### FORESTRY GOVERNANCE, A CASE STUDY OF

### **BUSITEMA SUB-COUNTY, BUSIA DISTRICT**

BY

### MODULO ERIC WASIKE

#### (BSC (NRE), BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY

BU/UP/2010/334



# A RESEARCH REPORT SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF NATURAL RESOURCES AND ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT

### OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD OF THE DEGREE OF

BACHELOR OF SCIENCE IN NATURAL RESOURCE ECONOMICS OF

### **BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY**

**JUNE 2013** 

#### DECLARATION

I Mudulo Eric Wasike, declare that this research report is my own work and has never been submitted to any institution of higher learning for any award.

Date 9th 67 2013.

## APPROVAL

This research report has been submitted with my approval.

Mr. Masaba Sowedi

.

1.1

## DEDICATION

1

N.

I dedicate this research report to my dear parents Mr. Ngolobe Benjamin, Mrs. Ngolobe Erosa and Mrs. Ngolobe Ayidah for their effort and struggle to raise and educate me.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

My great appreciation goes to the Almighty God for enabling me have this gift of education and being in position to write this research report.

I would like to appreciate most especially my dear parents Mr. Ngolobe Benjamin, Mr. Wandera Patrick and Mrs. Ngolobe Erosa for their financial and material support in my education and ensuring that I access good welfare.

Special thanks go to my research supervisor Mr. Masaba Sowedi for his great efforts, motivations, tremendous time and knowledge required while writing this report.

Finally, I extend my appreciation to the academic staff, Namasagali Campus for their great effort and work done diligently to ensure that I have the necessary knowledge and skills related to environmental management and ensuring that this research report is done.

God may award you abundantly.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

۴.

1

...

	Declar	ration,i
	Appro	valii
	Dedic	ation
	Ackno	wledgementiv
	Table	of contents
	List of	f figuresviii
	ACRO	NYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS
	ABSTR	XACT
1.0	INTRO	DUCTION
	1.1	introduction1
	1.2	Background
	1,3	Problem Statement
	1.4	Objectives
	1.4.1	Main Objectives
	1.4.2	Specific Objectives
	1,5	Research Questions
	1.6	Conceptual Framework
	1.7	Justification of the study
2.0	LITE	RATURE REVIEW
	2.1	Introduction
	2.2	Forestry policy implementation
	2.3	Stakeholder participation in the forest management

#### 3.0 METHODOLOGY

.

٩,

.

3.1	Introduction	19
3.2	Research Design	19
3.3.1	Study Area Description Location and size Topography	19
3.3.3.1 3.3.3.2 3.3.3.3	Natural Resources Soil Climate Vegetation Land use & environmental changes	.21 21 21
3.4	Sample selection	23
	Data collection techniques Data collection plan	
3.6.1	Instrumentation Bias Assumption	25
3.7 3.7.1 3.7.2	Data Analysis Data Processing Statistical Treatment of Data	26
3.8	Limitations to the study.	.27

## 4.0 **PRESENTATION AND DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS**

4.1	Introduction	.28
4.2	Socio-economic characteristics of the respondent	28
4.3	Forestry policy implementation	. 28
4.4	Stakeholders' participation in the management of forest resources	31
4,5	possible solutions to improve forest policy implementation	.36
4.6	Discussion of findings	. 37

## 5.0 SUMMARY OF FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1	Introduction	42
5.2	Summary of findings	42
5.3	conclusions	43
5.4	Recommendations	44
Refere	псе	48
Арреп	dices	51

### LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 16: Conceptual framework	. 10
Figure 3.3.1: Busitema forest in Busitema sub-county	. 20

## ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

CBO	Community Based Organization
CF	Community Forests
CFM	Community Forest Management
CIFOR	Center for International Forestry Research
CLA	Community Land Association
IFRI LFR	International Forestry Resources and Institutions Local Forest Reserve
MLG	Multi-Level Governance
MUIENR	Makerere University Institute of Environment and Natural Resources
MWLE	Ministry of Water, Lands and Environment
NFA	National Forestry Authority
NFA NGO	National Forestry Authority Non-Governmental Organization
NGO	Non-Governmental Organization
NGO NEMA	Non-Governmental Organization National Environment Management Authority
NGO NEMA NES	Non-Governmental Organization National Environment Management Authority National Environment Statute
NGO NEMA NES NFP	Non-Governmental Organization National Environment Management Authority National Environment Statute National Forestry Policy
NGO NEMA NES NFP NR	Non-Governmental Organization National Environment Management Authority National Environment Statute National Forestry Policy Natural Resources
NGO NEMA NES NFP NR PEAP	Non-Governmental Organization National Environment Management Authority National Environment Statute National Forestry Policy Natural Resources Poverty Eradication Action Plan
NGO NEMA NES NFP NR PEAP PFE	Non-Governmental Organization National Environment Management Authority National Environment Statute National Forestry Policy Natural Resources Poverty Eradication Action Plan Permanent Forest Estate

#### ABSTRACT

The forest resources in the Eastern Africa are disappearing fast due to deforestation and the quality of the remaining forest is gradually degrading. In Uganda, forest resources are being over exploited and some tree species are undergoing extinction. This study sought to analyze whether there was effective implementation of the forest policies, laws, rules and regulations to attain good governance in forestry in Busitema sub-county. Interviews were conducted among the residents of the area to find out the extent of forestry policy implementation and stakeholders participation in the management of the forest resource in the sub-county. Here, data was collected using the questionnaires, documentary reviews, and observation techniques. The study findings indicated that to a large extent there was good forest governance in Busitema sub-county because community Participation in Forest Resource Management projects was a concept much debated and in international development planning and policy-making processes since it led to proper governance of the resources. Thus, conservation and good management of the resources led to effective forest governance in Busitema sub-county. There were still some areas that require further research and analysis in order to improve and attain effective governance in forestry. The government should ensure trans-national learning and preparedness so that feasible understanding is improved in international networks and processes about effective action for improved social justice in forestry. The areas of future research include: need to adequately find out whether there is transparency and accountability in management of the forest resource in the area; need to find out whether there is sustainable use of forest resources in the area; and need to find out whether forestry policy implementation leads to better livelihood in the area.

#### CHAPTER ONE

#### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Introduction

This introduces governance across different levels; background of the study; statement of the problem; objectives of the study; research questions; justification statement; significance of the study; scope of the study; and organization of the study.

Governance is the act of governing which relates to decisions that define expectations, grant power, or verify performance. It consists of either a separate process or part of decision making or leadership processes, (en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Governance). Since governance is the process of decision making and the process by which decisions are implemented, an analysis of governance focuses on the formal and informal actors involved in decision making and implementing the decisions made and the formal and informal structures that have been set in place to arrive at and implement the decision. Government is one of the actors and the other actors include influential land lords, associations of peasant farmers, cooperatives, NGOs, research institutes, religious leaders, financial institutions, political parties, the police, and learning institutions.

Good governance involves participatory, consensus oriented, accountable, transparent, responsive, effective and efficient, equitable and inclusive and follows the rule of law. It assures that corruption is minimized, the views of minorities are taken into account and that the voices of the most vulnerable in society are hard in decision making. It is also responsive to the present and future needs of society, (Bahati et al, 2007).

According to Babar et al (on line: 25<sup>th</sup>/May/2013), poor governance of natural resources (NR) in different parts of the world is resulting in communal disharmony, social tension, and even armed conflict. Consequently, it causes extensive loss of life, damage to property, and harm to the environment. In many instances, mismanagement and poor governance of NR are caused by non-participatory, centralized and

#### REFERENCES

Adeya Vincent (2009): Higher local government statistical abstract (2009/2010), Busia District. This article is free to view at: en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Busia\_Uganda; (online: 5<sup>th</sup>/April/2013, 10:30AM).

Babar Shahbaz, Saga Raj Sharma, & C.P. Vinod, (online: 25<sup>th</sup>/May/2013; 10:45pm); State, Resource governance and Conflict.

Charles A. Okello (1999): Busia District Environment Profile.

Charles A. Okello (2004): Busia District State of the Environment Report.

CIFOR, (2004): Sustainably managing tropical production forests.

CIFOR, (2003): the local forest management.

7

Dev Nathan & Gouind Keltar (2001): case for Local Forest Management.

Elbakidze, M, Angelstam, P.K., Sandström, C. & Axelsson, R (2010) Multi-Stakeholder Collaboration in Russian and Swedish Model Forest Initiatives: Adaptive Governance Toward Sustainable Forest Management. This article is free to view at: www.wri.org/project/governance-of-forests-initiative.

Esther Mwangi and Andrew Wardell; Multi-Level Governance of Forest Resources. (online: 23<sup>id</sup>/May/2013; 2:24pm).

Grace Adong Choda (2010): Approved three years District Development Plan, Busia District Jacob Torfing, B.Guy Peters, Jon Pierre & Eva Sorensen; (Ebscohost site, online: 25<sup>th</sup>/May/2013; 11:30).

Joseph B, Bahati, Abwoli Y. Banana, William Gombya-Ssembajjwe, (2007) Multi-Stakeholder governance in Land and Forestry in Uganda: Conflict Mitigation, Scale, Knowledge and Collective Action.

Koen Kusters and Brian Belcher (2004); Forest Products and Conservation: CIFOR, Indonesia

Marilyn Headley (16<sup>th</sup>/04/2013, 09:30am); Participatory Forest Management, the Jamaica forestry Department Experience; Kingston. This article is free to view at: www.forestry.gov.jm/news/MH.pdf

NEMA: the National Environment Status 1995; Hoonkab Printers ltd; Kampala, Uganda.

NEMA; Caring for our environment, a handbook for Local Leaders (1998); Friedrich Ebert Stiftung (FES).

NFA1996: Forest Department Map of Uganda's Land cover.

Niki Johnson, (Emerald site, online: 24<sup>th</sup>/May/2013; 12:30pm): The importance of including -women in community-based forest governance processes.

Oliver Springate-Baginski and Eva Wollen Berg (2010); REDD, Forest Governance and rural livelihoods; CIFOR, Indonesia. This article is free to view at: en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Governance; (Emerald site, online: 8<sup>th</sup>/May/2013, 11:45AM).

Tighe Geoghegan & Noel Bennett (2003); Local Forest Management Committees: A new approach to forest management in Jamaica.

Uganda Human Development Report (UHDR) 2005; Linking Environment to Development: A deliberate Choice, United Nations Development Programme.

ŝ,

3

x

₹.