BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY.

FACULTY OF NATURAL RESOURCES AND ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES. DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES.

ASSESSING THE SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC SIGNIFIANCE OF CHORCOAL PRODUCTION TO LOCAL FARMERS IN KABANYORO AND KABAGANDA VILLAGES IN NAMSAGALI SUB COUNTY, KAMULI DISTRICT.

 \mathbf{BY}

ASIIMWE KARUNGI.

BU/UG/2019/0013.

A RESEARCH PROJECT SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF NATURAL RESOURCES AND ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENT FOR A WARD OF BACHELORS IN NATURAL RESOURCE ECONOMICS OF BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY.

DECL	ARA	TI	ON
ν_{μ}	u	у 1 т,	O11.

I Asiimwe karungi declare that this research project has been t	hrough my own efforts and
never has it been submitted to Busitema University or any other	er institution of higher for the
ward of a degree or any other qualification.	
Signature	Date

APPROVAL

I hereby certify that this research project titled "assessing the social and economic significance of charcoal production on the local farmers in Kabanyoro and Kabaganda villages in Namasagali Sub County, Kamuli district." has been done under my supervision and it is ready to be submitted to the faculty of natural resources and environmental sciences Busitema University.

Signature
Mr. Henry Kisu –Kisira.
University supervisor.
Date;

DEDICATION

I dedicate this research to my dear mother Mrs. Ngabiye karungi, my late father Omuhereza Karungi Kasumba plus my sister Tuhaise karungi. the family of Charles , Madam Biingi Florence, Ms. Owemamabazi Becky and Mr. Alinda Bob for their endless support throughout my journey of education , May God bless and protect you always .I also dedicate this research to my course mates most especially Tugonzaruhanga Joseph , Luswata Emmanuel Reus , Byalebeka Mark Cedric , lokeris Pedo Claire for their cooperation throughout this course.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I thank the almighty God who has brought me this far in my studies. I will live to glorify your name.

Am greatly indebted to the management of Busitema university Namasagali campus especially the head of department, Mr. Kisu Kisira due to the supervision in the execution of duties my research and enabled me to successfully complete my research project.

I also thank my dear parents Mrs. Ngabiye Karungi , my late father Omuhereza karungi Kasumba , my beloved sister Tuhaise karungi who supported me morally and financially in the struggle to accomplish my studies,. I appreciate Madam Biingi Florence and her family who supported me and guiding me throughout my education journey, may God bless you always.

I also extend my sincere appreciation to my course mates and friends most especially Tugonzaruhanga Joseph, Kugonza Robert among others who were cooperative with me during my research period.

Table of contents

DECLARATION.	ii
APPROVAL	iii
DEDICATION	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
LIST OF ACRONYMS	ix
LIST OF FIGURES.	X
LIST OF TABLES	xii
ABSTRACT	xiii
CHARTPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Back ground of the study	1
1.3 Problem statement	2
1.4. Objectivities of the study	2
1.4.1General objective	2
1.4.2 Specific objective	2
1.5 General research questions.	2
1.5.1Specific research question.	2
1.6 Conceptual frame work	3
1.7 Significance of the study.	4
CHARTPTER TWO.LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.0. Introduction	5
2.1 Charcoal production.	5
2.2.1 Charcoal production process	5
2.2.2. Tree species preferred for charcoal production.	6
2.2.3 Charcoal markets and prices	7
2.3 Benefits of charcoal production.	8
2.4 Constraints of charcoal production.	8
2.5 Institutional aspects of charcoal production.	9
2 .6 Legal frame works in charcoal production.	10
CHARPTER THREE: METHODOLOGY	12
3.1 Introduction	12
3.2 Research design.	12

3.3 Research approach	12
3.4 Description of the Study area	12
3.5 Study population.	13
3.6 Sample size and sampling procedure	13
3.6.1 Sample size	13
3.6.2 Sampling techniques and procedure	13
3. 7 Data types and data collection methods	13
3.7.1 Data types	13
3.7.2 Data collection methods	13
3.7.3 Interviews using questionnaire.	13
3.7.4 Observation.	14
3.8. Data processing and data analysis.	14
3.9 Ethical consideration.	14
4.0. CHARPTER FOUR; FINDINGS.	15
4.1 introduction.	15
4.2 Social –demographic characteristics of respondents	15
4.2.1 Location	15
4.2.2 Gender of respondents	15
4.2.3. Age group of respondents	15
4.2.4 Education level of respondents	16
4.2.5 Marital status of respondents	17
4.2.7. Current occupation.	17
4.3 Charcoal production.	18
4.3.1 Land ownership	18
4.3.2. Cost of hiring land for charcoal production.	18
4.3.3. Period of hiring land for charcoal production	19
4.3.4. Basins of charcoal produced per month.	19
4.3.5 Period of time used in charcoal production.	20
4.3.6. Cost for a basin of charcoal	20
4.3.7. Market for charcoal.	21
4.4 Tree species used in charcoal production	21
4.4.1 Most preferred tree species in charcoal production	22
4.5 Challenges and benefits in charcoal production.	23
4.5.1 challenges faced in the due process of using traditional method of	charcoal
production	23

APPENDIX 111: INTERVIEW GUIDE FOR THE KEY INFORMANTS.	
APPENDIX 11: QUESTIONNAIRE;	
APPENDICES	
REFERENCES:	
6.3 Recommendation.	39
6.2. Conclusion	39
6.1. Introduction	39
CHARPTER SIX: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.	39
5.5 Interventions and Recommendation.	37
5.4 Benefits and challenges of charcoal production.	36
5.3 Charcoal production	35
5.2. socio- demographic characteristics of respondents.	34
5.1 introduction.	34
CHARPTER FIVE: DISCUSSION:	
4.7.6 Interventions by the key informants in charcoal production	
4.7.5 Solutions to the problems in charcoal production.	
4.7.4 Challenges faced in charcoal production.	
4.7.3 Land ownership	
4.7.2 Charcoal pricing by key informants	
4.6.1 Main tree species use in charcoal production.	
4.6 Findings from the informants.	
4.5.5 Laws, policy and bye laws that govern charcoal production	
4.5.4. Awareness of any law, policy or bye-law rendering charcoal production	
4.5.3 Support from government and non – governmental organization	
4.5.2. Those that participated in training the people in charcoal production.	
4. 5.1. Training in the charcoal production process.	
4.4.7 Ranking the challenges faced in the charcoal production process 4.5. Intervention and recommendation	
4.4.6 Solutions to the challenges in the process of charcoal production	
4.4.5 Challenges in charcoal production.	
4.5.4 Beneficaries of charcoal production	
•	
4.5.3 Benefits of charcoal production.	

LIST OF ACRONYMS

SPSS	Statistical package for social scientists
NEMA	National Environmental Management authority.
NGO'S	Non – Governmental Organizations
NFA	National Forestry Authority
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization
NFP	National Forestry Plan
NEMP	National Environmental Management Plan
NDP	National Development Plan
NFP	National Forestry Plan
UEP	Uganda Energy Policy
REP	Renewable Energy Policy
CFR	Central Forest Reserves
NCSU	National Charcoal Survey Uganda
MEMD	Ministry of Energy and Mineral Development
СВО	Charcoal Burners Organizations.

LIST OF FIGURES.

Figure 1, conceptual frame work.	3
Figure 2, Map of Kamuli District showing the location of Namasagali Sub County.	12
Figure 3, shows location.	15
Figure 4.Gender of the respondents	15
Figure 5, Age of the respondents.	16
Figure 6 level of education	16
Figure 7 Marital status of respondents	17
Figure 8 Current occupation.	17
Figure 9 Land ownership.	18
Figure 10 Cost of hiring land for charcoal production.	18
Figure 11 Period of hiring land.	19
Figure 12 Shows market for charcoal.	21
Figure 13 shows the most preferred tree species.	23
Figure 14 showing challenges in the process of using traditional method	23
Figure 15 showing the solutions to challenges as a result of using traditional method	24
Figure 16 showing the benefits of charcoal production.	24
Figure 17 Shows beneficiaries of charcoal production.	25
Figure 18 Shows beneficiaries of charcoal production.	25
Figure 19 solutions to challenges in charcoal production.	26
Figure 20 ,Shows rankings for challenges in charcoal production.	26
Figure 21 shows the training levels in charcoal production.	27
Figure 22, Shows personnel that train individuals in charcoal production.	27
Figure 23 showing the support from government and non-governmental organization	28
Figure 24 shows the level of awareness towards laws, policy, and bye –laws	28
Figure 25 shows different tree species.	30
Figure 26, shows land ownership.	31
Figure 27, shows challenges in charcoal production.	32
Figure 28 shows the solutions to address challenges.	33
Figure 29 shows the traditional method of charcoal production in Kabanyoro village	43
Figure 30 shows the areas being cleared for charcoal production in Kabanyoro village	43
Figure 31 shows log cutting and sorting in Kabaganda village	44
Figure 32 shows sacks of chargoal in Kahaganda village	11

Figure 33 shows a market place in Kabaganda village	45
Figure 34 shows logs from eucalyptus tree species.	45

LIST OF TABLES

6
19
20
21
22
29

ABSTRACT

This research project was conducted on the local farmers in the areas of Kabanyoro and Kabaganda Namasagali Sub County, Kamuli district the data was collected during the month of March 2023. The main objective of the study was to assess the socio economic significance of charcoal production to the local farmers.

The study was descriptive research design with both qualitative and quantitative approaches, primary data was collected using structured questionnaires and personal observation and secondary data by review of existing literature from journals, district reports and books. purposive sampling was to select the key informants and the sample size was 40 local farmers located in the areas of Kabanyoro and Kabaganda Namasagali sub county interviewed in the study, the primary data was coded ,cleaned and entered in Microsoft excel and the exported to statistical package for social scientists (SPSS) for descriptive statistical analysis

Findings showed that the most tree species used in charcoal production are non-fruit tree species, laws, policy and bye laws that govern charcoal production were restriction on fruit tree species like mango tree, avocado tree and others including practicing afforestation and reafforestation, it was found that charcoal prices vary at different sites for example production site, roadside and village areas. Most local farmers were using traditional method of charcoal production because it cheap and easy.

It is concluded from the study that; Charcoal production is predominant economic activity for the majority of local farmers in Namasagali sub county Kamuli district due to high levels of poverty, a substantive number of local farmers sell charcoal to get income to afford basic necessities of life. The laws and policies that prohibit indiscriminate charcoal burning have been exploited over the years by local farmers that deal in charcoal production business. The study indicates that the local farmers in charcoal production use traditional methods of charcoal production facing related problems of hard surface area, harsh weather and climatic condition, increased damages and illness of local farmers as a result of snakes bites, a lot of heat from the production sites, cuts from sharp equipment's used and others.

Recommendation from the study include; Relevant plans and programs should be developed that affect charcoal production, there should the need for sustainable development through preservation of natural resources such forests, strategies that are relevant to charcoal production inform of restoration of forest cover through re-afforestation and afforestation, encouraging commercial tree planting on private land all those measures can stimulate

sustainable charcoal production hence contributing socially and economically to the local farmers. There should Compliance to existing laws and regulations, to reduce over harvesting by charcoal makers, harvesting plans should be revised in comply with available forest resources and existing to have sustainable harvesting of charcoal.

CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

This chapter presents the background of the study, problem statement, general and specific objectives, conceptual framework and the significance of the study

1.2 Back ground of the study

According to (Hosier ,1993) wood as fuel is considered to be the first energy resource used by human and with charcoal are the most globally used, furthermore, according to (van Beukering et al, 2007) 30,000 years ago commonly in cave drawing the natural wood charcoal has been used as energy source According to (FAO, 2007), globally 3.3 m3 of wood is used annually as energy source more than other biomass .in addition to developing countries especially rural areas over 2 billion people still rely on fuel wood as their main energy source (FAO,2010).this energy source estimated at 47 million metric tons; with 9% increase since 2004(FAO 2009).both fuel and charcoal provide more than 14% of the world's total energy production and this shows how important those energy resources are especially in developing countries where are considered as primary energy sources . According to (FAO, 2011), Africa alone use 63% of the global charcoal production especially in rural that accounts for 94% and 73% in urban areas.

In Uganda, charcoal production a cross Uganda, but it is concentrated in the districts near or well connected to Uganda's three main urban centers (Kampala Jinja and Entebbe), where wooded ecosystems and vast farming systems and vast farming systems coexist. The west central areas of Masindi, Nakasongola and Hioma are included in the sample; these predominately supply Kampala capital region. Our study sties have significant disparities in physical and institutional infrastructure, which allows us to investigate if the policy environment influences charcoal activity in various areas. (Fydees khundi, 2010).

In Kamuli charcoal production is a major economic activity, its production has reached unsustainable levels, and the charcoal production operations are increasingly a source of environmental concern, especially considering that slow growing hard wood tree species are targeted without plans for replacement planting. (KDLG. 2015)With anticipated increased demand for charcoal in the foreseeable future and given the current unsustainable production trends, the needs for proactive intervention to avert a looming energy (charcoal) supply crisis — with related ecological and environmental consequences -is more than urgent. The material most commonly used to generate charcoal is wood production is partly the reason why Uganda

REFERENCES:

- Adeyemi, K. O., & Asere, A. A. (2014). A review of the energy situation in Uganda.
- Agyeman, K. O., Amponsah, O., Braimah, I., & Lurumuah, S. (2012). Commercial charcoal production and sustainable community development of the upper west region, Ghana. *Journal of Sustainable development*, 5(4), 149-164.
- Ainembabazi, J. H., Shively, G., & Angelsen, A. (2013). Charcoal production and household welfare in Uganda: a quantile regression approach. *Environment and Development Economics*, 18(5), 537-558.
- Chiteculo, V., Lojka, B., Surový, P., Verner, V., Panagiotidis, D., & Woitsch, J. (2018).

 Value chain of charcoal production and implications for forest degradation: Case study of Bié Province, Angola. *Environments*, 5(11), 113.
- Dast an, B., Antwi, D. S., Petra, H., & Vaclav, K. (2017). Firewood and charcoal production in Uganda. *Int. Multidiscip. Sci. GeoConference SGEM*, 17, 521-528.
 - Demirbas, A., Ahmad, W., Alamoudi, R., & Sheikh, M. (2016). Sustainable charcoal production from biomass. *Energy Sources, Part A: Recovery, Utilization, and Environmental Effects*, 38(13), 1882-1889.
- Fashina, A., Mundu, M., Akiyode, O., Abdullah, L., Sanni, D., & Ounyesiga, L. (2018). The drivers and barriers of renewable energy applications and development in Uganda: a review. *Clean Technologies*, *1*(1), 9-39.
- Glavonjić, B., Milan, N., & Predrag, S. (2011). The socio-economic significance of charcoal production in Serbia.'. *Development trends in economic and management in wood processing and furniture manufacturing'*, *Proceedings*, 35-44.

- Herd, A. R. C. (2007). Exploring the socio-economic role of charcoal and the potential for sustainable production in the Chicale Regulado, Mozambique. *A dissertation* presented for the degree of Master of Science University of Edinburgh.
- Kajina, W., Junpen, A., Garivait, S., Kamnoet, O., Keeratiisariyakul, P., & Rousset, P. (2019). Charcoal production processes: An overview.
- Kamwilu, E., Duguma, L. A., & Orero, L. (2021). The Potentials and Challenges of Achieving Sustainability through Charcoal Producer Associations in Kenya: A Missed Opportunity?. *Sustainability*, *13*(4), 2288.
- Kiruki, H. M., van der Zanden, E. H., Kariuki, P., & Verburg, P. H. (2020). The contribution of charcoal production to rural livelihoods in a semi-arid area in Kenya. *Environment, Development And Sustainability*, 22, 6931-6960.
- Nabkalu, C., & Gieré, R. (2019). Charcoal as an energy resource: Global trade, production and socioeconomic practices observed in Uganda. *Resources*, 8(4), 183.
 - Olarinde, O., & Olusola, J. A. (2018). Socio-economic impacts of charcoal production in Oke-Ogun area of Oyo State, Nigeria. *Tropical Plant Research*, *5*(1), 46-52.
- Onekon, W. A., & Kipchirchir, K. O. (2016). Assessing the effect of charcoal production and use on the transition to a green economy in Kenya. *Tropical and Subtropical Agroecosystems*, 19(3), 327-335.
- Zulu, L. C., & Richardson, R. B. (2013). Charcoal, livelihoods, and poverty reduction:
 Evidence from sub-Saharan Africa. Energy for Sustainable Development, 17(2), 127-137.