

P.O. Box 236. Tororo. Uganda Gen: +256 - 45 444 8838 Fax: +256 - 45 4436517 Email: info@adm.busitema.ac.ug

www.busitema.ac.ug

PHYSICAL DEFECTS LEADING TO REJECTION OF CATTLE HIDES AT THE RECEPTION OF THE HIDES/SKINS STORES IN SOROTI MUNICIPALITY

BY EKOL JOEL DANIEL BU/UP/2011/583



A DESSERTATION SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE AND ANIMAL SCIENCES IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD OF THE DEGREE OF BACHELOR OF ANIMAL PRODUCTION AND MANAGEMENT OF BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY

JUNE, 2014

DECLARATION

I, EKOL JOEL DANIEL, hereby declare that this dissertation is out of my original concept and has never been submitted to any University or institution of higher learning for any academic award.

Signature. Date. $1^{s^1} - 0^q - 0.014$

APPROVAL

This report has been submitted with the approval of my supervisor:

Mr. KATENYA GEORGE

Bachelor of animal production and management (BAPM)

Teaching assistant

Department of Animal Production and Management

Faculty of Agriculture and Animal Sciences

Busitema University

Signature. Date = + Cept 2014

BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY LIBRARY ACCESS NUL AASTOSO &L

i

DEDICATION

I dedicate this dissertation to my Father and mother; Mr. Okello Francis Ekol, and Mrs. Margaret Okello, my fiancee Mrs. Lydia Abonyo and my daughter Acham Emerald, lovely Brothers and Sisters, and friends.

~. .

÷

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I take this opportunity to utter my gratitude to the Almighty God for the gift of life all through.

Sincere appreciation goes to my parents Mr. Okello Francis Ekol, Mrs. Margaret Okello and my fiancée; Lydia Abonyo for their unending care, love and financial and emotional support all this far and to my brothers and sister for their words of encouragement.

In a special way I would like to thank my supervisor Mr. Katenya George for the tireless help and services he offered to me, I extend my appreciation to my beloved lecturers for the knowledge rendered, all my friends and colleagues who were always there for me in case of any help.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

*.__

41

DECLARATION
DEDICATION ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT
LIST OF TABLES
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS
ABSTRACTix
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION
1.1 Background
1.2 Problem statement
1.3. Overall objective
1.4. Specific objectives
1.5 Research questions
1.6 Significance
1.6 Significance
1.6 Significance
1.6 Significance. 4 1.7 Justification 4 1.8 Scope 5
1.6 Significance. 4 1.7 Justification 4 1.8 Scope 5 CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW. 6
1.6 Significance. 4 1.7 Justification 4 1.8 Scope 5 CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW. 6 2.1 Physical defects in cattle hides. 6
1.6 Significance. 4 1.7 Justification 4 1.8 Scope 5 CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW. 6 2.1 Physical defects in cattle hides. 6 2.1.1 Pre-slaughter defects. 6
1.6 Significance. 4 1.7 Justification 4 1.8 Scope 5 CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW 6 2.1 Physical defects in cattle hides. 6 2.1.1 Pre-slaughter defects. 6 2.1.1.1 Scratches and horn rakes. 6

2.1.2.1 Rubbed grain
2.1.2.2 Improper bleeding
2.1.2.3 Bad pattern
2.1.2.4 Flay cuts, scores or gauges
2.1.3 Post-slaughter defects
2.2 Rejection of cattle hides
2.3 Collection of cattle hides
2.4 Sorting and grading 10
2.5 Storage and preservation of the collected hides 11
CHAPTER THREE: MATERIALS AND METHODS
3.1 Study area
3.2 Study Population and Sampling Technique
3.3 Sampling design: 12
3.4 Data collection 12
3.5 Data Analysis:
3.6. Data presentation
CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS
4.1 Overall prevalence of defects on the examined hides
4.1.1 Prevalence of physical defects on the hides 14
4.1.2 Prevalence of defects according to their origin
4.2 Grading of the examined hides 16
4.3 Prevalence of rejects in the examined hides
4.4 Relationship between origin of defects and rejection
CHAPTER FIVE: DISCUSSION OF RESULTS
CHAPTER SIX: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

v

6.1 CONCLUSION	
6.2 RECOMMENDATIONS	22
REFERENCES	
APPENDICES	

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Identified grades	16
Table 2: Prevalence of Rejects	17
Table 3: relationship between origin of defects and rejection	17

۳.

ž

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Bar graph showing the prevalence of physical defects on the hides
Figure 2: Pie chart showing the distribution of defects according to their origin

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX i: Map of Soroti district	26
APPENDIX ii: parts of cattle hide	27
APPENDIX iii: GRADING CRITERIA OF UNIDO, UGANDA AND THE THREE HIDE	AND SKIN
STORES	28
APPENDIX IV: GRADING SYSTEM OF HIDES IN ETHIOPIA AS PER ESALIA STAN	IDARDS
	30
APPENDIX v: QUESTIONNAIRE	32
APPENDIX vi: DATA COLLECTION SHEET	35

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ABPALI	A Blue Print for the African Leather Industry
CFC	Common Fund for Commodities
E.g.	For example.
EC	European Commission.
EDRI	Ethiopian Development Research Institute
ESALIA	Eastern and Southern Leather Industry Association
ESGPIP	Ethiopia Sheep and Goat Productivity Improvement Program.
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization.
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
IPPC	Integrated Pollution Prevention and Control
ITC	International Trade Centre
LLG	Leatherline Leather Guidebook
LMA	Livestock Marketing Authority.
MAAIF	Ministry Of Agriculture, Animal Industry and Fisheries
UBOS	Uganda Bureau Of Statistics
ULA	Uganda Leather Alliance.
UNBS	Uganda National Bureau of Standards
UNIDO	United Nations Industrial Development Organization
USD	United States Dollars

۲.

ť

ABSTRACT.

A study to examine the prevalence of physical defects on cattle hides was conducted from March to April 2014 with the objective of identifying the major physical defects that lead to the rejection of cattle hides upon grading at the reception of the three hides and skins stores in Soroti municipality. A total of 360 ungraded hides (120 hides from each store) were randomly selected and examined visually for defects. The study showed that there were different physical defects on cattle hides. The overall prevalence of defects on the examined hides was 93.1%. With wound defects having the highest prevalence (56.7%), flay cuts (53.9%), scratches (39.2%), brand marks (17.8%), gouge marks (15%), Veininess (11.4%), hair slip (9.2%) and incorrect shape/ putrefaction (5.2%). Defects of pre-slaughter origin had the highest prevalence (54.8%), followed by peri-slaughter (40.7%) and lastly post-slaughter defects (4.4%). Most of the hides examined lied in grade 2 (53.6%) followed by grade 3/rejects (39.4%) and the least number of hides lied in grade 1 (6.9%). Wound defects were responsible for most of the rejects (67.6%) followed by flay cuts (57%), scratches (43%), brand marks (40.1%), hair slip (23.2%) and incorrect shape (10.6%). The study concluded that there was a statistically significant difference (p<0.1) between presence of defects on hides and rejection. Statistical analysis on data also showed that there was a statistically significant relationship (P<0.1) between rejection and defects of pre-slaughter, peri-slaughter and post-slaughter origins. Therefore, there is high chance that rejection of hides may be dependent on origin of defects.

The study recommended that livestock owners, abattoir and slaughter slab workers and hide collectors be made aware of the prevalence, causes and prevention of hide defects. There is also need for rigorous training on safe handling and processing of hides in order to mitigate the physical defects on cattle hides.

CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Hides and skins are raw materials for the tanning industry. They are renewable and easily perishable resources (Arugna, 1995). Their production is dependent on the management, rearing and disposal of the livestock population (Mahmud,2000 and Zemene, 2012). The hides produced in Africa are viewed in the poor image perspective due to various production constraints including poor handling and poor preservation of the hides (Jabbar *et al.*, 2002)

Defects are damages from whatever cause to the raw/cured hides/skins that are most likely to cause value depreciation of the leather produced from the hides/skins (ITC, 2002). Defects on raw hides are important both in the domestic and export marketing of hides as they persist throughout the course of tanning and therefore affect the production and marketing of semi-processed materials (A Blue Print for the African Leather Industry (*ABPALI*), 2002).

Several factors contribute to the huge downgrading and rejection of hides in the east African region countries (CFC, 2005). According to a report by *ABPALI* (2002), defects occur as a result of a variety of causes during the life of the animal (pre-slaughter), during slaughter (peri-slaughter) and also after slaughter (post-slaughter). Of these, pre-slaughter defects exceed the combined effects of the other defect origins.

Pre-slaughter defects constitute a range of damages associated with intrinsic factors, husbandry practices and diseases, for example, brand marks, wounds/scars, scratches, among others (CFC, 2005). It has been estimated that loss of value due to such defects is 40% for Africa in general (Jabbar *et al.*, 2002).Periand post-slaughter defects contribute to about a third of the defects in hides (King, 2002). In Soroti, the dry environment dominated by savannah grasslands with thorny acacia species (Egeru, *et al.*, 2009), are the possible causes of scratches on the hides of the live cattle. Horn rakes usually occur in crushes, fights and during transportation which produce actual punctures in the most valuable part of the hide (Jabbar, *et al.*, 2002).

In most of the African countries, cattle are slaughtered in poorly equipped slaughter points, such as concrete slabs under trees or hoisting carcass using poles and unsuitable knives are used, hence

REFERENCES

Alan king (2002), joint donor agencies study on the performance of and growth prospects for strategic exports in Uganda, case study on livestock, hides, skins and leather products, EC Uganda.

Bayou, K., 2005. Pre-slaughter defects of hides/skins and intervention options in East Africa: Harnessing the leather industry to benefit the poor. In: Proceedings of the Regional Workshop, April 18-20, 71-82 pp, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

CFC, (2005), pre-slaughter defects of hides/skins and intervention options in East Africa: Harnessing the leather industry to the benefit of the poor, Technical paper No. 47. Stadhouderskade 55, 1072 AB Amsterdam, The Netherlands, postal address: P.O.Box 74656, 1070 BR Amsterdam.

Chenyambuga S.W, Waiswa C, Saimo M, Ngumi P, Gwakisa P.S (2010), knowledge and perceptions of traditional livestock keepers on tick and tick borne diseases and sero-prevalence of *theileriaparva* around lake Victoria Basin. Livestock research for rural development 22 (7) 2010, livestock research for rural development.

DijkstraTjalling, (2001), export diversification in Uganda:developments in non-traditional agricultural exports, ASC working paper 47/2001. African studies centre, P.O. Box 9555, 2300 RB Leiden, Netherlands asc@fsw.leidenuniv.nl.

EC, (2003), IPPC, reference document on best available techniques for the tanning of hides and skins.

Egeru, A; Majaliwa, M.GJ. (2009), land use/cover change trend in Soroti district eastern Uganda, J. Appl. Sci. environ. Manage. Vol. 13(4)77-79, ISSN 1119-8362

ESGPIP (2008), common defects in sheep/goat skins in Ethiopia and their causes, technical bulletin no. 19, ESGPIP, P.O.Box 15566 Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

European commission, 2003: reference document on the best available techniques for the tanning of hides and skins; Integrated Pollution Prevention and Control (IPPC)

GME, COTANCE, UECBV, (2006) Collagen industry, European guidance note on the safe handling of raw hides and skins throughout the whole value chain in the community.

ITC, (2010), supply survey on the leather industry in Zambia: promoting regional trade in leather and leather products. Programme for building African Capacity for Trade (PACT II) leather, july 2010, Zambia,

Jabbar A. Mohammed, Kiruthu S., GebremedhinBerhanu, Ehui Simeon (2002), Essential actions to meet quality requirements of hides, skins and semi-processed leather from Africa. A report prepared for the common fund for commodities Amsterdam, the Netherlands.

Koloka O., Moreki J C, (2010), Performance of hides and skins subsector in Botswana, livestock research for rural development 22 (5). Department of animal production, Ministry of agriculture, private bag 0032 Gaborone, Botswana.

Leach, I, 2002: benchmarking the African leather sector (UNIDO-ESALIA), presented at the expert group meeting on trade development of the leather industry in Africa, October 7-9, in 'meet in Africa', Tunis, October 6-13.

Leather Marketing Authority, 2000, Ethiopia.

Mahmud Ahmed (2000), development potential and constraints of hides and skins marketing in Ethiopia, a conference held at Debub university, Awassa, Ethiopia (November 2000), livestock marketing authority, P.O.Box 24492 code 1000, addisababa, Ethiopia.

MekonnenBekele, GezahegnAyele (2008), the leather sector: Growth strategies through intergrated value chain, research report XI, EDRI, blue building near national stadium, P.O.BOX 2479 Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

Ministry industries, trade and marketing, (2007), the integrated hides, skins and leather sector development strategy for Tanzania

Ministry of tourism, trade and industry, UNIDO, (2007); Integrated industrial policy for sustainable industrial development and competitiveness, part 1: industrial development analyzing competitiveness, growth potentials and investment opportunities.