

P.O. Box 236, Tororo, Uganda Gen: +256 - 45 444 8838 Fax: +256 - 45 4436517 Email: info@adm.busitema.ac.ug

www.busitema.ac.ug

THE IMPACT OF FOOT AND MOUTH DISEASE ON THE LIVELIHOOD OF CATTLE FARMERS IN SOROTI COUNTY SOROTI DISTRICT

BY EDWETU JOHN MICHAEL BU/UG/2012/1778 E-mail: mjedwetu@gmail.com



A REPORT SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE AND ANIMAL SCIENCE IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENT FOR THE AWARD OF THE DEGREE OF BACHELOR OF ANIMAL PRODUCTION AND MANAGEMENT OF BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY

JULY, 2015

DECLARATION

I,Edwetu John Michael, declare that this dissertation is an original and has never been submitted to any other University or any higher institution of learning for the award of degree.

BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY LIBRARY
CLASS YOL
ACCESS NO. AAGOOD 927

i

APPROVAL

This dissertation has been submitted for examination with the approval of the supervisor:

Dr. Okwany Patrick. BVM (MUKS), Lecturer Busitema University

DEDICATION

This piece of work is dedicated to my family members, and to my parents.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I owe sincere thanks to my supervisor Dr.Okwany Patrick whose guidance, encouragement and support from concept level to the final stage of this dissertation, enabled me to develop an understanding of the subject.

I also offer my regards to the entire teaching staff of the faculty of agriculture and animal sciences for their support and extensive critique that enable to model this work to acceptable standards.

I would like to extend my sincere gratitude to the district production department especially the district veterinary office for their material support towards this piece of work and other stakeholders like the Sub-county authorities and not forgetting the farmers (community) for their cordial participation in providing me with the required information.

TABLE OF CONTENT

ì

ŧ

Declaration	j
Approval	ii
Dedication	
Acknowledgement	iv
Table of content	v
List of tables	viii
List of figures	ix
List of abbreviations	<u> </u>
Abstract	xi
CHARPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 The problem statement	2
1.3 Main objective	2
1.4 Specific objective	3
1.5 Research question	3
1.6 Significance of the study	3
1.7 Justification of the study	3
1.8 Scope of the study	3
CHARPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	4
2.1 Introduction	4
2.2.1 Geographical distribution	4
2.2.2 Foot and mouth disease situation world wide	4
2.2.3 Overall FMD situation in Africa	4

2.2.4 The situation of FMD in Uganda	5
2.3 The economic impact of FMD	_5
2.3.1 Direct impacts (visible losses)	5
2.3.2 The cost management costs.	_6
2.3.3 Invisible losses	_6
2.3.4 Indirect impacts: Additional costs	6
2.3.4 Revenue foregone: Market access	6
2.3.5 Use of sub-optimal technologies	7
2.7 The social impact of FMD	8
CHAPTER THREE: METHODS AND MATERIALS	_10
3.1 Research approach	_10
3.2 Sampling designs.	_10
3.3 Sample size determination.	_10
3.4 Operational design.	_10
3.5 Statistical design	_11
3.6 Data presentation	_11
3.7 Ethical Consideration	_11
3.8 Environmental Considerations	_12
3.9 Limitations	_12
CHAPTER FOUR: PRESENTATION OF RESULTS	_13
4.1 Demographic characteristics of respondents	_13
4.2 Livelihood options and food sources for farmers in Soroti County, Soroti district.	_13
4.3 Economic impact of foot and mouth disease on livelihood of cattle farmers in Soroti Cou Soroti district.	

vi

4.3.1 Milk Loses	14
4.3.2 Animal Traction Loss	14
4.3.3 Vaccination costs.	15
4/3.4 Treatment costs	15
4.3.5 Mortality losses	15
4.3.6 Abortion losses	16
4.4 Social impacts of foot and mouth disease on livelihood of farmers in Soroti County	18
CHAPTER FIVE: DISCUSSION OF RESULTS	20
CHAPTER SIX: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	24
6.1 Conclusion	24
6.2 Recommendations	24
REFERENCES	25
Appendix one: Questionnaire	30
Appendix two Map of Uganda showing Soroti District	35
Appendix three: Map of the study area	36

ł

;

LIST OF TABLES

Table 4.1 Main Livelihood options for the respondents'	13
Table 4.2: Mortality losses due to FMD Outbreak	15
Table 4.3: Total economic losses due to FMD outbreak in Soroti County.	16
Table 4.4 Chi-Square test of the relationship between average herd size and average e	conomic
loses	17

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 4.1 Main food sources for farmers in Soroti County	14
Figure 4.2 Economic losses due to FMD outbreak in Soroti County, Soroti district.	16
Figure 4.3 Percentage of Each economic loss due to FMD outbreak.	17
Figure 4.4 Cattle farmers' perception of Social effects due to PMD outbreak.	18
Figure 4.5 Reasons for not consuming meat during FMD outbreak.	19
Figure 4.6 Main food sources consumed by cattle farmers during the FMD outbreak.	19

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

DVO	District Veterinary Officer
FAO	Food and Agricultural Organization
FMD	Foot and Mouth Disease
FMDV	Foot and Animal Disease Virus
MAAIF	Ministry of Agriculture Animal Industry And Fisheries
OIE	Office Internationale De Epizootics
SÁT	South African Type
ŤΑD	Trans-Boundary Animal Disease
USD	United States Dollar
WHO	World Health Organization
WRL	World Reference Laboratory

X

ABSTRACT

A study was conducted to assess the impacts of FMD outbreak on the livelihood of small holder cattle farmers in Soroti County, Soroti District. The objectives of the study were to determine the economic impacts of FMD outbreak to the livelihood of cattle farmers and to establish the social impacts of FMD on the livelihood of cattle farmers in Soroti County, Soroti District. The impacts of FMD were assessed based on data obtained from small holder cattle farmers that occurred in May. 2014 to December 2014. Data was collected using questionnaires administered to 150 small holder cattle farmers in the sub counties of ArapaiKatine, Asuret, Gweri, Tubur, Soroti and Kamuda. The results on mortality rates indicated that calves had the highest mortality rate of 37.8%, followed by cows (5.2%), Bulls (4.3%), steers (3.6%), and Heifers (1.8%). The total economic loss due to FMD outbreak in Soroti County was Ushs952,896 Mortality losses accounted for the highest economic loss of Ushs 435,000 per household followed by Draft power loss (Ushs 356,586). Vaccination costs, Treatment costs, Milk yield loses and abortion loses accounted for Shs 6,177, Shs74, 211, Shs 31,754 and Shs 49,237 respectively. Mortality losses ($\chi 2$ =45.635), Treatment costs ($\chi 2$ =24.821), Vaccination costs ($\chi 2 = 11.550$), Traction power losses ($\chi 2 = 22.368$)Milk yield losses ($\chi 2 = 12.661$) were statistically significant at 10% confidence level (P<0,1). The social impacts were associated to reduction in meat and milk consumption, inability to use cattle for tradition practices like paying for bride price, giving cattle as gifts. The treatment costs were higher than vaccination costs. Mortality loses accounted for the highest economic loses. There was high mortality rate in calves as compare to bulls' cows' steers and heifers during the period of FMD outbreak..

CHARPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Worldwide, FMD is the most important disease limiting the trade of animals and animal products throughout the world (Arztet al., 2011). The most direct economic impact of FMD in endemic countries is the reduced efficiency of production, thus lowering farmers' income. The impact of reduced productivity of animals can be prolonged as there is delay in reproduction leading to fewer offspring, resulting in a reduced livestock population. The impact of FMD has led to successful national and regional campaigns for disease eradication most notably in Europe and the Americas. It is estimated that annual impact of FMD in terms of production losses and vaccination alone are US\$5 billion (James & Rushton 2002). Much of the global FMD burden of production losses falls on the world's poorest communities (James and Rushton 2002).

In Africa, it has been estimated that more is spent controlling FMD than any other veterinary disease (Le Gall and Leboucq, 2004). A survey of African veterinary services found FMD to have the greatest impact on poverty of all the ruminant bacterial and viral diseases. Livestock keepers living in poverty are particularly vulnerable to FMD (Gall and Leboucq 2004). Furthermore, quality FMD vaccines are expensive, must be given repeatedly and kept refrigerated; this is not feasible for many livestock keepers. In Ethiopia there is no organized FMD control strategy except sporadic cattle herd vaccination usually after outbreaks. In the Borena region of Ethiopia, FMD is a disease that is left without intervention and has been occurring with increasing frequency (James and Rushton 2002).

Foot and mouth disease (FMD) is a highly contagious viral disease of cloven-hoofed animals and is one of the most economically important disease of livestock (Knight-Jones and Rushton, (2013). According to the office international epizootics (OIE), FMD ranks first among the notifiable infectious disease of animals (Law and Mol, (2011).

FMDV is of the genus *Aphthovirus*in the family Picornaviridae (Samuel and Knowles, 2001) and infection is through direct and indirect contact. There are seven major viral serotypes: O, A,

REFERENCES

- A. R. O. Ademun, M. Ocaido, J. Opuda-Asibo. (2012). Financial performance of cattle and crop production enterprises in selected pastoral and agro-pastoral production systems Uganda: Africa Journal of Animal and Biomedical Sciences 7 (2), 2012 ISSN: 1819-4214
- Arzt, J., Juleff, N., Zhang, Z., & Rodriguez, L. L. (2011). The Pathogenesis of Foot-and-Mouth Disease I: Viral Pathways in Cattle. *Transboundary and emerging diseases*, 58(4), 291-304.
- Ayebazibwe C, Kirsten T, Mwine FN, Muwanika VB, AdemunOkurut AR, Siegismund HR, Alexandersen S (2010a) Patterns, risk factors and characteristics of reported and perceived foot-and-mouth disease (FMD) in Uganda. *Tropical Animal Health*, 42:1547-1559
- Balinda S N, Belsham G J, Masembe C, Sangula A K, Siegismund H R and Muwanika W B (2010) Molecular Characterization of SAT2 Foot and Mouth Disease Virus from postoutbreak slaughtered animals. Implications for disease control in Uganda. Epidemiology of Infectious Diseases, 138 (8), 1204–1210.
- Baluka, S. A., Hisali, E., Wasswa, F., Ocaido, M., & Mugisha, A. (2014). Socio-economic risk factors associated with foot and mouth disease, and contagious bovine pleuropneumonia outbreaks in Uganda. *Livestock Research for Rural Development*, 25(12), 214.
- Baluka, S. A., Hisali, E., Wasswa, F., Ocaido, M., & Mugisha, A. (2013). Socio-economic risk factors associated with Foot and Mouth Disease, and Contagious Bovine Pleuropneumonia outbreaks in Uganda. *Livestock Research for Rural Development* , 25(12), 214.
- Barasa, M., Catley, A., Machuchu, D., Laqua, H., Puot, E., Tap Kot, D., &lkiror, D. (2008). Foot-and-Mouth Disease Vaccination in South Sudan: Benefit-Cost Analysis and Livelihoods Impact. *Transboundary and emerging diseases*, 55(8), 339-351.

- Bayissa, B., Ayelet, G., Kyule, M., Jibril, Y., &Gelaye, E. (2011). Study on seroprevalence, risk factors, and economic impact of foot-and-mouth disease in Borena pastoral and agropastoral system, southern Ethiopia. *Tropical animal health and production*, 43(4), 759-766.
- Bronsvoort, B. D. C., Nfon, C., Hamman, S. M., Tanya, V. N., Kitching, R. P., & Morgan, K. L. (2004). Risk factors for herdsman-reported foot-and-mouth disease in the Adamawa Province of Cameroon. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine*, 66(1), 127-139.
- Catley, A, RT Chibunda, E Ranga, S Makungu, FT Magayane, G Magoma, MJ Madege, and W Vosloo. 2004. Participatory diagnosis of a heat-intolerance syndrome in cattle in Tanzania and association with foot-and-mouth disease. Preventive Veterinary Medicine 65: 17–30.
- Chowdhury, S. M. Z. H., Rahman, M. F., Rahman, M. B., & Rahman, M. M. (1993). Foot and mouth disease and its effects on morbidity, mortality, milk yield and draft power in Bangladesh. Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences, 6(3), 423-426.
- FAO (2007). Foot and Mouth Disease distribution worldwide and major epidemiological events in 2005-2006. Contributors: Sumption K., Dinto J., Lubroth J., MorzariaS., Murray T., DeLaRocque S., Njeumi F., No 1: Pp 1-9.
- Fielding, W, C Gullick, P Coutts, and B Sharp. (2000). An introduction to the food economy research in Southern Sudan 1994–2000. Nairobi: World Food Program and Save the Children UK.
- Gorsi, M. I., Abubakar, M., & Arshed, M. J. (2011). Epidemiology and economic aspects of foot and mouth disease in district Sahiwal. Punjab. Pakistan. YYU VeterinerFakultesiDergisi, 22, 159-162.
- Hamond, J., 2011. FMD Vaccine: Practical Applications from an Interna-tional Perspective-FMDV Vaccine to Live. An event organized by NFUS, Moredun and Scottish Government, 15 March 2011.

- Hamond, J., 2011. FMD Vaccine: Practical Applications from an Interna-tional Perspective-FMDV Vaccine to Live. An event organised by NFUS, Moredun and Scottish Government, 15 March 2011.
- Hughes, G, V Mioulet, R Kitching, M Woolhouse, S Andersen, and A Donaldson. (2002). Footand-mouth disease virus infection of sheep: Implications for diagnosis and control. The Veterinary Record 150: 724–727. <u>http://www.pastoralismjournal.com/content/3/1/5</u> at 17:50pm 07/10/2014.
- James, A. D., & Rushton, J. (2002). The economics of foot and mouth disease. Revue scientifiqueet technique-Office international des épizooties, 21(3), 637-641.
- Jibat, T., Admassu, B., Rufael, T., Baumann, M. P., &Pötzsch, C. J. (2013). Impacts of footand-mouth disease on livelihoods in the Borena Plateau of Ethiopia. *Pastoralism*, 3(1), 1-11.
- Kahn, C. M. (2005). The Merck veterinary manual, editor Cynthia M. Kahn; associate editor Scott Line.
- Kahrs, F.R. (2001). Foot and Mouth Disease In: Viral diseases of cattles. IOWAstateuniversity press Pp 271-275
- Kasambula, L., Belsham, G. J., Siegismund, H. R., Muwanika, V. B., Ademun-Okurut, A. R., & Masembe, C. (2012). Serotype Identification and VP1 Coding Sequence Analysis of Foot-and-Mouth Disease Viruses from Outbreaks in Eastern and Northern Uganda in 2008/9. *Transboundary and emerging diseases*, 59(4), 323-330.
- King, A., & Allan, M. (2002). Joint donor agencies study on the performance of and growth prospects for strategic exports in Uganda. *Case study: livestock, hides, skins, and leather products: Delegation of the European Commission.*
- Knight-Jones, T. J. D., & Rushton, J. (2013). The economic impacts of foot and mouth disease– What are they, how big are they and where do they occur? *Preventive veterinary medicine*, 112(3), 161-173.
- Law, J., & Mol, A. (2011). Veterinary realities: what is foot and mouth disease? *Sociologia* ruralis, 51(1), 1-16.

- Le Gall, F., Leboucq, N., (2004). The Role of Animal Disease Control in Poverty Reduction, Food Safety, Market Access and Food Security in Africa, Recueil des thèmes techniques présentés au Comitéinternationalou aux Commissions régionales Vol. 2003, 87-106 et 107-126, Paris, France.
- Mathew, L., & Menon, D. G. (2008). Economic impact of FMD in ChazhoorPanchayath. Veterinary World, 1(1), 5-6
- Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development (MOARD). 2006. Foot and Mouth Disease control plan. Addis Ababa: MOARDhttp://borlaug.tamu.edu/files/2012/03/Foot-and-Mouth-Disease-Control-Plan.pdf
- Ministry of Agriculture, Animal Industry and Fisheries (2005). National Livestöck Productivity Improvement Project. Baseline Survey Report, A Benchmark for Measuring Project Impact.
- Moerane, R. (2008). Market access for livestock commodifies: foot-and-mouth disease as a key constraint to market access-Republic of South Africa. *Transboundary animal disease and market access: future options for the beef industry in southern Africa', Working Paper, 5.*
- Murphy, A.F., Gibbs, J.E., Horzinec, C.M. and Studdert, J.M. (1999). Foot and Mouth Disease In: Veterinary Virology. 3rd edition California, Acadamic press USA. Pp 512-537.
- Nkhori, P. A. (2004). The impact of transaction costs on the choice of cattle markets in Mahalapye district, Botswana (Doctoral dissertation, University of Pretoria).
- Perry, B.D., Kalpravidh, W., Coleman, P.G., Horst, H.S., McDermott, J.J., Ran-dolph, T.F., Gleeson, L.J., (1999). The economic impact of foot and mouthdisease and its control in South-East Asia: a preliminary assessmentwith special reference to Thailand. Revue scientifique et technique(OIE) 18, 478-497.
- Perry, B.D., Randolph, T.F., Ashley, S., Chimedza, R., Forman, T., Morrison, J., Poulton, C., Sibanda, L., Stevens, C., Tebele, N., Yngström, I., (2003). TheImpact and Poverty Reduction Implications of Foot and Mouth Disease Control in Southern Africa, with

Special Reference to Zimbabwe.International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI), Nairobi, Kenya, 152pp. and CD-ROM.

- Radostits, O, C Gay, K Hinchcliff, and P Constable. (2006). Veterinary medicine, a textbook of the diseases of cattle, sheep, goats, pigs and horses, 10th ed, 1223–1231. London: Bailliere Tindal
- Randolph, T. F., Ashley, S., Chimedza, R., Forman, T., Morrison, J., Poulton, C., &Yngstrom, I. (2003). The Impact and Poverty Reduction Implications of Foot and Mouth Disease Control in Southern Africa: With Special Reference to Zimbabwe. International Livestock Research Institute.

Rushton, J. (2009). The economics of animal health and production. Cabi.

- Samuel AR, Knowles NJ. Foot-and-mouth disease virus: cause of the recent crisis for the UK livestock industry. Trends Genet. 2001;17:421-4
- Schoenbaum, M. A., & Disney, W. T. (2003). Modeling alternative mitigation strategies for a hypothetical outbreak of foot-and-mouth disease in the United States. *Preventive veterinary medicine*, 58(1), 25-52.
- Taylor-Powell. E. (1998), Sampling program development and evaluation, Texas agricultural extension service, the Texas A and G University System College, Texas
- Thompson, D., Muriel, P., Russell, D., Osborne, P., Bromley, A., Rowland, M., Creigh-Tyte, S., Brown, C., 2002. Economic costs of the foot and mouthdisease outbreak in the United Kingdom in 2001. Revue Scientifiqueet technique (OIE) 21, 675–687
- Thomson G (1994). Foot and Mouth Disease. In: Infectious diseases of livestock with special reference to Southern Africa, edited by J.A.W. Coetzer, G.R. Thomson. Cape Town
 London, New York: Oxford University Press pp. 825-992.
- UBOS, 2014: National Population and Housing Census 2014 Provisional Results, November 2014.



29