



**BUSITEMA
UNIVERSITY**
Pursuing Excellence

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

DEPARTMENT OF MINING AND WATER RESOURCES ENGINEERING

FINAL YEAR PROJECT REPORT

**APPLICATION OF GIS IN IDENTIFYING SUITABLE SITES FOR LOCATION OF
WATER, SANITATION AND HYGIENE FACILITIES, A CASE STUDY OF BUSIA
MUNICIPALITY IN BUSIA DISTRICT**

BY OUMA DENIS SIBAGAYA

REG NO. BU/UP/2017/1538

TEL NO. +256789228069

EMAIL ADDRESS: sibagayado@gmail.com

SUPERVISOR

2: MR OKETCHO YORONIMO

A final year project proposal report submitted to the Department of water resources and mining engineering in partial fulfilment for the award of the Bachelor of Science in Water Resources Engineering degree of Busitema University

ABSTRACT

Water and sanitation are fundamental for living, wellness, self-regard, empowerment and prosperity. They are human rights, basic to every child and adult. But in Uganda, poor sanitation and hygiene, as well as unequal access to safe drinking water, make thousands of children very sick and at risk of death. Furthermore, in Uganda, close to a tenth of the population practises open defecation and two thirds of households do not wash hands with soap. Poor people mostly carry the burden of poor sanitation. Like most cities and towns in developing countries, Busia town is experiencing rapid urbanization leading to an increase in the urban population and rapid growth in the size and number of informal settlements. More than 60% of the town's population resides in these settlements, where they experience inadequate and poor-quality urban services including sanitation. In terms of sanitation, majority of the dwellers in these settlements use traditional pit latrine technology, which is considered cheap given their level of income. The provision of appropriate facilities for defecation is also an essential response for people's dignity, safety, health and well-being. By understanding geography and people's relationship to location, we can make informed decisions about the way we live on our planet. This research applied geographic information system and Spatial Multi-Criteria Evaluation tools for decision making in the siting of the suitable areas for Water, Sanitation and Hygiene facilities based on the following **criteria**; Slope, lithology, soil, Depth to groundwater table, Population density, Accessibility, and Proximity to Handwashing facilities. In order to achieve this, each of the criterion will have its relevant thematic layer generated and assigned weights that will be calculated using Analytical Hierarchy Process and followed a weighted overlay analysis to ascertain the suitability of the area for the intended use. The study area will be zoned into Four suitability classes; Very, Moderate, suitable and least suitable. Conclusively, the combination of Geographic Information System and Spatial Multi Criteria Evaluation has shown to be a fruitful tool in land suitability evaluation.

Keywords

Water Sanitation and Hygiene, Geographic information systems, Multi Criteria Evaluation, Suitability, Criteria, Weight, Sensitivity Analysis, Analytical Hierarchy Process.

DECLARATION

I..... a student of Busitema University hereby declare that this report is my original work and has not been previously submitted either in part or in whole to any institution of higher learning for any kind of award.

SIGNATURE

DATE

APPROVAL

This report has been produced under my supervision and has been submitted with my approval for examination and award of B.Sc. Water Resources Engineering at Busitema University.

SUPERVISOR **MR OKETCHO YORONIMO**

SIGNATURE

DATE

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I thank the almighty God who by His mercy and love kept me safe from all destructions be it sicknesses, negative energy to mention but a few and gave me the wisdom employed in the compilation of this important research document. “....., if any of you lacks wisdom, you should ask God, who gives generously to all without finding fault, and it will be given to you”. (James 1:5)

I owe the achievement of this work to my supervisors, Mr. Oketcho Yoronimo for his patience and perseverance in guiding me. Never did I imagine that I would be accorded that level of attention. Allow me to say, “thank you very much”.

The Water resources engineering class 2015-2019, your efforts can't go unnoticed, you natured me into the person I am in all aspects be it academically, socially to mention but a few.

Special attention goes to Wesonga Jonathan for his tireless efforts, guidance and attention he gave me in writing this thesis.

Lastly from bottom of my heart, sincere thanks go to my father Mangeni Richard Sibagaya, Beloved mum Getrude, my brothers, and Sister Eunice for their financial support and above all for their prayers for me. To my brothers and friends Mboize Kassimu, Busulwa Reginald, Steven Moore to mention but a few thanks for always being there for me when need arouse, to my brother and friend Eng. Wakoto Steve, thanks for the basic GIS tutorials you gave me. May God bless you all.

DEDICATION

I dedicate this report to my family, friends, and girlfriend

ABBREVIATIONS

WASH	Water, sanitation and hygiene
GIS	Geographical information system
SDGs	Sustainable development goals
NGOs	Non-government organisations
Lpcd	Litres per capita per day
Cfu	Colony-forming unit
WHO	World health organisation
MDGs	Millennium development goals
SMCE	Spatial multi criteria evaluation
UNICEF	United nation children's fund
MOH	Ministry of health
MOES	Ministry of education and sports
MWE	Ministry of water and environment
SWAp	Sector wide approach
UNHCR	United nations high commissioner for refugees

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 2010 population using improved sanitation	5
Figure 2 2010 Population obtaining drinking-water from an improved source SOURCE (WHO,2012)	6
Figure 3 2010 percentage deaths attributed to inadequate WASH SOURCE:(WHO,2012).....	6
Figure 4 2010 Sanitation coverage	7
Figure 5 Simple pit latrine source: (M.Bounds, 2008).....	12
Figure 6 Ventilated improved pit latrine (Source:.....	13
Figure 2-7.....	13
Figure 8 Pour-flush latrine	14
Figure 2-9.....	14
Figure 2-10.....	15
Figure 11 Septic tank and aqua privy	15
Figure 12 Map of Busia showing Busia Municipality	25
Figure 13 Schematic flow for thematic maps generation.....	28
Figure 14 Schematic workflow of SMCE process	30
Figure 15 Rasterization	30
Figure 16 Reclassification.....	31
Figure 17 Slope map Busia town.....	33
Figure 18 Slope reclassification according to (Manual & CAWST, 2014	34
Figure 19 Soil map for Busia Municipality	34
Figure 20 Lithology Map Busia Municipality.....	35
Figure 21 Map showing groundwater depth of wells (Busia)	36
Figure 22 Road Accessibility map(Busia).....	38
Figure 23 Distance to water taps	39
Figure 24 Final suitability Map Final suitability Map	41
Figure 25 A graph of the analysis of the final suitability Map	42
Figure 26 Overlain ground truthing coordinates on suitability model	43
Figure 27 Suitability Model	44

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1 Pros and Cons of pit latrines	12
---	----

Table 2 Assessment of risk following attenuation of micro-organisms within the unsaturated zones (Water et al., 1971)	16
. Table 3 Classification of rock harnesses (from Attewell & Farmer 1976	19
Table 4 Relative scores in AHP	23
Table 5 Data sources	26
Table 6 Relevant data and how it will be generated	27
Table 7 Busia population zoned	29
Table 8 Criteria weight of the different factors	40

Table of Contents

ABSTRACT.....	ii
DECLARATION	iii
ABBREVIATIONS	v
LIST OF FIGURES	vi
LIST OF TABLES.....	vi
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
1 Background.....	1
1.1 Problem Statement	2
1.2 Justification	3
1.3 Study Objectives	3
1.3.1 Main objective of this study was	3
1.3.2 Specific objectives were to;	3
1.4 Scope of study.....	3
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	4
2 What is Wash?	4
2.1.1 Drinking water.....	4
2.1.2 Sanitation.....	4
2.1.3 Hygiene	4
2.2 Why wash for unplanned urban poor settlement.....	4
2.3 Status of Wash	5
2.3.1 The main challenges to WASH in Uganda	7
2.4 WASH TARGETS.....	8
2.5 Effects of poor wash on Uganda	9
2.5.1 Poor health.....	9
2.5.2 US\$ 147 lost each year due to premature death	9
2.5.3 US\$ 21 spent each year on Health Care.....	9
2.5.4 US\$ 8.1 lost each year in Access Time	9
2.5.5 US\$1.1 million lost each year due to Productivity Losses whilst sick or accessing healthcare	10
2.5.6 Other additional costs.....	10
2.6 Toilet.....	10
2.6.1 Categories of toilets	11
2.6.2 Pit latrine.....	11
2.6.3 Pros and cons of pit latrines	12
2.6.4 Types of pit latrines.....	13

2.6.5	Factors to consider when siting a pit latrine.....	15
2.7	Why GIS for Wash.....	23
2.7.1	GIS for decision making with respect to WASH	23
2.8	Recent research that has employed GIS.....	24
CHAPTER 3 Error! Bookmark not defined.	
3	METHODOLOGY	25
4	Study area Description.....	25
	25	
4.1	To generate thematic maps based on the identified factors.	26
4.1.1	Interpolation	28
4.1.2	Digitizing.....	28
4.1.3	Rasterising.....	28
4.1.4	Mapping	28
4.1.5	Geoprocessing.....	28
4.1.6	Multiple Ring Buffer	28
4.2	To conduct Spatial Multi Criteria Evaluation to ascertain the suitable locations of WASH facilities.....	30
	Slope Map	33
5	Conclusion.....	45

CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

1 Background

Water and sanitation are essential for life, for health, for dignity and for empowerment and prosperity (UNICEF, 2015). They are human rights, fundamental to every child and adult. But in Uganda, poor sanitation and hygiene, as well as unequal access to safe drinking water, make thousands of children very sick and at risk of death (UNICEF, 2015). Access to Basic sanitation and appropriate hygiene facilities are essential conditions to create a safe environment which reduces the risk of people's immune system being undermined as a result of chronic exposure to WASH related diseases.

According to Dr Bena Nanyama (DHO), Busia municipality which is famous for poor sanitation, and lack of safe drinking water has been hit by several WASH related epidemics over the years including Cholera, typhoid. In 2014, over seven people died and more than 100 people treated for Cholera, while in 2015, over 241 were treated. Some residents in the town especially Nangwe settlement, practice open defecation according to Mr Kennedy Wanyama the program officer at Africa Water solutions, and this is attributable to the lack of access to safe and appropriately sited Sanitation facilities in the area.

Poor sanitation costs Uganda 389 billion Ugandan Shillings each year, equivalent to US\$177 million, according to a desk study carried out by The Water and Sanitation Program (WSP) (Bank, 2012). It is poor people who carry the burden of poor sanitation. The poorest 20 per cent of the population is 13.5 times more likely to defecate in the open than the wealthiest 20 per cent (UNICEF, 2015). This thus renders WASH programmes less efficient since they don't reach the intended target. Making decisions based on geography is basic to human thinking. However, Uganda is committed to more equitable provision of water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH) services (Com, 2012). By understanding geography and people's relationship to location, we can make informed decisions about the way we live on our planet (Practices, 2011). A geographic information system (GIS) is a technological tool for comprehending geography and making intelligent decisions (Practices, 2011). Land suitability is the fitness of a given type of land for a defined use. The land may be considered in its present condition or after improvements (Study et al., 2013). GIS applications have frequently been used in providing new information by both combining information from different sources and spatial analysis of the existing data (Store & Kangas, 2001). In a Geographic Information System such as ILWIS, the link between spatial and attribute data is

6 References

- AFRICA DEVELOPMENT FUND. (2016). *WATER SUPPLY AND SANITATION PROGRAMME PHASE II (WSSP II) Table of contents. January.*
- Asfaw, B., Azage, M., & Gebregergs, G. B. (2016). Latrine access and utilization among people with limited mobility: A cross sectional study. *Archives of Public Health, 74*(1), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13690-016-0120-5>
- Bank, W. (2012). *Uganda loses UGX389 billion annually due to poor sanitation. 961*(March), 1–6. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0961-9534\(02\)00072-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0961-9534(02)00072-7)
- Brikké, F., & Bredero, M. (2003). Linking technology choice with operation and maintenance in the context of community water supply and sanitation. *Geneva: World Health Organization and IRC Water and Sanitation Centre*, 1–142. <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-9-323-2016>
- Chakhar, S., & Mousseau, V. (1975). *SPATIAL MULTICRITERIA DECISION MAKING.*
- Com, L. I. V. (2012). *Uganda Country Highlights for Web.pdf.*
- Development, U. (2014). *Health services in Kampala slums. 004*, 2–3.
- Friendly, C., & Manual, S. (n.d.). *Water , Sanitation and Hygiene (WASH) in Schools.*
- Graham, J. P., & Polizzotto, M. L. (2013). Pit latrines and their impacts on groundwater quality: A systematic review. *Environmental Health Perspectives, 121*(5), 521–530. <https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1206028>
- Guidelines, C. (2019). *Latrine Design and Construction Part 1.*
- Heijnen, M., Cumming, O., Peletz, R., Chan, G. K. S., Brown, J., Baker, K., & Clasen, T. (2014). Shared sanitation versus individual household latrines: A systematic review of health outcomes. *PLoS ONE, 9*(4). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0093300>
- Huuhtanen, S. (2010). *A guide to sanitation and hygiene in developing countries.*
- M.Bounds. (2008). *TYPES OF TOILET AND. 44*(871954).
- Macdonald, A., & Dochartaigh, B. Ó. (2009). *Mapping for Water Supply and Sanitation (WSS) in Ethiopia Research-inspired Policy Ethiopia and the Nile region Mapping for Water Supply and Sanitation (WSS) in Ethiopia. January.*

- Manual, T., & CAWST. (2014). *Latrine Design and Construction*. December.
- Matt Rosenborg. (2019). *Population Density Information and Statistics*.
- Practices, G. I. S. B. (2011). *GIS for Urban and Regional Planning*. January.
- Programme, F. (2012). *SafeLand*.
- Saaty, T. (2016). *Case M . 7818 – McKesson / UDG Healthcare (Pharmaceutical Wholesale and Associated Businesses) Only the English text is available and authentic . 9(139)*.
<https://doi.org/10.1504/IJSSCI.2008.017590>
- Sanitation. (2002). *Urine is relatively harmless, except in areas where the urinary form of schistosomiasis occurs. This parasitic infection, caused by*. 127–147.
- Still, D. A., & Nash, S. R. (2002). Groundwater Contamination Due To Pit Latrines Located in a Sandy Aquifer a Case Study From Maputaland. *Water Institute of South Africa Biennial Conference*, 1–6.
- Store, R., & Kangas, J. (2001). *Integrating spatial multi-criteria evaluation and expert knowledge for GIS-based habitat suitability modelling*. 55, 79–93.
- Study, C., Kirinyaga, O. F., & District, W. (2013). *UNIVERSITY OF NAIROBI LAND SUITABILITY ANALYSIS FOR TEA CULTIVATION : April*.
- Terefe, A. (2010). *Application and use of GIS in small Sanitation projects in Developing countries*. June.
- The, S. F. O. R., & Strategy, A. R. O. F. (2015). *Republic of Uganda*.
- Tsinda, A., Abbott, P., Pedley, S., Charles, K., Adogo, J., Okurut, K., & Chenoweth, J. (2013). *Challenges to Achieving Sustainable Sanitation in Informal Settlements of Kigali , Rwanda*. 6939–6954. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph10126939>
- Tumwebaze, I. K., & Lüthi, C. (2013). Households' access and use of water and sanitation facilities in poor urban areas of Kampala, Uganda. *Journal of Water Sanitation and Hygiene for Development*, 3(2), 96–105. <https://doi.org/10.2166/washdev.2013.147>
- Uganda overview: water, sanitation and hygiene (wash)*. (2015). 2020.
- UNICEF. (2015). *WASH*. 2015–2016.
- Verbeiren, B. (2008). *Introduction to ILWIS 3.5*. 1–41.

- Water, T., Engineering, B., Centre, D., & Engineering, B. (1971). *Latrine pit design*.
- WHO/UNICEF JMP. (2015). *Post-2015 WASH Targets and Indicators The Post 2015 Targets*. 1–8.
- Who. (2010). *Why urban health matters*. 22.
- WHO. (2012). *GLAAS 2012 Report*. 2008–2011.
- World Health Organisation. (2018). Guidelines on sanitation and health. In *World Health Organization*.
- Zucca, A., Sharifi, A. M., & Fabbri, A. G. (2008). *Application of spatial multi-criteria analysis to site selection for a local park : A case study in the Bergamo Province , Italy*. 88, 752–769. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2007.04.026>