## BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY

## FACULTY OF NATURAL RESOURCE AND ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES

# ASSESSING THE POTENTIAL OF AQUACULTURE SYSTEMS TO IMPROVE LIVELIHOODS

# A Case study of Jinja District and Nyenga parish- Njeru sub-county

BY

### NAHAYO WYCLIFF

### BU/UG/2012/126



SUPERVISOR

MS GIMBO REBECCA

A RESEARCH REPORT SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES AND ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE AWARD OF A BACHELORS DEGREE IN NATURAL RESOURCE ECONOMICS OF BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY

2015

### DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this work is a result of my own commitment and has never been submitted either in the same or different kind to this or any other institution for any academic qualification.

i

.... .....

Date 23 / 0 6/ 2015

NAHAYO WYCLIFF

#### APPROVAL

This is to certify that this dissertation by NAHAYO WYCLIFF has been prepared under my supervision, and recommend it for submission to the Faculty of Natural Resources and Environmental Sciences for Assessment.

	and -
Signed Mules	Date. 7.5 / Sune / 2015

MS. GIMBO REBECCA

### DEDICATION

I dedicate this work to the Almighty God for his divine guidance. Also dedicate this dissertation to my mother Ms.Nanyanzi Mada who financed me during data collection, and my uncle Mr. Nfittumukiza Muhammad plus the government of the republic of Uganda for the financial assistance it rendered to me. I also dedicate it to Mr. Behwera Wilson (District Environmental Officer of Masaka District Local Government) who gave me guidance and financial help during my research, may the lord bless you.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I do acknowledge my supervisor Ms.Gimbo Rebecca for the maximum guidance she provided during proposal and final dissertation preparation. I acknowledge the following institutions for their contribution; SON Fish company, NaFIRRI-Jinja, Jinja district local government Fisheries Department in particular, Busitema University, and Jinja Fish Farmers Association. I would like to acknowledge the contribution of Mr. Ssuuna James.

# **Table of Contents**

DECLAD'AMIC

.

UBCLARATION
APPROVAL
DEDICATION
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT
LIST OF FIGURES.
LIST OF TABLES
LIST OF ACRONYMS
ABSTRACT
CHAPTER ONE: GENERAL INTRODUCTION
1,1 Background
1.2 Statement of the problem
1.3 Objectives of the study
1.3.1 General objective
1.3.2 Specific objectives
1.4 Research questions
1.5 Conceptual framework
1.6 Justification
1.7 Limitations of the study
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW
2.1 Aquaculture in Uganda
2.2 The Aquaculture Policy
2.3 Aquaculture production systems
2.3.1 Pond aquaculture:
2.3.2 Cage aquaculture
2.4 Contribution of aquaculture systems
2.5 How to carryout commercial aquaculture with less environmental effect
CHAPTER THREE: METHODOLOGY
3.1 Introduction
3.2 Research design
3.3 Study area
3.3.1 Description of the study area

3.4 Study population
3.5 Sample size and sampling techniques
3.5.1 Sample size
3.5.2 Sampling technique and procedure
3.6 Data collection
3.6.1 Data types
3.6.2 Data collection methods
3.7 Data processing and analysis
3.7.1 Descriptive statistics
.3.7.2 Gross margin analysis
3.7.3 Stochastic frontier productivity model (Cob-Douglas frontier production model)20
3.8 Data presentation
3.9 Ethical considerations
CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
4.1 Introduction
4.2 Respondents' background
4.2.1 Age
4.2.1 Age
4.2.2 Gender
4.2.2 Gender
4.2.2 Gender 23   4.2.3 Education level 23   4.2.4 Household size 24
4.2.2 Gender 23   4.2.3 Education level 23   4.2.4 Household size 24   4.3 The scope of aquaculture 24
4.2.2 Gender 23   4.2.3 Education level 23   4.2.4 Household size 24   4.3 The scope of aquaculture 24   4.3.1 Cage fish farming 24
4.2.2 Gender 23   4.2.3 Education level 23   4.2.4 Household size 24   4.3 The scope of aquaculture 24   4.3.1 Cage fish farming 24   4.3.2 Pond fish farming 26
4.2.2 Gender234.2.3 Education level234.2.4 Household size244.3 The scope of aquaculture244.3.1 Cage fish farming244.3.2 Pond fish farming264.3.3 Assessing the non fish farm owners' possibility to adopt the fish farming technologies29
4.2.2 Gender 23   4.2.3 Education level 23   4.2.4 Household size 24   4.3 The scope of aquaculture 24   4.3.1 Cage fish farming 24   4.3.2 Pond fish farming 26   4.3.3 Assessing the non fish farm owners' possibility to adopt the fish farming technologies 29   4.3.4 Assessing the fish species as preferred by the consumers and producers (fish farmers) 30
4.2.2 Gender 23   4.2.3 Education level 23   4.2.4 Household size 24   4.3 The scope of aquaculture 24   4.3.1 Cage fish farming 24   4.3.2 Pond fish farming 26   4.3.3 Assessing the non fish farm owners' possibility to adopt the fish farming technologies 29   4.3.4 Assessing the fish species as preferred by the consumers and producers (fish farmers) 30   4.3.5 Access to farmed fish by the local people 30
4.2.2 Gender 23   4.2.3 Education level 23   4.2.4 Household size 24   4.3 The scope of aquaculture 24   4.3.1 Cage fish farming 24   4.3.2 Pond fish farming 26   4.3.3 Assessing the non fish farm owners' possibility to adopt the fish farming technologies 29   4.3.4 Assessing the non fish farm owners' possibility to adopt the fish farming technologies 29   4.3.5 Access to farmed fish by the local people 30   4.4 Profitability analysis of cage and pond systems of fish farming 31   4.4.1 Introduction 31   4.4.2 Input-output and profitability analysis of pond and cage farming systems 31
4.2.2 Gender 23   4.2.3 Education level 23   4.2.4 Household size 24   4.3 The scope of aquaculture 24   4.3.1 Cage fish farming 24   4.3.2 Pond fish farming 26   4.3.3 Assessing the non fish farm owners' possibility to adopt the fish farming technologies 29   4.3.4 Assessing the fish species as preferred by the consumers and producers (fish farmers) 30   4.3.5 Access to farmed fish by the local people 30   4.4 Profitability analysis of cage and pond systems of fish farming 31   4.4.1 Introduction 31   4.4.2 Input-output and profitability analysis of pond and cage farming systems 31   4.5 Technical efficiency of pond and cage systems of fish farming 35
4.2.2 Gender 23   4.2.3 Education level 23   4.2.4 Household size 24   4.3 The scope of aquaculture 24   4.3.1 Cage fish farming 24   4.3.2 Pond fish farming 26   4.3.3 Assessing the non fish farm owners' possibility to adopt the fish farming technologies 29   4.3.4 Assessing the non fish farm owners' possibility to adopt the fish farming technologies 29   4.3.5 Access to farmed fish by the local people 30   4.4 Profitability analysis of cage and pond systems of fish farming 31   4.4.1 Introduction 31   4.4.2 Input-output and profitability analysis of pond and cage farming systems 31

:

4.6.4 Benefits to the government		.,40
4.7 Challenges of fish farming	·····	40
4.7.1 Fish farm owners	,, ·	40
4.7.2 Fish farm workers	•••••	41
4.7.3 Government		41
4.8 Government intervention		42
4.9 Corporate social responsibilities of aquaculture farms		42
CHAPTER FIVE: SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS		43
5.1 Introductions	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	43
5.2. Summary		43
5.3 Conclusions		.,44
5.4 Recommendations	********	44
REFERENCES	····	. 45
APPENDICES	·····	. 46
Appendix 1		46

.

.

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2 Map of Jinja District 18   Figure 3 Age of respondents 22   Figure 4 Gender of respondents 23   Figure 5 Education levels of respondents 23   Figure 6 Household size respondents 24   Figure 7 Cage fish farms 26   Figure 8 Pond systems in various divisions 27   Figure 9 Pond fish farms 28   Figure 10 Possibility to adopt fish farming technologies 29   Figure 11 Preferred fish species by farmers and consumers 30   Figure 12 Accessibility of farmed fish 31	Figure Iconceptual framework	4
Figure 3 Age of respondents 22   Figure 4 Gender of respondents 23   Figure 5 Education levels of respondents 23   Figure 6 Household size respondents 24   Figure 7 Cage fish farms 26   Figure 8 Pond systems in various divisions 27   Figure 9 Pond fish farms 28   Figure 10 Possibility to adopt fish farming technologies 29   Figure 11 Preferred fish species by farmers and consumers 30		
Figure 4 Gender of respondents 23   Figure 5 Education levels of respondents 23   Figure 6 Household size respondents 24   Figure 7 Cage fish farms 26   Figure 8 Pond systems in various divisions 27   Figure 9 Pond fish farms 28   Figure 10 Possibility to adopt fish farming technologies 29   Figure 11 Preferred fish species by farmers and consumers 30		
Figure 5 Education levels of respondents 23   Figure 6 Household size respondents 24   Figure 7 Cage fish farms 26   Figure 8 Pond systems in various divisions 27   Figure 9 Pond fish farms 28   Figure 10 Possibility to adopt fish farming technologies 29   Figure 11 Preferred fish species by farmers and consumers 30		
Figure 6 Household size respondents 24   Figure 7 Cage fish farms 26   Figure 8 Pond systems in various divisions 27   Figure 9 Pond fish farms 28   Figure 10 Possibility to adopt fish farming technologies 29   Figure 11 Preferred fish species by farmers and consumers 30		
Figure 7 Cage fish farms 26   Figure 8 Pond systems in various divisions 27   Figure 9 Pond fish farms 28   Figure 10 Possibility to adopt fish farming technologies 29   Figure 11 Preferred fish species by farmers and consumers 30		
Figure 8 Pond systems in various divisions 27   Figure 9 Pond fish farms 28   Figure 10 Possibility to adopt fish farming technologies 29   Figure 11 Preferred fish species by farmers and consumers 30		
Figure 9 Pond fish farms		
Figure 10 Possibility to adopt fish farming technologies		
Figure 11 Preferred fish species by farmers and consumers		

# LIST OF TABLES

Table 1 Input-output and profitability analysis of a 2x2x2 cage size	.31
Table 2 Input-output and profitability analysis of a 3x3x3 cage size	
Table 3 Input-output and profitability analysis of a 5x5x5 cage size	.32
Table 4 Input-output and profitability analysis of 460m <sup>2</sup> -900m <sup>2</sup> pond sizes	
Table 5 Linear regression estimates of the cobb-douglas frontier production functions for technical efficiency o	of
cage fish farming	.35
Table 6 Linear regression estimates of the cobb-douglas frontier production functions for technical efficiency o	f
pond fish farming	.36
Table 7 Fish farming benefits to fish farm owners	
Table 8 Fish farming benefits to workers	
Table 9 Fish farm workers' salary and respective expenditure	

# LIST OF ACRONYMS

X

FAO	Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations
NaFIRRI	National Fisheries Resources Research Institute
MAAIF	Ministry of Agriculture, Animal industry and Fisheries
NEMA	National environmental management authority
CBO's	Community Based Organizations
MAFAP	Monitoring Africa's Food and Agricultural Program
NGO's	Non-Governmental Organization
SON	Source Of the Nile
NAADS	National Agricultural Advisory Services
NARÓ	National Agricultural Research Organization
GoU	Government of Uganda

#### ABSTRACT

Fish farming is one of the most important economic activities in Uganda particularly on and around Lake Victoria where biological and environmental conditions are said to be favorable for fish. The demand for fish in Uganda and worldwide is increasing due to increasing human population and health concerns growth. According to (FAO,2000), the per capita fish consumption should be 15 kg, Uganda. capture fisheries production has been declining to the extent that per capita fish consumption currently is 8 kg which is much below that recommended, and this source is not expected to produce more fish. Furthermore, population pressure from agriculture and industry are having an impact on water resources thus need to have an alternative source of fish if Uganda has to avoid the importation of fish, and then the only available option for increasing fish production is through aquaculture. The gap existing is that the capable investors are un aware of the potential of different aquaculture systems in terms of productivity, profitability and its capacity to improve people's welfare, thus low adoption and investment in aquaculture systems especially cage culture and pond technology. The local community lack sufficient capital and technical knowledge required to operate fish farming. Therefore the study focuses on the potential of fish farming to improve livelihoods and efficiency of fish farming with concerns of environmental conservation, ensuring of food security in addition to identifying the factors that determine efficiency of fish production. Primary data was collected from Jinja district and Nyenga parish in Njeru sub-county Buikwe district. Direct observations, group discussions and interviews using questionnaires were used to collect primary data from government officials, non-government officials, community members, fish sellers, fish farm workers and fish farm owners complemented with Secondary data collected from internet and research centers such as NaFIRRI. The profit margin model was used to assess the profitability of aquaculture, and also a logistic regression to find the factors determining the technical efficiency of fish farming and the Cobb-Douglas productivity frontier model (stochastic productivity frontier model) were used to determine the level of technical efficiency of fish farmers in the area and it was revealed that all of the 4 factors assessed were found crucial as regards technical efficiency of fish production and these included; fingerlings, labour, feeds for both cage and pond systems; and fertilizers for pond systems were significant at 5% level of significance. However, the constant coefficients for both aquaculture systems were found insignificant at 5% level of significance to explain the variation in output though they were positive. This implied that when the above factors included in the model are zero, there would be no output/ or change in output would also

be zero. From the profitability analysis, it was found out that profit margin for both poind and cage systems decrease with increase in costs. The adoption of cage and point fish farming technologies was found out to be low due to lack of knowledge and skills and capital required to carry out fish farming, and therefore this called for government intervention to control the situation if we are to use fish farming as a tool to improve the livelihoods of the poor Ugandans, and if we are to achieve Ugandas goal of becoming a medium developed country by 2050.

#### CHAPTER ONE: GENERAL INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Background

Aquaculture is the science, art and business of farming or cultivating fish under controlled conditions. Halwart et al (2000), defines aquaculture as the farming of aquatic organisms, including fish, crustaceans, molluses and aquatic plants. Globally, aquaculture has contributed enormously to the growth in fish production. It represents currently 30% of global fish production and this figure is projected to increase to 41% by 2020 (Delgado et al., 2003). With most of the capture fisheries being over exploited, there are hopes that aquaculture may help reduce the pressure on natural fish resources. Aquaculture has been suggested as a viable option through which Uganda can increase fish production for the attractive export market as well as satisfy domestic and regional demand. The government of Uganda has set a goal of having 10% of fish exports come from aquaculture by 2020. In line with this, it supported the stocking of 20 dams with 3 million Tilapia fry between September 2001 and March 2003 (MFPED, 2003), The commercialization of fish farming is a key objective of Uganda Commercial Fish Farmers Association, an umbrella organization that brings together all fish farmers and encourages investments in fish farming. Uganda has a favorable bio-physical environment for warm water fish aquaculture and it is estimated that over 70% of districts have potential for aquaculture development (FAO, 2002; Jagger and Pender, 2001). However, key production and marketing factors like-availability of labor/cost of labor, access to inputs e.g. fish fry, availability of extension services, proximity to markets, proper roads and quality of the produce are likely to affect investments and profitability (Jagger and Pender, 2001). Fish farming is a labor intensive activity, involving pond construction and maintenance, feed collection, collection of manure, fertilization and protection of the ponds. It is therefore mainly suited to areas with high population densities and low wage rates. The availability of extension staff to deliver technical knowledge hampers productivity. The new, farmer demand-driven, National Agricultural Advisory Services (NAADS) under the Plan for Modernization of Agriculture offers a new opportunity for fish farmers to access to information on relevant technologies and marketing practices, but the service is still too new to gauge how much emphasis farmers place on aquaculture in their demand for services under NAADS, which covers a broad range of farm enterprises. Ecological theory suggests that Uganda has already reached a maximum sustainable yield for many aquatic wild populations. The survival of wild fish populations is today threatened by over

#### REFERENCES

Alfredo Emmanuel Vázques Olivares (2003), Regional reviews and global overview design of a cage culture system for farming in Mexico

Auburn University and USDA-Natural Resources Conservation Service(2005), Managing Cage Culture Systems

**BOMOSA** (2006), Integrating BOMOSA cage fish farming system in reservoirs, ponds and temporary water bodies in Eastern Africa

Department of Fisheries and Allied Aquacultures Auburn University Alabama (June 2009), Fisheries Investment for Sustainable Harvest

Dr Nelly A. Isyagi, Karen L. Veverica, Rashid Asiimwe, and Dr William H. Daniels (2009), Manual for the Commercial Pond Production of the African Catfish in Uganda

FAO (2007), Cage aquaculture

FAO (2012), Capacity building workshop on conducting aquaculture as a business in Uganda

Gifty Anane-Taabeah, Emmanuel A. Frimpong, Stephen Amisah & Nelson Agbo (2004), constraints and opportunities in cage aquaculture in Ghana

Kenneth Nyombi and Simon Bolwig (2004), A qualitative evaluation of alternative development strategies for Ugandan fisheries

LVFO (2009), Management of Fishing Capacity in the Nile Perch Fishery of Lake Victoria

LVFO (2009), Aquaculture for increased fish production in East Africa

M AAIF(2011), Department of fisheries resources annual report 2010/2011

Michael Mugabira –Uganda Investment Authority (2013), National Investment Policy for Aquaculture Parks in Uganda

Monitoring African food and agricultural policies-MAFAP (2013), review of food and agricultural policies in Uganda 2005-2011

Nikimu farmer &IECDAA (2013), Nikimu fish farming project

NOAA (2013), Marine Cage Culture and the Environment

Theodora S. Hyuha1, James O. Bukenya 2, Julius Twinamasiko1 and Joseph Molnar (2011), Profitability analysis of small scale aquaculture enterprises in Central Uganda

Uganda Industrial Research Institute (UIRI) (2013), The 6th annual fish farmer's symposium and aquaculture trade fair

Vicky Kakaire (2010), Jinja municipality profile