

FACULTY OF NATURAL RESOURCES AND ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES

ECONOMIC VALUATION OF CENTRAL FOREST RESERVES. A CASE OF KYAHI CENTRAL FOREST RESERVE IN KAKIIKA DIVISION, **MBARARA DISTRICT**

MUSWABU AYUBU

BU/UG/2016/56



SUPERVISOR: MR. KAKUNGULU MOSES

A RESEARCH REPORT SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF NATURAL **RESOURCES AND ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES IN PARTIAL FULLFILMENT OF** THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD OF A DEGREE IN BACHELORS OF SCIENCE IN NATURAL RESOURCE ECONOMICS OF BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY.

MAY 2019

DECLARATION

I **MUSWABU AYUBU**, declare that this research report submitted to the Faculty of Natural Resources and Environmental Sciences is my original work and to the best of my knowledge, it has not been submitted by any other person to any institution for any academic qualification.

DATE 37 06 , 2019 SIGNATURE ...

MUSWABU AYUBU

APPROVAL

This is to certify that this research report titled "Economic Valuation of Central Forest Reserves. A Case of Kyahi Central Forest Reserve in Kakiika Division, Mbarara District" is the original work for **MUSWABU AYUBU**. It has been done under my supervision.

Signature.

MR. KAKUNGULU MOSES DATE 12, 56, 2519

DEDICATION

This research work is dedicated to my beloved father Mr. Ayubu Ssemamba, my beloved mother Mrs. Naira Ayubu (Hajjat), Tugabirwe Sumaiya, my brother, all my sisters and friends for having been there for me in all situations of my academic struggle and enabling me to accomplish this mile of my academics.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Accomplishing my research would not have been possible without the input of many people. It is not possible to mention each of you on this page but accept my sincere appreciation for your invaluable support. Nonetheless, I will mention a few individuals who were critical in the preparation of this report. First, I would like to thank the Almighty Allah who has provided and enabled me to go through all the challenges during this research and struggles throughout my studies.

I would like to thank my supervisor Mr. Kakungulu Moses for his guidance and support towards accomplishment of my research not forgetting the tireless effort of the entire academic staff (both teaching and nonteaching) of Namasagali campus throughout the course. With their indisputable professional, support which has provided a step towards my professional career.

I would also love to acknowledge the residents of Kakiika Sub County for availing me the information that has enabled me to obtain research findings and complete my research work.

Sincere thanks go to my beloved parents Mr. Ayubu Ssemamba and Mrs. Naira Ayubu for the financial assistance, the parental care given to me and the love they showed to me during my studies and which gave me hope to complete successfully, therefore May the Almighty Allah replace where they got this non forgettable sacrifice.

Finally, my thanks go to my friends Tugabirwe Sumaiya, Tashoma Paul, Kamashazi Kellen, Nasio Doreen Janet, Munyambabazi John, January Ronald, Obong Anthony, Owomugisha Hellen, Aketch Irene Okoth, Mugeni Bairon, Kwijuka Ivan and my brother Ashiraf Ayubu who have been instrumental for their kindness and support throughout the research period. May the Almighty Allah bless you all.

Table of Contents

| DECLARATIONii |
|---|
| APPROVAL |
| DEDICATION |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. |
| LIST OF FIGURES |
| LIST OF TABLES |
| LIST OF ACRONYMS/ABBREVIATIONS |
| ABSTRACT |
| CHAPTER ONE |
| INTRODUCTION |
| 1.1 Introduction |
| 1.2 Background of the study1 |
| 1.3 Problem statement |
| 1.4 Research objectives |
| 1.4.1 General objective |
| 1.4.2 Specific objectives |
| 1.5 Research questions |
| 1.6 Conceptual Framework |
| 1.7 Significance of the study10 |
| 1.8 Justification of the study10 |
| 1.9 Scope of the study |
| 1.9.1 Geographical scope |
| 1.9,2 Content scope,, |
| 1.9.3 Time scope |
| 1.10 Operational definition of key terms and concepts |
| CHAPTER TWO:12 |
| LITERATURE REVIEW |
| 2.1 Introduction |
| 2.2 Theoretical literature review |
| 2.3 Empirical literature review |
| |
| 2.3.1 Activities carried out from the forest |

| 2.5 Willingness to pay for the forest resources |
|---|
| CHAPTER THREE |
| RESEARCH METHODOLOGY |
| 3.1 Introduction |
| 3.2 Study area |
| 3.3 Research design |
| 3.4 Study population |
| 3.5 Sample size and Sample Procedure |
| 3.5.1 Sampling Procedure |
| 3.5.2 Sampling Size determination |
| 3.6 Data types and collection methods25 |
| 3.6.1 Data types |
| 3.6.2 Data collection methods25 |
| 3.6.2.1 Observation |
| 3.6.2.2 Questionnaires |
| 3.7 Validity and reliability of data collection instruments |
| 3.7.1 Validity of data collection instruments |
| 3.7.2 Reliability of data collection instruments |
| 3.8 Ethical considerations |
| 3.9 Data management and analysis27 |
| 3.10 Limitations and delimitations of the study27 |
| PRESENTATION AND DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS |
| 4.1 Introduction |
| 4.2 Socio-Demographic characteristics of the respondents |
| 4.2.1 Age of the respondents |
| 4.2.2. Gender of the respondents |
| 4.2.3 Marital status of the respondents |
| 4.2.4 Education level of the respondents |
| 4.2.5 Employment status of the respondents |
| 4.2.6. Household size of the respondents |
| 4.2.7 Length of stay of the respondents in the area |
| 4.2.8. Distance from homesteads to the forest reserve |
| 4.3 Main activities carried out from the forest reserve |

| 4.4 Size of the forest land cultivated |
|---|
| 4.5 Main threats to the existence of Kyahi Central Forest Reserve |
| 4.6 Goods and services obtained from Kyahi Central Forest Reserve |
| 4.8 Quantities resources obtained from the forest |
| 4.8 Perception about the size of Kyahi forest reserve |
| 4.9 Reasons för increased size of Kyahi forest reserve |
| 4.10 Perceptions about the contribution of Kyahi forest reserve to household livelihood |
| 4.11 The monthly willingness to pay for goods and services from Kyahi forest reserve |
| CHAPTER FIVE |
| SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS |
| 5.1 Introduction |
| 5.2 Summary of the findings43 |
| 5.2 Conclusions |
| 5.4 Recommendations |
| 5.5 Areas for further study |
| REFERENCES |
| APPENDICES |
| Appendix 1: QUESTIONNAIRE |
| Appendix 2: Field photos taken during data collection |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure 1: Age of the respondents | . 28 |
|--|------|
| Figure 2: Gender of the respondents | . 29 |
| Figure 3: Marital status of the respondents | . 30 |
| Figure 4: Length of stay of the respondents in the area | . 33 |
| Figure 5: Respondents distance from their homesteads to the forest reserve | . 34 |
| Figure 6: Perception about the size of Kyahi forest reserve | . 40 |
| Figure 7: A man cutting trees to for firewood | . 53 |
| Figure 8: Firewood ready for sell | . 53 |
| Figure 9: Charcoal burning | . 54 |
| Figure 10: Timber got from trees | . 54 |
| Figure 11: Some of the crops cultivated in the forest | . 55 |
| Figure 12: Cattle grazing in the forest | . 55 |

| Table 1: Education level of the respondents |
|--|
| Table 2: Employment status of the respondents |
| Table 3: Household size of the respondents |
| Table 4: Main activities carried out from the forest reserve |
| Table 5: Size of the forestland cultivated 36 |
| Table 6: Main threats to the existence of Kyahi Central Forest Reserve 36 |
| Table 7: Goods and services obtained from Kyahi Central Forest Reserve 37 |
| Table 8: showing how often the resources are got from the forest 38 |
| Table 9: Quantities of forest resources harvested from the forest 39 |
| Table 10: Reasons for increased size of Kyahi forest reserve 40 |
| Table 11: Perception about the contribution of Kyahi forest reserve is lost to householdlivelihood |
| Table 12: Monthly willingness to pay for the goods and services from Kyahi forest reserve 42 |

LIST OF TABLES

LIST OF ACRONYMS/ABBREVIATIONS

| CFR | Central Forest Reserve |
|-------|---|
| CV | Contingent Valuation |
| FAO | Food and Agriculture Organization |
| MDLG | Mbarara District Local Government |
| MWE | Ministry Of Water And Environment |
| NEMA | National Environment Management Authority |
| NFA | National Forestry Authority |
| NTFPs | Non Timber Forest Products |
| PFE | Permanent Forest Estate |
| SPSS | Statistical Package For The Social Scientists |
| UBOS | Uganda Bureau Of Statistics |
| ÚGX | Uganda Shillings |
| UNFF | United Nation Forum on Forests |
| UWA | Uganda Wildlife Authority |
| WTP | Willingness To Pay |

į,

xi

ABSTRACT

The study is about the economic valuation of central forest reserves; A case of Kyahi central forest reserve in Kakiika division, Mbarara district. The research was conducted based on following specific objectives: To identify activities carried out from Kyahi Central Forest Reserve; to find out the benefits got from Kyahi Central Forest Reserve and to find out the peoples willing to pay for the existence of Kyahi Central Forest Reserve.

The study used a cross sectional research design with a combination of both qualitative and quantitative approaches. Data was collected using a structured questionnaire, interview guide and observation. Purposive and Simple random sampling techniques were used to select 60 households located around Kyahi central forest reserve. The data was analysed using EXCEL and SPSS.

Research findings indicate that the major activity carried out in the forest reserve was cultivation, though the main activity threatening the existence of Kyahi CFR is lumbering. Findings also indicate that the majority of the respondents have benefited from the forest by obtaining water and fuel (charcoal and firewood) respectively. Findings also indicate that activities done in the forest like grazing, charcoal burning, cultivation and cutting down trees contribute to a reduction in the forest size. Findings indicate that the majority of the respondents reported planting trees as a way of increasing the size of Kyahi forest reserve. Findings indicate that the respondents agree that once the forest is lost their livelihood will be highly affected. Furthermore, the findings showed that 40% of the respondents attached UGX. 20000 – 40000 as their monthly willingness to pay for the benefits got from the forest existence.

I recommend that there is need to develop national guidelines on the economic valuation of forest products and services, decision makers at all levels should take steps to assess and communicate the role of biodiversity and ecosystem services in economic activity, and for human well-being and the contribution of forests to national development in terms of income, provision of products, employment and environmental services should be highlighted more through valuations of forests.

xii

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

This chapter presents the background to the study, problem statement, objectives, and research questions, significance, scope, and definitions of key terms and concepts of the study.

1.2 Background of the study

Forests play an important role in the livelihoods of local people in most developing countries. Forests supply these people with various products such as firewood, construction materials, medicine, fibers and a range of non-timber forest products are examples of products and services whose benefits are realized on a local/national level. On the other hand, forests provide global services as well, whose benefits transcend national boundaries and affect global welfare. The wellcited global benefits provided by forests are global-climate regulation and biodiversity provision (Moran, 1994)

In 1990, the world had 4128 million ha of forest; by 2015, this area had decreased to 3999 million ha. This is a change from 31.6 percent of global land area in 1990 to 30.6 percent in 2015. Yet deforestation, or forest conversion to other land use, is more complicated than that. Forest gains and losses occur continuously, and forest gains are particularly difficult to monitor even with high-resolution satellite imagery. Natural forest and planted forest area change dynamics differ across national circumstances and forest types.

We can describe forest area change as a process of gain (forest expansion) and loss (deforestation). Change in total forest area provides a picture of how all forest resources combined are changing. Natural forest area change is perhaps a better indicator of natural habitat and biodiversity dynamics.

There was a net loss of some 129 million ha of forest between 1990 and 2015, about the size of South Africa, representing an annual net loss rate of 0.13 percent. Yet this should be understood in context: The rate of annual net loss of forest has slowed from 0.18 percent in the 1990s to 0.08 percent over the last five-year period. Between 2010 and 2015 there was an annual loss of 7.6

REFERENCES

Ansong, M., & Røskaft, E. (2014). Local communities ' willingness to pay for sustainable forest management in Ghana, (December).

Beer, D. (1995). Forests and.

- By, F., & Partners, I. (2017). Access to water , income generating activities and forest conservation . Experience of communities around Chome Natural Reserve in Tanzania, (April).
- George, J., & Yhome, K. (n.d.). Community Forest Management : A Case Study of, 1-13.

Global Forest Resources Assessment 2015. (2015).

- GoU (Government of Uganda). (2001). The uganda forestry policy, 36. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2009.11.047
- Mbarara District Local Government, (2016), District Development Plan II, 2020(March 2015), Retrieved from http://www.mbarara.go.ug/publication/district-development-plan-iify201516-201920
- Mcmullin, S. (n.d.). Powell et al_2013_The role of forests, trees and wild biodiversity for nutrition-sensitive food.pdf. Retrieved from http://files/3276/Powell et al_2013_The role of forests, trees and wild biodiversity for nutrition-sensitive food.pdf.pdf
- National Environment Management Authority, (NEMA). (2004). State of environment report for uganda 2004/05. *Environment*, 1–301.
- Obua, J., Agea, J. G., & Ogwal, J. J. (2010). Review article Status of forests in Uganda, 853– 859.
- Republic, T. H. E., & Republic, T. H. E. (2015). State State of of Uganda ' S Uganda ' S Forestry Forestry. *Report*, 128.

The, S. O. F. (n.d.). World 's Forests.

Uganda, P. of the R. of. (2003). National Environmental Forestry and Tree Planting Act.

Electronic sources

https://www.newvision.co.ug/new_vision/news/1118686/forestry-authority-okays-grazingreserves accessed on 20/1/2019

https://www.protectedplanet.net/kyahi-forest-reserve accessed on 20/01/2019

http://budget.go.ug/budget/sites/default/files/Sector%20Spending%20Agency%20Budgets%20an d%20Performance/National%20Forestry%20Authority Water%20and%20Environment.pdf

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Central_Forest_Reserves_of_Uganda accessed on 12/03/2019

David, W.Pearce. The economic value of forest Ecosystem.

Ministry of water lands and environment. (MWLE). The Uganda forestry policy,

https://www.ecologyandsociety.org/vol21/iss2/art8/#Literature 23rd march, 2019

- http://www.jst.go.jp/asts/asts_m/files/0311pdf/09_Seminar_ASTS_Penang_10-14_March_2006_Awang_Noor.pdf accessed on 11/02/2019
- https://econrsa.org/system/files/publications/working_papers/working_paper_693.pdf accessed on 19/03/2019
- https://www.mnn.com/earth-matters/wilderness-resources/blogs/21-reasons-why-forests-areimportant retrived on 01/04/2019

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kakiika Division accessed on 21/05/2019

https://www.cbd.int/doc/external/academic/forest-es-2003-en.pdf accessed on 22/05/2019

https://www.srs.fs.usda.gov/pubs/ja/ja_kramer003.pdf accessed on 22/05/2019

- https://wwwuem.univbrest.fr/anthropocean/publications/pdf/valuing_ecosystem_services_from_ blue_forests_a_systematic_review_of_the_valuation_of_salt_marshes_sea_grass_beds_and __mangrove_forests accessed on 22/05/2019
- https://www.un.org/esa/forests/wp-content/uploads/2019/04/UNFF14-BkgdStudy-SDG8-March2019.pdf accessed on 22/05/2019
- http://www.fao.org/forestry/9719-088e4b8cdc0c6bd4b67eaa81e6427a705.pdf accessed on 22/05/2019