

**ASSESSING THE POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS OF A SUGAR FACTORY:
A CASE STUDY OF KAMULI SUGAR FACTORY, KITAYUNJWA SUB COUNTY,
KAMULI, DISTRICT.**

SEMPALA JOSEPH

BU/UG/2011/166



SUPERVISOR: PROFESSOR ISABIRYE MOSES

**A PROJECT DISSERTATION SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF
ENVIRONMENT AND NATURAL RESOURCE ECONOMICS IN PARTIAL
FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR AWARD OF A DEGREE
IN BACHELOR OF SCIENCE IN NATURAL RESOURCE ECONOMICS
OF BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY**

JUNE 2014

DECLARATION

I **SEMPALA JOSEPH** hereby declare to the best of my knowledge that this research report is solely a result of my own research, effort and findings. I therefore, affirm that it has never been submitted for an award of a degree or any other academic qualification in any university.

DATE:

27/06/2014.....

SIGNATURE:

.....

SEMPALA JOSEPH

BU/UG/2011/166

APPROVAL

I hereby certify that this research report Titled “**Assessing the Potential Environmental Impacts of a Sugar Factory: A Case Study of Kamuli Sugar Factory, Kitayunjwa sub county, Kamuli district**” is the original and individual work of Sempala Joseph. It has been done under my supervision and is ready for submission to the board of examiners Faculty of Natural Resources and Environmental Sciences, Busitema University, Namasagali campus with my due knowledge:

DATE:

.....

SIGNATURE:

.....

PROFESSOR ISABIRYE MOSES

SUPERVISIOR

DEDICATION

Crossing my academic finishing line has not been a one man's effort. This race has been accomplished by the efforts and support of a number of generous hearts or philanthropists. I therefore wholeheartedly dedicate this publication to such hearts.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost I convey my sincere appreciation to the almighty GOD for the gift of life, knowledge, wisdom, courage and guidance he always avails me.

It would be self-betrayal if I underrate the overwhelming effort, support, love, care and guidance my family always extends to me. Against their meager resources in comparison to my infinite needs, they always strive to render them to me. For that reason, I express my heartfelt gratitude to my family members – Mr. Ssempala Deogratius, Mrs. Kulustumu Abdallah, Mr. Kisaale Abdallah, Kisitu Charles, Nakaweesa Rosemary and Mugisha Maureen.

I am indebted to the tremendous support of my research supervisor, Professor Isabirye Moses for amidst his tight schedule he always squeezed a proportion of his time to guide me in this publication.

I would also like to extend my gratitude to the staff of Busitema University particularly the staff of the faculty of Natural resources and environmental sciences whose moral, friendly, psychological and academic support has enabled me reach this far.

I also intend to appreciate Miss Namazzi Betty and Mugalu Simon for the support they extended to me in the due course of my research.

To the entire Namasagali community, I say thank you for without your spirit of companionship and empathy I would not have completed this academic journey.

Accomplishment of this academic journey would not have been successful in the absence of: Mr. Sempala, Mr. Kiguba Paul, Mr. Ssematiko Timothy, Mr. Ssenyonga, Auntie Miriam, Auntie Susan, Auntie Kamida, Mr. Ddamulira Joseph, Auntie Nakungu, Auntie Daina and any other person who has enabled me achieve this success. Therefore, I inherently thank them for their generosity.

It would be suicidal if I undervalue the support of my father's workmates. I extend my indebtedness to their generosity and assistance. May the Almighty GOD bless them abundantly.

I also appreciate the company of my fellow colleagues with whom I have been studying with. Their spirit of togetherness, unity and comradeship has enabled me complete this task.

LIST OF ACRONYMS

- CDM – Clean Development Mechanism
- EIA – Environmental Impact Assessment
- FAO – Food and Agriculture Organization
- GDP – Gross Domestic Product
- GIS – Geographical Information System
- GOU – Government of Uganda
- IAIA – International Association for Impact Assessment
- ILWIS – Integrated Land and Water Information System
- ISO – International Sugar Organization
- Km – Kilometer
- KSL – Kakira Sugar Limited
- KSL – Kinyara Sugar Limited
- MDG – Millennium Development Goal
- MTIC – Ministry of Trade, Industry and Co-operatives
- MW – Mega watts
- NDP – National Development Plan
- NEMA – National Environmental Management Authority
- NEPA – National Environment Policy Act
- SCOUL – Sugar Corporation of Uganda Limited
- UBOS – Uganda Bureau of Statistics

UN – United Nations

UNCED – United Nations Conference on Environment and Development

USCTA – Uganda Sugar Cane Technologists' Association

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION.....	i
APPROVAL.....	ii
DEDICATION.....	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.....	iv
LIST OF ACRONYMS.....	v
LIST OF TABLES.....	x
LIST OF FIGURES.....	xi
ABSTRACT.....	xii
CHAPTER ONE.....	1
INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.0: Overview.....	1
1.1: Back ground.....	1
1.2: Problem statement.....	3
1.3: Objectives of the study.....	5
1.3.1: General objective.....	5
1.3.2: Specific objectives.....	5
1.4: Research questions.....	5
1.5: Scope of the study.....	5
1.6: Rationale of the study.....	5
1.6.1: Justification of the study.....	5
1.6.2: Significance of the study.....	6
1.8: Structure of the report.....	9
CHAPTER TWO.....	10
LITERATURE REVIEW.....	10
2.0: Overview.....	10
2.1: Vulnerability.....	10
2.2: Sugar Cane and the Sugar Industrial Subsector.....	12
2.2.1: Sugar Cane Biology.....	12
2.2.2: History of Sugar Cane Cultivation and Sugar Industry.....	13
2.2.3: Cultivation of Sugar Cane and its Environmental Issues.....	15

2.2.4: Linkage between Cane Derivatives' Demand and Cane Market.....	19
2.2.5: Sugar Industry	21
2.3: Wetlands	23
2.3.1: Description of Wetlands	23
2.3.2: Wetland Degradation in relation to Sugar Industry Activities	23
2.4: Land Use.....	27
2.4.1: Details of different Land Uses.....	27
2.4.2: Land Uses Changes and Cane Cultivation	28
2.5: Environmental Impact Assessment and the Legal Framework.....	30
2.6 Summary of Literature Review	32
CHAPTER THREE.....	33
METHODOLOGY.....	33
3.0: Overview	33
3.1: Study Area.....	33
3.1.1: Geographical Location	33
3.1.2: Administrative Location.....	34
3.1.3 Geographical Attributes of the Site	34
3.1.3: Geographical and Demographical Attributes of Kamuli District.....	35
3.2: Research Design	35
3.3: Data Types and Collection Methods	36
3.3.1: Data Types	36
3.3.2: Data Collection Methods.....	36
3.4: Data Analysis.....	36
3.5: Limitation of the Study.....	37
CHAPTER FOUR	38
DATA PRESENTATION AND ANALYSIS	38
4.0: Overview	38
4.1: Presentation of Results and Discussion of Findings.....	38
4.1.1: Impact of the Factory on the Market	38
4.1.2: Impact of the Factory on the Arable land (Upland)	39
4.1.3: Impact of the Factory on the other Land Uses	42

4.2: Statistical presentation of results	43
CHAPTER FIVE	50
SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	50
5.0: Overview	50
5.1: Summary.....	50
5.2: Conclusion.....	50
5.3: Recommendation.....	51
5.4: Areas of further Research.....	52
References:	53

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Classification of Sugar Cane	12
Table 2: Major Cane Varieties grown by the 3 Ugandan Main Sugar Producers	16
Table 3: Market Suitability basing on the Distance from Factory	37
Table 4: Representation of Vulnerability by Overall Area (%).....	43
Table 5: Representation of Vulnerability by Land Use (Area %)	46

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Conceptual Framework.....	8
Figure 2: A typical Sugar cane Estate	19
Figure 3: Emission of Wastewater into a Wetland.....	26
Figure 4: Thermal Pollution of the Water Source by the Sugar Mill's Hot Pipes.....	26
Figure 5: Emission of Fumes from a Sugar Mill.....	27
Figure 6: Aerial figure of the project site – Kamuli Sugar Factory.....	34
Figure 7: Market Suitability Zones.....	39
Figure 8: Natural and Human Induced Land use covers in the vicinity of the Sugar Mill.....	41
Figure 9: Land use features Crossed with the Vulnerability Zones	42
Figure 10: Graphical Representation of Vulnerability by Overall Area (%)	45
Figure 11: Graphical Representation of Vulnerability by Total Wetland Coverage.....	47
Figure 12: Graphical Representation of Vulnerability by Total Upland Coverage.....	47
Figure 13: Graphical Representation of Vulnerability by Total Forest Coverage	48
Figure 14: Graphical Representation of Vulnerability by Total Sugar Cane, Rice and Orchard Coverage.....	49

ABSTRACT

Any project for instance a factory is bound to produce impacts to the community within which it operates. These can be positive or negative. Positive impacts are always appreciated and embraced by members of a given community. On other hand, negative impacts are always escorts of the positive one and it's these that require shire vigilance as their spill over effects are far reaching and traumatizing than the benefits which can be enjoyed due to the establishment of a particular project.

Therefore, the study was conducted to investigate any potential environmental impact of Kamuli Sugar Factory with confinement on; wetlands, indigénous land use covers as well as the sugar cane market.

In-depth review of prior literature of various scholars; environmental agencies' reports and publication as well as browsing through the internet was done with the aim of achieving the objectives of the study. Such literature was in line with the set objectives. This information acted as the baseline or reference podium upon which judgment was made to affirm that for real Kamuli Sugar factory can potentially produce impacts to the surrounding communities.

The study basically dwelled on qualitative data though quantitative parameter of studying impacts with in the radius of 30km from the sugar mill was centered on. In pursuit of the set aims, both primary and secondary data was gathered. Primary data was collected through; on spot surveys; key informant interviews and so forth yet secondary data was collected through; the use of university library, review of environmental agencies' reports, too much to mention.

Captured data was then processed and analyzed in both ILWIS (GIS software) and Spreadsheets – Microsoft Excel package to attain meaningful and appeasing results.

Attained results were both a confirmation and affirmation of the explored land reviewed literature. Results showed that features basically found in zone ONE were substantially threatened to degradation impacts of the factory. Conversely, results showed that farmers in this zone are bound to reap big from the yet to be established sugar cane market – suppliers of cane to the factory.

Findings further professed that the magnitude of vulnerability dwindles as the distance from the factory widens or elongates. The results or findings of the study compelled the researcher to forward a couple of recommendations that would be employed to specifically deal with the negative impacts.

Keywords: Vulnerability, Threat, Impacts.

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.0: Overview

This chapter is a visualization of the entire study report. It gives the reader the basics contained in the whole report and guides him/her on matters like the background of the study, objectives of the study, the scope, rationale of the study, structure of the report, statement of the problem of the study, its conceptual framework, research questions and much more.

1.1: Back ground

Industrialization in Uganda is a gradually developing sector in Uganda that is different industrial developments are slowly being established across the country with areas like Kampala, Jinja, Mukono, Mbarara, Mbale, Wakiso, Lira taking the lead. The sugar production sub sector is no exception of the ongoing trend. According to;

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_sugar_manufacturers_in_Uganda#Large_sugar_manufacturers
the sub sector currently has about six players in this arena;

- ❖ Kakira Sugar Works – Jinja district.
- ❖ Kinyara Sugar Works Limited – Masindi district.
- ❖ Sugar Corporation of Uganda Limited – Lugazi district.
- ❖ Sango Bay Estates Limited – Rakai district.
- ❖ Mayuge Sugar Industries Limited – Mayuge district.
- ❖ Sugar & Allied Industries Limited – Kaliro district.

Their total output by the year 2011 stood at 350,000 metric tonnes. Furthermore, the sub sector is yet to receive new players, these are already licensed but are not yet fully operational. Among these is the focal point of the study – Kamuli Sugar Factory that is soon starting production in the Centre of Kamuli district. On the list, other players are;

- ❖ Mukwano Sugar Factory - Masindi District
- ❖ Tirupati Sugar Factory - Nakasongola District
- ❖ Uganda Crop Industries - Buikwe District
- ❖ Kafu Sugar Factory - Masindi District

References:

Constitution of the Republic of Uganda, 1995.

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. <http://faostat.fao.org/> Retrieved 2010-06-17

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Environmental_impact_assessment

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_sugar_manufacturers_in_Uganda#Large_sugar_manufacturers

<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sugarcane>

<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Vulnerability>

<http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ldr.869/abstract>

http://www.greenwatch.or.ug/files/downloads/Guide_to_the_Environment_Impact_Assessment_Process-Issue1.pdf

<http://www.independent.co.ug/news/news-analysis/8343-sugar-factory-owners-fuel-land-grabbing>

<http://www.nemaug.org/>

http://www.sugarcanecrops.com/crop_growth_phases

<http://www.themalaysiantimes.com.my/sugarcane-causing-food-insecurity-in-uganda/>

<http://www.worldwildlife.org/industries/sugarcane>

<http://www.odpm.gov.ug/node/162>

Isabirye Moses (2005). Evaluation around Lake Victoria; Environmental Implications for Land use Change. Ph.D. Dissertation, Catholic University Leuven, Belgium.

Marilyn Kamanyire (2000). Sustainability Indicators for Natural Resource Management & Policy, Working Paper 3; Natural Resource Management and Policy in Uganda, Overview Paper; The effects of policy and institutional environment on natural resource management and investment by farmers and rural households in east and southern Africa. Economic Policy Research

Centre, Uganda.

Leonard A. Nurse (2005). Adaptation to climate Variability and Climate Change OCARIBE International Meeting to consider the Establishment of a Caribbean Tsunami and Coastal Hazards Early Warning System, Mexico City, June 1-3, 2005.

Nabulo G. (2004). Assessment of Heavy Metals Contamination of Food Crops and Vegetables from Motor Vehicle Emissions in Kampala City, Uganda. Makerere University; Kampala; September 2008.

National Sugar Policy (2010)

NEMA (2007). State of the environment report for Uganda 2007, National Environment Management Authority (NEMA), Kampala.

NEMA (2008). State of the environment report for Uganda 2008, National Environment Management Authority (NEMA), Kampala.

NEMA (2010). State of the environment report for Uganda 2010, National Environment Management Authority (NEMA), Kampala.

UBOS (2008). Statistical Abstract. Uganda Bureau of Statistics (UBOS), Kampala.

UN (2009). Agenda 21. United Nations (UN), New York. Also available on <http://www.un.org/esa/dsd/agenda21/>

USCTA (2001). The Uganda Sugarcane Technologist's Association, Fourth Annual Report, 2001, Kakira, Uganda

USCTA (2009). The Uganda sugar cane Technologists' Association, Eleventh Annual Report, 03/2009.

Wim Klunne and Charles Mugisha (1999). Effect of expanding sugar-cane farming on community wood fuel collecting areas. Case study in Masindi, Uganda.