BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY

Faculty of Natural Resources and Environmental Sciences Department of Natural Resource Economics

ECONOMIC VALUE, HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS OF CHARCOAL PRODUCTION AND TRADE IN EASTERN UGANDA

ΒŶ

SSEMAKULA ISMA (BU/UG/2012/133)

SUPERVISOR:

Théodore MUNYULI

(B.Sc.-Eng., M.Sc.-Envir. & Nat. Resource.; Ph.D.-Envir. Economics)

(Senior Lecturer and Research Scientist),

A RESEARCH DISSERTATION REPORT SUBMITTED IN THE PARTIAL FULLFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENT OF THE AWARD OF THE DEGREE OF BACHELOR OF SCIENCE IN NATURAL RESOURCE ECONOMICS OF BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY

NAMASALI CAMPUS,

DATE: JUNE

DECLARATION

I SSEMAKULA ISMA hereby declare that this research report is my original work and is a result of my independent commitment and has never been submitted either in the same or different kind to this or any other institution for any academic qualification.

Signed Signed

Date 25 106/2015

SSEMAKULA_ISMA

BU/UG/2012/133

APPROVAL

I am therefore recommending that the report be submitted to the Faculty of Natural Resources and Environmental Sciences of Busitema University.

ieorore 1781

Date 28th June / 2015

Théodore MUNYULI (B.Sc.-Eng., M.Sc.,. Ph.D.)

Senior Lecturer and Researcher



DEDICATION

k:

I dedicate this report to my mother, MIS NANKABIRWA AGNES, My guardian MIS KAMSES J.B, MIS LUICE RWOMUSHANA, MIS RECHEAL RWOMUSHANA and my brother MR. NDUGWA FARID for their support and commitments throughout my academics struggle. I also dedicate it to my friends from the different academic institutions I have attended and most especially those from Busitema University and mostly the faculty of Natural Resource Economics.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I extend my sincere thanks to my research supervisor, Dr. Theodore MUNYULI for the guidance and advice he gave me in the course of my research and writing this report.

I acknowledge the support offered to me by my friends NANBOOZE JOSEPHINE; KASANGO RAMAZAN, WAKOBA FRED, NATURINDA ZELBARBEL, OLWENY KENNEDY and my brother MR. SULAH MULO, my other friends like SUUBI VICTORIA, NAMAWEJJE WINNIE, KUSIIMA AGNES, WATELA WINNIE, WAKALANGA SULAYI, WAMALA BAZIRRIO, OUNDO AUTHUR, MUHUMUZA KENNETH, NAMANYA IVAN, NYANGOMA IMMELDAH, LAJARA BEATRICE, ANENA SHARON, AJAR CLARE, ABIO ANNET, OKITWI CHARLES, AMANYA DAN, MUGALU SIMON, ACHOLA JACKIE, ARMSTRONG BARCELONA and the entire BANKOSA community for their support and help they offered me during the data collection.

I further appreciate the entire staff of Busitema University (Namasagali campus), most especially the Faculty of Natural Resources and Environmental Sciences who gave me the opportunity to carry out my research in this area.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

63

DECLARATIONi
APPROVAL
DEDICATION
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT
TABLE OF CONTENTS
ACRONYMS AND ABREVIATION
LIST OF FIGURES
LIST OF TABLES
ABSTRACTxií
CHAPTER-I: GENERAL INTRODUCTION
1.1. Background
1.1.1. Structure of the charcoal value chain
1.1.2. Forestry in Uganda
1.2. PROBLEM STATEMENT
1,3. JUSTIFICATION OF THE STUDY
1.4. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY
1.4.1 General objective
1.4.2. Specific objectives
1.5. Hypothesis
1.6 Research question
1.6. Research question
1.6. Research question 8 1.7. CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK 8 1.8. SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY 9
1.6. Research question 8 1.7. CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK 8 1.8. SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY 9 1.9. SCOPE OF THE STUDY 9
1.6. Research question 8 1.7. CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK 8 1.8. SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY 9 1.9. SCOPE OF THE STUDY 9 1.9.1 Geographical scope of the study 9
1.6. Research question 8 1.7. CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK 8 1.8. SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY 9 1.9. SCOPE OF THE STUDY 9 1.9.1 Geographical scope of the study 9 1.10. Limitations of the study 10

2.1. Introduction
2.2. The contribution of forests
2.3. Economic benefits of charcoal trade
2.4. Trade Chain for Charcoal
2.4.1. The under valuation Charcoal trade
2.5. Environmental impacts of charcoal production
2.5.1. Climate change
2.5.2. Water and soil resources loss and flooding14
2.5.3. Decreased biodiversity, habitat loss and conflicts
2.5.4. Global warming
2.6. Charcoal demand and population growth16
2.7. Social consequences
2.8. Health Implications of Charcoal Trade In Uganda
2.9. Efficient cooking stoves
2.10. LEGAL FRAMEWORK
2.10.1. The national forestry and tree planting act no. 8, 2003
2.10.2. Renewable Energy Policy
2.10.3. Environmental Policies
2.10.4. Institutional Framework
CHAPTER-III: MATERIALS & METHODS
3.1. Introduction
3.1.2. Research design
3.1.3. Target population
3.1.4. Sampling procedure and strategy23
3.1.5. Sample size
3.1.6. Data collection methods
CHAPTER-IV: RESULTS

4.1. Introduction
4.2. Socio-economic and demographic characteristics of respondents in the charcoal business basing on their point in the value chain
4.3 The charcoal production process
4.4 IMPACTS OF CHARCOAL TRADE
4.4.1 ECONOMIC IMPACTS OF CHARCOAL PRODUCTION
4.4.2 Charcoal consumption
4.4.3 Health problems experienced as a result of charcoal trade
4.5 Environmental impacts of Charcoal Trade
4.5.1 Environmental Impacts of Charcoal Production
4.5.2. The Charcoal Production, Consumption and Trade Chain
4.5.3 Environmental Impacts of Charcoal Selling and Energy Consumption
4.5.4 Energy Consumption
4.5.5 Cooking Energy Stoves Used By Different People
4.5.6 Econometric Model exploring effects (influence) on independent Factors (age, sex, family size, tree harvest per year, and seasonality factors) on the influence the Dependent Factor (Environmental Effects of Charcoal Production)
4.5.6 Econometric Model exploring effects (influence) on independent Factors (age, sex, family size, tree harvest per year, and seasonality factors) on the influence the Dependent Factor (Environmental Effects of Charcoal Production)
4.5.6 Econometric Model exploring effects (influence) on independent Factors (age, sex, family size, tree harvest per year, and seasonality factors) on the Influence the Dependent Factor (Environmental Effects of Charcoal Production)
4.5.6 Econometric Model exploring effects (influence) on independent Factors (age, sex, family size, tree harvest per year, and seasonality factors) on the Influence the Dependent Factor (Environmental Effects of Charcoal Production)
4.5.6 Econometric Model exploring effects (influence) on independent Factors (age, sex, family size, tree harvest per year, and seasonality factors) on the Influence the Dependent Factor (Environmental Effects of Charcoal Production)
4.5.6 Econometric Model exploring effects (influence) on independent Factors (age, sex, family size, tree harvest per year, and seasonality factors) on the Influence the Dependent Factor (Environmental Effects of Charcoal Production)
4.5.6 Econometric Model exploring effects (influence) on independent Factors (age, sex, family size, tree harvest per year, and seasonality factors) on the Influence the Dependent Factor (Environmental Effects of Charcoal Production)
4.5.6 Econometric Model exploring effects (influence) on independent Factors (age, sex, family size, tree harvest per year, and seasonality factors) on the Influence the Dependent Factor (Environmental Effects of Charcoal Production)
4.5.6 Econometric Model exploring effects (influence) on independent Factors (age, sex, family size, tree harvest per year, and seasonality factors) on the Influence the Dependent Factor (Environmental Effects of Charcoal Production) 50 CHAPTER-V: DISCUSSION. 51. Introduction 55.2. Discussions 56 5.2.1. Socio-economic and demographic characteristics of respondents in the charcoal business basing on their point in the value chain. 56 5.2.2 The charcoal production process. 58 5.2.3 Economic Impacts of Charcoal Production 59 5.2.4. Environmental Impacts of Charcoal Production 59 5.2.5. Environmental Impacts of Charcoal Selling and Energy Consumption
4.5.6 Econometric Model exploring effects (influence) on independent Factors (age, sex, family size, tree harvest per year, and seasonality factors) on the Influence the Dependent Factor (Environmental Effects of Charcoal Production)
4.5.6 Econometric Model exploring effects (influence) on independent Factors (age, sex, family size, tree harvest per year, and seasonality factors) on the Influence the Dependent Factor (Environmental Effects of Charcoal Production) 50 CHAPTER-V: DISCUSSION 56 5.1. Introduction 56 5.2. Discussions 56 5.2.1. Socio-economic and demographic characteristics of respondents in the charcoal business basing on their point in the value chain 56 5.2.2 The charcoal production process 58 5.2.3 Economic Impacts of Charcoal Production 59 5.2.4. Environmental Impacts of Charcoal Production 50 51.2.5. Environmental Impacts of Charcoal Selling and Energy Consumption 61 5.2.6 Consumption 62 5.2.7. Energy consumption

5.2.9. The Charcoal Production, Consumption and Trade Chain	3
5.3. Conclusion and Recommendations6	5
5.3.1. To the Researchers	5
5.3.2. To Environmental Authorities and Policy Makers,6	5.
5.3.3. To People Who Depend On Charcoal Trade for Their Livelihood	5
5.3.4 Areas of Further Research	6
ŘEFERENCES	7
APPENDIX I. QUESTIONNAIRE	0
APPENDIX JI: FIELD PHOTOES	7
Appendix iii: correlation tables	9
Appendix IV: Maps	4

Þ.

-

ACRONYMS AND ABREVIATION

×.,

12

٠.

FAO	Food and Agricultural Organisation
SADC	Southern African Development Community
MWLE	Ministry Of Water, Lands, and Environment
SSA	Sub-Saharan Africa
UG SI-IS	Ugandan Shillings
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
SEI	Stockholm Environment Institute
UBOS	Uganda Bureau of Statistics
GHG	Green House Gas
WHO	World Health Organisation
MŴ	Mega Watts
NÉP	National Forestry Policy
CFRs	Central Forest Reserves
ŊFTPA	National Forest and Tree Planting Act
MWE	Ministry of Water and Environment
MEM	Ministry of Environment and Minerals
CHAPÓSÀ	Charcoal Potential in Southern Africa
ESDA	Energy for Sustainable Development Africa
CHLE	Candlelight for Health, Education and Environment
RBG	Royal Botanical Gardens

LIST OF FIGURES

5

Figure 1 The conceptual frame work illustrating interacting factors	.8
Figure 2 Study Area	.9
Figure 3 map of Nwoya district in northern Uganda	84
Figure 4 map of Namasagali in Kamuli district	85
Figure 5 map of Uganda showing the location of Kamuli district	86



LIST OF TABLES

.

Table 1 Economic characteristics and age of respondents
Table 2 Demographic charactéristics of charcoal producers
Table 3 Demographic characteristics of charcoal sellers
Table 4 Demographic characteristics of charcoal consumers
Table 5 The economic impacts of charcoal business in terms of currencies
Table 6. health problems experienced by charcoal sellers
Table 7 health problems experienced by charcoal producers
Table 8 Statistical analysis about the likelihood of a charcoal producer to get health problems40
Table 9 List of environmental threats as a result of charcoal production
Table 10 shows a summary of environmental services lost as a result of charcoal production42
Table 11 Frequencies of Tribes Involved in Charcoal Production
Table 12 Number of problems experienced by consumers and the suggested improvements that can be made in the market
Table 13 shows the frequencies of different environmental effects and services as a result of charcoal selling and consumption
Table 14 Main Sources of Lighting and Cooking Energy Sources
Table 15. The Cooking Energy Sources, Nature of Consumption and the Reason for Its Use
Table 16 The Cooking Energy Sources, Nature of Consumption and the Reason for Its Use
Table 17 Types of Stoves Used and Their Merits over Other Energy Stoves
Table 18 Generalized Linear Model (GLM: Gamma log model) to investigate factors which lead to environmental effects in charcoal production
Table 19 Generalized Linear Model (GML: Inverse Guassian model) to investigate factors which 16ad to environmental effects in charcoal production
Table 20 Generalized Linear Model (GML: Gamma Identity model) to investigate factors which lead to environmental effects in charcoal production
Table 21 displays the economic significance and correlation among different factors which determine the economic gains from the charcoal business
Table 22. Cross-correlation matrix showing the correlation among different variables which affect charcoal consumption
and a subscription of the second s

ABSTRAC'I'

This study aimed at assessing the socio-economic, health and environmental effects of charcoal production, trade to the community of Kamuli district in Eastern Uganda. The study will contribute to shaping the structure of charcoal production and the trade chain in this area to help the community to adopt sustainable charcoal production techniques. The study covers three levels in the value chain of charcoal trade in the country by looking at the effects righting from the production point, to the sells point and finally the consumption point which points seem to be the major contributors socio-economic and environmental effects of charcoal consumption and trade in the country. Charcoal production is mainly carried out by using carbonizing the logs in the earth kilns while consumption is done using metallic charcoal stoves. Both the methods of charcoal production and consumption are inefficient and therefore there is unsustainable production and consumption of charcoal. At the production point, villages in Minakulu of Nwoya district was studied sampling five sites which were spaced by two kilometers from each other and having then respondents from each site. From Kamuli district, Namasagali was sampled by selecting five sites which were more than three kilometers apart while selecting ten respondents from each site. On the part of consumption and selling of charcoal, data was collected from areas of Kampala and Wakiso with only 81 respondents being interviewed with 46 and 35 sellers and consumers respectively. The study was done by collecting both qualitative to give the description of the problem and quantative data to measure the spread of the problem.

The data was analyzed by use of excel, Minitab and STATA packages. The data was enter into excel where was coded from and then the uncoded data was use to do the descriptive analysis of the data. The coded data was copied to Minitab and STATA where all the advanced analysis was carried out from.

From the findings of the study, it was found out that charcoal trade contributes to a high percentage on the economic development of the country providing employment to a considerable number of rural and urban populations. It was found out that on average, a charcoal producers who is well organized earns a monthly income of 253.8USD with 20.4US\$ and 2166.7US\$ as the minimum and maximum earnings from the charcoal business respectively. At least a tax of 0.2933USD is paid per bag of charcoal that big scale producers take to the market, averagely one can employ 6 people to producer

xii

charcoal for them with 1 and 80 people as the minimum and maximum number of employees that one big producer can have.

Trading in charcoal also has a number of negative effects to peoples' health like causing diseases such as cancer, flu, cough, body pain, family problems and the health of the environment like causing desertification, habitat loss and global warming in which the trade activities are carried out from.

The study will help to provide information to policy makers and the players in the charcoal value chain to come up and implement sustainable ways of carrying out activities involved in the charcoal value chain in order to reduce on the negative health and environmental effects associated with charcoal trade.

Keywords: Environmental and Health impacts, charcoal production and trade, livelihoods, eastern Uganda

CHAPTER-I: GENERAL INTRODUCTION

1.1. Background

The increasing demand for energy in the world has made wood biofuels products the most preferred and it accounts for 80% of the total renewable energy consumption worldwide (Steve Sepp). Presently, half of the annually harvested round-wood (about 1.8 billion cubic meters per year) is used for fuel, as noted in a forests & energy report to the FAO Conference. Wood-based fuels provide upwards of 70 % of the total energy consumption in Sub-Saharan Africa. Between 40 and 80 percent of wood products from tropical countries are used as fuel (FAO 2009).

Like most African countries, Uganda's energy balance is dominated by fuel wood (firewood and charcoal). Firewood, charcoal, petroleum products and electricity contributes 88%, 5%, 6% and 1 % respectively. Uganda, therefore still depends mainly on biomass to satisfy its energy demand. More than 90% of the total energy consumption is still supplied by firewood and charcoal according to the Ugandan National Energy Balance 2003.Uganda has an abundant although unexpected variety of potential energy sources from solar, bio-mass, hydro, petroleum and geothermal. The energy sources that have been exploited so far include bio-mass, petroleum and hydro power. The National consumption of energy sources by type is 93 per cent, 6 per cent and 1 percent for bio-mass, petroleum and hydro power respectively (state of environmental report for Uganda 2006/2007).

In the SADC region of Tanzania, households consume about 97% of wood energy mostly for cooking, heating and cottage industries while industrial sector is the second to household sector (Monela & Kihiyo, 1999). The International Energy Agency predicts that by 2030, biomass energy in Africa will still account for an estimated three quarters of total residential energy. The biomass energy is in most cases traded as charcoal to urban areas since they have a ready market for it due to its high demand. Charcoal is preferred in urban areas on account of its being cheap, easy to transport, distribute and store. It is almost smokeless and has higher calorific value (30 MJ/kg) than firewood (15MJ/kg).

This has made charcoal trade with all its environmental impacts become a highly practiced economic activity in Uganda's rural and urban areas by most businessmen and women for a number of reasons in order to improve their livelihoods. Charcoal production activities are resulting into deforestation and individual tree cutting in developing countries and Uganda in particular. It is estimated that in Africa over 90 % of the wood taken from forests is wood fuel. More than 80 % of the charcoal is used in urban areas making it the most important source of household energy in many African urban areas. Charcoal trade encourages people to cut down trees on a large scale in order to produce charcoal which is then traded through the market and then it finally reaches the final consumers. The charcoal value chain is complex and may, on the

REFERENCES

Agyemen, K. O. Amponash, O., Braimah, J. & Lurumuah, S. (2012). Commercial Charcoal Production and Sustainable Community Development of the Upper West Region Ghama. *Journal of Sustainable Development* 5(4), 149-164

African Research Review (2013), Impacts of charcoal production on the sustainable development of Asa Local Government Area, Kwara State, Nigeria, 1-15

Hibajene S. H, Kalumiana O.S (2003). Manual for Charcoal production in earth kilns in Zambia. Department of Energy, Ministry of Energy and Water Development – Lsaka, Zambia (1-66).

Chidumayo E. & Chidumayo C 1984. The Status and Impact of Charcoal Consumption in Urban Zambia, Natural Resources Department, Lusaka (86-94)

- National Environment Management Authority (2002), State of the Environment Report for Uganda, Government of Uganda Kampala (853-859)
- National Environment Management Authority (2004/2005), State of the Environment Report for Uganda. Government of Uganda Kampala
- Joseph Obua, Jacob G. Agea and Joseph Jones Ogwal (2010), Review article of status of forests in Uganda, African Journal of Ecology VOLUME 3 (853-859)

Róyal Botanical gardens (RGB) Kew (1993). COSHH Assessment Sheët 56706, Charcoal Chips (267-483)

Simon Levy (1996), The Environmental Implications of Emissions from Charcoal Production. An overview of the effects of the emissions from charcoal production, BioRegional Development Group (39, 40 and 410

Alannah Delahunty-Pike (2012), Gender Equity, Charcoal and the value Chain in Nyanza, western Kenya, working brief November 2012 (98)

Mariangela Bizzarri, Independent Consultant (2009), An Appraisal Report on the Safe Access to Fire Wood and Alternative Energy in Uganda (17-31) Stephen N. Mutimba (2007), Energy for sustainable development Africa (ESDA) (1-13)

Armitage, Jane and Gunter Schramm, "Managing the Supply of and Demand for Fuel wood in Africa", *Environmental Management and Economic* *Development*,eds. Gunter Schramm and Jeremy Warford, Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, MD 1989.

Arnold J M, Kohlin G and Persson R, (2006). "Wood fuels Livelihoods, and Policy Interventions: Changing Perspective." *World Development* 34(3): 596 611.

Girard P. (2002). "Charcol Production and Use in Africa: What Future" 54 30-34

. .

Shively G, Jagger P, Sserunkuma D, Arinaitwe A, and Chibwana C. (2010). "Profits and Margins along Uganda's Charcoal Value Chain." Working Paper (270-283)

Fydes Khundi, Pamela Jagger, Gerald Shively (2010). Income, Poverty and Charcoal Production in Western Uganda (1-4)

Monela, G.C. and Kihiyo, V. B. (1999). Wood energy in sub Saharan Africa. In Palo.M. And Uusivuoni, J. (eds). World Forest Society and Environment.Kluwer Academic Publishers London (1-5)

Uisso AJ, Balama C. (2009) Charcoal Production Activity Compromise Environmental Compliance, Conservation and Management Strategies in Tanzania (30)

Namaalwa J, Hofstad, O. and Sankhayan, P. L. (2009), Achieving sustainable charcoal supply from woodlands to urban consumers in Kampala, Uganda. *International Forestry Review* 11(1):64-78. (2012). Draft SSC methodology SSC III.BG: Emission reduction through sustainable charcoal production and consumption, Bonn, Germany.

Luoga E.J.; Witkowski, E.T.F. and Balkwill, K. (2000b). Differential utilization and ethno botany of trees in Kitulanghalo forest reserve and surrounding communal lands, eastern Tanzania. *Economic Botany* 54(3):328–343.

Kalumiana, O.J. (2000). Charcoal Consumption and Transportation: Energy Subcomponent of the Zambia CHAPOSA Study. Paper prepared for discussion at the Second CHAPOSA Annual Workshop. Morogoro, Tanzania.

Steve Sepp (2008), Eco Consulting Group Germany, Shaping Charcoal Policies: Context, process and instruments as exemplified by country cases.

Patrick Kambewa, Bennet Mataya, Killy Sichinga, and Todd Johnson, A study of charcoal consumption, trade and production in Malawi

Candlelight for Health, Education and Environment (Dec 2005), Case Study on

Alternative Energies and Reduction of Dependence on Charcoal in Somaliland, (1-29)

Gellert, P. K. (2003). Renegotiating a timber commodity chain: lessons from Indonesia on the political construction of global commodity chains. *Sociological Forum*, 18(1), 53-84

Kaplinsky, R. (2000). Spreading the gains from globalization: what can be learned from value chain analysis. IDS Working Paper Nol. 110. London, UK: Institute of Development Studies.

KAPLINSKY R and Morris M. (2001). A handbook for value chain analysis. Ottawa, Canada: International Development Research Centre.

Broadhead J, Bahdon J and Whiteman A. (2001). Wood fuel consumption modeling and results. Past trends and future prospects for utilization of wood for energy. Global Forest Outlook Study Working Paper. Rome: FAO.

Brouwer R and Magane D. M. (1999). The charcoal commodity chain in Maputo, Mozambique: access and sustainability. *Southern African Forestry Journal* 185: 27-34.

Singh K. D. (2008). Balancing fuel wood production and consumption in India. *International Forestry Review* **10**(2):190-200.

Angelsen A. and Wunder S. (2003). Exploring the Forest Poverty Link: KeyConcepts,Issues and Research Implications. CIFOR Occasion Paper No. 40.Bogor, Indonesia:Center for International Forestry Research.

Bonan G. B. (2008). Forests and climate change: forcings, feedbacks, and the climate benefits of forests. *Science* **320** (5882): 1444-1449

Shyamsundar P. and Kramer R.A. (1996). Tropical forest protection: an empirical analysis of the costs borne by local people. *Journal of Environmental Economics* 31(1):129-145.

Brace-Govan, Jan. (2004). "Issues in snowball sampling." The lawyer, the model and ethics." Qualitative Research Journal 4.1: 52.