EFFECTS OF WETLAND USE ON COMMUNITY LIVELIHOODS IN UGANDA CASE STUDY LIMOTO WETLAND

> BU/UG/2010/243 MUNUNA JAMES



A RESEARCH REPORT SUBMITTEDTO THE FACULTY OF NATURAL RESOURCES AND ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD OF THE DEGREE OF BACHELOR OF SCIENCE IN NATURAL RESOURCE ECONOMICS OF BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY

MAY, 2013

DECLARATION

I, Mununa James declare that this research paper is my original work. It has never been submitted to any university or higher institution of learning for a degree award or any academic award.

i

The authors whose works I have consulted have been clearly acknowledged.

Signature,

-3

Mununa James Date 17 06 2013

APPROVAL

This is to acknowledge that the work entitled Effects of wetland use on community livelihoods has been under my supervision and is now ready for submission to the Faculty of Natural Resources and Environmental sciences.

Signature

 \mathbb{S}^{2}

M A

Mr. Kakungulu Moses

Supervisor Date: 17/06/2013

DEDICATION

I dedicate this report to the family members; Mukwana Isaac, Mudaki Stephen and Fuuna Allan, Musanya Recheal, Katono Annet, Kwiri Allozio for sacrificing everything for my education. Thank you for giving me such a strong academic and moral foundation on which I have managed to come this far. May the good Lord reward and bless you.

iii

 \boldsymbol{s}^n

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to thank God for enabling me to reach this far in my academic endeavors.

i

I wish to extend my sincere thanks to my supervisor Mr. Kakungulu Moses for the guidance, advice, time and critique which provided to me without which this work would not have been completed. Thank you so much for encouragement and supervision.

I also owe special thanks to the entire staff of Faculty of Natural Resources and Environmental sciences, Namasagali campus, especially Mr.Taako Edema George and Proffessor: Isabirye Moses for reading and critiquing the earliest draft and helping me focus on the issues discussed in this report.

I also express my sincere gratitude to all my friends especially Otinga Andrew, Nakyanzi Deborah, Mabiriizi Julius, Mudulo Eric Wasike, Ogugu Patrick, Katikwe Wilson, Esset Isaac, Kagoya Esther, Namuganga Winnie, Nsubuga Benard and everyone who enabled me to accomplish this work. Thank you so much for your encouragement and guidance.

Lastly, I would like to thank the residents of Buseta Sub County for availing me with information that has enabled me to obtain research findings and complete my report. May the Lord bless you!

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATIONi						
APPROVALii						
DEDICATIONiii						
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTiv						
TABLE OF CONTENTSiv						
LIST OF TABLES						
LIST OF FIGURESviii						
LIST OF ABBREVATIONSx						
ABSTRACTxi						
CHAPTERONE: INTRODUCTION1						
1,1 Introduction						
1.2 Background of the study1						
1.3 Statement of the problem						
1.4 Objective of study4						
1.4.1 Main objective of the study4						
1.4.2 Specific objectives of the study						
1.5 Research questions4						
1.6 Scope of the study						
1.6.1Content scope						
1.6.2 Geographical and time scope						
1.7 Significance of the study5						
1.8 Justification of the study						
1.9 Conceptual from work,						
1.10 Operational definitions of key terms						

1.11 Organization of the study
CHAPTER TWO9
LITERATURE REVIEW9
2.1 Introduction
2.2 Wetland farming and Community livelihoods
2.3 Wetland grazing and Community livelihoods10
2.4 Fishing activities and Community livelihoods11
2.5 Sand mining activities and Community livelihoods17
CAPTER THREE
RESEARCHE METHODLOGY20
3.1 Introduction
3.2 Research design
3.3 Study population
2.4 Sampling techniques and sampling size
2.4 Sampling techniques and sampling size. 20 3.4.1 Sample techniques. 20
3.4.1 Sample techniques
3.4.1 Sample techniques

No se No se No se

vi

3.6 Validity and reliability of data collection instruments
3.6.1 Validity of data collection instruments
3.6.2 Reliability of data collection instruments
3.7 Data management and analysis22
3.7.1 Data processing
3.7.2 Data analysis
3.8 Ethical considerations
3.9 Limitations and delimitations of the study23
CHAPTER FOUR24
PRESENTATION AND DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS
4.1 Introduction
4.1 Introduction
4.2 Back ground information24
4.2 Back ground information
4.2 Back ground information
4.2 Back ground information
4.2 Back ground information. .24 4.3 Wetland farming and Community livelihoods. .28 4.4 Fishing activities and Community livelihoods. .34 4.5 Wetland grazing and Community livelihoods. .39 4.6 Sand mining and Community livelihoods. .41

· 1

ŝ,

vii

5.2 Summary of the findings	45
5.3Conclusions of the study	.46
5.5 Areas of future research	48
REFERENCES	.50
Appendix I: Questionnaire	60
Appendix II: Interview	.63
Appendix II: Field Photos	.64

, •

LIST OF TABLES

. •

Ş

Page
Table 4.2.1: Gender of respondents
Table 4.2.2; Age of respondents
Table 4.2.3: Yield earnings of respondents per season
Table 4.2.4: Marital status of respondents
Table 4.2.5: Level of education of respondents40
Table 4.3.1: Food production from wetlands
Table 4.3.2: Wetlands used for growing crops by the community
Table 4.3.3: Wetland farming and loss of vegetation 42
Table 4.3.4: Wetland farming and Economic diversification
Table 4.3,5: Fertilizers and weed killers and water pollution
Table 4.4.1: Wetland as a source of fishing activity. 45
Table 4.4.2: Fishing activities as a source of income
Table 4.4.3: Use of poor fishing methods in wetlands
Table 4.4.4: Fishing activities and growth of fishing business
Table 4.4.5: Fishing activities provide fish to Kasasira fish processing factory
Table 4.4.6: Fish poisoning and water pollution
Table 4.5.1: Livestock and Wetland grazing 49
Table 4.5.2: Livestock grazing and soil erosion
Table 4.6.1: Sand mining activities and disappearance of animal and fish species
Table 4.6.2; Sand mining as a source of raw materials
Table 4.6.3: Sand mining activities and soil erosion 52

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig	ure	l :	Conceptual	from	work			
-----	-----	-----	------------	------	------	--	--	--

 $\cdot \mathbf{X}$

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS/ACRYONMS

DEP: Department of Environment Protection

EIA: Environmental Impact Assessment

Į.

÷,

FAO: Food Agricultural Organization

FNRE: Faculty of Natural Resources and Environmental sciences

MFPED: Ministry of Finance, Planning and Economic Development

UBOS: Uganda Bureau of Standard

UNDF: United Nations Development Funds

UPE: Universal Primary Education

USE: Universal Secondary Education

UTE: Universal Tertiary Education

NEAP: National Environment Action Plan

NEMA: National Environment Management Authority

NFA: National Forest Authority

NWSC: National Water and Sewerage Corporation

NPWM: National Policy on Wetlands Management

NRs: Natural Resources

NR&WM: Natural Resource and Wetland Management

NWCMP: National Wetland Conservation and Management Programme

WHO: World Health Organization

WRP: Wetland Recovery Project

ABSTRACT

The study examined effects of wetland use on the community livelihoods using Limoto wetland in Buseta Sub County as a case study.

The study used a cross sectional survey design, employing both quantitative and qualitative approaches of data collection and analysis. The methods of data collection used were interview guide and questionnaires. The data was collected from a sample of 50 respondents and analyzed using Excel and SPSS (version 20) which facilitated the formation of frequency tables.

The research findings indicate that majority of the respondents agreed that Limoto wetland provides food in form of rice and yams to the community. The research findings indicate that the majority of the respondents agreed that the wetland is a source of food in form of rice and yams to the community as 80% of them agreed. Most of the respondents agreed that wetland farming contributes to the economic diversification. 73% of the respondents agreed that Limoto wetland has helped people who do not have land to get where they can carry out some farming in order to improve on their livelihood.

The research findings indicate that most of the respondents agreed that Wetland is a source of fishing activities as 78% of them agreed. Majority of the respondents agreed that fishing activities is a source of income as seen by 90% of the respondents.

The research findings indicate that 84 percent of the respondents agreed that the wetland provides the pasture and water for animals during dry seasons as supported by majority of the respondents. This has led to increased productivity in the animals thus increase on the level of income earning and therefore, improving on the people's livelihood.

From the research, I recommend that wetland farming should be carried out sustainably in order to avoid wetland degradation. Fishing activities should be carried out by using recommended equipments like sizeable nets.

XII

 $\geq -$

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

÷.,

The research assessed the Effects of wetland use on community livelihood in Uganda using Limoto wetland in Buseta Sub County as a case study. This chapter covers the background of the study, statement of the problem, study objectives, research questions, scope of the study, justification of the study, conceptual framework, operational definitions of key terms used and organization of the research.

1.2 Back ground of the study

Wetlands inhabit a transitional zone between terrestrial and aquatic habitats, and are influenced to varying degrees by both. They differ widely in character due to regional and local differences in climate, soils, hydrology, water chemistry, vegetation, and other factors. Depth and duration of inundation, a key defining force, can differ greatly between types of wetlands and also can vary from year to year within a single wetland type. As per the definition adopted at Ramsar Convention (Iran in 1971), "Wetlands are areas of marsh, fen, peat land or water, whether natural or artificial, permanent or temporary, with water that is static or flowing; fresh, brackish, or salty, including areas of marine water, the depth of which at low tide does not exceed six meters."

The world's wetlands are under threat from agricultural, residential, and industrial development, and from pollution. Wetlands comprise areas of marsh, fens, mangroves, and other wet areas usually, but not always at the interface between aquatic and terrestrial environments. They account for 6 percent of the global land area. They are especially fragile ecosystems because they are open and fed by river systems which are themselves subject to pollution and man-made changes in flow. Because their economic functions have been so poorly understood, they also tend to be regarded as being relatively unimportant. But there is now a wider appreciation that wetlands are multifunctional and that many of the unpriced functions are economically important

The National wetland conservation and management programme (NWCMP) defines a wetland as

REFERENCES

Bahiigwa, G. et al, 2003. Significance of fisheries to the economy. A document to support the poverty Eradication Action Plan (PEAP) Environment and Natural Resources sector working group, Kampala.

Balirwa, J; 1998. Lake Victoria Wetlands and the Ecology of the Nile Tilapia, Oreochromis. PhD. Thesis, Netherlands

Bergstrom, J et al; (1990) Economic Value of Wetlands-Based Recreation. Ecological Economics, vol 2, June

Costanza, R et al, 1989, Valuation and management of wetland Ecosystems' Ecological Economics Vol1, no4, December

Dugans P. J (1993) Wetlands in danger a mitcheal beazed World Conservation Atlas. IUCN, Glands, Switzerland.

Emerton, L.et al, 1999. The Economic Value of Nakivubo Urban Wetland, Uganda. The World Conservation Union (IUCN), Eastern Africa Region Office, Nairobi

Fish and crocodiles Act, 1964.

Fisheries Policy, 2000.

Food and Agricultural Organisation (FAO) (1996)/ Inventory of Wetlands Biodiversity in Uganda. Field Document 25

Kaggwa, R, Hogan, R., and Hall, B. 2009. Enhancing Wetlands' Contribution to Growth, Employment and Prosperity. Environment and Natural Resources Report Series.

Kakudidi, E. K. (1996) Report on Medicinal Wetland Plant.

Kasoma, P. (2003), Wetland Research in the Lake Victoria Basin, Uganda part; Analysis and Systithesis Report.

LVEMP, 2005, The State of the Fisheries Resources of lake Victoria and their management: proceedings of the Entebbe Regional Stakeholders' Conference 24th to25th February, 2005, Entebbe Uganda

Mugizi Rwandume, 2001 Environmental Issues in the city of Kampala" A paper presented to the consultative Workshop on Kampala city Environmental Planning and Management at Fair way Hotel

National Environment Action Plan (NEAP), 1992

National Environmental Management Authority (NEMA), 1997, Guidelines for Environment Impact Assessment in Uganda.

National Environment Management Policy for Uganda, 1994

National Environment Statute 1995

1

;

ί.

National Water and Sewerage Corporation (1998): Water Quality Monitoring Report,

National Wetlands Conservation and Management Programme (NWCMP), 1997 Annual Report.

National Wetlands Conservation and Management Programme (NWCMP), 1997 Iganga District Wetlands Status Report.

National Wetlands Conservation and Management Programme (NWCMP), 1997 Kabale District Wetlands Status Report.

National Wetlands Conservation and Management Programme (NWCMP), 1997 Kampala District Wetlands Status Report.

National Wetlands Conservation and Management Programme (NWCMP), 1997 Pallisa District Wetlands Status Report.

National Wetlands Conservation and Management Programme (NWCMP), 1997 Mpigi Wetlands Report.

NEMA 2010 State of Environment Report for Uganda 2010. National Environment Management Authority (NEMA), Kampala.

NEMA, 2000/2001, state of Environment Report for Uganda.

NEMA (2008) State of Environment Report for Uganda 2008. National Environment Management Authority (NEMA), Kampala.

Omagor, N. (1996), Report on edible Wetland plants of Uganda.

Pere, T.V, 1996 Monitoring Medterranean Wetlands, A Methodological Guide.

Ramsar Cnvention Bureau, 1990: Directory of wetlands of International Important.

The National Environment (wetland, river banks and lakes shore Management) Regulations, 2000.

Uganda Food and Nutrition Policy, 2003.

UNDP/NEMA/UNEP Poverty Environment Initiative. United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), National Environment Management Authority (NEMA), United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), Kampala.

United Nations Conference on Environment and Development (UNCED), 1992

Wetlands policy 1995

WMD, 2011. Submission for the of the Environment Report 2010. Wetlands Management Department (WMD), Ministry of Water and Environment, Kampala.

World Bank ,2000, the community-Driven Development Approach in the African Region.

A vision of poverty Reduction through Empowerment, world Bank Washington D.C

Marrie .

***':

٤.