

**ASSESSMENTS OF ECONOMIC IMPLICATIONS OF LAND  
DEGRADATION, A CASE STUDY OF RANGELANDS IN  
MURCHISON FALLS NATIONAL PARK UGANDA.**

**BY**

**KYALIGONZA DIANAH**

**BU/UG/2014/77**

**A RESEARCH REPORT SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF NATURAL  
RESOURCES AND ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES IN PARTIAL  
FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD OF THE  
DEGREE OF THE BACHELOR OF SCIENCE IN NATURAL RESOURCE  
ECONOMICS AND ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE OF BUSITEMA  
UNIVERSITY.**

**JUNE 2017**



## DECLARATION

I Kyaligonza Dianah declare that this hereby submitted report for the Bachelor of degree of natural resource economics and environmental sciences at Busitema University is my own independent work and has not been submitted to any other University.

I also agree that Busitema University has the sole right to publication of this report.

Signature.....*KD*.....Date...*14.1.06*...*1*...*2017*.....

KYALIGONZA DIANAH

## APPROVAL

This is to confirm this research report is original and has only been through the efforts of Kyaligonza Dianah after pursuing a three year Bachelor of Science degree in Natural resource economics of Busitema University .She has therefore fulfilled part of her requirements for the award of the degree in Natural Resource Economics of Busitema University.

Supervisor:

..... Date: ...../...../.....

PROFESSOR MOSES ISABIRYE  
BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY

## **DEDICATION**

I dedicate this to the Almighty God for his guidance in sustaining my life till this time. I also like to dedicate this work to my mother khaawa Mary and my father Sunday Patrick for their parental elegance and patience while I was away from home. I would also like to dedicate this to my sisters violet and prisca and my entire friends in struggle for the year of 2016-2017 for their level of support in one way or the other.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to thank God for His spiritual potency that He poured unto me. It kept me buoyant and overflowing with wisdom right throughout the whole study period.

I would like to extend special gratitude to my main supervisor Professor Isabirye Moses for his invaluable contributions in making this work successful. My sincere acknowledgements also go to my sponsors for their financial assistance for making this research a success. I extend special gratitude to Madam Immaculate and Mr. Ssekajugo John for their technical resourcefulness towards this research. My gratitude also goes to the Department of natural resource economics who facilitated in many ways during the research work.

All in all; I will extend a big appreciation to my family back home for their moral and spiritual support. And to all my beloved friends who managed to sacrifice their time for me in each and every situation like Waliyyah and Selly may God bless you abundantly for the love you showed.

And all my course mates for the sustenance especially during the trial times of my study, the modesty, concern, the feel of togetherness and team work exhibited. You are forever esteemed May the Almighty provide for all your desires.

Finally, Busitema University for giving me a practicum opportunity to exchange and gain knowledge in the related fields of Natural Resources Conservation and Management plus all other areas of life.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION .....	ii
APPROVAL .....	iii
DEDICATION .....	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT .....	v
LIST OF TABLES .....	viii
LIST OF FIGURES .....	ix
ACRONYMS .....	x
ABSTRACT.....	xi
CHAPTER ONE .....	1
1.1 INTRODUCTION .....	1
1.2 PROBLEM STATEMENT .....	3
1.3 OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY .....	4
1.3.1 General objective .....	4
1.3.2 The Specific objectives of the study are .....	4
1.4 RESEARCH QUESTIONS.....	4
1.5 SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY .....	4
1.6 SCOPE OF THE STUDY .....	5
1.7 LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY.....	5
CHAPTER TWO .....	6
2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW .....	6
2.1 INTRODUCTION .....	6
2.2. THE PROBLEM OF RANGELAND DEGRADATION .....	6
2.2.1 Range condition (status of rangeland).....	7
2.2.2 Indicators of rangeland degradation.....	9
2.3. CAUSES OF RANGELAND DEGRADATION .....	10
2.3.1. Climate change.....	11
2.3.2. Overstocking relationship with degradation .....	12
2.3.3. Bush encroachment.....	13
2.3.4. Soil degradation .....	14
2.4. ECONOMIC IMPLICATIONS OF RANGELAND DEGRADATION. ....	14
2.4.1 Wildlife and tourism .....	14

2.4.2 The economics of wildlife tourism .....	15
2.4.3 Economic Impact of Wildlife Tourism on Income and Employment.....	16
2.5. PRACTICES AIMED AT IMPROVING THE VEGETATION COVER .....	19
2.5.1 Encouraging of browse species in ranch management to improve the vegetation cover .....	19
2.5.2 Mechanisms to Control Bush Encroachment.....	20
CHAPTER THREE .....	21
3.0. METHODOLOGY .....	21
3.1. INTRODUCTION .....	21
3.2. RESEARCH DESIGN .....	21
3.3. STUDY AREA .....	21
3.4. Data collection procedure .....	22
3.4.1 Clipping.....	22
3.4.2. Remote sensing.....	23
3.5 DATA TYPES.....	24
3.6 DATA PROCESSING AND ANALYSIS.....	24
RESULTS .....	25
4.1 OVERVIEW .....	25
4.2. STATUS OF THE RANGELAND IN TERMS OF VEGETATION COVER .....	25
4.7 ECONOMIC IMPLICATIONS OF RANGELAND DEGRADATION .....	30
CHAPTER FIVE .....	32
DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS .....	32
5.1 INTRODUCTION .....	32
5.2. STATUS OF RANGELANDS INTERMS OF VEGETATION COVER.....	32
5.3 ECONOMIC IMPLICATIONS OF RANGELAND DEGRADATION .....	34
CHAPTER SIX.....	36
Conclusions and Recommendations .....	36
6.1 INTRODUCTION .....	36
6.2 SUMMARY.....	36
6.3 CONCLUSIONS.....	36
6.4 RECOMMENDATIONS.....	37
REFERENCES .....	38

## **LIST OF TABLES**

Table 2.1 Biophysical indicators of rangeland degradation

Table 4.1 showing the variation of bare areas

Table 4.2 showing the availability of vegetation cover and the number of animals it can feed.



## **LIST OF FIGURES**

Figure 3.1 Location of Murchison Falls National Park.

Figure 4.1 variations in the vegetation cover (area) in different years that is from 2010 to 2014.

Figure 4.3 Shows variations of the vegetation cover over the five years for degraded areas.

Figure 4.4 variations of Rainfall patterns for Murchison falls national park for the five years

Figure 4.6 Area which cannot regenerate

Figure 4.7 variations in the vegetation cover of the park

Figure 5.1 Overview of the bare rangeland in Murchison Falls National Park

## ACRONYMS

<b>UWA</b>	Uganda Wildlife Authority
<b>MNP</b>	Murchison Falls National Park
<b>NDP</b>	National Development Plan
<b>NDVI</b>	Normalized Difference Vegetation Index
<b>NEMA</b>	National Environmental Management Authority
<b>MFCA</b>	Murchison Falls Conservation Area
<b>NFA</b>	National Forestry Authority
<b>PA</b>	Protected Areas
<b>GPS</b>	Global Positioning System
<b>GIS</b>	Geographical Information System
<b>IRIN</b>	Integrated Regional Information Network
<b>FAO</b>	Food and Agriculture Organization
<b>VC</b>	Vegetation Cover

## ABSTRACT

A case study assessment of rangeland degradation at Murchison Falls National park Uganda was done from December 2016 to June 2017. A significant portion of the grassland and savanna ecosystem is over utilized, due to inappropriate rangeland management. Uganda's rangelands are threatened by overgrazing, followed by altered grassland composition and loss of vegetation cover in the grassland ecosystem, and by bush encroachment in the savanna ecosystem. Although not all land is degraded, there are some parts where signs of degradation can be found. The study objectives were to assess the economic implications of rangeland degradation and determine the status of the rangeland in terms of vegetation cover (range condition). The current status of rangeland was determined through determining the biomass of grass obtained from different areas and this was done through the use of the NDVI images which helped in obtaining data about the vegetation cover of Murchison falls for five years that was from 2010 to 2014. The economic implication of rangeland degradation was through getting of samples of grass through clipping which was dried and the weight of the dry grass and fresh grass was obtained through the use of the micrometer instrument to measure their respective weights.

From the study it was observed that approximately 1million of animals is lost per year due the undergoing degradation in the park as it was also observed that the vegetation cover of the park is seriously deteriorating which is becoming a threat to the parks earnings since the demand of touristic for wildlife depends on the availability of wildlife species in the park.

## CHAPTER ONE

### 1.1 INTRODUCTION

Rangelands are ecosystems which experience some physical limitations hindering their use. They typically contain low and erratic precipitation, rough topography, poor drainage, warm temperatures and other adverse physical conditions for a settled animal species and bird species. In spite of these limitations they can be used as a source of forage for free ranging domestic and game animals as well as a source of woody products and home of wildlife (Getachew, 2006). Rangeland is an important natural ecosystem that offers a habitat for wildlife, grazing areas for domestic stock and goods for local community (Kawanabe et al., 1998). Rangelands include grasslands, shrub-steppe, desert scrub, savanna, open woodland, grazed forests, mountain meadows, riparian areas and wetlands (Holechek, et al., 2001).

Rangeland degradation is a global concern, affecting not only pastoralists and also reducing on the aesthetic value tourists attach on rangelands for survival but others who suffer from resultant hydrological disturbances, dust storms, commodity scarcity, and social consequences of uprooted people. Rangeland grass degradation has been identified as being one of the serious global environmental issues that needs to be addressed (Itill et al., 1995, Kassahun et al. 2008). Rangeland health also affects biodiversity directly and indirectly because all native flora and fauna have adapted to the long-term evolutionary forces that have shaped these rangeland environments (Harris, 2010). Rangeland degradation has been estimated by several authors. For example, Dregne et al., (1991) estimated that 73 percent of the world's 4.5 billion hectares of rangeland is moderately or severely degraded. Bruce (2007) also reviewed that 29% of the world's pastures are considered to be degraded through overstocking, compaction and erosion, and over grazing accounts for 35% of land degradation worldwide. One of the major aspects of rangeland degradation is reduction in the capacity of the ecosystem to support the various animal and bird species production and productivity. Change in the pattern and state of vegetation or structure, as defined by patchiness and biodiversity in semi-arid region, are the main indicators of the state of land degradation (Saco et al., 2006). This is because in case of rangeland degradation you find that there is a reduction in the number of animal and bird species in the

## REFERENCES:

1. Brown D. (1954). *Methods of surveying and measuring vegetation*. Bulletin number 42, Commonwealth Agriculture Bureau of pasture and field crops, Hurley, UK, pp 223.
2. Brown, S. & L.R. Iverson. (1992). *Biomass estimates for tropical forests*. *World Resource Review* 4: 366-384. Catchpole, W. R., and C. J. Wheeler. (1992). *estimating plant biomass: a review of techniques*. *Australian J. of Ecol.* 17:121-131.
3. Clem Tisdell and Clevo Wilson (October 2003) *Economics of wildlife tourism*.
4. *Impact of Tourism on Wildlife Conservation*. (n.d.).
5. Kapu, N. (2012). *Assessment Of Rangeland Condition And Evaluation Of The*, (March).
6. Kent, M. and Coker, P. (2003). *Vegetation Description and Analysis*. John Wiley & Sons
7. LADA (2005). *Reflections on indicators for Land Degradation Assessment*. *The Land Degradation Assessment in Dry lands Project (Unpublished)*.
8. Mendelson, J. and Roberts, C. (1997). *An Environmental profile and Atlas of Caprivi*, Directorate of Environment and Tourism, Namibia. National Environment Management Authority, Ministry of Natural Resources, Government of Uganda.
9. Moyini, Y. and Uwimbabazi, B. 2000. *Analysis of the Economic Significance of Gorilla Tourism in Uganda*. International Gorilla Conservation Program, African Wildlife Foundation, Nairobi, Kenya.
10. Moyini, Y. and Muramira, E., 2000. *Feasibility assessment into developing wildlife based business enterprises in the Kafu River Basin in Masindi District*, UWA, Kampala.
11. Mulonda, O. (2011). *Estimation Of Biomass Production In The Rangelands Of The Caprivi Region*, (November).
12. Mundava, C., Helmholz, P., Schut, A. G. T., Corner, R., & Mcate, B. (2014). *Evaluation Of Vegetation Indices For Rangeland Biomass Estimation In The Kimberley Area Of Western Australia, II*(October), 47-53. <https://doi.org/10.5194/isprsannals-II-7-47-2014>
13. Mwaura, F., & Ssekitoleko, S. (2012). *Reviewing Uganda ' S Tourism Sector*, (91).
14. *National Environment Management Authority (NEMA) Report 2014*
15. *National Forestry Authority report (2009)*.
16. Oscar Mulonda, November 2011, *Estimation of Biomass Production in the Rangelands of the Caprivi Region*

17. Reeves, M. C., Winslow J. C., and Running S. W. (March 2001). Mapping Weekly Rangeland Vegetation covers. *Journal of Range Management*, 54: A90-A105. ([scholarworks@mail.lib.umt.edu](mailto:scholarworks@mail.lib.umt.edu).)
18. Republic, T. H. E. (2010). *The Republic Of Uganda State Of The Environment Report For*.
19. *Responses To Environmental Rangeland Degradation In Lyantonde-District In Uganda A research thesis presented by Allen Komuhangi ( BC3012 ) In partial fulfilment of the requirements for obtaining the degree of Major : Agrarian , Food and Environment Studies ( AFES ) Members of the examining committee : Dr . Stasja Koot Dr . Oanè Visser The Hague , the Neitherlands November 2015. (2015), (November).*
20. Schauer, M. (n.d.). *THE ECONOMICS OF The Economics of Land Degradation in Africa Benefits of Action Outweigh the Costs A report of ELD Initiative.*
21. *Second National Development Plan (NDPII) 2015/16 – 2019/20*
22. Tanaka, J. A., Brunson, M., & Torell, L. A. (n.d.). *A Social and Economic Assessment of Rangeland Conservation Practices, 371–422.*
23. *Uganda State of the Environment Report 2000 Version 2. Kampala, Uganda:*
24. Uganda Wildlife Authority (UWA). 2000. *Wildlife protected area system plan for Uganda. Volume 1: The proposals. Protected Area Assessment Program. European Union Wildlife Support Project, Kampala Uganda.*
25. Uganda Wildlife Authority (UWA). 2002. *Uganda Wildlife Authority Strategic Plan 2002 – 2007. UWA, Kampala, Uganda.*
26. *Wildlife-based Tourism and Climate : Potential Opportunities and Challenges for Botswana By Naomi N . Moswete and Pauline O . Dube , Department of Environmental Sciences , University of Botswana. (2010).*