

P.O. Box 236, Tororo, Uganda Gen: +256 - 45 444 8838 Fax: +256 - 45 4436517 Email: info@adm.busitema.ac.ug

www.busitema.ac.ug

FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE AND ANIMAL SCIENCES DEPARTMENT OF CROP PRODUCTION AND MANAGEMENT

EFFICACY OF EZOATE 57EC CONTAINING *EMAMACTIN BENZOATE* ON LEVEL OF INFESTATION OF FALL ARMY WORM ON LONGE 10H MAIZE VARIETY IN UGANDA

BY

GWABI KIMU

REG NO: BU/UP/2018/3000

Gwabikimu007@gmail.com

SUPERVISOR:

Mr. ROBERT AMAYO

A RESEARCH REPORT SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE AND ANIMAL SCIENCES IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF REQUIREMENTS FOR AWARD OF THE DEGREE OF BACHELOR OF SCIENCE IN AGRICULTURE OF BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY

MAY, 2023

DECLARATION

I...GIMABI KIMU declare that this research report is my original work and that it has never been produced by any person, company, or organisation, It has never been submitted by any person to any institution or university for the award of any qualifications.

SIGNATURE Statillhulthe

DATE 17/11/2023

GWABI KIMU BU/UP/2018/3000

APPROVAL

This research report was prepared and submitted with approval from;

... Date. 17/11/023 Sign.

AMAYO ROBERT LECTURER, DEPARTMENT OF CROP PRODUCTION

DEDICATION

I would like to dedicate this report to God and my family members, Kadondi Masitula (my mother) and Ayoyi Abu (my father), as well as my beloved wife, Weere Babrah.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I am greatly indebted to the Management and staff of Busitema University, Faculty of Agriculture and Animal sciences, and Department of Crop Production and Management for giving me the opportunity to study at the institution. I gladly appreciate the support of Mr. Amayo Robert and Mr. Wandulu Joseph, who took me in as a student and supervised the research work.

I also owe infinite thanks to Kadondi Masitula (my mother) and Ayoyi Abu (my father) for their financial and moral support in this venture.

Special thanks to my beloved wife, Weere Babrah, for her patience and moral support during this research project.

Thanks to Mutalemwa Zipola, Nameyi Kasimu, Ndagi Musa, Gessa Sammuel, Kowa Wamandi, for the advice, encouragement and support.

May the almighty God bless you all!

TABLE OF CONTENT

| DECLARATION | | i |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------|------|
| APPROVAL | | ii |
| DEDICATION | | iii |
| ACKNOWLEDGEME | NT | iv |
| TABLE OF CONTEN | Г | v |
| LIST OF TABLES | | viii |
| LIST OF FIGURES | | ix |
| ABSTRACT | | x |
| CHAPTER ONE | | 1 |
| 1.1 Background | | 1 |
| 1.2. Statement of the Pr | roblem | 2 |
| 1.3 Justification/ Ration | nale of the study | 3 |
| 1.4 Objective of the | he study | 4 |
| 1.4.1 Genera | l Objective | 4 |
| 1.4.2. Specif | ic objectives | 4 |
| 1.4.3 | Hypotheses | 4 |
| 1.6 Scope of the S | tudy | 5 |
| CHAPTER TWO | | 6 |
| 2.0 LITERATURE RE | VIEW | 6 |
| 2.1 Production co | nstraints of Maize | 6 |
| 2.2 Fall army wor | m (FAW) as major pest of maize | 6 |
| 2.2.1 Biolog | y of fall army worm (FAW) | 7 |
| 2.2.2 Occurre | ence of FAW | 7 |

| 2.2.3 Damages caused by FAW |
|--|
| 2.3 Management of FAW8 |
| 2.3.1 Monitoring of FAW |
| 2.3.2 Cultural management of FAW |
| 2.3.3 Biological management of FAW9 |
| 2.3.5 Chemical control of FAW 10 |
| 2.4 The use of emamectin benzoate for the control of FAW 10 |
| CHAPTER THREE |
| 3.0 MATERIALS AND METHODS 12 |
| 3.1 Study site |
| 3.2 Materials 12 |
| 3.3 Research and Experimental design and layout 12 |
| 3.4. Establishment and management of experiment 13 |
| 3.5 Preparation and Application of treatments |
| 3.6 Data collection |
| 3.7 Data management and Analysis 14 |
| CHAPTER FOUR 15 |
| 4.0. RESULTS 15 |
| 4.1. Variation in fall army worm incidence and damage, and yields of maize in season one |
| 4.2. Variation in fall army worm incidence and damage, and yields of maize in season two |
| CHAPTER FIVE |
| 5.0. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS |
| CHAPTER SIX |
| 6.0. CONCLUSION AND RECOMENDATIONS |

| 6.1 Conclusions | 23 |
|---------------------|------|
| 6.2 Recommendations | 23 |
| REFERENCES | 24 |
| APPENDICES | . 26 |

LIST OF TABLES

- Table 1 : The percentage infestation of FAW, percentage reduction in damage and percentage cob damage across the different treatments in 2021B......16

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure 1 : Comparison in percentage reduction in fall army worm infestation a | |
|---|--------|
| the two seasons | 19 |
| Figure 2 : Variation in the yield of Longe 10H maize varieties across the two s | easons |
| | 20 |
| Figure 3 : Variation in percentage cob damage across the two seasons | 21 |

ABSTRACT

Fall army worm, FAW, is an insect pest native to tropical and subtropical regions of Americas. It is new to Africa and was first detected in central and West Africa in early 2016 and was reported in Uganda in June 2016 in the districts of Kayunga, Kasese, and Bukedea. By the end of 2017, the pest had spread to all the districts of Uganda. FAW is a migratory, and highly polyphagous pest capable of feeding on more than 80 plant species with cereals (maize and sorghum) being the most preferred hosts. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the efficacy of *Emamectin benzoate* (Ezoate 57EC) as a control measure against FAW on long10H Maize variety. Two field trials were conducted in two seasons of 2021B and 2022A at NaSARRI. Four concentrations levels of emamectin benzoate were used (11.3 ml, 9.4 ml, 4.5 ml and 3.4 ml) including 25ml of rocket used as a positive control and no spray used as a negative control. The chemicals were mixed in 15L of water. A completely randomised block design (RCBD) was used to set up the experiments with three replicates. Spraying was done once and data for FAW incidence, cob damage, yield and unshelled maize weight were collected before and after spray. Yield data collected was also used in computation of yield loss. The results obtained showed a significantly (<.001) high variation in the efficacy of different concentration of emamectin benzoate against the FAW in both seasons. The 11.3 ml concentration was superior to the rest of concentrations as it caused 90% percentage reduction in mean FAW incidence, lower mean percentage cob damage 3.69% and 6.04%, higher mean yield 6533.33Kg/H, 5866.67kg/H in both seasons, respectively. This is followed by 9.4ml of *emamectin benzoate* and 25ml of rocket that had no statistical difference in performance across all parameters studied in the two seasons. The 4.5ml and 3.4ml of *emamectin benzoate* were lower and had no statistical difference in performance across all parameters assessed in the two seasons. The plots were not sprayed had the worst performance in all parameters studied, low yield 1800.00kg/h and 1755.56kg/h were obtained in the respective seasons. It was observed that all concentration of *emamectin benzoate* had an effect on the FAW incidence, yield and cob damage, however spraying maize with concentration of *emamectin benzoate* varying between 9.4 ml and 11.5 ml per 15L of water is recommended. However, further research should be done to evaluate the effect of *Emamectin benzoate* against beneficial insects like bees, butterflies.

Х

CHAPTER ONE

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Maize (Zea mays), also called corn, is believed to have originated in central Mexico 7000 years ago from a wild grass, and transformed into a better source of food (Epule et al., 2021). Maize contains approximately 72% starch, 10% protein, and 4% fat, supplying an energy density of 365 Kcal/100 g and is grown throughout the world, with the United States, China, and Brazil being the top three maize producing countries in the world, producing approximately 563 of the 717 million metric tons/year (Ranum & Pe, 2014) in Africa. Maize was introduced in Uganda in 1861(Singh & Kumar, 2016). The World Bank estimates that there are about 1.3 million ha of land suitable for maize production in Uganda, Maize grown in every district of Uganda but largely at subsistence level except for a few commercial farmers (Singh & Kumar, 2016). Maize is produced with very few productivity enhancing inputs and yield is generally below 1.5 ton/ha. This is due to nitrogen and phosphorous deficiencies in the soil and currently by the prevalence of fall army worm and maize streak virus disease that reduces yields by up to 80% (Frugiperda et al., 2020). Maize is the number one staple for the urban poor, in institutions such as schools, hospitals and the military and also a number one source of income for most farmers in eastern, northern and north western Uganda (Musinguzi, 2019).

Nutritionally, maize has a high value with whole grain of 100g containing 10g of protein (poor in tryptophan and rich in leucine) 4g fat, and provides 360 calories; the germ (12% by weight of the whole grain) contains 22% of the total protein and 80% of the oil(Singh & Kumar, 2016) . Maize has also become an important ingredient in the animal (maize bran) diets Statistics from the Uganda National Household Survey (UNHS) of 2005/06 show that maize was cultivated on an estimated area of 1.54 million hectares (ha) by about 86 percent of the 4.2 million Agricultural households (*UBOS Corporate Plan,2007*). But still the yield of maize to feed the growing population generally below 1.5 ton/ha (NARO Uganda), African countries are facing a maize shortage and losses running into billions of dollars due to the devastation caused by the fall army worm (MAAIF, 2018). A new report released by the Centre for Agriculture and Bio-sciences International (CABI) shows that

REFERENCES

- Abrahams, O. (2017). Fall Armyworm: Impacts and Implications for Africa. Evidence Note (2) UKaid and CABI. November, 144.
- Assefa, F., & Ayalew, D. (2019). Status and control measures of fall armyworm (Spodoptera frugiperda) infestations in maize fields in Ethiopia: A review. *Cogent Food and Agriculture*, 5(1). https://doi.org/10.1080/23311932.2019.1641902
- Bessah, E., Donkor, E., Raji, A. O., Taiwo, O. J., Agodzo, S. K., Ololade, O. O., & Strapasson, A. (2021). Determinants of Maize Farmers' Access to Climate Information Services in Ghana. *Handbook of Climate Change Management: Research, Leadership, Transformation*, 5, 4173–4192. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-57281-5_316
- Epule, T. E., Dhiba, D., Etongo, D., Peng, C., & Lepage, L. (2021). Identifying maize yield and precipitation gaps in Uganda. *SN Applied Sciences*, *3*(5), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42452-021-04532-5
- Frugiperda, S., Babendreier, D., Agboyi, L. K., Beseh, P., Osae, M., Nboyine, J., Ofori, S. E. K., Frimpong, J. O., Clottey, V. A., & Kenis, M. (n.d.). *insects The E ffi cacy of Alternative , Environmentally Friendly Plant Protection Measures for Control of Fall. January 2016.*
- Hijmans, R. J., Cameron, S. E., Parra, J. L., Jones, P. G., & Jarvis, A. (2005). Very high resolution interpolated climate surfaces for global land areas. *International Journal* of Climatology, 25(15), 1965–1978. https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.1276
- Hruska, A. J. (2019a). Fall armyworm (Spodoptera frugiperda) management by smallholders. 043, 0–3. https://doi.org/10.1079/PAVSNNR201914043
- Hruska, A. J. (2019b). Fall armyworm (Spodoptera frugiperda) management by smallholders. CAB Reviews: Perspectives in Agriculture, Veterinary Science, Nutrition and Natural Resources, 14(September). https://doi.org/10.1079/PAVSNNR201914043
- IRAC. (2012). IRAC Mode of Action Classification Scheme. Insecticide Resistance Action Committee, February, 1–23.
- MAAIF. (2016). National Agricultural Extension Strategy 2016/17-2020/21. *Ministry of Agriculture, Animal Industry and Fisheries*, 8(9), 1–58.
- MAAIF. (2018). Fall Armyworm Outbreak Management in Uganda. 1–2.
- McCullars, L. D. (2019). The Impact of Fall Armyworm, Spodoptera frugiperda (J.E. Smith), Feeding and Mechanical Defoliation on Growth and Yield of Rice, Oryza sativa (L.). University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, 72. https://scholarworks.uark.edu/etd/3262
- Musinguzi, I. (2019). The Impact of Access to Agricultural Services on Maize Productivity in Uganda. *Paper Knowledge*. *Toward a Media History of Documents*, 1(1), 1–38.

- Of, T. H. E. S. (2022). The State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World 2022. In *The State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World 2022*. https://doi.org/10.4060/cc0639en
- Ramanujam, B., Poornesha, B., & Shylesha, A. N. (2020). Effect of entomopathogenic fungi against invasive pest Spodoptera frugiperda (J. E. Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in maize. *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*, 30(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s41938-020-00291-4
- Ranum, P., & Pe, J. P. (2014). *Global maize production*, *utilization*, *and consumption*. *1312*, 105–112. https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.12396
- Sharma, S., & Kaur, T. (2022). Invasion of Spodoptera frugiperda (Lepidoptera : Noctuidae), a global threat to maize crop : A review. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, 11(February 2020), 101–112.
- Singh, M., & Kumar, S. (2016). Broadening the genetic base of grain cereals. *Broadening the Genetic Base of Grain Cereals*, June 2020, 1–275. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-3613-9
- Tadele, S., & Emana, G. (2017). Biology of Tuta absoluta (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) under different temperature and relative humidity. *Journal of Horticulture and Forestry*, 9(8), 66–73. https://doi.org/10.5897/jhf2017.0496
- Toepfer, S., Fallet, P., Kajuga, J., Bazagwira, D., Mukundwa, I. P., Szalai, M., & Turlings, T. C. J. (2021). Streamlining leaf damage rating scales for the fall armyworm on maize. *Journal of Pest Science*, 94(4), 1075–1089. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-021-01359-2