

P.O. Box 236, Tororo, Uganda Gen: +256 - 45 444 8838 Fax: +256 - 45 4436517 Email: info@adm.busitema.ac.u

www.busitema.ac.ug

# GROWTH AND YIELD PERFORMANCE OF SERENUT 2 GROUNDNUT VARIETY TREATED WITH LOCALLY MADE BIOINOCULANTS (*Jeevamritha and Biodynamic*) UNDER FIELD CONDITIONS IN EASTERN UGANDA.

BY

APIO LOYCE BU/UP/2018/1970 Email: <u>apioloyce2015@gmail.com</u> TEL: +256781955169

### SUPERVISOR: Mr. OJUU DAVID

Mr. AMAYO ROBERT

# A PROJECT RESEARCH REPORT SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF CROP PRODUCTION AND MANAGEMENT IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD OF A DEGREE IN BACHELOR OF SCIENCE IN AGRICULTURE OF BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY

MAY 2023

	DECLARATION	·	
I APIO LOYCE; declare that	the work in this report is my m	im and has not have a low state	
the award of a degree in any ot	her University.	so and has not ocen submitted for	- 1
Signed			-1
anglind			
Acces	****	26/10/2022	-1
APIO LOYCE		Date	
			-1
8: 			- 1
1			- 1
			1
			1

#### APPROVAL

This report was written under my supervision and has been submitted to the Department of Crop production and management for examination with my approval as supervisor.

ï

Signed

,

Mr. OJUU DAVID

10/2023 26 Date

Lecturer Department of Crop Production and Management Busitema University, Arapai campus

Signed 0

26 10 23 Date

Mr. AMAYO ROBERT Lecturer Department of Crop Production and Management Busitema University, Arapai campus

### **DEDICATION**

With sincere appreciation, I do dedicate this work to the almighty God and my parents; Mr. Etoori Stanslaus and Mrs. Ariokot Eunice, for the financial support and guidance they have availed towards my future as far as education and discipline is concerned. My beloved Aunty Aanyu Rose Omuria, my sisters and friends who have always co-operated and worked together with me to see that this comes to success.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

First and foremost, I would like to express my heartfelt thanks to the almighty Lord for His grace and mercy that has enabled me to come to this far in my academic life. I would like to extend my sincere appreciation and gratitude to my dedicated supervisors; Mr. Ojuu David and Mr. Amayo Robert for being there every time I needed their advice and assistance while doing my research project. I greatly appreciate their invaluable marvelous guidance, patience, encouragement, leadership and the conducive environment that they created for me to complete this study smoothly and in time. I am extremely thankful to all my beloved friends for their time, guidance and contribution as far as this research project was concerned. In the same spirit, my sincere appreciation goes to the staff of crop production and management especially Dr Opio Peter, the research coordinator for his guidance, suggestions during research period, Mr. Andiku Charles and Dr. Lubadde Geoffrey (Deputy Dean) for having equipped me with research method concept and finally to Mr. Turyasingura Geoffrey (Head of Department Crop Production and Management) for having given me the useful knowledge and skills of Agricultural science, some of which I have been able to exploit in this study

DECLARATION	Error! Bookmark not defined.
APPROVAL	Error! Bookmark not defined.
DEDICATION	
ACKNOWLEDGMENT	iv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	ix
ABSTRACT	x
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2. Statement of research problem	
1.3 Justification	
1.4.1 General objective	
1.4.2 The specific objective	
1.4.3 Hypotheses of study	
1.5 Significance of the study	4
1.6 The Scope of study	
2.2 Importance of groundnuts	7
2.3 Groundnut production constraints	7
2.4 Bio-inoculants and how they are made	
2.4.1 Role of the ingredients for local bioinoculants	9
2.5 Jeevamritha and Biodynamic bio-inoculants	
2.5.1 Jeevamritha and its use	
2.5.2 Biodynamic and its use	
2.5.3 Importance of jeevamritha and Biodynamic in p	lants
3.0 MATERIALS AND METHODS	
3.1 Study area description	
3.2 Materials and equipment	
3.3 Study design and experimental lay out	
3.4 Establishment and management of experiments	
3.5 Preparation of Jeevamritha and biodynamic bio-ino	culant14
3.6 Application of treatments	
3.7 Data collection.	
<b>3.7.1 Objective one -</b> To determine the effects of locally- Biodynamic, on growth and nodulation of Serenut 2 grou combination	-made bio-inoculants, Jeevamritha and ndnuts variety when applied singly or in 14

## **Table of Contents**

<b>3.7.2 Objective two</b> – To estimate the yield response of Serenut 2 groundnut variety inoculated locally-made bio-inoculants, Jeevamritha and Biodynamic, applied singly or in combination	l with 21
3.8 Data management, analysis, and interpretation	22
CHAPTER FOUR	23
4.0 RESULTS	23
4.1 Determination of the effects of locally-made bio-inoculants, Jeevamritha and	23
Biodynamic, on growth and nodulation of Serenut 2 groundnuts variety when applied singly combination.	or in 23
4.2 To estimate the yield response of Serenut 2 groundnut variety inoculated with locally-ma bio-inoculants, Jeevamritha and Biodynamic, applied singly or in combination.	ade 29
CHAPTER FIVE	33
5.0 DISCUSSION OF RESULTS	33
6.0 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	39
6.1 Conclusion	39
6.2 Recommendations	39
REFERENCES	40
APPENDIX	46
Appendix 1: Data collection at Awaliwal subcounty (Gweri County)	46

# List of tables and List of figures

Figure 1 : Effects of bioinoculants treatments on roots of mother trials and mini trials (jeeva is
jeevamritha, Bio.D is biodynamic, MG is mother garden and MB is mini blocks)
Figure 2: Effects of bioinoculants treatments on nodule wet weight of mother trials and mini
trials (jeeva is jeevamritha, Bio.D is biodynamic, MG is mother garden and MB is mini blocks)
Figure 3: Effects of bioinoculants treatments on nodule dry weight of mother trials and mini
trials (Jeeva is jeevamritha and Bio.D is biodynamic, MG is mother garden and MB is mini
blocks)
Figure 4: Effects of bioinoculants treatments on number of branches for both mother trials and
mini trials (jeeva is jeevamritha and Bio.D is biodynamic, MG is mother garden and MB is mini
blocks)
Figure 5: Effects of bioinoculants treatments on mature pods of mother trials and mini trials
(jeeva is jeevamritha and Bio.D is biodynamic, MG is mother garden and MB is mini blocks 30
Figure 6: Effects of bioinoculants treatments on immature pods of mother trials and mini trials
(jeeva is jeevamritha and Bio.D is biodynamic, MG is mother garden and MB is mini blocks). 30
Figure 7: Effects of bioinoculants treatments on haulm weight of mother trials and mini trials
(jeeva is jeevamritha and Bio.D is biodynamic, MG is mother garden and MB is mini blocks). 31
Figure 8 ; Preparaton of jeevamritha 46
Figure 9 ; Data collection
Figure 10 :Data collection on nodulesFigure 11 :Plucking of groundnuts
Figure 12: Weighing of haulm weight
Figure 13 : Counting of mature pods, immature pods and gynosphere

## List of Tables

Table 1 shows the parameters and variables	15
Table 2: Effect of bioinoculants treatments on growth and nodulation performance of groundnu	its
	27
Table 3 Effect of bioinoculants treatments on growth performance of groundnuts	28
Table 4 Effect of bioinoculants treatments on yield performance of groundnuts	32

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ANOVA	= Analysis of Variance.
CV	= Coefficient of Variation
На	= Hectare.
Kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	= Kilogram per hectare.
LSD	= Least Significant Differences.
FAO	= Food, Agricultural Organisation.
SSA	= Sub Saharan Africa.
RDI	=Recommended Daily Intake
BNF	=Biological Nitrogen Fixation
N	=Nitrogen
Р	=Phosphorus
AMF	= arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi
PGPR	=Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria
PSB	=Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria
%	=Per cent
Cm	=Centimetres

### ABSTRACT

Groundnut is an important food crop throughout the tropics. It is mainly grown for the kernels and the edible oil and meal derived from them, and the vegetative residue. The average on farm yield of groundnuts in Uganda is about 800kg/ ha as opposed to the yield potential of 3000kg/ha, this brings a yield gap of 2200kg/ha. This yield gap has been attributed mostly to poor soil fertility due to inadequate availability of essential nutrients in the soils.

This has been attributed to production constraints such as reducing soil fertility, pests and diseases, drought and water logging. Soil infertility is a major constraint in groundnut production, capable of causing low on farm yields among smallholder farmers. The continuous cultivation of the same small piece of land every season with no replenishment has limited the ability of the soils to regenerate resulting in soil biodiversity loss and soil exhaustion. This has created a need to explore new avenues to rebuild the soil health. One of the ways to address these challenges is the use of indigenous microorganisms (IMO) that are effective in rejuvenation of soil health. Therefore, this study was carried out to assess the effects of bio-inoculants, (Jeevamritha and Biodynamic) on growth and yield of Serenut 2 variety of groundnuts. Study consisted of four treatments which include; jeevamritha, biodynamic, jeevamritha+biodynamic and control. The bioinoculant treatments were applied in respective plots. Each treatment was replicated four times in randomized complete block design. The data was collected from branches, leaf area, days to first flowering, days to 50% flowering, days to 75% flowering, stem length, nodules, roots, pods, shelling%, 100 grain weight, gynosphere and haulm weight. Results showed that most of the bio-inoculants significantly increased the observed parameters. Maximum plot yield was recorded in jeevamritha +biodynamic 26.20 kg which was significantly higher than control 20.60kg. Similarly, significantly higher yield was recorded in jeevamritha and biodynamic. Combined application of bio-inoculants gave better results than single inoculation which suggested that bio-inoculants used under the study worked synergistically with each other. Hence, it may be concluded that combination application of jeevamritha +biodynamic can be used to enhance the yield of groundnuts in Uganda.

### **CHAPTER ONE**

### **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

### **1.1 Background**

Groundnut (*Arachis hypogea*) also known as peanut belongs to the family Leguminasae. It originated in South America, probably in Brazil, and has been cultivated since ancient times by Native Americans (Hammons, 1994). It is an annual grain legume crop widely cultivated in tropics and subtropics regions of sub–Saharan Africa (SSA) and a subsistence crop commonly intercropped with cereals (maize, sorghum and millet) and grains (sesame, and beans). Groundnut is currently grown on about 21.8 million hectares worldwide and the global production totals 38.6 million tons of which 95 percent occurs in developing countries (Growth et al., 2021). Presently in Uganda the area under groundnut cultivation is estimated at 260,000ha representing 24.6% of total arable land (Okello et al., 2014).

Groundnut is an important subsistence food crop throughout the tropics. It is mainly grown for the kernels and the edible oil and meal derived from them, and the vegetative residue. Groundnut kernels typically contain 47-53% oil and 25-36% protein; they also contain about 10-15% carbohydrate and are rich in P; they are also a good source of vitamins B and E (Morton et al., 2008).

According to Okello et al. (2010), groundnuts thrive under low rainfall and as a legume; groundnuts improve soil fertility by fixing nitrogen. Therefore, the crop generally requires few inputs, making it appropriate for cultivation in low-input agriculture by smallholding farmers Inoculation of legumes with bio inoculant generally triggers plant growth, development and yield and it is normally used as a substitute for mineral nitrogen fertilizer which is often costly. The rhizobia (bacteria) that have the potential to infect the root, form nodules and symbiotically fix N<sub>2</sub> in leguminous plants including groundnuts. However, the rhizobium activity and N2 fixation ability are reduced when the soil system lacks phosphorus (P) which is energy source for the rhizobia and also stimulates early root growth and enhances the formation of lateral and fibrous root systems which are essential for nodule formation (Asante *et al.*, 2020).

The average yield of groundnuts in Uganda is about 800kg/ ha as opposed to the yield potential of 3000kg/ha, this brings a yield gap of 2200kg/ha (Scheurer & Schlegel, 2013). This yield gap

the meantime the world is moving from inorganic to organic farming due to the adverse effects of synthetic fertilizers on the ecosystems,

 There is necessity to carry out additional research on the nodule occupancy, locally available sugar sources which can stand in for molasses because depending on the molasses which may not be contained by the farmer may deject farmers from adopting the usage of bioinoculants.

### REFERENCES

- Abdulameer, A. S. (2010). Impact of Rhizobial strains Mixture, Phosphorus and Zinc Applications in Nodulation and Yield of Bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.) Abstract: Introduction: Materials and Methods: 8, 357–365.
- Abraha, N. W. (2019). Evaluation of Biocontrol Agent and Wheat Straw Mulch on Yield and Yield Components of Groundnut (Arachis hypogaea L.) Genotypes in Central Tigray, Northern Ethiopia. 3208, 40–50. https://doi.org/10.7176/JBAH
- Adebisi, Y. A., Ibrahim, K., Lucero-Prisno, D. E., Ekpenyong, A., Micheal, A. I., Chinemelum,
  I. G., & Sina-Odunsi, A. B. (2019). Prevalence and Socio-economic Impacts of
  Malnutrition Among Children in Uganda. *Nutrition and Metabolic Insights*, 12, 117863881988739. https://doi.org/10.1177/1178638819887398
- Asante, M., Ahiabor, B. D. K., & Atakora, W. K. (2020). Growth, Nodulation, and Yield Responses of Groundnut (Arachis hypogaea L.) as Influenced by Combined Application of Rhizobium Inoculant and Phosphorus in the Guinea Savanna Zone of Ghana. *International Journal of Agronomy*, 2020. https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/8691757
- Aziz, A., Ahiabor, B., Opoku, A., & Abaidoo, R. (2016). Contributions of Rhizobium Inoculants and Phosphorus Fertilizer to Biological Nitrogen Fixation, Growth and Grain Yield of Three Soybean Varieties on a Fluvic Luvisol. *American Journal of Experimental Agriculture*, 10(2), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.9734/ajea/2016/20072
- Badar, R., Nisa, Z., & Ibrahim, S. (2015). Supplementation of P with rhizobial inoculants to improve growth of Peanut plants. 1, 19–23.
- Behera, S. S., & Ray, R. C. (2021). Current Research in Microbial Sciences Bioprospecting of

cowdung microflora for sustainable agricultural, biotechnological and environmental applications. 2(November 2020).

- Bonabana-wabbi, J., Kirinya, J., Kasenge, V., Semalulu, O., & Mugonola, B. (2015). Smallholder farmers ' access to improved groundnut production and value addition technologies in Eastern Uganda. 7(8), 247–256. https://doi.org/10.5897/JAERD14.
- Boraiah, B., Devakumar, N., Shubha, S., & Palanna, K. B. (2017). Effect of Panchagavya, Jeevamrutha and Cow Urine on Beneficial Microorganisms and Yield of Capsicum (Capsicum annuum L. var. grossum). *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 6(8), 3226–3234. https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2017.609.397
- Chaudhary, T., Dixit, M., Gera, R., Shukla, A. K., Prakash, A., Gupta, G., & Shukla, P. (2020). Techniques for improving formulations of bioinoculants. *3 Biotech*, *10*(5), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13205-020-02182-9
- Dash, S., & Gupta, N. (2011). *Microbial bioinoculants and their role in plant growth and development*. 2(13), 232–251. https://doi.org/10.5897/IJBMBRX11.005
- Daudi, H., Shimelis, H., Laing, M., Okori, P., Mponda, O., Daudi, H., Shimelis, H., Laing, M., Okori, P., & Mponda, O. (2018). Groundnut production constraints, farming systems, and farmer-preferred traits in Tanzania. 7528. https://doi.org/10.1080/15427528.2018.1531801
- Devakumar, N., Shubha, S., Gounder, S. B., & Rao, G. G. (2014). Microbial analytical studies of traditional organic preparations beejamrutha and jeevamrutha. *Proceedings of the 4th ISOFAR Scientific Conference. Istanbul, Turkey*, 13–15.
- Glycine, S., & Merril, L. (2014). Effect of Rhizobium Inoculants and Reproductive Growth Stages on Shoot Effect of Rhizobium Inoculants and Reproductive Growth Stages on Shoot Biomass and Yield of Soybean (Glycine max (L.) Merril). April. https://doi.org/10.5539/jas.v6n5p44
- Gore, N. S., & Sreenivasa, M. N. (2011). Influence of liquid organic manures on growth, nutrient content and yield of tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum Mill.) in the sterilized soil. *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 24(2), 153–156. http://web.inflibnet.ac.in/ojs/index.php/KJAS/article/view/782

- Growth, A., Perspectives, F., & Investment, U. D. (2021). Promising Agriculture Technologies : Groundnut Promising Agriculture Technologies : Groundnut AND SEX-DISAGGREGATED FUTURE PERSPECTIVES UNDER DIFFERENT INVESTMENT welfare gains Webinar : Climate resilience and job prospects for young people in Promising A. 10–11.
- Gupta, Chinmay, M. K. Y., Meena1, V., Ambuj Singh1, H. B. S., Sarma2, B. K., & S.P. Singh2 and Amitava Rakshit. (2020). Bio-inoculants as Prospective Inputs for Achieving Sustainability: Indian Story. *Economic Affairs*, 65(1), 31–41. https://doi.org/10.30954/0424-2513.1.2020.5
- Hammons, R. O. (1994). The origin and history of the groundnut. 1–2.
- Jadav, N. B. (2022). Constraints Experienced by Farmers in Adoption of Recommended Groundnut Crop Production Technology. 10(2), 50–53.
- Jayappa, S., Jogattappa, V., Jaime, N., & Teixeira, A. (2010). Influence of Jeevamrutha ( Biodynamic Formulation ) on Agro-Industrial Waste Vermicomposting.
- Jayappa Veeresh, S., Narayana, J., & Teixeira da Silva, J. A. (2009). Influence of Jeevamrutha (Biodynamic Formulation) on Agro-Industrial Waste Vermicomposting. *Dynamic Soil, Dynamic Plant, June.*
- Kaur, A. (2020). JEEVAMRUTHAM: An effective activator of soil microorganisms. 1(1).
- Kevin Naik. (2005). Importance of Floriculture in Indian Economy. 1–8. https://www.ukessays.com/essays/economics/current-status-of-indian-floriculture-industryeconomics-essay.php
- Kulkarni, S. S., & Gargelwar, A. P. (2019). Production and microbial analysis of Jeevamrutham for Nitrogen fixers and Phosphate solubilizers in the rural area from. 12(8), 85–88. https://doi.org/10.9790/2380-1208018592
- Lal, R. (2015). Restoring Soil Quality to Mitigate Soil Degradation. 5875–5895. https://doi.org/10.3390/su7055875
- Maitra, S., Brestic, M., Bhadra, P., Shankar, T., Praharaj, S., Palai, J. B., Shah, M. M. R., Barek,

V., Ondrisik, P., Skalick, M., & Hossain, A. (2022). *Bioinoculants — Natural Biological Resources for Sustainable Plant Production*. 1–35.

- Maurya, D., Adhikari, C., Kumar, T., & Bishwas, A. J. (2021). *Biological Interactions of Plants, Soils, and Microbes. December.*
- Merrill, L. (2020). Effect of fungicide and different Rhizobium inoculants on growth and yield of Effect of fungicide and different Rhizobium inoculants on growth and yield of soybean (
  Glycine max (
  L
  .) Merrill
  .) March, 8–14.
  https://doi.org/10.22271/chemi.2020.v8.i2ao.9159
- Mintah, F., Mohammed, Y. Z., Lamptey, S., & Ahiabor, B. D. K. (2020). Growth and Yield Responses of Cowpea and Groundnut to Five Rhizobial Inoculant Strains in the Guinea Savanna Zone of Ghana. *Advances in Agriculture*, 2020. https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/8848823
- Mishra, D., Rajvir, S., Mishra, U., & Kumar, S. (2013). Role of Bio-Fertilizer in Organic Agriculture: A Review. *Research Journal of Recent* ..., 2(2013), 39–41. http://isca.in/rjrs/archive/special\_issue2012/8.ISCA-ISC-2012-1AFS-32.pdf
- Morton, B. R., Tillman, B. L., Gorbet, D. W., Boote, K. J., Hammons, R. O., Prasad, P. V. V., Cilliers, A. J., Rao, R., & Smith, E. V. (2008). *The origin and history of the groundnut*. 289, 1–26.
- Munshi, S. K., Roy, J., & Noor, R. (2019). Microbiological investigation and determination of the antimicrobial potential of cow dung samples. *Stamford Journal of Microbiology*, 8(1), 34–37. https://doi.org/10.3329/sjm.v8i1.42437
- Mweetwa, A. M., Mulenga, M., Mulilo, X., Ngulube, M., Banda, J. S. K., Kapulu, N., & N, S. H. (2014). Response of Cowpea, Soya Beans and Groundnuts to Non-Indigenous Legume Inoculants. 3(4), 84–95. https://doi.org/10.5539/sar.v3n4p84
- Nigam, S. N., Giri, D. Y., & Reddy, A. G. S. (2013). Groundnut seed production manual. *Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling*, 53(9), 1689–1699.
- Okello, D. K., Biruma, M., & Deom, C. M. (2010). Overview of groundnuts research in Uganda: Past, present and future. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, *9*(39), 6448–6459.

https://doi.org/10.5897/AJB09.013

- Okello, D. K., Okori, P., Puppala, N., Bravo-Ureta, B., Deom, C. M., Ininda, J., Anguria, P., Biruma, M., & Asekenye, C. (2014). Groundnut seed production manual for Uganda. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/277558622\_Groundnut\_Seed\_Production\_Manual \_for\_Uganda\_2015
- Owen, D., Williams, A. P., Grif, G. W., & Withers, P. J. A. (2014). Use of commercial bioinoculants to increase agricultural production through improved phosphrous acquisition Use of commercial bio-inoculants to increase agricultural production through improved phosphorus acquisition. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 86(August), 41–54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2014.09.012
- Palanisingh, V., Vijayalakshmi, R., Sathishkumar, R., & Palanichamy, V. (2020). *Groundnut Exports Of India-Direction And Trends*. 9(July), 4–7.
- Ragimekula, N., Pv, K., & Gowda, M. (2012). STUDY OF GENETIC VARIABILITY AND CORRELATIONS IN SELECTED. March.
- Rajendran, K., Nithya, A., & Mohan, E. (2016). Effect of Bio-inoculants on Growth and Quality Seedling Production of Coriander (Coriandrum sativum L.) in Nursery Condition. 1(1), 50–61.
- Road, I. (2014). Biofertilizers for enhancing groundnut productivity. 5.
- Sailaja, V., Naga Ragini, A., & Narasimha Murthy, C. V. (2013). Influence of biodynamic compost BD 500 on growth and development of leafy vegetable Amaranthus viridis. *Asian Journal of Microbiology, Biotechnology and Environmental Sciences*, 15(1), 93–98.
- Scheurer, C., & Schlegel, C. (2013). ["How can I help you?"]. *Krankenpflege. Soins Infirmiers*, *106*(11), 89. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429446962-1
- Student, G. A. (2019). Production and microbial analysis of Jeevamrutham for Nitrogen fixers and Phosphate solubilizers in the rural area from Maharashtra. 12(8), 85–92. https://doi.org/10.9790/2380-1208018592
- Suyal, D. C., Soni, R., & Sai, S. (2016). as Biofertilizer. 311-318. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-

81-322-2647-5

- Trivedi, A., & Rajpoot, S. K. (2018). *Bio-inoculants and their importance in improving nutrient acquisition and crop productivity under ICM concept* (Issue September 2020).
- Tulu, D., Endalkachew, W., Zikie, A., Asnake, F., Tilahun, A., & Chris, O. (2018). Groundnut (Arachis hypogaea L.) and cowpea (Vigna unguiculata L. Walp) growing in Ethiopia are nodulated by diverse rhizobia. *African Journal of Microbiology Research*, 12(9), 200–217. https://doi.org/10.5897/ajmr2017.8756
- Turuko, M., & Mohammed, A. (2015). Effect of Different Phosphorus Fertilizer Rates on Growth, Dry Matter Yield and Yield Components of Common Bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L .). January 2014, 2–7. https://doi.org/10.12691/wjar-2-3-1
- Veeraiah, A., Shilpakala, V., Devi, S. R., & Kumar, K. A. (2019). Constraint Analysis of Groundnut Cultivation in YSR District of Andhra Pradesh, India. 8(07), 1488–1493.
- Vessey, J. K. (2003). Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria as biofertilizers. *Plant and Soil*, 255(2), 571–586.
- Vishnu, A., Reddy, V., & Menon, S. (2021). a Study on Role of Jeevamruth in Natural Farming:
   a Replacement for Synthetic Fertilizers. 8(5), 89–93.
   https://www.researchgate.net/publication/351450351
- Zoundji, C. C., Houngnandan, P., Amidou, M. H., Kouelo, F. A., & Toukourou, F. (2015). INOCULATION AND PHOSPHORUS APPLICATION EFFECTS ON SOYBEAN [ Glycine max (L.) Merrill ] PRODUCTIVITY GR OWN IN FARMERS ' FIELDS OF BENIN. 25(5), 1384–1392.