### BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY

TOTAL STATE MONTH A COMPANY OF THE PARTY OF

### IMPACTS OF CHARCOAL PRODUCTION ON THE ENVIRONMENT:

### A CASE STUDY OF NAMASAGALI SUB COUNTY, KAMULI DISTRICT

BY

### NAZZIWA JOAN

BU/UG/2014/81

A RESEARCH REPORT SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF NATURAL RESOURCES AND ENVIRONMETALSCIENCES IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENT FOR THE AWARD OF A DEGREE OF BACHELOR OF SCIENCE IN NATURAL RESOURCES ECONOMICS OF BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY

**JUNE 2017** 

## DECLARATION

I NAZZIWA JOAN declare that the work presented in this research report is original and has not been submitted to any university for any academic award.

i

Date 27/6/2017 Signed.....

NAZZIWA JOAN

ALL TRA

BU/UG/2014/81

## APPROVAL

This is to certify that this research report by NAZZIWA JOAN has been done with guidance and supervision of:

ü

MR. KISU- KISIRA HENRY

ć.,

## DEDICATION

t) E

\$

I dedicate this report to my mum and dad who gave me the support required to accomplish this research. I also dedicate this report to my brother and sisters to inspire them study.

Lastly I dedicate this report to environmentalists to "keep up their fight for a good environment".

.

.

lii

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

 $\{\cdot, i\}$ 

5

In the first place, it would be of my own fate if not a mockery of my own intentions for not thanking God for having blessed this fruitful work. To my mum and dad I cannot thank you enough for you intensively had a great hand in the production of this piece of work.

Am eternally grateful and give special thanks to my supervisor Mr. Kisu-Kisira for his words of encouragement care and efforts he did put in from the onset of the research exercise until the production of this fruitful work. You really built my life a great deal. Appreciation goes to Mr. Ssuuna James your education towards your student is so incomparable. Thank you very much.

I also humbly honor my fellow research students, Mayanja Brian, Bisanga Sufyan and Nakabiri Ziadah who lovingly offered their help to me throughout the research period, showed me the collaborative spirit, zeal and passion towards the accomplishment of my extreme report. You really increased my academic curiosity.

Lastly a credit to the Faculty of Natural Resources and Environmental Sciences; Department of Natural Resource Economics of Busitema University for their intensive work done more so the allocation of research supervisors in time for academic exploration under the research exercise. Special honor goes to your support.

iv

### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

- CBOs COMMUNITY BASED ORGANIZATIONS
- CFR CENTRAL FOREST RESERVE

۶.,

÷.,

- FAO FOOD AND AGRICULTURE ORGANIZATION
- LFR LOCAL FOREST RESERVE
- MEMD MINISTRY OF ENERGY AND MINERAL DEVELOPMENT
- MWLE MINISTRY OF WATER, LANDS AND ENVIRONMENT
- NEMA NATIONAL ENVIRONMENT MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY
- NFA NATIONAL FOREST AUTHORITY
- NGOS NON-GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS
- NFTPA NATIONAL FORESTRY AND TREE PLANTING ACT
- NHPC NATIONAL HOUSING AND POPULATION CENSUS
- UBOS UGANDA BUREAU OF STATISTICS
- UNEP UGANDA NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAME

۷

% PERCENTAGE

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

.÷

ан. 1911 г. 1911 г.

| DECLARATION                   |
|-------------------------------|
| APPROVAL                      |
| DEDICATION                    |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS              |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS         |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS             |
| LIST OF TABLES                |
| LIST OF FIGURES               |
| ABSTRACTxvi                   |
| CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION     |
| 1.1 Introduction              |
| 1.2 Background of the study 1 |
| 1.3 Problem statement         |
| 1.4 Objectives                |
| 1.4.1; Main objective         |
| 1.4.2: Specific objectives    |
| 1.5 Research questions        |

vi

| 1.6 Significance   |
|--|
| 1.7 Justification  |
| 1.8 Conceptual framework   |
| CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW   |
| 2.1 Introduction   |
| 2.2 The background of charcoal production                                  |
| 2.3 Charcoal production process  |
| 2.4 Economic importance of charcoal  |
| 2.5 Impacts of charcoal production to the environment                      |
| 2.6 Challenges of charcoal production in Uganda 12                         |
| 2.7 Policies and legislations in charcoal production in Sub Saharan Africa |
| 2.8 Tree species used in charcoal production                               |
| CHAPTER THREE: METHODOLOGY   |
| 3.1 Introduction   |
| 3.2 Research design  |
| 3.3 Description of the Study area  |
| 3.4 Sample size and sampling techniques                                    |
| 3.4.1 Sample size  |

۰Ş

| 3.4.2 Sampling techniques  |
|--|
| 3.5 Data types and data collection methods                             |
| 3.5.1 Data types   |
| 3.5.2 Data collection method   |
| 3.6 Data processing and Data analysis                                  |
| 3.6.1 Data processing  |
| 3.6.2 Data analysis  |
| 3.7 Ethical considerations   |
| CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS  |
| 4.1 Introduction   |
| 4.2 Demographic characteristics of the respondents                     |
| 4.2.1 Age of charcoal producers and suppliers                          |
| 4.2.2 Gender for the charcoal producers and suppliers                  |
| 4.2.3 Marital status for the charcoal producers and suppliers          |
| 4.2.4 Education level attained by the charcoal producers and suppliers |
| 4.2.5 Relationship between bags produced and employment status         |
| 4.3 Quantity of charcoal produced                                      |
| 4.4 Duration taken to produce charcoal                                 |

З'n

-- 3

| 4.5 Cost of a bag of charcoal  |
|--|
| 4.6 Monthly income of charcoal suppliers   |
| 4.7 Market for charcoal  |
| 4.8 Tree species   |
| 4.9: Other Importance of tree species used for charcoal production                 |
| 4.10: Tree regrowth  |
| 4.11 Tree replacement  |
| 4.12 Reason for not replacing trees  |
| 4.13 Impacts of charcoal production to the environment                             |
| 4.14 Transport means used by the charcoal suppliers                                |
| 4.15 Other markets for charcoal suppliers  |
| 4.16 Methods used in charcoal production   |
| 4.17 Solutions to the impacts  |
| 4.18 Awareness about the charcoal production policies by the charcoal producers    |
| 4.19 Listed charcoal production policies known to the charcoal producers           |
| 4.20 Recommendation on how policies can be known by the charcoal producers         |
| 4.21 Programs undertaken by institutions to address impacts of charcoal production |
| 4.22 Strategies to achieve the goals of legislations                               |

-13

| 4.23 Challenges faced by institution during implementation of the strategies <sup>2</sup> | 41         |
|---|------------|
| CHAPTER FIVE: DISCUSION OF RESULTS  | 43         |
| 5.1 Introduction  | 43         |
| 5.2 Demographic characteristics   | 43         |
| 5.2.1 Age groups of respondents   | 43         |
| 5,2.2 Gender ,  | 44         |
| 5.2.3 Marital status  | 44         |
| 5. 2.4 Level of education attained  | 45         |
| 5.2.5. Employment status  | 45         |
| 5.3 Monthly production of charcoal bags   | 46         |
| 5.4 Duration taken to produce charcoal  | 46         |
| 5.5 Cost of a bag of charcoal   | 47         |
| 5.6 Monthly income of charcoal suppliers  | 4 <b>7</b> |
| 5.7 Market for charcoal   | 47         |
| 5.8 Tree species  | 48         |
| 5.9 Importance of tree species used for charcoal production                               | 48         |
| 5.10 Tree regrowth  | 49         |
| 5.11 Tree replacement   | 49         |

j.

j.

х

| 5.12 Impacts of charcoal production to the environment                             |
|--|
| 5.13. Transport means  |
| 5.14 Methods used in charcoal production   |
| 5.15 Solutions to the impacts  |
| 5.16 Policies on charcoal production   |
| 5.17 Recommendation on how policies can be known by the charcoal producers         |
| 5.18 Programs undertaken by institutions to address impacts of charcoal production |
| 5.19 Challenges faced by institution programs                                      |
| 5.20 Strategies to achieve the goals of the programs                               |
| CHAPTER SIX: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS                                       |
| 6.1 Conclusion   |
| 6.2 Recommendations  |
| REFERENCES   |
| APPENDICES   |
| Appendix 1: Photographs showing stages of charcoal production                      |
| Appendix 2: Questionnaire 1  |
| Appendix 3: Questionnaire2   |
| Appendix 4: Questionnaire 3  |

£.,

)

xi

# LIST OF TABLES

٩.

| Table 1: Relationship between age of charcoal producers and bags produced             |
|---|
| Table 2: Age of charcoal suppliers 23   |
| Table 3: Relationship between gender and bags produced 24                             |
| Table 4: Gender of charcoal suppliers25   |
| Table 5: Relationship between marital status and bags produced                        |
| Table 6: Marital status of charcoal suppliers   |
| Table 7: Relationship between education level of charcoal producers and bags produced |
| Table 8: Education level of charcoal suppliers 28                                     |
| Table 9: Relationship between employment status and bags produced                     |
| Table 10: Monthly production of charcoal in bags                                      |
| Table 11: Charcoal production period 30   |
| Table 12: Cost of a bag of charcoal   |
| Table 13: Income for charcoal suppliers   |

| Table 14: Charcoal producers' market 31  |
|--|
| Table 15: Tree species used for charcoal production                              |
| Table 16: Importance of trees 33   |
| Table 17: Tree regrowth  |
| Table 18: Tree replacement by charcoal producers     34                          |
| Table 19: Reasons for not replacing trees   34                                   |
| Table 20: Impacts of charcoal production   |
| Table 21: Transport means for charcoal producers                                 |
| Table 22: Market for suppliers   |
| Table 23: Methods used in charcoal production                                    |
| Table 24: Solutions to impacts of charcoal production  37                        |
| Table 25: Awareness about charcoal production policies                           |
| Table 26: Listed charcoal production policies known by the charcoal producers    |
| Table 27: Recommendations on how policies can be known to the charcoal producers |

·1

xIII

| Table 28: Programs undertaken to address impacts of charcoal production |
|---|
| Table 29: Strategies to achieve the legislation goals                   |
| Table 30: Challenges affecting the implementation of programs           |

\$ .3

xiv

# LIST OF FIGURES

÷.,

| Figure 1: Conceptual frame work                               |
|---|
| Figure 2: A map showing the location of Namasagali Sub county |
| Figure 3: Logs cut down for charcoal production               |
| Figure 4: Traditional earth kiln used for charcoal production |
| Figure 5: Area where charcoal has been processed from         |
| Figure 6: Bags of charcoal stored after production            |

### ABSTRACT

ŝ,

This research project was conducted on the local communities in villages of Bususwa, Bugulu, Bulumira in Bwiiza parish and Kabaganda, Kabanyoro and Mutukula in Namasagali parish of Namasagali Sub County in Kamuli district. The research was carried out in the month of April 2017. The main objective of the study was to assess the impacts of charcoal production on the environment: A case study of Namasagali Sub-county, Kamuli District.

The research project employed descriptive and explanatory research designs that were used to collect data on the quantity of charcoal produced, tree species used and their impacts on the environment. The data was collected using questionnaires, observation, and review of existing literature for Kamuli District such as Kamuli District Environment Action Plan (2010/2015), Kamuli District Statistical Abstract, Land conflict mapping tool, journals, and websites. The collected data was edited, coded and entered into Microsoft Excel and analyzed using SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Scientists) version 16 to give descriptive and inferential statistics.

Findings showed that the area faced impacts such as little rainfall, too much sun shine, poor food yields, loss of tree cover on a wide area and poor tree regeneration. The tree species most used for charcoal production were indigenous including Muyembe (*Mangifera indica*), Muvule (*Milicia excelsa*), Gasiya (*Cassia spectabilis*), Musita (*Albizzia coriaria*), Fene (*Artocarpus heterophylla*), Mugayile (*Ficus Natalensis*). The average number of charcoal bags produced monthly by each producer was 32. The charcoal producers who carried more production were

youth aged 21-40 years to earn income. However, the traditional kiln used in charcoal production was wasteful. Also, the tools used were rudimentary and techniques used in felling trees for charcoal production were characterized by many accidents.

<,

It is recommended that the local people in the area be sensitized on the importance of sustainable charcoal production, importance of tree planting, need to observe laws and regulations concerning tree usage, and provided with other alternative sources of livelihood.

### CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Introduction

47

This chapter includes background of the study, research problem, the research objectives, research questions, conceptual framework, justification and significance of the study.

#### 1.2 Background of the study

Charcoal production has become one of the major economic activities done in rural areas of Uganda. The rural communities carry out charcoal production to supply urban areas that highly consume the charcoal. According to Nationally Appropriate Mitigation Action Study on Sustainable Charcoal in Uganda (2013), Kamuli district ranked fifth in supply of charcoal to urban areas like Kampala with over 178,165,336 tons per year. The consumption of charcoal nationally increased from 15.4 percent in 2002 to 22.9 percent in 2014 (UBOS, 2016). This increase means that charcoal is viewed by households as a reliable, convenient and accessible cooking fuel with a stable price. The price of a 70kg bag of charcoal; ranged from 30,000 Shs in rural areas to 70,000 Shs in urban areas. Prices were in agreement with those cited in Nationally Appropriate Mitigation Study on Sustainable Charcoal (2013) in Uganda and are still the same in 2017.

In most districts of Uganda, charcoal production is considered as one of the quick paying livelihood activity. This coupled with the increased demand, has resulted in massive tree cutting and its associated environmental impacts. These impacts include extensive loss of tree cover, increased runoff in low land and hilly areas, loss of soil fertility, increased temperatures, low rainfall, poor crop yields, loss of medicinal and sacred trees, high wastage

### REFERENCES

Adam, J.C. (2009). Improved and more environmentally friendly charcoal production system using a low-cost retort-kiln (Eco-charcoal), Renewable Energy, Vol. 34, pp. 1923–1925.

Brieland J (2015) Social and environmental impacts of charcoal production in Liberia. Unpublished Msc dissertation. University of Michigan, USA.

Bailis, R., Majid, E., Kammen, D. M. (2005). Mortality and greenhouse gas impacts of biomass and petroleum energy futures in Africa, Science, Vol. 308, 1 April, pp. 98–103.

- Biomass, N., & Demand, E. (2010). The Government of the Republic of Uganda National Biomass Energy Demand Strategy, (June 2001).
- Byabashaija, M. D., Esegu, J. F. O., Kidiya, J. M., Basoga, M., & Ondia, R. K. (2004). Traditional uses of indigenous tree species, 367–371.

Biomass Energy Strategy (2014). United Nations Development Programme (UNDP).

- Cuña Suárez, A., Tancredi, N., Pinheiro, P., Irene Yoshida, M. (2010). Thermal analysis of the combustion of charcoals from Eucalyptus dunnii obtained at different pyrolysis temperatures, in Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry. Vol. 100:3, pp. 1051– 105.
- Engineering, F. (2008). " Charcoal production and use in Mozambique, Malawi, Tanzania, and Zambia : historical overview, present situation and outlook ", 1-19.

Egeru, A., Okia, C., & Leeuw, J. De. (December, 2014). Trees and Livelihoods in Karamoja, Uganda. World Agroforestry Centre for Evidence on Demand.

Energypedia (2012). Charcoal production. Available at: https://energypedia.info/index.php/Charcoal Production. [Accessed: 21 JUNE 2017]

Eco-guardian (2015). Police Activities Destroying Forests. A Water and Environment Media Network Publication.p.10-15.

Food and Agriculture Organisation (2015). Forest product stastistics: Global Forest Products Facts and Figures.

World Bank (2010). Enabling reforms: A Stakeholder-Based Analysis of the Political Economy of Tanzania's Charcoal Sector and the Poverty and Social Impacts of Proposed Reforms, Washington, D.C., USA

Greenpower. (2012a). Statistics of Charcoal Production. Available at: www.piroliz.org/index.php/component/content/article/6-2009-03-11-16-26-28/96-statistics-of-charcoal-production.html.

# Hofstad, O. (2000). Production and spatial price differences for charcoal in Uganda, (August). <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02762389</u>

Ainembabazi, J.H., Shively, G, and Angelsen, A (2013). Charcoal production and household welfare in Uganda: a quantile regression approach. Environment and Development Economics, 18, pp 537-558 doi:10.1017/S1355770X1300017X

Kamuli District Environment Action Plan (2010/2015). Kamuli District Local Government.

Kureeba, D. NAPE (2013,). Firewood collection in the Bukaleba forest reserve.

Malimbwi R.E. and Zahabu E.(2008) The analysis of sustainable charcoal production systems in Tanzania.

Migiro, K. (2012). Encourage commercial logging to grow Kenya's forest cover – report, Alertnet. Available at: www.trust.org/alertnet/news/encourage-commercial-logging-to-growkenyas-forest-cover-report (Accessed 21 June 2017)

Uganda. Ministry of Energy and Mineral Development (2007).

Msuya, N., Masanja, E., & Temu, A. K. (2011). Environmental Burden of Charcoal Production and Use in Dar es Salaam, Tanzania, 2011(December), 1364–1369. https://doi.org/10.4236/iep.2011.210158.

Nationally Appropriate Mitigation Action Study on Sustainable Charcoal in Uganda. (2013). United Nations Development Programme (UNDP).

Ndonga, W. (2012). Kenya Seeks to legalise Charcoal Trade, Available at: http://allafrica.com/stories/201211051760.html?viewall=1 (Accessed 21 June 2017).

Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews. 2013.

Steenblik, R. (2006). Liberalisation of Trade in Renewable Energy Products and Associated Goods Environmental and Energy Products: The Benefits of Liberalising Trade. OECD, Paris, France.

Uganda. State of Environment Report 2015.

Species, A. (2012). Sustainable Tree Management for Charcoal Production, (June).

Technology, F., Management, W., Science, B., & Management, W. (2015). Charcoal Production and Producers ' Tree Species Preference in Borgu Local Government Area of Niger State, Nigeria, 5(11), 1-8. The land conflict mapping tool, Uganda.

Uganda National Forest Authority Report (2015).

Uganda Vision 2040. National Development Plan.

Uganda Bureau of Statistics (2016). National Population and Housing Census, 2014- Main report. Kampala, Uganda.

United Nations Environment Programs (UNEP) (2012): Green Economy Briefing Paper, Metrics & Indicators.