COMMUNITY-BASED SOLID WASTE HANDLING AND PAYMENT PRACTICES AS EFFECTIVE STRATEGIES TOWARDS EFFICIENT URBAN SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT. CASE OF ARUA MUNICIPALITY

BY AJIDIRU JUDITH GUZU BU/UP/2015/412

RESEARCH REPORT SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF NATURAL RESOURCES AND ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD OF THE DEGREE OF BACHELOR OF SCIENCE IN NATURAL RESOURCE ECONOMICS OF BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY

JUNE 2018

DECLARATION

I AJIDIRU JUDITH GUZU hereby declare that unless otherwise references quoted, the work embodied in this research dissertation is entirely a result of my own effort and has never been submitted to any other institution of higher learning for the award of Bachelor's degree

SIGNATURE.....

DATE 20th 106 13618

AJIDIRU JUDITH GUZU

(CANDIDATE)

APPROVAL

This is to certify that this research has been submitted with my approval as supervisor.

Signature

Date 266 2

Mr. Kifumba David Nsajju

(Supervisor)

DEDICATION

I dedicate this work to my dear beloved family, Mr. Adaku Chris, Ms. Asibiru Joyce, Ms. Karungi Joyous, Prisca, Mercy, Charity, Stacy, Warren and Ivan, including cousins, aunties, uncles and friends.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I thank the Almighty God for the gift of life, good health, courage, strength and wisdom that guided me throughout my periods of studies and research, may his name be blessed.

I greatly thank my dear father Mr. A'daku Christopher my mummy Mrs. Adaku Joyce Asibiru and Mrs. Adaku Joyous Karungi for the tireless financial, physical, moral and prayer support they gave me through my entire studies so that I prosper in life. May the Lord bless them with gift of life.

I would like to acknowledge the management of Busitema University, Faculty Dean, Deputy Dean, Head of department and the lecturers, in the faculty of Natural and Environmental Sciences for having guided me through my days as a student at the campus.

I would like to extend my sincere gratitude to my class mates and friends for their support and assistance, not forgetting the Arua Municipal family and my great respondents who gave me their valuable time to provide me with all the data that I used to compile and present this work in its required form.

In a very special way I would like to pour a bottle of thanks to my dear supervisor, Mr.Kifumba David Nsajju for the tireless academic support and guidance he gave me throughout my research studies; may the Almighty God bless him copiously.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DEC	LARATION	٠i
APPF	ROVAL,,,,,,,	ij
DED	ICATIONi	ii
ACK	NOWLEDGEMENTi	ý
TABI	LE OF CONTENTS	ν
LIST	OF FIGURES	X
LIST	OF TABLESx	ii
LIST	OF ACRONYMSxi	V
ABS	FRACTx	V
СНА	PTER ONE	i
1.0. 1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.j. T	Background	1
1.1.1.	What are solid wastes?	ļ
1.1.2.	What is municipal solid waste?	1
1.2,	Management of solid wastes.	Ī
1.2.1	Global review on Municipal Solid Wastes.	1
1.2.2	Municipal solid waste management review in Africa	2
1.3.	Solid waste management in Uganda	2
1.4.	Changing strategies in management of solid wastes	3
1.5.	Problem statement	3
1.6.	General and specific objectives	4
1.6.1.	General objective.	4
1.6.2.	Specific objectives,	4
1.6.3.	Research questions.	4
1.7.	Justification.	5
1.8,	Theoretical conceptual frame work.	5
1.9.	Limitations of the study.	6
СНА	PTER TWO	7
2.0.	LITERATURE REVIEW.	7
2.1	INTRADITATION	7

2,1.1.	Waste.7	
2.1.2.	Solid waste.	7
2.1.3.	Solid waste management.	7
2.1.4.	Integrated Solid Waste Management (ISWM).	8
2.2.	Hierarchy of waste management options.	8
2.3,	Functional Elements of the Waste Management System	ç
2.3.1.	Waste generation	ç
2.3.2.	Waste Handling, Sorting, Storage, and Processing at the Source	ç
2.3,3.	Collection1	(
2.3.4.	Sorting, Processing and Transformation of Solid Waste1	(
2.3.5.	Transfer and Transport	(
2.3.6.	Disposal	(
2.4.	Methods of S.WM,,	1
2.4.1.	Sanitary landfills	1
2.4.2.	Incineration1	1
2,4.3	Recovery and recycling	1
2.4.4.	Composting1	2
2.4.5.	Pyrolysis	2
2.6.	Effects of Poor Solid Waste Management.	2
2.7.	Solid waste retains economic value	2
2.8.	Economic and Environmental Impact of Solid Wastes generated	7
2.9.	Willingness to pay and willingness to accept	-
2.9.1.	Definitions1	3
2.10.	WASTE MINIMISATION.	3
CHA	PTER THREE	4
3.0.	Methods of study1	4
3.1.	Pilot study,	ć
3.2.	Description of study site.	2
3.2.1,	Location:	4
3.3	Sample size and procedure	7
3.3.1.	Sample size	7
3,3.2.	Sampling technique	7
3.4.	Data types and data collection methods	7
3.4.1.	Data types.	7

3:6.	Data analysis	.18
СНА	PTER FOUR	. 15
RESU	DLTS	.19
Introd	luction	. 19
Demo	graphic characteristics of the respondents	. 19
4.2.	Sex:	, 19
4.2.2.	Distribution of gender by division.	. 19
4.2.3.	Relating gender to education level	.20
4.2.4	Age.	.20
4.2.5	Marital status	.21
4.2.6.	Size of Dwelling, ,	.21
4.3.	Types of wastes generated	.21
4.3.1.	B y gender.	.21
4.3,2	By occupation.	. 2,2
4.4.	Storage containers and sorting	.23
4.4.1.	Respondents with storage containers.	. 23
4.4.2	Sorting process.	. 23
4.4.3. of sol	Respondents' dependence on availability of storage containers to engage in sorting dwastes generated.	
4.4.4.	Reasons as to why people do not sort solid wastes they generate	.24
4.5.	Amount of wastes generated per house hold.	.25
4.6.	Practices used for solid waste disposal.	.26
4.6.1.	By sensitization of respondents.	.26
4.6.3.	By age of respondents.	.27
4. 7,	Best practices suggested for solid waste disposal.	.27
4.8.1.	By education level of respondents.	.28
4.8.2.	By gender of respondents	.29
4.8.3.	By age of respondents.	.29
4,9.	Regularity of waste removal from where it is stored.	.30
4.9.1.	By sex of respondents.	.30
4.9.2.	By occupation of respondents	.31
4.10.	Problems caused by different solid wastes.	.31
4.10.1	. By religion of respondents.	,31
4,10.2	. By occupation of respondents	.32

4. 11.	Measures taken by municipal council to manage SWs in Arua municipality	33
4.11.1	By age of respondents	.34
9.11.2	By occupation of respondents	34
4. 12.	Measures taken by the community to solve SW problems in Arua Municipality	35
4.12.1	By gender of respondents	36
4.12,	By age of respondents	36
4.13.	Measures that can reduce solid wastes generation.,,	37
4.13.	By age of respondents.	37
4.13.2	By gender of respondents	37
4. 14.	Willingness to pay for processing solid wastes.	.,38
4.14.1	By problems faced from solid wastes by the respondents	38
4.14.2	By occupation of respondents	39
4,14,3	By gender of respondents	39
4. 15.	Willingness to accept to be paid for the processing of the solid wastes	40
4.15.1	By costs incurred in solid waste management.	40
4.15.2	. By occupation for processing of solid wastes.	40
4,15.3	By division for processing of solid wastes.	41
4. 16.	Costs incurred for solid waste management,	41
4. 16.	Strategies suggested for solid waste management.	42
4.17.	Inputs by management required to eliminate indiscriminate SW dumping	43
CHA	PTER FIVE	.,45
5.0,	Discussions	45
5,1.	Types of solid wastes generated.	45
5.2.	Sorting practices.	45
5.3,	Reasons as to why people do not sort.	46
5.4.	Amount of SW generated	46
5.5.	Relationship between sensitization and practices used for SW disposal,	46
5.6.	Best practices suggested for SWM by marital status	47
5.7.	Reasons for the currents SWM practices by education level	47
5.8.	Regularity of SW removal from where it is stored	47
5.9,	Problems faced from different SW.	48
5.10.	Measures taken to solve SW issues.	49
5.11.	Measures that can be put in place to reduce solid wastes generation	49
5.12.	Comparison of people's willingness to pay for solid waste management processes	49

	management processes	50
5.14.	Costs incurred in management of solid wastes.	50
5.15.	Strategies suggested for solid waste management in AMC	50
5.16.	Inputs by management required to eliminate indiscriminate SW dumping	51
CHA	PTER SIX: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	52
6.0. I	NTRODUCTION	52
6.1. 0	CONCLUSION	52
6.2.	Recommendations	53
REFE	CRENCES	55
	ces, Concerns, and Willingness to Participate in Solid Waste Management in Two	
Urban	Slums in Central Uganda	56
APPE	NDIX	57
PLAT	ES	63



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 4.1. Distribution of respondents by gender
Figure: 4.2. Comparison of gender of respondents by division
Figure: 4.3. Comparison of distribution of gender of respondents by level of education.
Figure: 4, 4. Distributions of respondents by age.
Figure: 4, 5, Distributions of respondents by marital status21
Figure: 4. 6. Distributions of respondents by dwelling size
Figure : 4. 7. Comparison of types of SW generated by gender
Figure: 4.8. Comparison of type of solid waste generated by occupation
Figure: 4. 9. Respondents with storage containers
Figure 4.10. Respondents who sort their Solid wastes
Figure : 4. 11. Comparison of respondents 'sorting practices of solid wastes generated by
availability of storage containers
Figure: 4. 12. Reasons as to why people do not sort SW they generate
Figure: 4. 13. Comparison of reasons for not sorting solid wastes by age of
respondents
Figure: 4. 14. Comparison of amount of SW produced by dwelling
Figure 4. 15. Comparisons of methods of SW disposal by level of sensitization26
Figure 4. 16. Comparison of methods of SW disposal by gender,
Figure : 4. 17. Comparison of methods used for solid waste disposal by age27
Figure : 4. 18. Comparison of best practices suggested for solid waste disposal by marital
status. ,
Figure: 4. 19. Comparison of different reasons for using the current solid waste disposal by
highest level of education qualification
Figure: 4. 20. Comparison of reasons for the current SWM practices by gender
Figure: 4. 21. Comparison of reasons for current SWM practices by age30
Figure : 4. 22. Comparison of regularity of solid waste removal from where it is stored by
gender,30
Figure : 4. 23. Comparison of regularity of SW removal from where it is stored by
occupation. 3.1
Figure: 4.24. Comparison of problems caused by solid wastes generated by religion.
32

Figure: 4.25. Comparison of problems faced from SWs by occupation32
Figure : 4.26. Comparison of problems faced from SW by gender33
Figure : 4. 27. Measures taken by Municipal to solve solid wastes problems
Figure 31: age
Figure : 4. 29. Comparison of measures taken by Municipal to solve SW problems, by
occupation35
Figure: 4.30. Measures taken by the community to solve SW problems in Arua Municipality.
Figure : 4. 31. Comparison of measures taken by the community to solve SW problems in
Arua by gender
Figure: 4.32. Comparison of measures taken by the community to solve SW problems in
Arua Municipality by age
Figure: 4. 33. Comparison of measures that can be taken to reduce generation of solid wastes
by age
Figure: 4. 34. Comparison of measures that can be taken to reduce SW generation by gender.

Figure : processes by problems faced
Figure: 4. 26. Comparison of respondents' willingness to pay by occupation39
Figure: 4. 37. Comparison of respondents' willingness to pay for SWM practices39
Figure: 4. 38. Comparison of respondents 'willingness to accept to be paid by costs incurred.
40
Figure: 4.39. Comparison of respondents' willingness to accept to be paid to accomplish
SWM by occupation
Figure : 4. 40. Comparison of Willingness to accept by division
Figure : 4, 41, Costs incurred in SWM
Figure : 4.42. Strategies suggested for the Municipal to put in place to solve wastes
management issues

TABLES

Table 3.1.	Administrative regions of Arua Municipality16	i. F
Table 4.17	Inputs against illegal dumping of SW4	13

MAPS

Map; 3.1. A m	ap of Uganda showing location of Arua district.	14
Map: A map o	f Arua district showing the location of Arua Mun	icipality15
Map: 2.3.	A map of Arua Municipality showing the Wards	

LIST OF ACRONYMS

AMC Arua Municipal Council

MC Municipal Council

FY Financial Year

NEMA National Environment Management Authority

USMID Urban Support to Municipal Development

UBO Uganda Beau rue Of Statistics

UAAU Urban Authority association of Uganda

SWMP Solid Waste Management Practices

SW Solid Waste

WTP Willingness to Pay

WB World Bank

SWMS Solid Waste Management Strategies

SWMI Solid Waste Management Initiatives

KMA Kumasi Metropolitan

ABSTRACT

Solid waste management is a worldwide phenomenon. It is a big challenge all over the world for human beings. The problem of municipal solid waste management (MSWM) is also prevailing in the urban environment of Arua Municipality. This study was conducted in Arua municipality, Arua district during the month of January 2018. The Municipality is divided in to two divisions, of which River Oli division has a population that is predominantly of a low-income and Arua Hill division is a high income area. In Arua town, the majority of the population is involved mostly in commercial and petty trading. The people who live in the surrounding areas depend mainly on subsistence agriculture. The general objective of the study was to develop solid waste management strategies for Arua Municipality and the specific objectives were to determine the reasons for the current solid waste storage, processing, collection and disposal activities practiced by the community of Arua Municipality, the problems accrued to the community consequent to the different types of solid wastes generated within the Municipality, the community's willingness to pay for processing of the solid wastes they generated and the type of inputs by management required to eliminate indiscriminate solid waste damping sites in the municipality.

A pilot study was done to ensure that questions were valid, answerable and if they could generate data related to the objectives. The final questionnaires were administered to different respondents in six wards and two divisions. Observations, interacting with people and authentic record of Municipal Corporation. Data collected was entered and analyzed using Microsoft Excel to obtain a descriptive statistics.

It was found that the food remains are the most common solid wastes generated, followed by polythene and plastics. Most of the respondents do not sort their solid wastes and they dispose off their solid wastes in the rubbish pit. The most faced problems from the solid wastes are of foul smell and littering of the place.

Female respondents were willing to pay compared to males. Respondents who faced problems, especially business people and housewives, due to solid wastes generated were willing to pay for its management compared to the students and caterers who did not face them.

CHAPTER ONE

1.0. INTRODUCTION.

1.1. Background.

1.1.1. What are solid wastes?

Solid wastes are defined as non-liquid or nongaseous products (e.g. trash, junk and or refuse) of human activities that are unwanted (The World Bank 2012). Generation of Municipal solid waste (MSW) increases in line with developmental rate of any country (Ecaat, 1999).

1.1.2. What is municipal solid waste?

Is heterogeneous with a variety of components. Constituents include food waste, garden (yard) and park waste, paper and cardboard, wood, textiles, nappies (disposable diapers), rubber and leather, plastics, metal, glass (and pottery and chairs), ash, soil, electronic waste (Ecaat, 1999) are household waste, commercial waste, demolition and construction waste, accumulated agricultural homogeneous wastes, homogeneous industrial and mineral wastes and bio-medical waste.

1.2. Management of solid wastes.

1.2.1. Global review on Municipal Solid Wastes.

According to the World Bank Reports, (2017), solid waste management is a worldwide phenomenon. Waste management practices differ for developed and developing nations, for urban and rural areas, and for residential and industrial producers (Kumasi Metropolitan (KMA), 2006) In some cases management for nonhazardous residential and institutional waste in metropolitan areas is usually the responsibility of local government authorities, while management for hazardous commercial and industrial waste is usually the responsibility of the generator (personal comment). Developing effective waste management strategies is critical for nations all over the world, as many forms of waste can develop into a major problem when they are not handled properly (Tumpa, 2009). Numerous firms provide waste management services of a variety of types, and several governments also regulate the waste management industry for safety and efficacy (Tumpa, 2009).

REFERENCES

Cointreau-Levine, S. (1996). Sanitary landfill silting and criteria. Washington DC: World Bank

Ecaat Justin et-al, (1999). Proposed Action Plan for Municipal Solid Waste Management in Uganda.

JBN Consults & Planners (2016), Arua Municipal Council (Five-Year Sustainable Solid Waste Management Strategy and Plan, July 2016).

Gage, I. (1998). "The effect of the method of household containment on solid waste management." In: Solid waste management: critical issues for developing countries, edited by Elizabeth Thomas-Hope, 159-167. Kingston: Canoe Press.

Government of Uganda, (1997). The Local Government Act.

Hoornweg, D., Thomas, L. and Otten, L. (1999). Composting and Its Applicability in Developing Countries. Urban Waste Management Working Paper Series 8. Washington, DC: World Bank.

Kampala City Council, (2000) Waste Collection in Kampala City January to June 2000, Kampala Uganda.

Kampala City Council, (1994). Solid Waste Disposal Report, 1994, Kampala Uganda.

Lettsome, C. (19980, "The environmental impact of incineration on island nations." In: Solid waste management: critical issues for developing countries

Miljo, J. (1998).Danish Government Waste Management Plan 1998 to 2004 The World Bank Washington DC.

NEMA, (1998). Caring for our Environment A Handbook for Local leaders, NEMA in conjunction with Friedrich Ebert stiftung (FES).

NEMA, (2001) State of the Environment Report for Uganda, 2000/2001, Kampala Uganda.

Ntategize P, et al. (2000). Draft Strategic Plan for Solid Waste Management for Mpigi District,

U. S. Environmental Protection Agency, "International Waste Activities," 2009, http://epa.gov/.

United Nations Environment Programme, "Waste Quantities and Characteristics," pp. 31–38, 2005, http://www.unep.or.jp. KMA, "KMA." Kumasi Metropolitan, 2006, http://www.kma.ghanadistricts.gov.gh/.

T. Amemiya, "Qualitative response model: a survey," Journal of Economic Literature, vol. 19, pp. 1483–1536.

Practices, Concerns, and Willingness to Participate in Solid Waste Management in Two Urban Slums in Central Uganda

Trasias Mukama, Rawlance Ndejjo, David Musoke, Geofrey Musinguzi, Abdullah Ali Halage, David O. Carpenter, and John C. Ssempebwa

- D. Hoornweg and P. Bhada-Tata, WHAT A WASTE, A Global Review of Solid Waste Management, Urban Development Series, The World Bank, 2012.
- C. Bartlett, Stormwater Knowledge, Attitude and Behaviors: A 2005 Survey of North Carolina Residents, Stormwater Unit, Division of Environmental Analysis, Sacramento, Calif, USA, 2005.
- R. E. Marshall and K. Farahbakhsh, "Systems approaches to integrated solid waste management in developing countries," Waste Management, vol. 33, no. 4, pp. 988–1003, 2013. View at Publisher View at Google Scholar View at Scopus