ECONOMIC VALUATION OF COMMUNITY SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT PRACTICES IN JINJA MUNICIPALITY; IMPLICATIONS FOR MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT

BY JAYA ERNEST BU/UP/2015/419



A DISSERTATION SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF NATURAL RESOURCES AND ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD OF A BACHELORS OF SCIENCE IN NATURAL RESOURCE ECONOMICS OF BUSITEMAUNIVERSITY

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work presented in this research report is original and has not been submitted to any university or any other institution of higher learning for any academic award.

Signature...

Date 5/07/2019

JAYA ERNEST

APPROVAL

This is to certify that **JAYA ERNEST** did research and this report is a true representation of the findings, I therefore recommend that this report be submitted to the Faculty of Natural Resources and Environmental Sciences of Busitema University.

SUPERVISOR Signature DATE MR. KIFUMBA DAVID NSAJJU

DEDICATION

I dedicate this report to my family (Ms Atori Elizabeth, Mr Mafabi John and brother Bonyo Ambrose), thank you for the sacrifice and every support you rendored to me throughout my education journey may God bless you.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I greatly thank the management of Busitema University Namasagali campus especially my supervisor Mr. Kifumba David Nsajju due to supervision in the execution of duties during the period of research that enabled me to successfully complete the proposal, data collection, data analysis and report writing. I also thank my fellow students who assisted me throughout the whole course, thank you so much.

I would like to extend my sincere appreciation to my family especially my mother Atori Elizabeth for their spiritual, moral, and financial endeavours all throughout my academic and social life.

Finally, I thank my Almighty God who gave me the gift of life, wisdom and courage that made me strong during my whole studies and research. I will always worship and praise you forever and ever AMEN.

ACRONYMS/ABBREVIATIONS

EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
WHO	World Health Organization
UN	United Nations
LG	Local Government
JMC	Jinja Municipal Council
SW	Solid Waste
MSW	Municipal Solid Waste
UNEP	United Nations Environmental Protection Agency
CPCB	Central Pollution Control Board
OECD	Organization for Economic Development
WBCSD	World Business Council for Sustainable Development
WTP	Willingness to Pay
WTA	Willingness to Accept
CBD	Central Business District

vi

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1: conceptual framework
Figure 4.1: gender demographics of the population27
Figure 4.2: comparison of type of solid waste storage material by gender
Figure 4.3: comparison of gender by location of storage container
Figure 4.4: comparison of reasons for the location of the storage containers by gender30
Figure 4.5: Education level of the respondents
Figure 4.6: comparison of material of type of storage container by education level
Figure 4.7: marital status of the respondents
Figure 4.8: Comparison of type of material of storage container BY marital status
Figure 4.9: occupation of the respondents
Figure 4.10: Posession of storage containers
Figure 4.11: Types of materials for solid waste collection
Figure 4.12: Reasons given for possession of that particular material
Figure 4.13: Comparison of reasons for possession of the kind of materials of storage
containers by marital status
Figure 4.14: mode of acquisition of containers for solid waste collection
Figure 4.15: Location of the storage containers
Figure 4.16: Source of the solid waste storage container
Figure 4.17: benefits attached to the solid waste collection facility
Figure 4.18: Comparison of benefits attached to the solid waste collection facility by
education level
Figure 4.19: problems attached to the solid waste collection site
Figure 4.20: Activities respondents were willing to support for improvements in solid waste
services46
Figure 4.21: Comparison of activities respondents were willing to pay for their improvement
by marital status
Figure 4.22: willingness to pay for the monthly solid waste collection fees
Figure 4.23: willingness to accept payment for solid waste management services
Figure 4.24: comparison of willingness to pay for solid waste collection services by gender 50
Figure 4.25: comparison of willingness to accept payment for solid waste management by
gender
Figure 4.26: comparison of willingness to pay towards Solid waste management by education
level
Figure 4.27: comparison of willingness to accept handling own solid waste by education level
Figure 4.28: comparison of willingness to pay for solid waste services by marital status54
Figure 4.29: comparison of willingness to accept handling own solid waste by marital status

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION		
APPROVAL		
DEDICATIONiv		
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT		
ACRONYMS/ABBREVIATIONS		
LIST OF FIGURES		
TABLE OF CONTENTS		
ABSTRACTxii		
CHAPTER ONE		
1.1. INTRODUCTION		
1.2. Background		
1.2.1. Evolving Management of Urban Solid Waste Services1		
1.2.2. Problems of Solid Waste Collection in Developing Countries		
1.4. General Objective6		
1.4.1. Specific Objectives6		
1.5. Conceptual framework6		
1.6. Justification		
1.7. Significance of the study8		
1.8. Limitations to the study8		
CHAPTER TWO		
2.0. LITERATURE REVIEW		
2.1. What is solid waste?		
2.1.1. Municipal solid waste		
2.2. Solid waste management		
2.2.1. Solid Waste Management and Role of Actors in the Service Chain		
2.2.2. Urban solid waste collection services		
2.2.3. Problems associated with solid waste management in developing countries 14		
2.3. Challenges related to MSWM		

2.4.	Wil	lingness to pay for solid waste collection17
2.5.	Leg	al framework related to solid waste management in Uganda
CHAPT	FER 1	THREE
3.0. MI	ETHC	DDS OF STUDY
3.1.	Intr	oduction
3.1	.1.	Pilot study
3.1	.2.	Description of the study area21
3.1	.3.	Topography
3.1	.4.	Climate
3.1	.5.	Main Economic Activities
3.3.	San	pling size and procedure
3.3	.1.	Sampling size
3,3	3.1.	Sampling procedure
3.4.	Dat	a types and data collection methods
3.4	1.1.	Primary data
3.4	1.2.	Secondary data25
3.5.	Eth	ical considerations25
3.6.	Dat	a entry
3,6	5.1.	Data analysis and interpretation
3.3	3.1.	Frequencies
3.3	5.1.	Comparison analysis
		UR
4.0.		ESENTATION OF RESULTS
4.1.		nographics of respondents
4.1	.1.	Gender of the respondents
4.1	.2.	Comparison of type of material of storage container used by gender
4,1	1.3. C	omparison of location of the storage containers by gender
	.3.	Comparison of the reasons for the location of the storage containers by gender 30
4.]	.4.	Education level of the respondents
4.1	.5.	Comparison of material of type of storage container by education level32
4.1	.6.	Marital status of the population

4.1.7.	Comparison of type of material of storage container by marital status
4.1.8.	Occupation of the respondents
4.2. Rea	sons for the household methods of managing the solid waste generated
4.2.1.	Possession of storage containers
4.2.2.	Types of materials used for solid waste collection
4.2.3. material	Reasons as to why they had their storage containers made of that particular 38
4.2.4. containe	Comparison of reasons for possession of the kind of materials of storage rs by marital status
4.2.5.	Acquisition of the storage containers
4.2.6.	Location of the storage containers
4.2.7.	Source of solid waste storage container
4.3. Asc	ertaining the socioeconomic problems arising from
4.3.2. educatio	Comparison of benefits attached to the solid waste collection facility by n level
4.3.3.	Problems attached to the solid waste collection facility
4.4. Res	idents' willingness to pay for solid waste collection services
4.4.1.	Activities that the respondents are willing to pay for solid waste improvements 46
4.4.2.	
4.4.2.	46 Comparison of activities respondents were willing to pay for their improvement
4.4.2. by marit 4.4.3. 4.4.4.	46 Comparison of activities respondents were willing to pay for their improvement al status
4.4.2. by marit 4.4.3. 4.4.4.	46 Comparison of activities respondents were willing to pay for their improvement al status
4.4.2. by marit 4.4.3. 4.4.4. to handl	46 Comparison of activities respondents were willing to pay for their improvement al status
4.4.2. by marit 4.4.3. 4.4.4. to handl 4.4.5. 4.4.6. gender 4.4.7.	46 Comparison of activities respondents were willing to pay for their improvement al status
4.4.2. by marit 4.4.3. 4.4.4. to handl 4.4.5. 4.4.6. gender 4.4.7.	 46 Comparison of activities respondents were willing to pay for their improvement al status
 4.4.2. by marit 4.4.3. 4.4.4. to handle 4.4.5. 4.4.6. gender 4.4.7. education 	46 Comparison of activities respondents were willing to pay for their improvement al status
4.4.2. by marit 4.4.3. 4.4.4. to handl 4.4.5. 4.4.6. gender 4.4.7. educatio 4.4.8. 4.4.9. 4.4.10.	46 Comparison of activities respondents were willing to pay for their improvement al status

5.0. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS
5.1. Introduction
5.1.1. Household methods for management of the solid waste that they generate56
5.1.2. Materials for solid waste collection56
5.1.3. Acquisition of the storage containers
5.1.4. Who should provide the storage/collection containers
5.2. Socioeconomic aspects related to the nature of the solid waste collection facility59
5.2.1. Benefits people attach to the solid waste collection site
5.2.2. Problems associated with the solid waste collection facility
5.3. Willingness to pay for solid waste collection services
5.3.1. WTP
CHAPTER SIX64
6.0. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
6.1. Introduction
6.1.1. Conclusions
6.2. Recommendations
6.3. Areas of further research
REFERENCES
APPENDICES

ABSTRACT

Developing Countries are still struggling with solid waste collection and management; Uganda is no exception. Solid waste is waste generated and discarded as useless or unwanted from activities in homes, institutions, public and commercial places, industries, though it is also a resource for reuse, recycle, and recovery.

Respondents in Jinja municipality were interviewed from the three divisions but central division with 30 respondents because it is the busiest and major solid waste generator

The objectives were; to analyze the reasons for household methods of managing the solid waste that they generate, to analyse the social and economic problems arising from the nature of solid waste facilities at the locations for solid waste collection in residential and business centres, to determine the residents' willingness to pay for collection services of solid waste generated in their homes.

Non probability sampling techniques purposive, accidental and convenience sampling were used in obtaining interview respondents, inclusive of contingent valuation method where respondents were asked their willingness to pay through the interview guides

Data was analysed using excel analysis where comparisons were presented using clustered bar graphs that described the relationships between the dependent and independent variables.

99% of the respondents possessed storage containers, and 44.5% used plastic made containers, while 37.5% of the representative population located the containers in their compounds giving reasons of preventing bad smell with 23.5% responding to the case. 72.9% of interviewed respondents had a view that the municipal council should provide the storage containers but 62.9% of them had storage containers bought by self. 18.7% of the

xii

respondents said that the solid waste collection site was a source of employment and 20.69% of them responded to the stench problem as the major challenge affecting the collection site.

80% respondents were willing to pay between shs.2000-5000 for the monthly solid waste collection services whilst 50% of them were willing to accept payment for handling own solid waste of above shs.150000

CHAPTER ONE

1.1. INTRODUCTION

1.2. Background

1.2.1. Evolving Management of Urban Solid Waste Services

Many Developing Countries are still struggling with solid waste collection and management (Wilson, 2007), and Uganda is no exception. Solid waste is waste generated and discarded as useless or unwanted from activities in homes, institutions, public and commercial places, and industries, though it is also a resource for reuse, recycle, and recovery (Tchobanoglous et al.,1993). Solid waste collection in developing countries, especially in Africa, is a real challenge to the public sector. Given the level of investment, the running cost of solid waste management, and the competing priorities including water, health, education, roads, and energy, of national governments, the public sector alone could not deliver the solid waste services. The private sector is partnering with the public sector to provide the needed resources for the solid waste service delivery. But for this arrangement to have impact on total service coverage and environmental cleanliness, it will depend on the formal rules and enabling policy, legal and regulatory environment created and maintained by the local and central governments to provide the needed incentives for more investment, improved service quality, and regular price adjustment (OECD, 2000).

1.2.2. Problems of Solid Waste Collection in Developing Countries Solid waste problems

Despite the private sector involvement in solid waste management in developing countries in the past two decades, there are still problems with solid waste management services. The

REFERENCES

Murad, M.W., Raquid, M.A., and Siwar, C (2007). Willingness of the poor to pay for improved access to solid waste collection and disposal services. The Journal of Environment and Development, Vol. (16), Nov 1, 84-101.

Thewodros Bekele Tolera, "Occupational Hazards In Construction Industry: Case

Studies From Housing And Construction Workers At Addis Ababa, Ethiopia" International Journal of Research - Grantha alayah, Vol. 4, No. 9 (2016): 84-96

- R. Afroz, K. Hanaki, and K. Hasegawa-Kurisu, "Willingness to pay for waste management improvement in Dhaka city, Bangladesh," Journal of Environmental Management, vol. 90, no. 1, pp. 492–503, 2009, https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0301479707004148. View at Google Scholar
- A. Niringiye and D. Omortor, "Determinants of willingness to pay for solid waste management in Kampala city," Current Research Journal of Economic Theory, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 119–122, 2010, http://maxwellsci.com/print/crjet/v2-119-122.pdf. View at Google Scholar
- OJ. Ojok, M.K. Koech, M. Tole, J.Okot-Okumu "Households' Willingness to Pay for Improved Municipal Solid Waste Management Services in Kampala,
- Uganda"Science Journal of Environmental Engineering Research, Volume 2013, Article ID sjeer-143, 8 Pages, 2012. doi: 10.7237/sjeer/143
- Jin, J., Wang, Z., and Ran, S. (2006). Comparison of contingent valuation and choice experiment in solid waste management programs in Macao. Ecological Economics 57, 430-441.

Kibwage, J.K. (1996). Toward the Privatization of Household Solid Waste Management Services in the City of Nairobi. M. Phil, Moi University.

Tchobanoglous, G. et al.: Integrated solid waste management – Engineering principles and management issues, MC Graw-Hill, New York et al. 1993, p. 43