

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES AND MINING ENGINEERING A SOCIO-HYDROLOGICAL APPROACH TO SEDIMENTATION CONTROL IN IRRIGATION VALLEY DAMS: CASE STUDY OF APUWAI RESERVOIR

NIWANDINDA GILBERT

(BU/UG/2018/2428)

SUPERVISORS

MR. KAJUBI ENOCK

MR. KIMBOWA GEORGE

A final year project report submitted to the Department of water resources and mining engineering in partial fulfillment for the award of the Bachelor of Science in Water Resources Engineering of Busitema University

JANUARY 2023

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First, we would like to thank the almighty God for keeping us in good health and offering us the opportunity to undertake this project.

I express my gratitude to my supervisors Mr. Kajubi Enock and Mr. Kimbowa George for their guidance, supervision, and support throughout this entire work. Their kindness and patience enabled me to enjoy the process and conclusion of this project.

DECLARATION

I, NIWANDINDA GILBERT declare that this report is my research and has not been submitted before to any university or institution of higher learning for any academic award, except where due acknowledgment has been made in the text and reference list.

I stand to defend all this information contained in this report and regret any queries that may arise out of it if there are any.

NAME:	NIWANDINDA GILBERT
SIGN:	ot

APPROVAL

This final year report has been written under the supervision of;

Name: Mr. Kajubi Enock

Signature: ...

Name: Mr. Kimbowa George

Signature

DEDICATION

I dedicate this report to my late mummy MARIA RWOMUSHANA. May your soul continue to Rest in Peace.

ABSTRACT

Sedimentation of reservoirs continues to be a significant problem for reservoir operations all over the world. The reservoirs' storage capacity and useful life are decreased by sediment accumulation. Many Ugandan reservoirs and rivers, including the Apuwai Dam, have sediment-related problems such as decreased water quality and quantity for domestic use and irrigation, flooding, and damage to structures. The rate of sedimentation in the Apuwai reservoir catchment is accelerated by deforestation, overgrazing, and poor land management, among other factors. The study's objectives included finding out the watershed's sediment yield, pinpointing the worst-affected areas with the aid of the RUSLE model and SMCE analysis in a GIS framework for better decision support, and determining the community's WTP for sedimentation mitigation measures using the Dichotomous Choice-Contingent Valuation Method. The research established that the annual soil loss was $572tha^{-1}yr^{-1}$, the SDR ranged from 0 to 0.7469, and the estimated average annual sediment yield in the dam watershed in $13.955tha^{-1}yr^{-1}$. The trap efficiency of the reservoir was estimated to be 79.7% with an annual sedimentation rate of $4,893.730m^3yr^{-1}$. The sediment yield based on catchment characterization was $11.3109tha^{-1}vr^{-1}$. The study found that WTP for sedimentation measures of Yes reduces as the price of the offer increase and that 19.3 percent of the total respondents showed WTP while 80.7 percent did not.

Keywords: Reservoir sedimentation, Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation, Spatial Multi-Criteria Evaluation, Sediment Delivery Ratio, Trap efficiency, Willingness to pay, DC-CVM

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	ii
DECLARATION	iii
APPROVAL	iv
DEDICATION	v
ABSTRACT	vi
LIST OF ACRONYMS	X
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
LIST OF TABLES	xii
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem statement	2
1.3 Objectives of the study	3
1.5 Justification	4
1.6 Scope of the study	4
1.7 Study area	4
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1 Sedimentation	5
2.1.1 Factors that influence the rate of sedimentation in reservoirs	6
2.1.2 Effects of sedimentation in reservoirs	7
2.1.3 Sediment mitigation measures in reservoirs	8
2.2 Spatial Multi-Criteria Evaluation (SMCE)	9
2.2.1 Analytical Hierarchy Process	9
2.2.2 Construction of pairwise matrix	10
2.2.3 Validating the consistency of the final matrix of judgments	11
2.3 Estimation of sediment yield using hydrological models	12
2.3.1 Hydrological models	12
2.3.2 Physically-Based sediment yield Models	13
2.3.3 Conceptual models	14
2.3.4 Empirically based sediment yield models	15
2.4 Willingness to pay for the sedimentation mitigation measures	17
2.4.1 Approaches to determining WTP	17

2.4.2 Determinants of the community's willingness to pay	19
2.4.3 Sample size criteria	19
3 METHODOLOGY	21
3.1 Data source and method of data collection	21
3.3 Estimation of sediment yield using the RUSLE as an empirical model	25
3.3.1 Rainfall erosivity factor, R	25
3.3.2 Soil erodibility factor, K	25
3.3.3 Slope Length-Steepness Factor, LS	27
3.3.4 Cover practice factor, P	27
3.3.5 Crop management factor, C	28
3.3.6 Quantification of sediment yield from the watershed	28
3.4 Assessing the feasibility of the sedimentation mitigation measures through a communi participatory approach	•
3.4.1 Data collections	
3.4.2 Sediment mitigation measures in the catchment	
3.4.3 Survey design	
4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	
4.1 Apuwai watershed	
4.1.1 Soil map.	
4.1.2 Land use and land cover map	
4.1.3 Overall sedimentation hotspots map	
4.2 Sediment yield using the RUSLE from the Apuwai water-shed	
4.2.1 Erodibility factor, K-value	
4.2.2 The slope length-steepness, LS-value	
4.2.3 The cover practice factor, P-value	
4.2.4 Erosivity factor, R-value	
4.2.5 Cover management factor	
4.3 Acceptable and adaptable sedimentation mitigation measures through a community	
participatory approach	
4.3.1 Socioeconomic and Demographic Characteristics of Households	
4.3.2 Community perception of the study area	
4.3.3 Sedimentation measures in the study area	
4.3.4 Evaluation of the mean willingness to pay	45

4.3.5 Testing the hypothesis	48
5 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	50
5.1 CONCLUSION	50
5.2 RECOMMENDATION	50
6 APPENDICES	51
7 REFERENCES	55

LIST OF ACRONYMS

MWE - Ministry of Water and Environment

SDG – Sustainable Development Goals

GIS – Graphic Interface System

DEM – Digital Elevation Model

AHP – Analytical Hierarchy Process

RUSLE – Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation

MCDA – Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis

CVM – Contingent Valuation Method

WTP -Willingness to Pay

CWP -Community Willingness to Pay

SMCE – Spatial Multi-Criteria Evaluation

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1:Approaches in determining WTP	
Figure 2:Hotspot identification in the watershed	
Figure 3:Sediment yield estimation using RUSLE	
Figure 4:The delineated Apuwai watershed	
Figure 5:Land use and Aspect maps	
Figure 6: Soil and Rainfall maps	
Figure 7:Elevation and Slope maps	
Figure 8: Most sedimentation prone areas	
Figure 9: K-factor and LS-factor maps	
Figure 10: C-factor and P-factor maps	
Figure 11:Soil loss map	
Figure 12:Evaluation of willingness to pay	
Figure 13:Respondensts agricultural output and WTP amount	
Figure 14: Administering questionnaires	

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1:Specific objectives, research activities, and research questions	3
Table 2:Numerical scale to compare different criteria (Saaty,2008)	10
Table 3:Pairwise comparison criteria	23
Table 4:Random index provided by Saaty,2008	24
Table 5:P factor values focusing on the slope classes (shin 1999)	27
Table 6: C value for different LULC types	28
Table 7:Pairwise comparison matrix	35
Table 8: Normalized pairwise comparison matrix	36
Table 9: Estimating consistency ratio (CR)	36
Table 10:Classification of sedimentation areas in Apuwai watershed	38
Table 11:Classess of soils in Apuwai watershed according to FAO	39
Table 12: Ranking of the different sedimentation measures	45
Table 13:Amount of WTP with the response rate	46
Table 14: Reasons for not/willing to pay for the sedimentation measures	47
Table 15:Testing the hypothesis	48
Table 16:Rreclassification of Sedimentation cause parameters	51
Table 17:Rainfall data used in the research	51
Table 18: Community response to WTP	53

1 INTRODUCTION

This chapter includes the following; background to the study, statement of the problem, the purpose of the study, objectives of the study, scope of the study, and finally the significance of the study.

1.1 Background

The issue of food insecurity continues to be a serious worry due to the growing population in many developing nations (Karunaratne et al., 2021). Over-reliance on rain-based agriculture and the failure to maximize irrigation capacity are the main causes of food poverty. However, non-governmental organizations and governmental organizations have constructed hundreds of valley dams in regions with insufficient groundwater or surface water to store water for irrigation, domestic water supply, hydropower generation, flood control, and recreational activities to improve agriculture for the growing population. (MAAIF & MWE, 2017). Small-scale irrigation systems based on valley dams are being encouraged since they require less maintenance, are less complicated to operate, and are environmentally beneficial (Kizza et al., 2017).

However, due to insufficient sediment management strategies, such as dam sediment routing, periodic sediment desilting, and catchment management to reduce soil erosion, the useful life of many of these dams is drastically reduced to the point that some are filled with sediments after a few years of their establishment and become useless. (Andredaki et al,2015).

Around the world, reservoir sedimentation is still a major concern considering reservoirs lose 0.5% to 1% of the total stored volume of water each year (Ali & Shakir, 2018). For the entire continent of Africa, it is estimated that soil erosion decreases production by 8.2% on average, and that reservoir storage levels are silted by an average of 19%. Sediment accumulation usually requires mechanical removal, which is expensive. Rivers and reservoirs get filled with sediment, which lowers their capacity to hold back floodwaters. Sediment is regarded as a significant contaminant. It can restrict fish from spawning and impede plants from receiving essential sunlight.

Some valley dams in Uganda, like the Kisote dam and the Dana dam, have entirely silted up before their design life and are now useless. This is due to Uganda's vulnerability to soil erosion brought by inadequate land management practices, steep slopes, and excessive rainfall, there is a large amount of sedimentation (Iradukunda & Bwambale, 2021).

7 REFERENCES

- Ali, M., & Shakir, A. S. (2018). Sustainable sediment management options for reservoirs: a case study of Chashma Reservoir in Pakistan. *Applied Water Science*, 8(4), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13201-018-0753-3
- Citation, B. Y. U. S. (2008). Estimation of useful life of a reservoir using sediment trap efficiency. 8(2).
- Demirci, A., & Karaburun, A. (2012). Estimation of soil erosion using RUSLE in a GIS framework: a case study in the Buyukcekmece Lake watershed, northwest Turkey. 903–913. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-011-1300-9
- Ezz-aldeen, M. (2020). Sedimentation and its Challenges for the Sustainability of Hydraulic Structures A Case Study of the Mosul Dam Pumping Station Sedimentation and Its Challenges for the. 4–10.
- GÜVEL, Ş. P. (2021). Investigation of Sediment Deposition in Dam Reservoirs. *Çukurova Üniversitesi Mühendislik Fakültesi Dergisi*, *36*(December), 869–878. https://doi.org/10.21605/cukurovaumfd.1040334
- Hussain, I. (2018). SOIL LOSS ESTIMATION IN GIS FRAMEWORK: A CASE STUDY IN CHAMPABATI WATERSHED. 5(05), 187–196.
- Iradukunda, P., & Bwambale, E. (2021). Reservoir sedimentation and its effect on storage capacity—A case study of Murera reservoir, Kenya. *Cogent Engineering*, 8(1). https://doi.org/10.1080/23311916.2021.1917329
- Jahun, B. G., Ibrahim, R., Dlamini, N. S., Musa, S. M., Engineering, B., & Balewa, A. T. (2015). *Review of Soil Erosion Assessment using RUSLE Model and GIS*. 5(9), 36–47.
- Kajubi, E. (2021). Faculty of Engineering, Water Resources Engineering Department. 1–83.
- Kantoush, S. A., & Sumi, T. (2013). Reservoir sedimentation and sediment management techniques in the Nile River basin countries. *Advances in River Sediment Research*, *Abdelbary* 2004, 1135–1145.
- Karamage, F., Zhang, C., Kayiranga, A., Shao, H., Fang, X., Ndayisaba, F., Nahayo, L., Mupenzi, C., & Tian, G. (2016). USLE-based assessment of soil erosion by water in the nyabarongo river catchment, Rwanda. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *13*(8), 1–16. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph13080835
- Karamage, F., Zhang, C., Liu, T., Maganda, A., & Isabwe, A. (2017). *Soil Erosion Risk Assessment in Uganda*. https://doi.org/10.3390/f8020052
- Karunaratne, P. V. ., Wijayakuruppu, M. B. M. W., Wijayapala, U. G. S., & Ranathunga, G. M. (2021). A Study on the Factors Influencing the Preference of Sri Lankan Consumers for the Use of Denim Jeans. *SSRN Electronic Journal*, *5*(6), 149–164. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3790250
- Kizza, T., Nakyagaba, W., Kigundu, N., Magala, D. B., Muyinda, M., Namirimu, T., & Kirimani, D. (2017). *Irrigation technology utilization: A case of central Uganda*.

- Lagoon, C., Makwinja, R., Bobby, I., & Kosamu, M. (2019). Determinants and Values of Willingness to Pay for Water Quality Improvement: Insights from.
- MAAIF & MWE. (2017). Republic of Uganda Ministry of Agriculture, Animal Industry and Fisheries (Maaif) Ministry of Water and Environment (Mwe) Republic of Uganda the Project on Irrigation Scheme Development in Central and Eastern Uganda Final Report Volume-Ii Sironko/a. February.
- Maharjan, M. (n.d.). MORPHOLOGICAL STUDY OF KOSHI RIVER AT CHATARA AND ITS INFLUENCE ON INTAKE OF SUNSARI MORANG IRRIGATION PROJECT, NEPAL. 1–6.
- Marzetti, G. V. (2019). Willingness-To-Pay and stated preference methods in Cost-Benefit Analysis with an application to a project of water infrastructure improvement in Georgetown (Guyana) Willingness-To-Pay and stated preference methods in Cost-Benefit Analysis with an appli. May. https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.21207.32162
- Mekonnen, M., Keesstra, S. D., Baartman, J. E., Ritsema, C. J., & Melesse, A. M. (2015). Evaluación de presas de retención de sedimento: Medidas estructurales de control del transporte de sedimento en el noroeste de Etiopía. *Cuadernos de Investigacion Geografica*, 41(1), 7–22. https://doi.org/10.18172/cig.2643
- Mu, E., & Preyra-Rojas. (2017). Practical Questions Related to AHP Modeling. *Practical Decision Making*, 105–111. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-33861-3
- Mubialiwo, A., Abebe, A., & Onyutha, C. (2021). Analyses of community willingness-to-pay and the influencing factors towards restoration of River Malaba floodplains. In *Environmental Challenges* (Vol. 4, Issue March). Elsevier B.V. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envc.2021.100160
- Munye, K. (2022). *Identifying Soil Erosion Hotspot Area Using GIS and MCDA Techniques*, *Case Study of Dengora and Meno Watersheds in Belesa Woredas*, *Amhara Region*. 0–43.
- NHP. (2018). *Hydrologic Modeling, Center of Excellence for Hydrologic Modeling, National Institute of Hydrology, Roorkee, India. 1.*
- No Title. (n.d.).
- Rugumayo, A. I. (2012). An Introduction to Hydrology and Water Resources Engineering in Uganda (Issue June).
- Sichingabula, H. M. (1997). Problems of sedimentation in small dams in Zambia. *Human Impact on Erosion and Sedimentation. Proc. International Symposium, Rabat, Morocco, 1997*, 245(245), 251–259.
- Terêncio, D. P. S., Cortes, R. M. V., Pacheco, F. A. L., Moura, J. P., & Fernandes, L. F. S. (2020). A method for estimating the risk of dam reservoir silting in fire-prone watersheds: A study in douro river, portugal. *Water (Switzerland)*, *12*(11). https://doi.org/10.3390/w12112959
- Thormann, T. F., & Wicker, P. (2021). Willingness-to-Pay for Environmental Measures in Non-Profit Sport Clubs.