ECONOMIC EVALUATION OF URBAN GREENSPACE ECOSYSTEM SERVICES: A CASE STUDY OF THE CONSTITUTIONAL SQUARE, KAMPALA CITY, UGANDA

BY

BIRUNGI RONAH

BU/UG/2012/1872

A DISSERTATION SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD OF A BACHELORS DEGGREE IN NATURAL RESOURCE ECONOMICS OF BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY

JUNE 2016

DECLARATION

I hereby declare to the best of my knowledge and belief that this is my original work except where acknowledged and has never been submitted to any University or institution of higher learning for any award.

Birungi Ronah Amer

Date. 9/07/2016

1

APPROVAL

This dissertation by BIRUNGI RONAH has been done under my supervision.

Masaba Sowedi (Supervisor)	All

7-5-41

DEDICATION

I dedicate this report to GOD for his protection upon my life and to my family especially to my dear husband Mr. Habokwesiga Laban and my lovely daughter Ariana, my friends Hollen, Immaculate, Kahwa and others in appreciation of the care and support offered to me. May the good lord reward you abundantly.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to thank God for enabling me reach this far. The staff of Busitema University most especially the faculty of Natural Resources and Environmental Sciences, Namasagali Campus whose moral, friendly, and academic support are highly appreciated. I truly and sincerely accord special thanks to my supervisor, Mr. Masaba Sowedi whose wisdom, kind commitment and valuable suggestions have enabled me to accomplish this report. Thank you so much for your encouragement, guidance and supervision.

In a special way, I am humbled and appreciate the support and courage from all my friends like Hollen, Emma, Thadus, Immaculate Pedun and the rest of my class mates.

Lastly, I extend my heartfelt gratitude to my dear family which has provided me with spiritual, moral, financial and friendly support that has inspired me to be the person I am today. May GOD bless you.

LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABREVIATIONS

ACODE	Advocate Coalition for Development and Environment
EPA	Environmental Property Agency
ES	Ecosystem Services
CVM	Contingent Valuation Method
GDP	Growth Domestic Product
MA	Millennium Ecosystem Assessment
NGOs	Non Governmental Organizations
PM	Particulate Matter

WTP Willingness to Pay

•,

National Street, Stree

TABLE OF CONTENTS

e. .

••*••

DECLARATION
APPROVALii
DEDICATION
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTiv
LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABREVIATIONS
TABLE OF CONTENTS
LIST OF FIGURES
CHAPTER ONE
GENERAL INTRODUCTION
1.1 Introduction
1.2 Background
1.3 Problem statement
1.4 Objectives
1.4.1 Aim
1.4.2 Specific objectives
1.5 Research Questions
1.6 Significance of the Study
1.7 The conceptual frame work
1.8 Scope of the study
CHAPTER TWO
LITERATURE REVIEW
2.1 Introduction
2.2 Ecosystem services provided by the urban green space areas

1

2.3 Quantifying the ecosystem services provided by urban green spaces
2.4 Attaching the monetary value on the urban green spaces
CHAPTER THREE
RESEARCH METHODOLOGY
3.1 Introduction
3.2 Study Area Description
3.3 Research design
3.4 Study population
3.5 Sample size and procedure
3.5.1 Sample size
3.5.2 Sampling procedure
3.6 Data types and collection methods
3.6.1 Data types
3.6.2 Data Collection methods
3.6.3 Validity and reliability of data collection instruments
3.7 Data analysis
3.8 Ethical considerations
CHAPTER FOUR
PRESENTATION AND DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS
4.1 Introduction
4.2 Socio-cconomic and demographic information about respondent12
4.3 Ecosystem services provided by urban green spaces in Kampala City
Figure 1: Showing people relaxing at the Constitutional Square in Kampala City
4.4 Quantification of urban green space ecosystem services
Figure 2: Mean frequency of visits of the respondents

а - 1 - 1

4.5 Factors influencing the frequency of respondents' visit14
Table 1: Showing correlation between frequency of visits and socio-economic variables
4.5 Monetary value of the urban green space ecosystem services
4.5.1 Willingness to Pay for ecosystem services
Figure 3: Willingness of the respondents to pay for the ecosystem services
Figure: 4 Amount people are willing to pay for the ecosystem services
4.5.2 Factors that influence the individual's willingness to pay for the ecosystem services
Table 2: Showing correlation between willingness to pay and socio-economic variables
4.6 Discussion
CHAPTER FIVE
CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS
5.1 Conclusion
5.2 Recommendations
REFERENCES
APPENDICES
APPENDIX 1: QUESTIONNAIRE USED FOR DATA COLLECTION

.* .

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Showing correlation between frequency of visits and socio-economic variablesTable 2: Showing correlation between willingness to pay and socio-economic variables18

.

Ł,

ŕ.,

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Showing people relaxing at the Constitutional Square in Kampala City 1	3
Figure: 4 Amount people are willing to pay for the ecosystem services	7
Figure 3: Willingness of the respondents to pay for the ecosystem services	5.
Figure 2: Mean frequency of visits of the respondents	4

X

s Stri

ABSTRACT

The constitutional square is one of the urban green spaces in Uganda. The aim of the study was to determine the economic value of urban green spaces in Kampala City. The specific objectives were to: identify the various ecosystem services provided by urban green spaces in Kampala city, quantify the ecosystem services provided by the urban green spaces and attach monetary value to the urban green spaces in Kampala city. The study adopted a survey design and data were collected using questionnaires. Data were managed using SPSS Software and analyzed using both descriptive and inferential statistics. The study findings reveal that most people who visit the Constitutional Square have knowledge about green space ecosystem services and are willing to pay for the ecosystem services particularly shade, fresh air, and space for leisure, meeting place and scenic beauty. The total annual monetary value of the ecosystem services provided by the Constitutional Square is 96,726,000,000shs. The study recommends that Kampala Capital City Authority should conserve the green spaces to ensure provision of the ecosystem services.

CHAPTER ONE

GENERAL INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

This chapter presents the description of the study and an analysis of the research problem. It includes problem identification, description, and justification. It also includes the research objectives, research questions, conceptual frame work, significance and scope of the study.

1.2 Background

\$ [

٠,

The provision of ecosystem services in cities depends on the quality and quantity of urban green infrastructure (www.urbesproject.org). Urban green space provides a wide range of ecosystem services that could help combat many urban ills and improve life for city dwellers especially their health. Such urban green space is diverse, varying in size, vegetation cover, species richness, environmental quality, and proximity to public transport, facilities, and services. Public green space includes parks and reserves, sporting fields, riparian areas like stream and river banks, greenways and trails, community gardens, street trees, and nature conservation areas, as well as less conventional spaces such as green walls, green alleyways, and cemeteries, private backyards, communal grounds of apartment buildings, and corporate campuses (Wolch, Byrne, & Newell, 2014).

From the past, many health advocates and human rights activists have been stressing to employers and governments the need for leisure by workers as part of their daily schedule given the anticipated effects to a person's life (Lusk & Ellison, 2013). Green space greatly influence health by providing increased opportunities for physical activity, improving both physical and mental health outcomes, increasing the opportunities for social interaction to occur, and provide restorative effects and reduce stress which has an etiologic association with chronic physical and mental illness (Thompson et al., 2012). In Uganda, individuals are interested in sites that give them maximum satisfaction while incurring the most optimal cost to have these green space ecosystem services. This therefore means that individuals can attach a value to ecosystem benefits and would be willing to pay for these benefits or opt for the substitutes.

REFERENCES

Asabere, P. K., & Huffman, F. E. (2009). The relative impacts of trails and greenbelts on home price. *The Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics*, 38(4), 408-419.

Ē

ź

Beard, J. B., & Green, R. L. (1994). The role of turfgrasses in environmental protection and their benefits to humans. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 23(3), 452-460.

Daily, G. (1997). Nature's services: societal dependence on natural ecosystems: Island Press.

Derkzen, M. L., van Teeffelen, A. J., & Verburg, P. H. (2015). Quantifying urban ecosystem services based on high-resolution data of urban green space: an assessment for Rotterdam, the Netherlands. *Journal of Applied Ecology*.

EPA, D. (2009). Integrated science assessment for particulate matter. US Environmental Protection Agency Washington, DC.

Escobedo, F., Seitz, J. A., & Zipperer, W. (2012). Carbon sequestration and storage by Gainesville's urban forest.

Klionsky, D. J., Abdalla, F. C., Abeliovich, H., Abraham, R. T., Acevedo-Arozena, A., Adeli, K., . . . Aguirre-Ghiso, J. A. (2012). Guidelines for the use and interpretation of assays for monitoring autophagy. *Autophagy*, 8(4), 445-544.

Kuuluvainen, T. (2002). Natural variability of forests as a reference for restoring and managing biological diversity in boreal Fennoscandia. *Silva Fennica*, *36*(1), 97-125.

Layke, C. (2009). Measuring nature's benefits: a preliminary roadmap for improving ecosystem service indicators. *World Resources Institute: Washington*.

Leone, M., Dodick, D., Rigamonti, A., D'amico, D., Grazzi, L., Mea, E., & Bussone, G. (2003). Topiramate in cluster headache prophylaxis: an open trial. *Cephalalgia*, 23(10), 1001-1002.

Lusk, J. L., & Ellison, B. (2013). Who is to blame for the rise in obesity? Appetite, 68, 14-20,

Ma, M. E. A. (2005). Ecosystems and human well-being: current state and trends: Island Press, Washington, DC.

Marmot, M., Friel, S., Bell, R., Houweling, T. A., Taylor, S., & Health, C. o. S. D. o. (2008). Closing the gap in a generation: health equity through action on the social determinants of health. *The lancet*, 372(9650), 1661-1669.

Mitchell, R. C., & Carson, R. T. (1989). Using surveys to value public goods: the contingent valuation method: Resources for the Future.

22

Nowak, D. J., & Crane, D. E. (2002). Carbon storage and sequestration by urban trees in the USA. *Environmental pollution*, 116(3), 381-389.

Nowak, D. J., Crane, D. E., & Stevens, J. C. (2006). Air pollution removal by urban trees and shrubs in the United States. Urban forestry & urban greening, 4(3), 115-123.

Pagiola, S., Agostini, P., Gobbi, J., De Haan, C., Ibrahim, M., Murgueitio, E., ... Ruíz, J. P. (2004). Paying for biodiversity conservation services in agricultural landscapes.

Smith, V. K., & Huang, J.-C. (1995). Can markets value air quality? A meta-analysis of hedonic property value models. *Journal of political economy*, 209-227.

Thompson, C. W., Roe, J., Aspinall, P., Mitchell, R., Clow, A., & Miller, D. (2012). More green space is linked to less stress in deprived communities: Evidence from salivary cortisol patterns. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 105(3), 221-229.

Walter, A. (1990). The evolution of marmot sociality: II. Costs and benefits of joint hibernation. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, 27(4), 239-246.

WBCSD, W. (2011). Corporate value chain (scope 3) accounting and reporting standard. WBCSD, Geneva.

Wolch, J. R., Byrne, J., & Newell, J. P. (2014). Urban green space, public health, and environmental justice: The challenge of making cities 'just green enough'. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 125, 234-244.

ź