CONSTRAINTS TO THE ADOPTION OF THE ARTIFICIAL INSEMINATION TECHNOLOGY BY DAIRY FARMERS OF BUHWEJU DISTRICT IN UGANDA



TURINAWE BARNABAS

BY

BU/UG/2011/159

turibarnabas@gmail.com

SUPERVISOR: Dr. G.M. KAMUGISA

A DISSERTATION SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE AND ANIMAL SCIENCES IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD OF THE BACHELOR OF ANIMAL PRODUCTION AND MANAGEMENT DEGREE OF BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY

DECLARATION

I, TURINAWE BARNABAS, declare that this study is the original work of my effort and has never been submitted to this or any other university or institution of higher learning for any academic award.

Signature..... Date.....

APPROVAL

This dissertation has been submitted for examination with the approval of my supervisor,

Dr. GM KAMUGISA,

Department of Animal Production and Management,

Faculty of Agriculture and Animal Sciences,

Busitema University Signature Date.....

BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY LIBRARY CLASS NO. ACCESS NO. AASO000

i

DEDICATION

I dedicate this piece of work to my Mum, brothers; TUMUSIME, MWUJUKA and MPAMIZO, sisters; ATUKWASE and ATUJUNE and my friends; POLLY CARP and EVALYNE for the tremendous support which enabled me to go through the course.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I am heartily thankful to my supervisor, Dr. G.M. Kamugisa, whose encouragement, guidance and support from concept level to the final stage of this dissertation enabled me to develop an understanding of the subject.

I also offer my sincere regards and blessings to Dr. Matovu Henry, Mr. Mbogua Joseph and the entire staff of the Faculty of Agriculture and Animal Sciences for their support and extensive critique that enabled me to model this dissertation to acceptable standards.

I owe my sincere gratitude to farmers and staff of Buhweju district, students and civil leaders who contributed relevant information for the good of this study. Thank you for your voluntary participation in this study.

Lastly, I would like to acknowledge all my classmates and friends who made my stay at Busitema University a memorable experience and for all the people who helped me a lot. Thank you very much and may God bless you all.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| DECLARATION | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| APPROVAL | | | |
| DEDICATION | | | |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | | | |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS iv | | | |
| LISTOF FIGURES vii | | | |
| LIST OF TABLES | | | |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | | | |
| ABSTRACTx | | | |
| CHARPPTER ÖNE | | | |
| 1.1 Background | | | |
| 1.2 Statement of the problem1 | | | |
| 1.3 Justification of the study | | | |
| 1.4 Main Objective | | | |
| 1.5 Specific Objectives | | | |
| 1.6 Research questions | | | |
| CHAPTER TWO: LITRATURE REVIEW | | | |
| 2.1 A.I. around the World | | | |
| 2.2 A.I. in East Africa | | | |
| 2.3 A.I. in Uganda | | | |
| 2.3.1 Breeds of cattle in Uganda | | | |
| 2.3.2 Key players in the field of A.I | | | |
| 2.3.3 Adoption of A.I. in Uganda | | | |
| 2.3.4 Factors influencing the utilization of A.I. in Uganda | | | |
| 2.3.5 The sources of semen for A.I. in Uganda | | | |
| 2.4 Advantages of A.I | | | |
| 2.5 Disadvantages of A.L | | | |
| CHAPTER THREE: MATERIALS AND METHODS | | | |
| 3.1 The area of study | | | |

| 3.2 S | mpling design | |
|----------|--|--|
| .3.3 O | Operational approach9 | |
| 3.4 S | aŭstical analysis9 | |
| CHAPTER | FOUR: RESULTS | |
| 4.1 A | ge & Level of Education of the Farmers | |
| 4.2 F | arming System and Size of the Herd Kept by the Farmers | |
| 4.3 Ň | fethod of Breeding | |
| 4. 3.1 | Natural Mating12 | |
| 4.3.2 | Artificial Insemination | |
| 4.4 L | ivestock Extension | |
| 4, 4, 1 | Level of Education of the Extension Workers | |
| 4.4.2 | Livestock Extension Workers with Skills in A.J | |
| 4.4.3 | Extension Workers Practicing the A.I. technology | |
| 4, 4,4 | possible limitations to the use of the A.I. technology | |
| 4.4.5 | Possible Solutions to the Limitations | |
| CHATER I | FIVE: DISCUSSION OF RESULTS | |
| 5.1 A | ge & Level of Education of the Farmers | |
| 5.2 F | arming System and Size of the Herd Kept by the Farmers | |
| 5.3 Ň | 17 fethod of Breeding | |
| 5.3.1 | Natural Mating | |
| 5.3.2 | Artificial Insemination | |
| 5.4 L | ivestock Extension | |
| 5. 4.1 | Level of Education of the Extension Workers | |
| 5. 4.2 | Livestock Extension Workers with Skills in A.I | |
| 5.4.3 | Extension Workers Practicing A.I | |
| 5.4.4 | Possible limitations to the use of A.I | |
| 5.4.5 | Possible Solutions to the Limitations | |
| CHAPTER | SIX: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS | |

| 6,1 | Conclusion | 20 | |
|---|--|------|--|
| 6,2 | Recommendations | . 20 | |
| REFERE | ENCES | . 21 | |
| Appendix A: Questionnaire for dairy farmers | | | |
| Apper | ndix B: Questionnairefor extension workers | 28 | |

LISTOF FIGURES

Figure 4.3: Showing the breeding method preferred by the farmers

-

÷

÷

LIST OF TABLES

Table 4.1.1: Showing the age of the farmers

Table 4.1.2: Showing the level of Education of the farmers

Table 4.2.1: Showing the farming systems

Table 4.2.2. Showing the size of the herd kept by the farmers

Table 4.3.1: Showing the source of the bull used for natural mating

Table 4.3.2. Showing the farmers' reasons for not using the A.I. technology

Table 4.4.1: Showing the level of education of the livestock extension workers

Table 4.4.2: Showing the number of extension workers with skills in AI and the institutions of training

Table 4.4.3: Showing the respondents who are practicing AI in their sub counties

Table 4.4.4: Showing the possible limitations to the use of A.I. in Buhweju district

Table 4.4.5: Showing the possible solutions to the limitations of using A.I. in Buhweju district

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| AI | Artificial Insemination |
|-------|--|
| DDA | Dairy Development Authority |
| Dr. | Doctor |
| FAO | Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations |
| MAAIF | Ministry of Agriculture, Animal Industry and Fisheries |
| NAADs | National Agricultural Advisory Services |
| NGOs | Non-Government Organizations |

ABSTRACT

Artificial insemination (A.I.) is one of the most effective tools available to cattle producers to improve productivity and profitability of their cattle operations. It confers several advantages over natural mating. Its use has been of enormous economic benefit through genetic improvement of the animals for milk production and the control of venereal diseases.

Low genetic potential, among other causes, is responsible for the poor milk productivity of the indigenous cattle of Uganda. However, breeding with semen from proven sires will facilitate faster genetic improvement and enhance milk productivity. A.I. is a key tool in the realization of such an objective. Despite its presence in Uganda for over 60 years, less than 10% of the country's herd has been bred using this technology. The reasons for this low adoption are not very clear. Consequently, a cross-sectional study was designed to determine constraints to the adoption of the A.I. technology by dairy farmers of Buhweju district. Specifically, the study sought to establish the farmers' attitudes and knowledge about A.I. and to determine the factors limiting the utilization of this technology. The data collected was analyzed using the Statistical Package for Social Scientists (SPSS) version 16.0 and the results presented in form of pie charts and frequency tables.

The study revealed that there was a group of farmers who were totally ignorant about the A.I. technology, those who claimed it could harm their animals, and those who saw it as being too expensive. The study also found out that there was easy access to free natural breeding services; lack of precise breeding objectives by the farmers; high costs of A.I. equipment; absence of budget allocations to A.I.; and lack of facilitation to the A.I. technicians. Further, A.I. facilities were far from the farms; the trained technicians were very few; even then, they lacked transport to the farms; and the farmers failed to seek timely A.I. services.

To better appreciate the advantages of A.L., it was recommended that the farmers undergo sensitization programmes and training in heat detection and breeding. It was also recommended that the practice of sharing or using stray bulls is discouraged as this could lead to the spread of venereal diseases and indiscriminate mating resulting in poor productivity. Further, the study urges that more efforts be made by the relevant authorities to train additional A.I. technicians and to properly facilitate and motivate them.

CHARPPTER ONE

1.1 Background

Artificial insemination (A.I.) is the technique of transferring semen containing viable spermatozoa collected from a male animal into the reproductive tract of the female animal to facilitate conception. It is one of a group of technologies commonly known as "assisted reproduction technologies" (Jane *et al.*, 2011). A.I. is widely used for livestock breeding around the world (Gamborg *et al.*, 2005) with more than 70% of the animals in the developing world being bred using this technology (Kaaya*et al.*, 2005).

Artificial insemination is a necessary tool in sustainable farm animal breeding (Gamborg *et al.*, 2005). It is an important technique that offers several advantages over natural mating (Eklundh *et al.*, 2013). Its use as a method of production, particularly in the diary sector, has been of enormous economic benefit through genetic improvement of milk production, the control of venereal and other diseases, and lethal genes (Howle, *et al.*, 2012). The method promises a prevention of reproductive diseases that might be spread by natural mating and allows the use of several superior breeds within a herd which is not possible through natural service. The major benefit of the technique is that it offers excellent possibilities to genetically improve the livestock, especially for the small-scale farmers so that their production and productivity are enhanced (Martinez, 2012). Additionally, AI prevents in-breeding and replacing the bull after every two years (Bayer *et al.*, 2005).

1.2 Statement of the problem

The average milk production per cow in Uganda is 8.5 liters per week (MAAIF, 2011), which translates into one liter per day. This is as opposed to 15 to 20 liters of milk for exotic dairy cattle or even 30 liters for the high yielders (Staalet al., 2003). Low genetic potential among other causes is responsible for the poor milk productivity of the indigenous cattle of Uganda. Breeding with semen from proven sires will facilitate faster genetic improvement of the dairy cow and enhance milk productivity. A.I. is a key tool in the realization of such an objective (Eklundhet al., 2013). However, despite the presence of A.I. in Uganda for over 60 years, less than 10% of the country's herd population has been bred using this technology (Eklundh et al., 2013).

REFERENCES

Aditya R. Khanal and Jeffrey M. Gillespie (2011): Adaption and profitability of breeding technologies on united states dairy farms, department of agricultural economics, virgina polytechnic institute and state university, blacks burg VA 24061, Louisiania state university agricultural centre baton Rouge, La 70803.

Aditya R. Khanal and Jeffry Gilkspu (2013): Adoption and productivity of breeding technologies. The Journal of Agro biotechnology Management and Economics, 16 no.1 article 6

Bamwerinde, W. and Alacho, F. (2002): A report on survey to identify suitable agricultural and natural resources based technologies for intensification in south western Uganda. The International Centre for Research in Agro-forestry, Nairobi, Kenya.

Bayer, W. and Wanyama, J. (2006): Biotechnology in animal agriculture and poverty alleviation, an NGO perspective in: Proceedings of the 4th all African Conference on Animal Agriculture and the 31st Annual meeting of Tanzania Society of Animal Production.

Eklundhu, C (2013): The use of artificial insemination in dairy farms in urban/ Peri- urban Kampala, Uganda- A study of knowledge, attitude and practices, the Swedish University of Agricultural Science, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Science, Department of Clinical Science.

Galloway, D. & Perera, O. (2003): Guidelines and recommendations for improving artificial breeding of cattle in Africa, A working document of the AFRA Project III-2.RAF/5/046.

Hashakimana, J. (1996): Factors affecting AI acceptability as a breeding innovation: Msc. Thesis, Makerere University, Kampala, Uganda.

Hillers, J., Thonney, S. and Gaskins, C. (1982): Economic comparison of breeding dairy cows artificially versus naturally: Journal of Dairy Science, 65 (5): P.861-865.

Howley, P., Donoghue, C. and Heanue, K. (2012): Factors affecting farmers' adoption of agricultural innovations: A panel of data analysis on the use of artificial insemination among dairy farmers in Ireland. Journal of Agriculture Science, 4 (6): ISSN1916-9752

Jane, M. and Morrell, M. (2011): Artificial insemination in farms; Current and Future Trends, ISBN 978-953-307-312-5in Tech.

Johnson, N. and Ruttan, V. (1997): The diffusion of livestock breeding technology in the US; Observations on the relationship between technical change and industry structure, Journal of Agribusiness 15(1):19-35.

Kaaya, H. Bashaaha, B. and Mutetika, D. (2005): Determinant of utilization of artificial insemination services among Uganda dairy farmers, African Journal of Crop Sciences, Conference proceeding, Vol.7 pp 567, ISSN 1023-070X/2005.

Khanal, R., Gillespie, J., and MacDonald, J., (2010): Adoption of technology, management practices, and production systems in U.S. milk production, Journal of Dairy Science 93(6):12-22.

Marlinez, H (2012): Assisted reproductive techniques in developing countries: a critical appraisal of their value and limitation, Reproduction in Domestic Animals 47: 21-26

Mayanja H. (2012): Diary sector reforms and transformation in Uganda since 1990s, Economic Policy Research Centre, Research report N0.4

Mbowa, Shinyekwa, I and Mayanja L.(2011): Diary sector reforms and transformation in Uganda since 1990s, Economic Policy Research Centre in collaboration with African Growth Initiative.

Mburu, J., Ojango, K., Kariuk. K, and Bllonweck, (2011): Constraints to the use of artificial insemination services and possible solutions, East African Diary Development Project Baseline survey brief 2. Nairobi Kenya: ILRI.

Rodriguz, H. and Matine, Z, . (2012): Assisted reproductive techniques for cattle breeding in developing countries; A critical Appraisal of their value and limitations, Reproduction in Domestic Animals Vol.47(SI), p.21-26

Tukahirwa, J. and Kabananukye K. (1995): Diagnosis characterization activities in African Highland Initiative (AHI), Benchmark locations in South West Uganda; Synthesis Study. AHI Task Force, ICRAF, Nairobi