
BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY ARAPAI CAMPUS
FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE AND ANIMAL SCIENCES

DEPARTMENT OF CROP PRODUCTION AND MANAGEMENT

EFFECT OF DIFFERENT RATES OF LIMING ON SOIL pH, P AND
AGRONOMIC TRAITS OF COMMON BEANS IN EASTERN UGANDA

BY

IJARA JOSPHAT

BU/UP/2017/1678

BACHELOR OF SCIENCE IN AGRICULTURE

SUPERVISOR: DR. JOHN WASIGE

RESEARCH REPORT SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF CROP
PRODUCTION AND MANAGEMENT IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE
REQUIREMENT FOR THE AWARD OF DEGREE IN BACHELOR OF
SCIENCE IN AGRICULTURE AT BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY

FEBRUARY 2024



DECLARATION

I, IJARA JOSPHAT, declare that this research report is my own original work developed under the supervision of academic staff and that it has not been presented to any other University for a similar or any other degree award.

Date:

Signature:

APPROVAL

I confirm that the work reported in this thesis was carried out by the candidate under my supervision and has been submitted with my approval as university supervisor.

DR. JOHN WASIGE (ACADEMIC SUPERVISOR)

Date: 28/02/2024

Signature: 

DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to my beloved Wife Awori Juliet Ijara and my daughter Amaal Leticia Ijara for being part of me during my academics journey.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I express my thanks to the Almighty God who gave me life and strength to accomplish this work. I sincerely thank my supervisors Dr. Wasige John (PhD), Dr. Opiyo Peter (PhD), Dr. Lubadde Geoffrey (PhD) and Mr. Amayo Robert for their professional guidance and tireless efforts to assist me during this work. I thank Mr. Akodi David for orientation and sharpening the initial idea for this work. I acknowledge the contribution received from all academic staff at Busitema University and particularly Department of Crop production and Management, during my stay in Busitema University.

I also sincerely thank Mr. Kirya David for the technical and laboratory support during the analysis of the research materials in Makerere soil laboratory.

I am highly grateful to my parents for the love they offered to me during my study. Finally, I am very grateful to my brothers and sisters for their love, support and encouragement during my studies. All other colleagues and friends who have both directly and indirectly contributed to the success of this work, I thank you all and may God bless you.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ANOVA	Analysis of variance
GPS	Global positioning system
BUAC	Busitema University Arapai campus
CaCO ₃	Calcium Carbonate
CEC	Cation exchange capacity
CRD	Completely randomized design
EAC	Eat African Community
FAO	Food and agriculture organization
FAOSTAT	Food and agriculture organization statistics
HRVA	Hazard risk and vulnerability Assessment
ISFM	Integrated soil fertility management
LR	Liming requirement
LE	Liming efficiency
MAAIF	Ministry of agriculture, animal industry and fisheries
NABE	NARO Beans
NARO	National agriculture research organization
NDP	National Development Plan
SBC	Soil Buffer capacity
SDGs	Sustainable development goals
SHF	Small holder farmers
SOM	Soil organic matter
SSA	Sub Saharan Africa

TABLES

Table 1: Table showing initial soil physical and chemical properties.....	26
Table 2: Table of means of final soil pH values as affected by lime at 30 days.....	28
Table 3: Table of means of agronomic traits and yield of beans as affected by different rates of liming.....	31

FIGURES

Figure 1: World atlas soil classification map.....	47
Figure 2: Map of Wera subcounty Amuria district showing soil sample sites.....	48
Figure 3: Incubation experiment layout.....	22
Figure 4: Greenhouse experimental setup.....	23
Figure 5: Line graph of Soil pH as affected by different rates of liming over time.....	28
Figure 6: Bar graph showing Effectiveness of different rates of liming on soil available P.....	29

TABLE OF CONTENT

Contents

DECLARATION	i
APPROVAL.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
DEDICATION	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	v
TABLES.....	vi
FIGURES	vii
TABLE OF CONTENT	viii
ABSTRACT	xi
CHAPTER ONE	- 1 -
INTRODUCTION.....	- 1 -
1.1 Background	- 1 -
1.2 Problem statement	- 3 -
1.3 Justification	- 4 -
1.4 Objectives.....	- 5 -
1.4.1 Main objective.....	- 5 -
1.4.2 Specific objectives.....	- 5 -
The specific objectives of the study were to:.....	- 5 -
1.5 Hypothesis.....	- 5 -
1.6 Significance.....	- 6 -
1.7 Scope.....	- 6 -
CHAPTER TWO.....	- 8 -
LITERATURE REVIEW.....	- 8 -
2.1 Food security in SSA and food production constraints	- 8 -
2.2 Common beans and food security	- 8 -
2.3 Soil pH and acidification.....	- 9 -
2.4 Soil acidification and Aluminum toxicity.....	- 9 -
2.5 Solubility of lime.....	- 10 -

2.6 Soil acidity and crop responses	- 11 -
2.7 Lime requirement	- 13 -
2.8 Lime application.....	- 14 -
2.9 Liming and its advantages in acidic soils	- 15 -
CHAPTER THREE.....	- 17 -
METHODS AND MATERIALS	- 17 -
3.1 Description of the study area	- 17 -
3.1.1 Location of the study	- 17 -
3.1.2 Geology and soils	- 17 -
3.1.3 Vegetation	- 18 -
3.1.4 Climate	- 18 -
3.1.4.1 Rainfall	- 18 -
3.1.4.2 Temperature, humidity, wind, and evapotranspiration	- 18 -
3.2 Soil sample collection and preparation.....	- 19 -
3.4 Description of materials	- 19 -
3.4.1. NABE 15 beans variety.....	- 19 -
3.4.2. Soil.	- 20 -
3.4.3 Liming material	- 20 -
3.5 Research design.....	- 20 -
3.6.0 Experimental design and layout	- 21 -
3.6.1 Incubation experiment layout	- 21 -
3.6.2 Screen house experimental layout.	- 22 -
3.7 Sampling strategy and technique.....	- 23 -
3.8 Determination of soil pH and soil available P.	- 23 -
3.8.1 Soil pH determination.....	- 23 -
3.8.2 Determination of available soil P.	- 24 -
2.8.3 Relative Economic efficiency (REE%)	- 24 -
3.9 Initial soil physical and chemical properties	- 25 -
3.10 Data collection.....	- 25 -
3. 11 Data analysis	- 26 -
CHAPTER FOUR.....	- 27 -

RESULTS	- 27 -
4.1 Effect of different rates of liming on soil pH.	- 27 -
4.2: Effect of lime on the soil pH over time	- 28 -
4.3 Effects of different rates of liming on available soil P concentration.....	- 29 -
4.4.1 Effect of different rates of liming on plant height and soil parameters.....	- 30 -
4.4.1. Effect of different rates of liming on plant leaf number	- 31 -
4.4.2 Effect of different rates of liming on plant leaf number	- 31 -
4.4.3 Effect of different rates of liming on primary branch number.....	- 31 -
4.4.4 Effect of different rates of liming on Tap root length.....	- 31 -
4.4.5 Effect of different rates of liming on pod number and grain weight.	- 32 -
CHAPTER FIVE.....	- 33 -
DISCUSSION	- 33 -
CHAPTER SIX	- 38 -
CONCLUSION AND ECOMMENDATION	- 38 -
6.1 Conclusion.....	- 38 -
6.2 Recommendation.....	- 39 -
6.3 Areas of further research	- 39 -
REFERENCES.....	- 40 -
APPENDICES.....	- 47 -
MAPS.....	- 47 -
PICTURES TAKEN DURING THE STUDY	- 49 -

ABSTRACT

Low soil pH and low soil available phosphorus, are major soil fertility problems with the soils under common bean production in East Africa. The major objective of this study was to develop a soil acidity management strategy for common beans production in Eastern Uganda by determining the effect of different rate of liming on soil pH, soil available P and agronomic traits of common beans. This was achieved through a laboratory-based incubation and a greenhouse experiment. The greenhouse trial used RCBD with four replications and ran for 70days while the incubation experiment used CRD with three replicates for 30 days. This was done out on acidic silty clay loam soils from Wera sub county Amuria district in E. Uganda. The data collected were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA), available P was analyzed using Bray 1 method. All the collected soil samples were acidic in reaction and pH varied from 4.89 to 6.69 with mean value of 5.612, available P was 3.2mg/kg with the textural class of silty clay loam (clay 35%, silt, 55% and sand 10%). Addition of CaCO_3 at different rates (0tons, 3tons, 4tons, 5tons, 6tons and 7tons/ha) to the soils significantly increased both pH and soil available P in the soil. Notably application of lime had significant effect on soil pH with the ($p < .001$) where 7tons/ha had the highest pH value of 6.69 and control had least value of 4.98. But liming at 6tons/ha met the liming requirement of the soils with 6.06 pH values. On the other hand, CaCO_3 also had a significant effect on the soil available P with ($p < .001$) where 7tons had the highest value 18.8mg/kg and control had the least with 4.1mg/kg of soil but 5 and 6tons raised the available soil P to 11mg/kg - 14.8mg/kg a range suitable for common beans (10-15mg/kg). Finally, there was a significant effect of all the treatments on the agronomic traits & 100 grain weight of beans with (plant height, leave number, tap root height, pod number and grain weight) having ($p < .001$) while branch number was not significantly affected ($p > .001$). Agronomically, application at 6tons/ha had the best performance compared to all the other application rates and it also showed the highest economic efficiency of 335.18% compared to other liming rates.

CHAPTER ONE

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Globally, beans are the most important legume for human consumption. They are estimated to be the second most important source of dietary protein and the third most important source of calories. It is estimated that about 20 million tonnes are produced annually with a market value of \$10 billion² (FAOSTAT, 2012). The world's leading producers are India, Burma, Brazil, the United States, China, Mexico, Tanzania, and Uganda. In Africa, Uganda is second to Tanzania, according to 2018 United Nations Food and Agriculture Statistics.

Common bean is the most widely grown grain legume, and second only to maize as a food crop and a major source of food security in East Africa (Mauyo *et al.*, 2007). Its consumption in the East Africa Community (EAC) has been increasing over years for the past decade. (FAOSTAT2018). Out of the 2.95 million tonnes consumed in the EAC during 2016, Uganda's bean consumption accounted for 32%, followed by Tanzania with 24.5%and Kenya with 17.2%. Rwanda and Burundi each accounted for about 13%. It is projected that by 2030, total demand for beans in the EAC will almost double due to growing populations, political stability, and sustained yearly economic growth of around 7%. These factors will increase the importance of beans as a staple and an affordable protein source across the region. (Team, 2020)

Common bean is an important legume staple crop in Uganda. The national annual consumption of beans is estimated at 58 kg per capita. In Uganda, Beans are among the government's 12 strategic priority crops under the National Development Plan 11 (2015/16 to 2019/20) for improving Smallholder Farmer living conditions

REFERENCES

- Agriculture, M. O. F., & Industry, A. (2020). Beans Training Manual for Extension workers in Uganda Partners.
- Anderson NP, Hart JM, Sullivan DM, Christensen NW, Horneck DA, Pirelli GJ (2013). Applying lime to raise soil pH for crop production (Western Oregon)
- Anthanase Nduwumuremyi. (2013). Effects of different limes on soil properties and yield of Irish potatoes (*Solanum tuberosum*. l) in Burera district, Rwanda
- Bationo, A. and Buerkert, A. (2001). Response of snap bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* Linn.) to lime and phosphorus. *Philippines Journal of Crop Science (Philippines)*.17 (Supplement No.1), 21
- Beebe, S., Rao, I., Mukankusi, C. and Buruchara, R. 2010. Improving resource use efficiency and reducing the risk of common bean production in Africa, Latin America, and the Caribbean. <https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/132666015.pdf> Accessed on 12th April 2013
- Birachi EA, Ochieng J, Wozemba D, Ruraduma C, Niyuhire MC, Ochieng D (2012). Factors influencing smallholder farmers' bean production and supply to the market in Burundi. *African Crop Science Journal* 19(4):335-342.
- Birhan Abdulkadir, 2006. "Response of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) to nitrogen, phosphorus, and inoculation of *Rhizobium Leguminosarum* on yield and yield components at Melkassa", M.Sc. Thesis, University of Hawassa, Awassa College of Agriculture, Ethiopia, 97
- Laboratory Safety. Department of Conservation & Land Management, Sydney.

Bray, RH & Kurtz, LT 1945, Determination of total, organic, and available forms of phosphorus in soils. *Soil Science*, 59: 39-45.

Bolan NS, Adriano DC, Curtin D (2003). Soil acidification and liming interactions with nutrient and heavy metal transformation and bioavailability. *Advances in Agronomy* 78:215-272.

Budianta, D. and Vanderdeelen, J. (1995). Dynamics of exchangeable aluminum in ultisol. International conference on soil resources and sustainable agriculture. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.

Bulyaba R, Winham DM, Lenssen AW, Moore KJ, Kelly JD, Brick MA, Wright EM, Ogg JB (2020). Genotype by location effects on yield and seed nutrient of common bean. *Agronomy* 10:347

Bulyaba, R., Lenssen, A. W., Moore, K. J., & Semalulu, O. (2020). Limestone application effects on common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) yield and grain iron and zinc concentration on a Ferralsol soil in Uganda. 16(12), 1652–1664. <https://doi.org/10.5897/AJAR2020.14932>

Carson, C. D., and Dixon, J. B. (1979). Acidity. In R. W. Finkl, *The Encyclopedia of Soil Science* (pp. 1-3). Pennsylvania. Hutchinson & Ross Inc.

Caudle, N. (1991). *Managing soil acidity*. North Carolina: North Carolina State University.

District, S. (2014). SOROTI District. June.

Kolay, A.K. (July 10, 2007). *Soil Genesis, Classification Survey and Evaluation, Volume 1*. New Delhi: Atlantic Publishers and Distributors. pp. 191ff. ISBN 9788126908028. Retrieved 28 October 2013

Panagos, P., Jones, A., Bosco, C., Senthil Kumar P.S. European digital archive on soil maps (EuDASM): preserving important soil data for public free access. International Journal of Digital Earth (2011), 4 (5), pp. 434-443. DOI:[10.1080/17538947.2011.596580](https://doi.org/10.1080/17538947.2011.596580)

Edmeades DC, Wheeler DM, Waller JE (2012). Comparison of methods for determining lime requirements of New Zealand soils. New Zealand Journal of Agricultural Research 28:1.

Fageria, N.K. and Baligar V.C. (2004). Ameliorating soil acidity of tropical oxisols by liming for sustainable crop production (Vol. 99). (L. Donald, Ed.) Elsevier Inc. Academic press

Fageria, N. (2001a). Effect of liming on upland rice, common bean, corn, and soybean production in cerrado soil. Pesq. Agropec. Bras, 1419-1424.

Fageria, N. K, Baligar, V. C. (2008). Ameliorating Soil Acidity of Tropical Oxisols by Liming For Sustainable Crop Production. In E. Inc., & D. L. SPARKS (Ed.), Advances in Agronomy (Vol. 99, pp. 345-389). Brazil: Academic Press.

Hakim, N., Agustian, Syafriman and Soepardi, G. (1989). Effect of lime, fertilizers, and crop residues on yield and nutrient uptake of upland rice, soybean, and maize in intercropping system. In J. Heide, Nutrient management for food crop production in the tropical farming system (pp. 349-360). The Netherlands: Wageningen University.

Hardy, D. H., Raper, C. D. and Miner, G. S. (1990). Chemical restrictions of root in Ultisol subsoil lessened by long-term management. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J, 1657- 1660.

- Haynes, R. J. (1984). Lime and phosphate in the soil-plant system. *Adv. Agron*, 249–315.
- Kaitibie, S., Epplin, F. M. , Krenzer, E. G. and Zhang, H. (2002). Economics of lime and phosphorus application for dual-purpose winter wheat production in low pH soils. *Agron. J*, 1139-1145.
- Kamprath, E.J. 1984. Crop response to lime on soils in the tropics p 341- 348. In: Adams F. (ed). *Soil acidity and liming*.ASA, Madison, Wisconsin
- Kariuki, S. K., Zhang H. , Schroder J.L. , Edwards J.E., Payton M. ,Carver B.F. ,Raun W.R. and Krenzer E.G. (2007). Hard red winter wheat cultivar responses to a pH and aluminum concentration gradient. *Agron. J*, 88-98.
- Kapkiyai JJ, Karnja NK, QureshiJN, Smithson PC, Woomer PL (1999).Soil organic matter and nutrient dynamics in Kenyan Nitisols under long-term fertilizer and organic input management. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 31:1773-1782.
- Kyomuhendo, P, Tenywa, M M, Semalulu, O, Lenssen, A, Yost, R, Mazur, R, Kyebogola, S (2020). Lime requirements for bean production on two contrasting soils of Lake Victoria Crescent agro-ecological zone
- Lalljee and Bhanooduth. (2015). Phosphorous fixation as influenced by soil characteristics of some Mountain soils
- Lunze L, Abang MM, Buruchara R, Ugen MA, Nabahungu NL, Rachier GO, Ngongo M, Rao I (2012). Integrated soil fertility management in bean-based cropping systems of Eastern, Central, and Southern Africa. In *Soil Fertility*

Improvement and Integrated Nutrient Management—A Global Perspective; Whalen, J.K. Eds. InTech Open Ltd. London, UK pp. 239–272.

Lunze, L., Kimani, P. M., Ngatoluwa, R., Rabary, B., Rachier, G. O., Ugen, M. M., & Awad, E. E. (2007). Bean Improvement for Low Soil Fertility Adaptation in Eastern and Central Africa. 325–326.

Mauyo, L.W., Okalebo, J.R., Kirkby, R.A., Buruchara, R., Ugen, M., Mengist, C.T., Anjichi, V.E. and Musebe, R.O. 2007. Technical efficiency and regional market integration of cross-border bean marketing in western Kenya and eastern Uganda. African Journal of Business Management pp. 077-084.

Maheshwari, D. (2006). Soil acidity. Sandip Patil: Department of Landscape architecture, CEPT University.

Mesfin A bebe. 2007. Nature and management of acid soils in Ethiopia.www.eiar.gov.et/Soil/soils_acid.pdf

Muindi, E.M. (2019). Understanding soil Phosphorus. 31(2),1-18. <https://doi.org/10.9734/IJPSS/2019/v31i230208>

Nurlaeny, N., Marschner H. and George E. . (1996). Effects of liming and mycorrhizal colonization on soil phosphate depletion and phosphate uptake by maize(*Zea Mays L.*) and soybean (*Glycine max L.*) grown in two tropical acid soils. Plant Soil, 275-285.

Nziguheba Generose (2007). Overcoming phosphorus deficiency in soils of Eastern Africa:

Santpoort, Romy (2020.)The drivers of maize area expansion in sub-Saharan Africa. How policies to boost maize production overlook the interests of

smallholder farmers. The Netherlands Land Academy, Utrecht University, 3584 CB Utrecht, The Netherlands; r.m.santpoort@uu.nl

Scott, B. J., Fisher J.A. and Cullins B. R. (2001). Aluminum reliance and lime increase wheat yield on the acidic soils of central and southern. *Aust. J. Exp. Agric*, 523-532

Sibiko, K.W., Mwangi, J.K., Gido, E.O., Ingasia, O.A. and Mutai, B.K. 2013. Allocative efficiency of smallholder common bean producers in Uganda: A stochastic frontier and Tobit model approach. *International Journal of Development and Sustainability Online* ISSN: 2168-8662 – www.isdsnet.com/ijds 2 (2): 640-652

SHOEMAKER, H. E., MACLEAN, E., O. and PRATT, P. F. 1961. Buffer method for determining lime requirement of soils with appreciable amounts of extractable aluminum. *Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc.* 25: 274-277.

Soil Science of America. (1997). *Glossary of soil science terms*. Madison, USA: soil science society of America.

Soil Survey Division Staff. (1993). *Soil survey manual*. US Dept. of agriculture handbook. Washington, DC: US Govert. printing office.

Soroti district HRV., (2014) Teso Soroti District Hazard, Risk and Vulnerability Profile June 2014

Team Country. (2020) *Beans Sector Strategy – Uganda CASA Uganda country team*

Uchida, R and Hue N. V. (2000). Soil acidity and liming. In S. J, & U. R, *Plant nutrient management in Hawaii soils, approaches for tropical and*

subtropical agriculture. Manoa, Hawaii: College of tropical agriculture and human resources, University of Hawaii.

Urage, E. (2016). Response of Common Bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L .) to Application of Lime and Phosphorus on Acidic Soil of Areka, Southern. 6(19), 90–100.

Wortmann, C.S., Kirkby, R.A., Eledu, C.A., Allen, D.J., 1998. Atlas of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) production in Africa. International Center for Tropical Agriculture, Cali, Colombia. 133 p. (CIAT publication; no. 297)

Ywih, H., Ahmed, O. H., Muhamad, N., & Majid, A. (2014). Improving Phosphorus Availability in an Acid Soil Using Organic Amendments Produced from Agroindustrial Wastes. 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/506356>

Day PR. Hydrometer method of particle size analysis. In: Black CA, editor. Methods of soil analysis. Agronomy Part I, No. 9. Madison: American Society of Agronomy; 1965.