

FACTORS INFLUENCING ADOPTION OF BIOGAS IN BUSOLWE SUB-COUNTY BUTALEJA DISTRICT

INIVER L B Ţ

21

BY

HASAHYA PAUL BU/UP/2013/1097 hasahyapaul@gmail.com

A DISSERTATION SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE AND ANIMAL SCIENCES IN PARTIAL FULLFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR AWARD OF DEGREE OF BACHELOR OF ANIMAL PRODUCTION AND MANAGEMENT OF BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY

JUNE, 2016

______ A

DECLARATION

I HASAHYA PAUL declare that the information in this dissertation is my own work and is never been submitted to any institution of higher learning or university for any academic award.

Date 29 - AUGUST, 2016 Sign.

The dissertation has been submitted for examination with the approval of my supervisor

Dr. EKOU JUSTINE {BVM, MBA, PhD (candidate), Cert. PAM, Cert. Admin Law}

Department of Animal Production and Management

Faculty of Agriculture and Animal Sciences

2016 Sign...

BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY LIRRAT	
CLASS NO.	
ACCESS NO.	

BUSITEMA UNIVERSIT CLASS NO ACCESS NO ALSOOD

DEDICATION

I dedicate this report to all pioneers of Animal Production and Management course at the Faculty of Agriculture and Animal Sciences Busitema University, and to my sister Nawegulo Janet, my daughter NaweguloJordanah and our loved family that let this research be a point to guide us as we fight global warming which is increasingly becoming threat in our society.

Ś

٩,

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

s)

۱.

÷.

I would like to thank my supervisor Dr. Ekou Justine for his untiring professional guidance, constructive critism and encouragement throughout the study period. I am thankful to the staff of APM and my fellow students in the department of Animal production and management for their valuable input and moral support. I acknowledge the support and cooperation of the respondents. My profound gratitude goes to my wonderful family for their emotional, financial, and moral support throughout the study period. While I am not able to mention each person individually, I am sincerely grateful to each person who in one way or the other contributed to the success of this study. I thank the God that this far His Grace has been sufficient for me.

TABLE OF C	CONTENTS
------------	----------

DECLARATIONi
LIST OF ABREVIATIONSvi
ABSTRACTix
CHAPTER ONE
INTRODUCTION
Back Ground1
1.1 Problem statement
1.2 Main objective2
1.3 Specific Objectives2
1.4 Research Questions2
1.5 Justification of the Study
1.6 Significance of the Study
1.7 Scope of the Study
CHAPTER TWO
LITERATURE REVIEW
2.1 Introduction
2.2 Energy Crisis around the World
2.3 Biogas Technology in Europe5
2.4 Biogas Technology in Asia5
2.5 Biogas Technology in Africa
2.6 Biogas Technology Development in Uganda
2.7 Biogas Plant Designs
2.8 Importance of biogas9
2.9 Disadvantages of biogas10
2.10 Factors influencing adoption of biogas technology11
CHAPTER THREE
METHODS AND MATERIALS
3.1 Study Design
3.2. Study approach
3.3 Description of Study Area 13

3.4 Nature of Data
3.5 Sources of Data
3.6 Target Population and Sample size16
3.7 Sampling Procedure
3.8 Data analysis and Presentation
3.9 Logistical and Ethical considerations
3.10 Environmental considerations
3.11 Limitations
CHAPTER FOUR
RESULTS
CHAPTER FIVE
DISCUSSION
CHAPTER SIX
CONCLUSION AND RECCOMENDATIONS
REFERENCE
APPENDICES
Apppendice I: Household questionaire
Appendix II: Observation Schedule
Appendix iv: Abandoned biogas plants
Appendix III: Map showing the study area

ļ

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Showing Chi-square tests between family size for the adopters and non-adopter18
Table 2: Showing comparison of means for stated parameters among dairy farmers
Table 3: showing the relationship land size and adoption among dairy farmers
Table 4: Showing the relationship between age, education and gender on boigas adoption 19
Table 5: Showing the number of cattle owned by adopting and non-adopting dairy farmers20
Table 6: Showing the source of information about biogas technology

.

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Schematic representation of floating drum digester	;
Figure 2: Schematic representation of fixed dome biogas digester9	!
Figure 3: Schematic representation of a tubular biogas9	,
Figure 4: Showing household occupation and income level on biogas adoption20	ł
Figure 5: Showing the attitude towards adoption of biogas technology	

LIST OF ABREVIATIONS

`

ACTS	:	African Centre for Technology Studies
ABPP	:	African Biogas Partnership Program
BSP	:	Biogas Support Program
EIA	:	Energy Information Administration
EPDC	:	Education Policy and Data Centre.
GHG	:	Green House Gases
ΪĖΑ	:	International Energy Agency
ΠED	:	International Institute of Environment and Development.
IISD	:	International Institute for Sustainable Development
ISIS	:	Institute for Science and International Security
IFAD	:	International Fund for Agricultural Development.
SDGs	:	Sustainable Development Goals.
NEP	:	National Energy Policy
NEPAD	:	New Partnerships for African Development
NGO	:	Non-Governmental Organizations
RETs	:	Renewable Energy Technologies
SSA	:	Sub-Saharan Africa
UN	:	United Nations
UNDP	:	United Nations Development Program

1.

ABSTRACT

The study was carried out in Busolwe sub-county Butaleja District. The purpose of this study was to identify the underlying factors that influence adoption of biogas technology among dairy farmers. The sample size comprised of 120 dairy farmers who were purposively sampled. The findings from the study revealed that, the significant factors that influence adoption of biogas among dairy farmerswere: household income, dairy farmer's head's highest level of education and the land. The underlying influences to the areas of significance were: poverty at household level, low level of education and early marriages among women who are the main implementers of the technology. The study recommends that the sub-County government of Busolwe should promote education, create awareness, create conducive environment for dairy farmersto access loans from financial institutions, encourage organizations charged with the promotion of biogas technology to offer subsidies to households, ensure improved provision of technical services in the area of biogas construction, extension service provider should encourage dairy farmers to pull resources together that will reduce the cost of construction of biogas digester.

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

Back Ground

Biogas energy production and use has been illustrated to have the potential to reduce wood fuel consumption, mitigate against climate change and reduce indoor air pollution (Smith*et al.*, 2012). Biogas technology uses biological process to convert organic wastes into biogas (combustible mixture of methane and carbon dioxide) and high quality fertilizer. The technology is carbon neutral, and therefore does not add or remove carbandioxide from the atmosphere. Potentially therefore, it is a significant and profitable way of mitigating global climate change.

An estimated 2.5 billion people in developing countries rely heavily on biomass, such as fuel wood, charcoal, agricultural waste and animal dung, to meet their energy needs for cooking. In Sub-Sahara Africa and in Asia excluding China and India, 93% of the rural populations depend on such resources. It is expected that one third of the world's population will still rely on these fuels until 2030 (IGAD,2007). Continued over dependence on unsustainable wood fuel and other forms of biomass as primary sources of energy to meet household energy needs has contributed to negative impacts on the environment. In addition, continued use of traditional biomass fuels contributes to poor health among users due to incomplete combustion and smoke emissions in poorly ventilated houses common in rural areas (Biogas for Better Life, An Africa Initiative, 2007). Recent report on World Energy Outlook by the International Energy Agency (IEA) clearly stated that "current global trends in energy supply and consumption are patently unsustainable" (IEA.2008).

To meet these challenges, reducing dependence on oil and biomass has become one of the priority issues for most countries around the world. So far, biofuels such as biogas are the most rapidly expanding and widely used types of renewable household fuel, although they still accounted for only 1.5% of total global household fuel demand in 2006 (IEA, 2008).

In Uganda, wood fuel accounts for 68% of the total primary energy consumption and is much higher in the rural areas where it is estimated to be 80% (UNEP, 2006). This scenario explains the reason behind Uganda's low forest cover of 1.7% Masinde and Karanja, (2011) against the World's recommendation of 10%. According to Tafdrup (1995), biogas systems can yield a

REFERENCE

Amigun, B., Parawira, W., Musango, J.K., Aboyade, A.O. &Badmos, A.S. (2012). Anaerobic Biogas Generation for rural Area Energy Provision in Africa.106 Kiambu. Master's thesis available at www.uonbi.ac.ke/node/2444 Accessed 23/10/13assessment of the Energy Policy. With focus on the transport and Household

Bajgain, S., &Shakya,I. (2005). The Nepal Biogas Support Program; A successful model of publicprivatepartnership for rural household energy supply. Retrieved from Sister resource. world bank.

Barnes, D. F, and Qian, U. (1992).□Urban Inter fuel substitution, Energy use and Equity in Developing countries.□ Industry and Energy Department working paper, Energy Series paper, no 53. Washington DC:World Bank

Bekele, W., Drake .L. (2003). Soil and water conservation Decision Behavior of subsistence farmers in the Eastern Highlands of Ethiopia: A case study of the Hunde-Lafto area. Ecological Economics Vol 46, Issue 3 pp 437-451.

Biogas for better life, An Africa Initiative, (2007). A Feasibility Study by Shell Brown, V. (2006). Biogas: A bright Idea for Africa. Environmental Health Perspective 114:300-303. Retrieved from www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

Donohue.T.andCogdell, R. (2006). Micro-organisms and clean energy.Nature views.Retrieved from www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17042110 Education Policy and Data Centre.(2007). Enrollment Profile for Primary and Secondary Schools.Retrieved from www.epdc.org/country/ kenyaenergy sectors. 83 p

Flavin.C. & Aeck, M. H. (2005) Energy for development: The Potential Role of Renewable Energy in meeting the Millennium Development goals.

Gulbrandsen.L. (2011).Development implications and sustainability of biogas plants in Njombe District, Tanzania.Norwegian University of life sciences.Retrieved from www.umb.no/noragric.

http://www.kalro.org/fileadmin/publications/tech_notes/tecNote10.pdf



Inter- Government Authority on Development(IGAD), (2007). Annual Report. Nairobi,

International Energy Agency (IEA), (2008). Key World EnergyStatistics 2008:

Jingming, L. (2014). The future of Biogas in China. Chin Biogas Society Berlin Germany. Retrieved from www.abfz.de

Kabir, H., Yegbemey, R. N. & Bauer, S. (2013). Factors determinant of biogas adoption in Bangladesh.RenewableEnergyreview,Elsivier28(881-889)August.
http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1364032113005868

Karanja, G. M., & Kinuiro, E.M. (2013). BiogasProduction. KARI, Nairobi

Karanja, G.M. and Kiruiro E.M. (2004). Low-cost On-farm Biogas Production. KARI

Kebeda, B., Bekele, A. and Kedir, E. (2002). Can the Urban poor Afford Modern Energy? The case of Ethiopia,- Energy policy 30:1029 -1045.Kenya.

Kenya: A case of Kisumu District contributed paper presented at 41sr Annual Conference of

Kothari, C. R. (2004). Research methodology. New Delhi. India.New Age International.

Mugo, F. and Gathea, T. (2010). Biomass energy use in Kenya. A background paper

Mureithi, W. K. (2011). Factors leading to adoption of biogas as an alternative source of energy among farmers in Mukurwe-ini Constituency Nyeri County, Kenya.Master's thesis. http://erepository.uonbi.ac.ke. Retrieved 30/10/13

Ngigi, A., Okello, B., Adoyo, F., Vleuten, F., Muchena, F., Wilson, L., & Magermans, R. (2007). Promoting biogas systems in Kenya: Afeasibility study. Retrieved from www.snvworld.org/feasibility study of promoting biogas kenya 2007%20(6).pdf

Ngigi, A. (2010). Kenya National Domestic Biogas Program: An Initiative of the Africa Biogas Partnership program (PID) Prepared by Integral Advisory Ltd on behalf of Kenya National Biogas Committee (KENBIC) and Kenya National Federation of Agricultural Producers (KENFAP). Retrieved from www.my.eweb-usa.org Retrieved from www.athenainfonomics.in

л.

Ngw'andu, E., Shila, L., & Hedge, F.W. (2009) Program implementation document; Tanzania domestic biogas program. Retrieved fromwww.areanet.org/fileadmin/user_upload/AREA/AREA _downloads/Policies_Cooking/Tanzania_Biogas_Programme.pdf

Njenga, E. (2013). Determinants of adoption of biogas in Kenya: A case of OECD/IEA, Paris, France.prepared for the International Institute for Environment and Development (IIED)

Pretoria, South Africa.

Pundo and Fraser (2003). Multinomial logit analysis of household cooking fuel choice in Rural

Rajendran, K., Solmaz, A., & Muhammed, J.T. (2012). Household biogas digesters: A review. Energies ISSN 1996-1073. Energies 2012, 5, 2911-2942; doi: 10.3390/en5082911

Rogers E.(1995). Diffusion of innovations (4th edition). The Free Press. New York.

Siemens's. (2010).Sustainable energy: Totally integrated automation for biogas. Retrieved form www.industry.siemens.com

Simiyu, W. R. (2012) 'I don't tell my husband about vegetable sales'' Gender aspect of urban agriculture in Eldoret. Kenya. Available at Leiden University repository. Accessed on 3/3/15 Smith, K. S. (2003).Indoor smoke from Household solid fuels in Ezzati, M, A. D. Rodgers, A.D.Lopez and C.J.L Murray (eds.) Comparative Quantification of Health Risk: Global and Regional Burden of Disease due to selected major Risk factors: 3 volumes. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organizatio

Sufdar, I., Sofia, A., Waqar, A., & Muhammad, I. (2013). Factors leading to adoption of Biogas Technology: A case of Faisaland, Punjab, Pakistan. International Journal of Academic Research in business and social sciences. Nov 2013, vol. 3 No 11.http://www.researchgate.net/publication/259389845

the Agricultural Economic Association of South Africa (AEASA), October 2 - 4, 2003,

Un-Habitat.(2008). The energy Challenge for the Millennium Development Goals.

United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP). (2006). Kenya: Integrated

United Nations Development Program. (1997). Energy after Rio: Prospects and Challenges. Retrieved fromwww.hubrural.org/IMG/pdf/pnud_energy_after_rio.pd United Nations Environmental Program.(2011). Climate Change mitigation.Retrieved fromwww.unep.org.

United Nations Environmental Program. (2012). Financing renewable energy in developing countries: drivers and barriers for private finance in Sub-Saharan Africa. Retrieved

United Nations, (2010). Energy for sustainable development. New York Retrieved From www.un.org

Van-Nes W.J. (2005). Scope and risks of the Asia biogas program: Netherlands Development Organization (SNV). www.unapcaem.org

Walekhwa, P., Mugisha, J., & Drake, L. (2010). 'Biogas Energy from family sized digesters in Uganda: Critical factors and policy implications'' Energy Policy, Elsiviervol.37 pgs 2754-276 July.Retrieved fromhttp://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/09619534/70

Wanjugu, R. W. (2012). Determinants of investing inBiogas technology: A Case of Lanet Location, Dundori Division, Nakuru County. Retrieved fromwww.amazon.com /Determinants-Investing-Biogas-TechnologyHouseholds/dp/3659259500

Wargert, D., (2009).Biogas in developing rural areas. Retrieved from www.davidwargert.net/docs/Biogas.pdf.

Wawa, A.I. (2012). The challenges of promoting and adopting biogas technology as alternative energy resource in Semi-arid areas of Tanzania: the case of Konga and Bahi Districts of Dodoma region. Available at repository.out.ac.tz/319

Wayuan, P., Zarriffi, H., Jihua, P. (2008).Household level fuel switching in Rural Hubei□program on Energy and sustainable Development (PESD), Working paper no.79

World Energy Council, (2012). World Energy Insight 2012.Retrieved from https: //www. worldenergy.org/publications/2012/world-energy-insight-2012

World Health Organization (2003). Hydrogen sulfide: Human health aspects. Retrieved

Zuzhang, X. (2014). Domestic biogas in changing China: Can biogas still meet energy needs of China's rural household? International Institute of Environment and Development (IIED).Retrieved fromhttp://pubs.iied.org/pdfs/16553IIED.pdf