### FISHERIES RESOURCE GOVERNANCE AND LIVELIHOODS IN NAMASAGALI

### SUB-COUNTY, KAMULI DISTRICT

BY

**BWIRE MOSES** 

BU/UG/2010/445



# A RESEARCH REPORT SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF NATURAL RESOURCES AND ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD OF THE DEGREE OF THE BACHELOR OF SCIENCE IN NATURAL RESOURCE ECONOMICS OF BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY

**JUNE 2013** 

### DECLARATION

I Bwire Moses declare that this research report is my original work and has never been submitted to any other institution of higher learning for any award.

i

Signature:

Date: 08/07/2013

### APPROVAL

This research report titled "**Fisheries resource governance and Livelihoods in Namasagali sub-county, Kamuli District**" by Bwire Moses has been submitted with my approval.

Name:.. (Supervisor) . Signature: ..... . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Date: .....

### DEDICATION

I dedicate this report to my mum Nabwire Esther, my dad Musisi Moses, aunties Adhija and Allen, uncles Mangeni and Sunday, my lovely sisters Lillian, Barbra, Rachael, and my brothers Eddy, Sam, and David for their love, care and support.

ţ

Į

ł

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I extend my sincere thanks to my research supervisor, Mr. Masaba Sowedi for the guidance, and advice he gave me in the course of my research and writing this report.

I would like to acknowledge the love, care and help of my friends Nambooze Josephine, Muliro Francis, Mangeni Peter and Bwebale Julius. ſ

ī۷.

ţ

I further appreciate the entire staff of Busitema University, most especially the Faculty of Natural Resources and Environmental sciences who gave me the opportunity for field research.

## ACCRONYMS.

BMUs	Beach Management Units
CPUE	Catch per Unit Effort
NFP	National Fisheries Policy
NRs	Natural Resources
NRM	Natural Resources Management
ШМ	Integrated Lake Management
FAO	Food and Agricultural Organization
NÁFIRRI	National Fisheries Resources Research Institute
LMOs	Lake Management Organizations
T.C	Trading Centres
Ś/Ċ	Sub-County
LVFO	Läke Victoria Fisheries Organization
LVEMP	Lake Victoria Environment Management Programme
UNEP	United Nations Environment Programme
MAAIF	Ministry of Agriculture, Animal Industry and Fisheries
PEAP	Poverty Eradication Action Programme
DNRO	District Natural Resources Officer

Į

1

ļ

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

\*

CHARPTER ONE	
INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Introduction	
1.2 Background	
1.3 Problem statement	
1.4 Aim and objectives9	
Main objective9	
Specific objectives9	
1.5 Research questions	
1.6 Justification	
1.7 Conceptual frame work	
CHAPTER TWO	
LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Introduction	
2.2 Fisheries resource governance	
2.3 Fisheries and Livelihoods	
CHAPTER THREE	
RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	
3.0 Introduction,	
3.1 Research design	
3.2 Study area description 21	
3.3 Study Population and sample	
3.4 Sample procedure	
3.5 Data Sources,	
3.6 Data collection tools and methods	

vi

ł

ļ

ļ

3.7 Data analysis techniques and data processing
3.8 Ethical considerations
CHAPTER 4
PRESENTATION AND DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS
4.1 Introduction
4.2 Socio-economic characteristics of respondents25
4.3 Fisheries resource governance
4.3.1 Fisheries Institutions
4.3.2 Procedures of registering
4.3.3 Rules and regulations
4.3.4 BMUs meetings
4.3.5 Peoples' expectations from the BMUs
4.3.6 Challenges faced
4.4 Contribution of the fishery resource to peoples' livelihoods
4.4.1 Financial contribution
4.5 Discussion of findings
4.5.1 Socio-economic characteristics of respondentss
4.5.2 fisheries resource governance
4.5.3 Contribution of the resource to peoples' livelihoods
CHAPTER FIVE
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
5.1 Introduction
5.2 Summary of findings
5.3 Conclusions
5:4 Recommendations
REFERENCES
APPENDICES

vii

İ

#### ABSTRACT

The aim of this study was examine fisheries resource governance and livelihoods of the people in Namasagali Sub-county. The specific objectives were to: assess fisheries resource contribution to the livelihoods of the people in Namasagali Sub-county and to suggest recommendations for the improvement in the fisheries resource governance in that area. This involved use of questionnaires covering 50 respondents i.e. 10 in each landing site and trading centre. Data collected was analysed using SPSS.

The research findings indicate that the BMUs are the institutions responsible for fisheries governance. There is a lot of laxity, inconsistencies, discrimination and lack of transparency in these institutions. Some of the fisher folk are not aware of the activities of these institutions.

The study concludes that the BMUs are very inefficient in ensuring proper management of the tisheries resource, and this affects peoples' livelihoods negatively. Therefore the researcher recommends that there should be stronger rules and regulations governing use of the resource, the procedures for licensing and registration should be transparent, BMUs should be well empowered financially, mass awareness of the fisher folk needs to be done, licences should be issued on a quota basis, and a saving and credit scheme among fisher folk should be promoted.

viii

# CHAPTER ONE INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Introduction

This chapter covers the background to the study, the problem statement, objectives, research questions, significance of the study and the conceptual frame work.

#### 1.2 Background to the study

Fisheries resource governance according to FAO (2000) should focus on the "Integrated process of information gathering, analysis, planning, consultation, decision making, allocation of resources and formulation and implementation, with enforcement as necessary, of regulations or rules which govern fisheries activities in order to ensure the continued productivity of the resource and the accomplishment of other fisheries objectives." Fisheries management draws on the science of fisheries in order to find ways to protect the fisheries resources so as to ensure sustainable exploitation.

Modern fisheries governance and management is often referred to as a governmental system of appropriate management rules based on defined objectives and a mix of management means to implement the rules, which are put in place by a system of monitoring and control surveillance. The international fisheries resource is somehow poorly governed. This has reduced the benefits from this natural resource to the livelihoods of the people. Better governance of this resource in this case would mean the sum of the legal, social, economic and political arrangements used to manage the resource.

Livelihood refers to the peoples' "means of securing the necessities of life". For instance, a fisherman's livelihood depends on the availability and accessibility of fish. In social sciences the concept of livelihood extends to include social and cultural means, i.e. "the command an individual, family, or other social group has over an income and/or bundles of resources that can be used or exchanged to satisfy its needs. This may involve information, cultural knowledge, social networks and legal rights as well as tools, land and other physical resources. The concept of livelihood is used in fields such as political ecology to put analytical focus on sustainability and human rights. A livelihood can also be the means of making a living. It encompasses people's capabilities, assets, income and activities required to secure the necessities of life. A livelihood is sustainable when it enables people to cope

### REFERENCES

Adler, D., K. Chhim, P. Heang, S. Hak, K. Sou, and K. Heng. (2006). Justice for the Poor? An Exploratory Study of Collective Grievances over Land and Local Governance in Cambodia. Phnom Penh, Cambodia: Centre for Advanced Study.

Agrawal, A. (2001). Common property institutions and sustainable governance of resources. World Development, 2(10), 1649–1672.

Agrawal, A., & Gibson, C. C. (1999). Enchantment and disenchantment: The role of community in natural resource conservation. World Development, 2(4), 629-649.

Allison EH & Ellis F. (2001). The livelihoods approach and management of small-scale fisheries. Marine Policy 25, 377-388).

Allison, E. H. (2003). Linking national fisheries policy to livelihoods on the shores of Lake Kyoga, Uganda. LADDER Working Paper No. 9. Overseas Development Group, University of East Anglia, Norwich.

Allison, E. H. (2005), The fisheries sector, livelihoods and poverty reduction in eastern and southern Africa. In F. Ellis, & H. A. Freeman (Eds.), Rural livelihoods and poverty reduction policies (pp. 256–273). London: Rutledge.

Allison, E. H., & Ellis, F. (2001). The livelihoods approach and management of small-scale fisheries. Marine Policy, 25, 377-388.

Allison, E. H., B. D. Ratner, B. Aasgard, R. Willmann, R. Pomeroy, and J. Kurien. (2011). Rights-Based Fisheries Governance: From Fishing Rights to Human Rights. Fish and Fisheries:

Armitage, D. (2008). "Governance and the Commons in a Multi-Level World." International Journal of the Commons.

34

ļ

Allison, E.H. and Seely J.A. (2004). HIV and AIDS among fish folk; a threat to responsible fisheries.

Bahigwa G and Keizire, B.B. (2003). Significance of fisheries to the economy. A document to support the poverty eradication Action plan (PEAP). Environment and Natural Resources Sector Working Group, Kampala.

Béné, C., (2006). Small-scale fisheries: assessing their contribution to rural livelihoods in developing countries. FAO Fisheries Circular. No.1008. Rome, Food and Agriculture FAO, Rome. 125pp

Béné, C., Macfadayen G. & Allison, E.H.(2007). Enhancing the contribution of small-scale fisheries to poverty alleviation and food security.

Blake D. Rather. Common-Pool Resources (2005), Livelihoods, and Resilience. Critical Challenges for Governance in Cambodia.

Department of fisheries Resources (DFR), 2008. Fact booklet. , Ministry of Agriculture, Animal Industry and Fisheries.

Fiona Nunan.(2008). Empowerment and Institutions: Managing Fisheries in Uganda. Implementation of a Fisheries Management Plan, Lake Victoria Fisheries Organisation, Jinja, Uganda.

Impact Associates Private Sector Foundation (PSF), 2002. A study to assess opportunities and prospects for Uganda's fish supply chains, Kampala.

Thieme, M.L., Abell, R.A., Olson, D.M., et al., Fresh water Eco-regions of Lake Victoria environment Management programme (LVEMP), 2005. Uganda National Synthesis Report on Fisheries Research and Management, Kampala.

Lake Victoria Fisheries Organization (LVFO), 2007. Guide lines for Beach Management Units (BMUs) on Lake Victoria, Jinja.

Leach, M., Mearns, R., & Scoones, I. (1999). Environmental entitlements: Dynamics and institutions in community-based natural resource management. World Development, 27(2), 225–247.

35

ļ

1

ł

Lena Westlund. (2008) Analysing and addressing the multiple dimensions of poverty. Manasi S, Latha N and K V Raju (20060. Fisheries and Livelihoods in Tungabhadra Basin, India: Current Status and Future Possibilities.

Ministry of Agriculture, Animal industries and Fisheries (MAAIF), 2004. The National Fisheries Policy., Entebbe.

Moustapha Kebé. James Muir. (2002) The sustainable livelihoods approach: new directions in West and Central African small-scale fisheries.

Mustapha Kébé. (2002) Mainstreaming fisheries in development policy. Mustapha Kébé. (2004) Reassessing the economic and social contribution of fisheries in developing countries.

Muyambe and Madanda. A. (2000). Enhancing womens' capacity to compete more effectively in the fishing industry: Acase of Kato Womens' Fishing and Development Association (KWEDA)-un published.

National Fisheries Resources Research Institute (NaFFIRI), 2002. The socio-economics of the fishing communities of Lake Victoria, Jinja.

Nielsen, J. R., Degnbol, P., Viswanathan, K. K., Ahmed, M., Hara, M., & Abdullah, N. M. R.(2004). Fisheries co-management-an institutional innovation? Lessons from South East Asia and Southern Africa. Marine Policy, 28, 151–160.

Ostrom, E. (1990). Governing the commons: The evolution of institutions for collective action. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press Africa.

Tran Thi Phung Haa, Han van Dijkb, Roel Bosmac.(2006). Livelihood opportunities and fishery management in Ca Mau, a coastal province of Vietnam.

36

1