Development and assessment of an updated tool for the design of soil and water conservation structures in the sugar industry of South Africa

Daniel Otim^{1,5*}, Jeffrey C Smithers^{1,3}, Aidan Senzanje¹, Rianto van Antwerpen ^{2,4} and Sean L C Thornton-Dibb⁶

(1. Agricultural Engineering, School of Engineering, College of Agriculture, Engineering and Science, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Pietermaritzburg, Private Bag X01, Scottsville, 3209, South Africa;

2. South African Sugarcane Research Institute, Mount Edgecombe, 4302, South Africa;

3. National Centre for Engineering in Agriculture, University of Southern Queensland, Toowoomba, 22 Handley St, Darling Heights QLD 4350, Australia;

4. Department of Soil, Crops and Climate Sciences, University of the Free State, P O Box 339, Bloemfontein 9300, South Africa;

5. Department of Agricultural Mechanisation and Irrigation Engineering, Busitema University, P.O Box 236, Tororo, Uganda;

6. Centre for Water Resources Research, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Pietermaritzburg Campus, Private Bag X01, Scottsville, 3209, South

Africa)

Abstract: Sugarcane in South Africa is grown on wide-ranging soils, sometimes in non-ideal climates and on steep topographies where soils are vulnerable to erosion. Sugarcane fields are protected against erosion through, *inter alia*, the use of engineered waterways, contour banks and spill-over roads. A comparison of design norms in the National Soil Conservation Manual and norms used in the sugar industry of South Africa clearly shows discrepancies that need to be investigated. Furthermore, the sugar industry design nomograph was developed based on an unsustainable soil loss limit, does not include any regional variations of climate and the impact on soil erosion and runoff and does not include vulnerability during break cropping. The aim of this research was to develop and assess updated design norms for soil and water conservation structures in the sugar industry of South Africa. The Agricultural Catchments Research Unit (*ACRU*) model estimates event-based erosion and the *ACRU* was used to conduct simulations for the different practices in the sugar industry of South Africa, using MS Access with a graphical user interface. The updated tool is robust, based on sustainable soil loss limits, includes regional variations of climate and their impact on soil erosion and runoff and also includes vulnerability during break cropping. It is more representative of conditions in the sugar industry of South Africa, using MS Access with a graphical user interface.

Keywords: Agricultural Catchments Research Unit, design norm, soil and water conservation, soil loss, sugarcane, South Africa

Citation: Otim, D., J. C. Smithers, A. Senzanje, R. Van Antwerpen, and S. L. C. Thornton-Dibb. 2021. Development and assessment of an updated tool for the design of soil and water conservation structures in the sugar industry of South Africa. Agricultural Engineering International: CIGR Journal, 23 (4):1-16.

1 Introduction

In South Africa, sugarcane is widely grown in adverse climatic and topographic conditions and on a range of soils, hence the soils are at high risk of erosion (Platford, 1987). For areas receiving high rainfall, protection of cropped land has traditionally been achieved through the use of contour banks built across the hillside at low slopes. However, sugarcane is not always grown on relatively

Received date: 2019-10-07Accepted date: 2021-08-21*Corresponding author: D. Otim, Ph.D., Senior Lecturer in the
Department of Agricultural Mechanisation and Irrigation
Engineering, Busitema University, P.O Box 236, Tororo, Uganda.
Email: danotim@gmail.com. Tel: +256774176824, Fax:
+256454436517.

while in the CoSDT, the P factor represents terracing only with harvesting practices varied within the C factor

since harvesting impacts on sugarcane cover.

	a		b		с	
Parameter	CoSDT	Current Sugar Industry Design	CoSDT	Current Sugar Industry Design	CoSDT	Current Sugar Industry
		Nomograph		Nomograph		Design Nomograph
K	0.38	0.28	0.38	0.28	0.38	0.28
С	0.01 - 0.60	0.11	0.01 - 0.60	0.11	0.01 - 0.60	0.11
Р	0.15	0.08	0.15	0.14	0.15	0.77

Table 5 Parameters from the CoSDT and the current sugar industry design nomograph

^a Contour bank spacing for the sandy clay loam (moderate erodibility), 20% slope, water carrying terrace, minimum tillage, no green manuring and mulched with strip/ panel harvesting scenario.

^b Contour bank spacing for the sandy clay loam (moderate erodibility), 20% slope, water carrying terrace, minimum tillage, no green manuring and burnt and reburnt harvesting scenario.

^c Contour bank spacing for the sandy clay loam (moderate erodibility), 20% slope, water carrying terrace, conventional tillage, no green manuring and burnt and reburnt harvesting scenario

It is also important to note that Platford (1987) used subjective judgement in the development of the current sugar industry design nomograph and this could be another source of discrepancies.

4 Conclusions

The CoSDT accounts for vulnerability during break cropping by including the green manuring agronomic practice while regional variations of climate and their impacts on soil erosion and runoff were addressed through incorporating a drop down menu containing the four regions in the sugar industry in the graphical user interface. Furthermore, it is based on sustainable soil loss limits of 5 t ha⁻¹ year⁻¹. The robustness of the CoSDT is ensured by the over 46 080 scenarios contained in a database while its simplicity of use is in the fact that practices are selected from drop down menus of the MS Access graphical user interface.

Therefore, the CoSDT is more representative of conditions in the sugar industry of South Africa, and it should be employed in place of the current sugar industry design nomograph developed by Platford (1987). Much as the CoSDT was developed for the sugar industry in South Africa, the methodology may be employed in the development of a similar tool for other crops and/ or areas with similar problems.

Acknowledgements

We thank SASRI for providing a bursary to conduct this research.

References

- Alexandridis, T. K., A. M. Sotiropoulou, G. Bilas, N. Karapetsas, and N. G. Silleos. 2015. The effects of seasonality in estimating the C-factor of soil erosion studies. *Land Degradation and Development*, 26(6): 596-603.
- Botha, F., G. F. Buchanan, Q. V. Mann, D. McArthur, J. H. Meyer,
 A. W. Schumann, and R. A. Wood. 1999. *ldentification and Management of the Soils of the South African Sugar Industry*. Mount Edgecombe, RSA: SASEX.
- Chen, E., and D. S. Mackay. 2004. Effects of distribution-based parameter aggregation on a spatially distributed agricultural nonpoint source pollution model. *Journal of Hydrology*, 295(1): 211-224.
- D'Huyvetter, J. H. H. 1985. Determination of threshold slope percentages for the identification of arable land in Ciskei, M.S. thesis, University of Fort Hare, East London, RSA.
- DAWS. 1990. Predicting raifall erosion losses. In National Soil Conservatiuon Manual, ed. J. F. I. G. Mathee, ch. 6, 1-12. Pretoria, RSA: Directorate of Agricultural Engineering and Water Supply.
- DEFRA. 2007. Factors that influence erosion and runoff. In *Think Soils*, ed. DEFRA, ch. 2, 7-29. Bristol, UK: Environment Agency.
- Gwapedza, D., A. Slaughter, D. Hughes, and S. Mantel. 2018. Regionalising MUSLE factors for application to a datascarce catchment. In *Proceedings of the International Association of Hydrological Sciences*, 377, 19-24. Harare, Zimbabwe, 25-27 October 2018.
- Krois, J., and A. Schulte. 2014. GIS-based multi-criteria evaluation to identify potential sites for soil and water conservation techniques in the Ronquillo watershed, northern Peru. Applied Geography, 51(7): 131-142.
- Le Roux, J., T. Morgenthal, J. Malherbe, D. Pretorius, and P. Sumner. 2008. Water erosion prediction at a national scale for South Africa. *Water SA*, 34(3):305-314.

- MacVicar, C. N., J. M. De Villiers, R. F. Loxton, E. Verster, J. J. N. Lambrechts, F. R. Merryweather, and H. J. V. M. Harmse. 1977. Soil Classification. A Binomial System For South Africa. Pretoria, RSA: Department of Agricultural Development.
- Manyevere, A., P. Muchaonyerwa, P. N. S. Mnkeni, and M. C. Laker. 2016. Examination of soil and slope factors as erosion controlling variables under varying climatic conditions. *Catena*, 147(dec): 245-257.
- Matthee, J. F. L. G., and C. J. Van Schalkwyk. 1984. *A Primer on Soil Conservation*. Pretoria, RSA: Department of Agriculture.
- Mthembu, I., P. Lyne, C. Bezuidenhout, K. Collings, O. de Haas, D. Wilkinson, and G. W. Maher. 2011. Mapping Land Suitability for Mechanized Harvesting in Cane Growing Areas, South Africa (Report No. 07RE06). Mount Edgecombe, RSA: South African Sugarcane Research Institute.
- Otim, D. 2020. Development of updated design norms for soil and water conservation structures in the sugar industry of South Africa. Ph.D. diss., University of KwaZulu-Natal, Pietermaritzburg, RSA.
- Otim, D., J. Smithers, A. Senzanje, and R. Van Antwerpen. 2019. Design norms for soil and water conservation structures in the sugar industry of South Africa. *Water SA*, 45(1): 29-40.
- Otim, D., J. C. Smithers, A. Senzanje, and R. Van Antwerpen. 2020. Verification of runoff volume, peak discharge and sediment yield simulated using the ACRU model for bare fallow and sugarcane fields. *Water SA*, 46(2): 182-196.
- Palmer, T., and A. Ainslie. 2006. *Country Pasture/Forage Resource Profiles-South Africa.* Rome, Italy: FAO.
- Platford, G. G. 1987. A new approach to designing the widths of panels in sugarcane fields. In Proc. of the South African Sugar Technologists' Association, 150-155. Mount Edgecombe, RSA, June.
- Reinders, F. B., H. Oosthuizen, A. Senzanje, J. C. Smithers, R. J. Van der Merwe, I. Van der Stoep, and L. Van Rensburg. 2016. Development of Technical and Financial Norms and Standards for Drainage of Irrigated Lands (Report No. TT 655/15). Pretoria, RSA: Water Research Commission.
- Renard, K. G., G. R. Foster, G. A. Weesies, and J. P. Porter. 1991. RUSLE: Revised universal soil loss equation. *Journal of Soil* and Water Conservation, 46(1): 30-33.
- Russell, W. B. 1994. CEDARA Report: Standards and Norms for Soil and Water Conservation Planning in Kwazulu-Natal (Report No. N/A/93/32). Pietermaritzburg, RSA: KwaZulu-Natal Department of Agriculture.
- SASA. 2002. Standards and Guidelines for Conservation and Environmental Management in the South African Sugar Industry. Mount Edgecombe, RSA: South African Sugar Association.

- SASA. 2016. South African Sugar Industry Directory 2016/2017. Mount Edgecombe, RSA: SASA.
- SASA. 2018a. Weather Web. Availble at: http://portal.sasa.org.za/weatherweb/weatherweb.ww_menus .menu frame?menuid=1. Accessed 24 May 2018.
- SASA. 2018b. Where To Find Us. Availble at: http://www.sasa.org.za/Libraries/Maps/Map_of_Operational _Areas.sflb.ashx_Accessed 24 May 2018.
- SASRI. 2011. South African Sugar Industry Visitors' Guide. Mount Edgecombe, RSA: SASRI.
- SASRI. 2016. *SASRI InfoPack.* Mount Edgecombe, RSA: South African Sugarcane Research Institute.
- Schmidt, E. J., R. E. Schulze, and M. C. Dent. 1987. Flood Volume and Peak Discharge from Small Catchments in Southern Africa Based on the SCS Technique (Report No. TT 31/87.). Pretoria, RSA: Water Research Comission.
- Schulze, R. E. 1995a. Sediment yield. In *Hydrology and Agrohydrology: A Text to Accompany the ACRU 3.00 Agrohydrological Modelling System*, ed. S. A. Lorentz and R. E. Schulze, ch. 16, 1-34. Pietermaritzburg, RSA: Department of Agricultural Engineering, University of Natal.
- Schulze, R. E. 1995b. Streamflow. In Hydrology and Agrohydrology: A Text to Accompany the ACRU 3.00 Agrohydrological Modelling System, ed. R. E. Schulze, ch. 10, 1-7. Pietermaritzburg, RSA: Department of Agricultural Engineering, University of Natal.
- Schulze, R. E. 1975. Catchment evapotranspiration in the Natal Drakensberg. Ph.D. diss., University of Natal, Pietermaritzburg, RSA.
- Schulze, R. E. 2011. A 2011 Perspective on Climate Change and the South African Water Sector (Report No. 1843/2/11). Pretoria, RSA: Water Research Comission.
- Schulze, R. E. 2013. Modelling Impacts of Land Use on Hydrological Responses in South Africa with the ACRU Model by Sub-delineation of Quinary Catchments into Land Use Dependent Hydrological Response Units (Internal report). Pietermaritzburg, RSA: Centre for Water Resources Research, University of KwaZulu-Natal.
- Schulze, R. E., G. R. Angus, S. D. Lynch, and J. C. Smithers. 1995. ACRU: Concepts and structure. In *Hydrology and Agrohydrology: A Text to Accompany the ACRU 3.00 Agrohydrological Modelling System*, ed. R. E. Schulze, ch. 2, 1-26. Pietermaritzburg, RSA: Department of Agricultural Engineering, University of Natal.
- Schulze, R. E., and N. Davis. 2018. Personal communication with author. Pietermaritzburg, RSA, 28 August.
- Schulze, R. E., and R. P. Kunz. 2010. Climate change 2010 and sugarcane production. In *Atlas of Climate Change and the South African Agricultural Sector: A 2010 Perspective*, ed.
 R. E. Schulze, ch. 5.5, 191-206. Pretoria, RSA: Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries.

- Schulze, R. E., B. C. Hewitson, K. R. Barichievy, M. A. Tadross, R. P. Kunz, M. J. C. Horan, and T. G. Lumsden. 2011. *Methodological Approaches to Assessing Eco-Hydrological Responses to Climate Change in South Africa* (WRC Report No. 1562/1/10). Pretoria, RSA: Water Research Commission.
- Schulze, R. E., and E. J. Schmidt. 1995. Peak discharge. In Hydrology and Agrohydrology: A Text to Accompany the ACRU 3.00 Agrohydrological Modelling System, ed. R. E. Schulze, ch. 12, 1-11. Pietermaritzburg, RSA: Department of Agricultural Engineering, University of Natal.
- Schulze, R. E., E. J. Schmidt, and J. C. Smithers. 2004. Visual SCS – SA User Manual Version 1.0: PC Based SCS Design Flood Estimates for Small Catchments in Southern Africa (ACRUcons Report No. 52). Pietermaritzburg, RSA: School of Bioresources Engineering and Environmental Hydrology, University of KwaZulu-Natal.
- Shih, H. M., and Yang, C. T. 2009. Estimating overland flow erosion capacity using unit stream power. *International Journal of Sediment Research*, 24(1): 46-62.
- Smithers, J., and R. Schulze. 1995. ACRU Agrohydrological Modelling System User Manual. Pietermaritzburg, RSA: Department of Agricultural Engineering, University of Natal.
- Smithers, J. C., P. Mathews, and R. E. Schulze. 1996. The Simulation of Runoff and Sediment Yield from Catchments under Sugarcane Production at La Mercy (ACRUcons Report No. 13). Pietermaritzburg, RSA: Department of Agricultural Engineering, University of Natal.
- Smithers, J. C., R. E Schulze, N. L. Lecler, S. W. Kienzle, S. A. Lorentz, and R. P. Kunz. 1995. User guidelines for setting up information. In ACRU Agrohydrological Modelling

System: User Manual Version 3.00, ed. R. E. Schulze and J. C. Smithers, ch. 6, 1-190. Pietermaritzburg, RSA: Department of Agricultural Engineering, University of Natal.

- Tanyaş, H., Ç. Kolat, and M. L. Süzen. 2015. A new approach to estimate cover-management factor of RUSLE and validation of RUSLE model in the watershed of Kartalkaya Dam. *Journal of Hydrology*, 528(Sep): 584-598.
- Van Antwerpen, R., 2019. *Personal Communication with Author*. Mount Edgecombe, RSA: Mount Edgecombe.
- Van Staden, H., and A. A. Smithen. 1989. Protection of cultivated lands. In *National Soil Conservation Manual*, ed. J. F. I. G. Mathee, ch. 8, 8.3-1-8.3-44. Pretoria, RSA: Directorate of Agricultural Engineering and Water Supply.
- Williams, J., and H. Berndt. 1977. Sediment yield prediction based on watershed hydrology. *Transactions of the ASAE*, 20(6): 1100-1104.
- Williams, J. R. 1975. Sediment-yield prediction with universal equation using runoff energy factor. *Present and Prospective Technology for Predicting Sediment Yields and Sources*, 40: 244-252.
- Williams, J. R., and J. G. Arnold. 1997. A system of erosion sediment yield models. *Soil Technology*, 11(1): 43-55.
- Wischmeier, W. H., and D. D. Smith. 1965. Rainfall Erosion Losses From Cropland East Of The Rocky Mountains: Guide For Selection Of Practices For Soil And Water Conservation. Washington D.C., USA: USDA.
- Wischmeier, W. H., and D. D. Smith. 1978. Predicting Rainfall Erosion Losses-A Guide to Conservation Planning. Washington D.C., USA: USDA.