COMMUNITY VALUATION OF WETLAND RESOURCES AND THEIR CONSERVATION CASE OF NAMASAGALI SUB-COUNTY, KAMULI DISTRICT

WATELA WINNIE

BU/UP/2012/2015

SUPERVISOR: ISABIRYE MOSES (Associate PROFESSOR)

A DISSERTATION SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF NATURAL RESOURCE AND ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES, BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY, IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD OF A BACHELORS DEGREE OF SCIENCE IN NATURAL RESOURCE ECONOMICS

i

JUNE 2015

DECLARATION

I Watela Winnie declare that this research report was out of my own work and intelligence and to the best of my knowledge it has not been submitted to any other institution of higher learning for any award of a degree or any other qualification and so am responsible for any mistakes or errors to be found in this thesis.

NAME OF STUDENT: WATELA WNNIE

DATE. 1st Sune 12015-



APPROVAL

I hereby certify that this thesis is the original and individual work of Watela Winnie. It has been done under my supervision and is ready for submission to the Board of examiners Faculty of Natural Resource and Environmental Sciences, Busitema University with due knowledge.

NAME OF SUPERVISOR: ISABIRYE MOSES (Associate PROFESSOR)

915 SIGNATURE 1St DATE

DEDICATION

I dedicate this thesis to all my family members.

9

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I thank the LORD for all the protection, provision and gift of life; it is by HIS WILL that I was able to complete my Bachelor's degree successfully.

I take this opportunity to acknowledge the efforts of FAWE through Busitema University for having paid my tuition and also the financial assistance given to me from the time I joined Busitema University until when I finally finished my course.

Special thanks go to the entire staff of the Faculty of Natural Resource and Environmental Sciences Busitema University most especially to Dr. Alice Nakiyemba, Mr. Kifumba David Nsajju, Dr. Munyuli Theodore, and Mr. Masaba Sowedi for their guidance during the time of proposal defending until presentation of results. Not forgetting my supervisor Isabirye Moses (Associate Professor) who kindly and willingly committed part of his time to ensure that I get all the guidance during the writing of this report.

Lots of love and appreciation to my classmates as well as course mates most especially Aja Clare, Kabandha Deo, Wanyonyi Innocent, Wakalanga Sulai, Twinobusingye Anna, Mugalu Simon, Nyangoma Immelda, Rubagumya Oshea, Oundo Arthur, Kasango Aramanzan, Kyomugasho Sharon, Pedun Hellen Rose and Birungi Ronah for all the moral support and company given to me during my stay at Busitema University, Faculty of Natural Resources and Environmental Sciences.

I owe tribute to my family members, my father Mr. Munyole Patrick, my mother Mrs. Munyole Daphine, my sisters; Stella, Lydia and Sylivia, my brother Moses together with their family members; thanks for your support and prayers.

i٧

LIST OF ACRONYMS

LG	Local Government
NR	Natural Resource
NWP	National Wetlands Program

- PEAP Poverty Eradication Action Plan
- PFM Production Factor Method

ş

š.,

Table of Contents

DECLARATION			
APPROVAL			
DEDICATION			
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT			
LIST OF ACRONYMS			
LIST OF FIGURES			
LIST OF TABLES			
ABSTRACTx			
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION			
L1 Back ground			
1.2 Problem statement			
1.3 Objectives			
1.3.1 General objective			
1.3.2 Specific objectives			
1.4 Research questions			
1.5 Subject scope			
1.6 Time scope			
1.7 Significance of the study			
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW			
2.1 Wetlands			
2.2 The meaning of value			
2.3 Challenges in the management of wetlands resources			
2.3.1 Population explosion			
2.3.2 Climate Change			
2.3.3 Complex Land ownership issues			
2.3.4 Political Interference			
2.4 The socio economic contribution of the wetland resources			
2.5 The production factor method10			
2.6 Best ways for welland conservation			
2.7 Community involvement in the management of the wetland resources			
CHAPTER THREE: METHODOLOGY			
3.1 Description of the study area			
3.2 Research design			

3.3 Sample size and selection			
3.4 Data types and sources			
3.5 Instruments for data collection			
3.6 Data collection methods			
3.7 Method of valuation			
3.8 Data analysis			
3.9 Ethical considerations			
3.10 Limitations			
CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS			
4.1. Demographic characteristics of the respondents			
4.1.2 Sex distribution of the respondents			
4.1.3Education level of respondents			
4.1.4 Marital status of the respondents			
4.2 Socio Économics			
4.2.1 Residence of the respondents			
4.2.2 Benefits to respondents			
4.2.3 How the respondents benefit21			
4.3 Production factor method			
4.3.1 Ownership of land in the wetlands			
4.3.2 Cost of renting the land used in the wetlands			
4.3.3 Products got from the wetlands23			
4,3.3 Amount of money earned from the harvest per year			
4.4 Wetland Conservation Efforts25			
4.4.1 Conservation of the land that individuals use in the wetlands			
4.4.2 Presence of policies to conserve the wetland resources			
4.5 Community involvement in wetland resources' management			
CHAPTER FIVE: SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIOS			
5,1: Summary			
5.2. Conclusion			
5:3 Recommendations			
REFERENCES			
APPENDIX I. Questionnaire			
Appendix 2. Measures to ensure sustenance of the wetland resources			

į,

Ņ

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3.1.1: A map representing Namasagali Sub County
Figure 4.1.1 Age distribution of the respondents
Figure 4.1.2 Distribution of respondents' sex by percentage
Figure 4.3.3: Land ownership in the wetlands
Figure 4.3.4: Products obtained from the wetlands
Figure 4.3.5: Individual's earnings from the activities they carry out from the wetlands per
year,

LIST OF TABLES

Table 4.1.1: Education level attained by the respondents
Table 4.1.2: Marital status of the respondents
Table 4.2.3: Activities being carried out in the wetlands
Table 4.2.4: How respondents benefit from the use of the wetland resources
Table 4.3.5: The costs of renting land in the wetlands 23
Table 4.3.6: Production factor value in Ugandan shillings
Table 4.4.7: The conservation efforts
Table 4.5.8: Community involvement in the resources' management

÷.

ABSTRACT

The Study was about Community valuation of wetland resources and their conservation a case of Namasagali Sub County in Kamuli District. The objectives of the study were to: assess the socio economic contribution of the wetland resources to the community of Namasagali Sub County, find out how value is attached to the wetlands by the community through the use of the production factor method for future benefits of the wetland resources, evaluate the level of conservation efforts on the resources by the community, recommend strategies for community involvement in wetland conservation. The study employed both qualitative and quantitative study designs where the qualitative study design enabled the study to identify variables used and the quantitative study design was used for quantifying variables like incomes of the people. Field surveys were carried out in four parishes of Kisaikye, Kasozi, Bwiza and Namasagali. A sample size of 60 respondents was selected and interviewed with 15 respondents from each parish to avoid bias in the research. To enable data collection, well designed questionnaires were presented for respondents to fill in and give their opinions towards the study where after data was checked, edited and coded. It was then entered in Micro soft Excel where different analysis was made.

The findings of the study included; identifying the major wetlands in Namasagali Sub County and these included wetlands like; Nalwekomba wetland located to the south of Kamuli-Namasagali road from eastern Butansi sub county, with a seasonal river flowing northwest past Namasagali downwards to River Nile, Kisaikye wetland located within Kisaikye Parish, Buwampasa wetland located in Kasozi Parish and Kakindu wetland located in the southern part of Bwiza Parish. People depend a lot on agriculture, Livestock keeping and harvesting of forest products such as wood for charcoal burning and some fishing is also done in the seasonal river such as that one found in the Nalwekomba wetland. It was also revealed that very few people attached value to the wetland resources as wetlands were regarded as waste lands therefore the level of conservation efforts for the wetland resources was very low. However, a number of strategies starting from the grass root level to the higher level were being established to address the issue of not using the wetlands sustainably. The study therefore recommends the development of land use and management plans in order to enhance the use of wetland resources in the study area and in other parts of the country in a sustainable way.

CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

1.1 Back ground

Wetlands are among the world's most productive & biologically rich ecosystems as they offer a wide range of livelihood options to communities as compared to the surrounding dry lands and they have significant economic, social, cultural, hydrological and biological values.

Wetlands are shallow seasonally or permanently water logged or flooded areas, which normally support hydrophytic vegetation (water tolerant). Hydrophytic plants are those that are adapted to growing in water or are found in predominantly wet places. According to the Ramsar Convention,(1971) "wetlands are areas of marsh, fen, peat land or water, whether natural or artificial, permanent or temporary, with water that is static, or flowing, fresh, brackish or salty, including areas of marine water that do not exceed six meters at low tide". The National Environment Act, Cap 153 under Section 2 defines wetlands as areas permanently or seasonally flooded by water where plants and animals have become adopted. Uganda's National Policy for the Conservation and Management of Wetland Resources (1994) defines wetlands as areas "where plants and animals have become adapted to temporary or permanent flooding." It includes permanently flooded areas with papyrus or grass swamps, swamp forests or high-altitude mountain bogs, as well as seasonal flood plains and grasslands.

Wetlands are hotspots of the areas where they are located by the fact that, they hold a great number of biodiversity (Kipkemboi, 2006). Wetlands are important because of their position in the landscape between terrestrial and aquatic environments and their high productivity. The wetlands among other things provide food and other materials, store water, improve water quality, sequester carbon and support biodiversity (Mitsch and Gosselink, 2007; Maltby, 2009). de Groot, (2007) opine that wetlands are among the most precious natural resources on earth. These highly varied ecosystems are natural areas where water accumulates for at least part of the year. Wetlands offer sanctuary to a wide variety of plants, invertebrates, fishes, amphibians, reptiles and mammals, as well as to millions of both migratory and sedentary water birds. Wetlands are an integral part of the hydrological cycle, playing a key role in the provision and maintenance of water quality and quantity as the basis of all life on earth. They are often interconnected with other wetlands, and they frequently

1

REFERENCES

B. R. Malabika, K. R. Pankaj, R. S. Nihar, and M. Asis, "Socioeconomic calculations of wetland based occupations of lower gangetic basin through participatory approach," Environment and Natural Resources Research, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 30–44, 2012.

Barbier, E.B., Acreman, M. and Knowler, D. (1997), Economic Valuation of Wetlands: A Guide for Policy Makers and Planners. Ramsar Convention Bureau, Gland, Switzerland

Barrow E, Murphree M (1998). Community conservation – from concept to practice: A practical framework, working paper No. 8. In: Community conservation research in Africa, Institute for Development Policy and Management, University of Manchester.

De Groot, R. S. (2007), Workbook Module 2 on Wetland Valuation. Wetlands International.

F. Karanja, L. Emerton, J. Mafumbo, and W. Kakuru, Assessment of the Economic Value of Pallisa District Wetlands, Biodiversity Economics Programme for Eastern Africa, IUCN-The World Conservation Union and Uganda National Wetlands Programme, Kampala, Uganda, 2001.

FAO (Food and Agricultural Organization), the State of Food Insecurity in the World, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy, 2001.

Government of Uganda, (GoU), the National Development Plan for Uganda, Kampala, Uganda, 2011.

Kansilme F, Nalubega M (1999). Wastewater Treatment by a Natural Wetland: the Nakivubo Swamp, Uganda. Processes and Implications. PhD Thesis, A.A. Balkema Publishers, Rotterdam, Netherlands. ISBN 90 5410 4201.

Kayiso Fulgencio (2009), Globalization of the Nile Porch assessing the socio cultural implications of the Lake Victoria fishery in Uganda.

Kipkembol J (2006) finer ponds: Seasonal integrated aquaculture in East Africa freshwater wetlands: Exploring their potential for wise use strategies. PhD Thesis, Delft, Netherlands.

Mafabi P, Bakakimpa R, Barugahare V, Busulwa H, Lyango L, Gutosi O, Kiwanuka J, Kiwazi F, Kyambadde R, Mafumbo J, Magezi J, Malinga A, Musinguzi M, Namakambo N, Ndimo D, Oloya Č, Semwogerere P, Tindamanyira T, Tukahirwa – Tumusime J (2005).

Maltby E (2009). Functional assessment of wetlands: Towards Evaluation of Ecosystem Services. Woodland Publishing Limited (Ed.). CRC Press, New York. pp. 13-15.

McCartney, M., Masiyandima, M. and HoughtonCarr, H. (2004), Working Wetlands: Classifying Wetland Potentials for Agriculture International Water Management Institute (IWMI) Draft

Millington, R. F. (2006), an Introduction to Property Valuation. Estate Gazette, London.

Mitsch, W.J. and Gosselink, J.G. (2000) Wetlands Third Edition. New York: Wiley.

Muthuri FM, Jones MB, Imbamba SK (1989). Primary productivity of papyrus (Cyperus papyrus) in a tropical swamp: Lake Naivasha, Kenya. Biomass 18, 1-14

N. Turyahabwe, W. Kakuru, M. Tweheyo, and D. Tumusiime, "Contribution of wetland resources to household food security in Uganda," Agriculture and Food Security Journal, vol. 2, p. 5, 2013.

National Wetlands Policy Uganda (1995). National policy for conservation and management of wetland resources. The Republic of Uganda, Ministry of natural resources. Research Report, 35 pp.

Richmond, D. (1981) Introduction to Valuation. The Macmillan Press Ltd, London.

Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors (RICS, 2008) Valuation Standards. 6th Edition.

Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors UK. Williams, M. ed. (1990) Wetlands: A Threatened Landscape. Oxford, U.K.: Basil Blackwell.

S. M. Mwakubo and G. A. Obare, "Vulnerability, livelihood assets and institutional dynamics in the management of wetlands in Lake Victoria watershed basin," Wetlands Ecology and Management, vol. 17, pp. 1–14, 2009.

Saunders MJ, Jones MB, Kansilme F (2007) Carbon and water cycles in tropical papyrus wetlands. Wetlands Ecol. Manage, 15: 489-498.

Schuyt, K., and Brander, L. (2004), The Economic Values of the World's Wetlands. Gland, Switzerland: WWF.

T. V. Ramachandra, B. Alakananda, A. Rani, and M. A. Khan, "Ecological and socioeconomic assessment of Varthur wetland, Bengaluru (India)," Journal of Environmental Science & Engineering, vol. 53, no. 1, pp. 101–108, 2011.

Wetlands Management Department, Ministry of Water and Environment, Uganda Bureau of Statistics, International Livestock Research Institute, and World Resources Institute, Mapping a Better Future: How Spatial Analysis can benefit wetlands and Reduce Poverty in Uganda, World Resources Institute, Washington, DC, USA, 2009.

WID (2003). Guideline for smallholder Paddy rice cultivation in seasonal wetlands. Wetland Booklet Number 3.

Xu, B. (2007), a Hedonic Analysis of Southwestern Louisiana Wetland Prices Using GIS: A Thesis Submitted to the Graduate Faculty of the Louisiana State University and Agricultural and Mechanical College