010), The Potential of Bio-fuel in Uganda an Assessment of Land Resources for Bio-fuel Feedstock Suitability

Nsubuga Benard and Moses Isabirye, 2013. Optimum Crop Enterprise Combination In Sugarcane Based Farming Systems.

39

Okumu, D. I., 2015. Economic Policy Research Centre. Quality Sugarcane Supply Remains Biggest Challenge Ugandan Outgrowers, 1 december.

Onyenweaku2, K. I. C. (2013), Optimum Combination of Farm Enterprises among Smallholder Farmers in Umuahia Agricultural Zone, Abia State, Nigeria.

Prof.dr.ir.C.SYS and Prof.dr.E.VAN RANST (1993), Land evaluation Part III Crop requirements

Ranya Fadlalla Abdalla Elsheikh (2016) Physical Land Suitability Assessment Based On FAO Framework

State of the Environment (2005), Report for Jinja District

23

Sugar research and development cooperation (2008), Climate change and the Australian Sugarcane Industry: Impacts, adaptation and R&D opportunities Uganda Bureau of Statistics, 2014. Census Report