

# IMPACTS OF AGRO FORESTRY PRACTICES ON THE LIVELIHOOD OF FARMERS IN NAMASAGALI SUB COUNTY KAMULI DISTRICT-UGANDA

BY

## **TURYAMUSIIMA EMILY**

## (BU/UG/2019/0069)

This final year project report is submitted to the Department of Natural Resource Economics in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the Award of the Degree of Bachelor of Science in Natural Resource Economics of Busitema University.

SEPTEMBER, 2024

## Declaration

I **Turyamusiima Emily** declare to the best of my knowledge that this research report submitted to the faculty of Natural Resource and Environmental Sciences is my original work and has never been submitted by any other person to any institution or university for any academic qualification.

Signature: ..... Date.....

(BU/UG/2019/0069)

### APPROVAL

This is to certify that this research report titled "Impacts of Agroforestry on the Livelihood of farmers: a case study of Namasagali Sub- County, Kamuli district" is the original work of Turyamusiima Emily and has been submitted with our approval as supervisors for the partial fulfillment of the Award of Bachelors of Science in Natural Resource Economics of Busitema University, Uganda.

**BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY** 

Signature:	Date:

MR. MUSOBYA MOSES

**BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY** 

Signature: ..... Date.....

## Dedication

This research is dedicated to all those who offered help and assistance in the progress of finishing this research especially my sister Nuwahereza Roziana, my Dad, Mr. Kamugisha Robert, my Mum, Mrs. Kamugisha Robina, my best friend, Amos Okilong, and my siblings Vierah, Violah and Vanessa for their tireless efforts to ensure my research is done in time.

May my academic journey be an inspiration to you as you strive to achieve greatness.

#### Acknowledgement

First and foremost, I thank the Almighty God for the gift of life, knowledge, and for enabling me finish my research, despite the hindrances.

I extend my great appreciation mostly to my family, Pastor Moses, Mom, Dad and my sister Roziana for their moral, financial, spiritual and technical support for I would never have come this far without them. May the Almighty God bless them abundantly. I would like to as well extend my sincere gratitude to my supervisors, Madam Nyangoma Immelda and Mr. Musobya Moses for their guidance and encouragement throughout the course and research until the last drop. I greatly appreciate your professionalism and workmanship. May the Almighty bless you. Finally, not forgetting my friends and colleagues, Andinda Daisy, Ninshaba Agatha, Amos Okilong, Chemisto Phionah, Birungi Joan, Akankunda Desire, Boonabana Phionah, Patience Tumuramye, Penelope Nkamushaba, Kitimbo Derrick, Ssentomero Patrick, Luswata Emmanuel, and all my classmates. May God bless you abundantly for whichever support you rendered towards compilation of this report.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS.

Declaration	i
APPROVAL	ii
Dedication	. iii
Acknowledgement	. iv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	. ix
ABSTRACT	x
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement.	3
1.3 General objective.	3
1.5 Significance of the study	4
1.6 Scope of the study	4
1.7 Conceptual framework	4
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1 Introduction	6
2.2 Impacts of agroforestry on the livelihood of farmers	6
2.3 Challenges of adopting agroforestry practices.	9
2.4 Strategies to Increase the Adoption of Agroforestry Practices.	12
CHAPTER THREE: METHODOLOGY	14
3.1 Introduction.	14
3.2 Description of the study area.	14
3.3 Research design.	16
3.4 Study Population.	16
3.5 Sample size and sampling procedure	16

3.6 Data types and data source.	
3.7 Data collection methods	
3.8 Data processing and data analysis.	19
3.9 Ethical considerations	19
3.1.0 Limitations of the study	19
CHAPTER FOUR: FINDINGS AND RESULTS.	21
4.1. Introduction.	21
4.2 Socio-demographic characteristics.	21
4.3 IMPACTS OF AGROFORESTRY ON FARMERS	
4.4 CHALLENGES AFFECTING AGROFORESTRY FARMERS	
4.5 STRATEGIES PUT IN PLACE TO INCREASE ADOPTION OF AGRO	FORESTRY.
CHAPTER FIVE: DISCUSSION OF FINDING	52
5.1 Introduction	52
5.2 Socio-demographic information of the respondents	52
5.3 IMPACTS OF AGROFORESTRY ON FARMERS	55
5.4 CHALLENGES AFFECTING AGROFORESTRY FARMERS	60
5.5 STRATEGIES PUT IN PLACE TO INCREASE ADOPTION OF AGRO	FORESTRY.
	61
CHAPTER SIX: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	65
6.1. Introduction	65
6.2. Summary of findings	65
6.3 Conclusion	66
6.4 Recommendations.	66
6.5 Areas for further studies	66
APPENDICES	

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1 Gender of the respondents	21
Table 2 Age category of respondents	21
Table 3 marital status of the respondents.	22
Table 4 Religion of the respondents.	23
Table 5: Tribe of the respondents	23
Table 6 Position of the respondents.	24
Table 7 Sources of income for the respondents	26
Table 8 household size	26
Table 9 Acreage owned by respondents	27
Table 10 Major sources of agroforestry information	29
Table 11 Experience in practicing agroforestry.	30
Table 12 Nature of agroforestry practices common amongst the farmers	30
Table 13 Crops cultivated with trees	31
Table 14 Trees integrated with crops.	32
Table 15 livestock reared the respondents.	32
Table 16 Contribution of agroforestry to increase in crop yield.	33
Table 17 Contribution of agroforestry to improved soil fertility.	33
Table 18 Contribution of agroforestry to increased biodiversity.	34
Table 19 Contribution of agroforestry to additional income from tree products	34
Table 20 Contribution of agroforestry to reduction soil erosion	35
Table 21 Agroforestry benefits	37
Table 22 Challenges faced by agroforestry farmers.	39
Table 23 Source of support to address challenges.	40
Table 24 Period of receiving training	42
Table 25 Measures put in place to increase adoption.	43
Table 26 Strategies practiced to increase adoption.	45
Table 27 barriers hindering adoption of agroforestry	46

Table 31 Additional information.	51
Table 30 Policy changes needed	50
Table 29 support needed	49
Table 28 the training needed.	48

## LIST OF FIGURES.

Figure 1 showing conceptual framework	5
Figure 2 showing the map of study area	15
Figure 3 showing Education level of respondents	25
Figure 4 land ownership by agroforestry farmers.	27
Figure 5 Proportion of respondents who had heard about agroforestry	28
Figure 6 Proportion of respondents who practice agroforestry	29
Figure 7 Improved financial situation of respondents	36
Figure 8 showing respondents who were facing challenges	38
Figure 9 showing respondents who receive support to address challenges.	40
Figure 10 Proportion of respondents who received training.	41
Figure 11 showing respondents who were aware of measures put in place	42
Figure 12 showing personal participation in strategies to increase adoption.	44
Figure 13 showing respondents who faced barriers that hindered them from adoption	<b>1.</b> 46
Figure 14 showing respondents who were willing to participate in training	47
Figure 15 Researcher collecting data.	82

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.

AFOLU	Agriculture Forestry and Land Use
DEAP	District Environment Action Plan.
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization.
GHG	Greenhouse Gases
ICRAF	International Council for Research in Agroforestry.
LC	Local Council.
NAP	National Agroforestry Program
NRE	Natural Resource Economics.
NGOs	Non-Governmental Organizations.
PES	Payment for Ecosystem Services.
UBOS	Uganda Bureau of Standards.

### ABSTRACT

The study assessed the impacts of agroforestry practices on livelihood of farmers in Namasagali Sub- County in Kamuli district. The study was carried out in five zones of the two villages of Kisaikye and Kavuule. Descriptive survey design was used where semi-structured questionnaires were used to obtain data from selected households. Sampling methods were used to select respondents from the two villages where simple random, purposive and snowball sampling techniques were employed to collect data from a sample size of 70. Kobo collect was used to develop

electronic questionnaires which were used to collect data on household socio economic status, the impacts of agroforestry practices on farmers, challenges faced by agroforestry farmers and the different strategies that were put in place to increase the adoption of agroforestry practices. SPSS version 20 was used to analyze data and the results show that most agroforestry farmers had experienced an impact of climate modification (22.5%) and nutritional security (22%). It was discovered that most farmers practiced silvo pasture (33.3%) and majority had grown maize (26.9%) as the dominant crop and had majorly integrated jack fruit and mango trees (22.2%). Most farmers were faced with a challenge of limited land (33.3%) which limited them from practicing agroforestry on a large scale. Results show that most farmers had joined farmer groups (35.7%) as the main strategy to increase adoption of agroforestry. Results also show that farmers who had adopted agroforestry had attained an improvement in their overall financial situation from tree products like timber, fruits, firewood for charcoal burning. It is concluded that farmers with larger household size are more likely to practice agroforestry practices than farmers with smaller household size and also shows that most of the farmers who were more likely to adopt agroforestry had a bigger land acreage for planting more trees. It is recommended that the government should intervene to support agroforestry farmers through provision of extension services, subsides or incentives.

#### **CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION**

#### 1.1 Background

Agroforestry is one of the most noticeable land-use systems across agro-ecological zones and landscapes in the world. With increased threats of climate change and food shortages and, concern in Agroforestry gathers its ability to meet different adaptation needs on-farm in other to achieve many roles in AFOLU (Agriculture Forestry and Land Use) associated mitigation pathways. Income from carbon, wood energy, assets, improved soil fertility; ecosystem services and enhancement of local climate conditions are all provided by agroforestry; in order to reduce human effects on natural forests (Liliane, 2018). Agroforestry systems include both traditional and modern land-use systems where trees are managed together with crops and/or animal production systems in agricultural settings (FAO, 2022).

Agroforestry has been defined as a dynamic, ecologically based, natural resource management system that, through the integration of trees on farms and in the agricultural landscape, diversifies and sustains production for increased social, economic and environmental benefits for land users at all levels (Sirajo, 2023). Agroforestry is continued to be developed, promoted, and used by smallholder farmers as one of the sustainable agricultural practices to address the aforementioned problems (FAO, 2018 and Santoro et al., 2020). According to (Walter et al., 2015) and (FAO, 2018) the implementation of agroforestry practices will improve household food security and income, while reducing the consequences of climate change (Chavula et al., 2023) as well as meeting local needs. It also protects the environment and this method could possibly compete with regional food production (Akter et al., 2022).

The adoption of agroforestry in East Africa gained momentum in the early 1980s as a response to the region's environmental challenges, such as deforestation, soil degradation, and declining agricultural productivity. Governments, non-governmental organizations (NGOs), and research institutions in countries like Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Rwanda, and Ethiopia started promoting agroforestry as a viable solution to address these issues (ICRAF, 2018).

Agroforestry was introduced in Uganda in the early 1980s. The practice was introduced as a means of promoting sustainable land management and improving the livelihoods of smallholder farmers

#### REFERENCES

Basamba, T. A., Mayanja, C., Kiiza, B., Nakileza, B., Matsiko, F., Nyende, P., & Ssekabira, K. (2016). Enhancing adoption of agroforestry in the eastern agro ecological zone of Uganda. *International Journal of ecological science and environmental engineering*, *3*(1), 20-31.

Akter, R., Hasan, M. K., Kabir, K. H., Darr, D., & Roshni, N. A. (2022). Agroforestry systems and their impact on livelihood improvement of tribal farmers in a tropical moist deciduous forest in Bangladesh. Trees, Forests and People, 9, 100315.

Kiyani, P., Andoh, J., Lee, Y., & Lee, D. K. (2017). Benefits and challenges of agroforestry adoption: a case of Musebeya sector, Nyamagabe District in southern province of Rwanda. *Forest science and technology*, *13*(4), 174-180.

Abdul-Salam, Y., Ovando, P., & Roberts, D. (2022). Understanding the economic barriers to the adoption of agroforestry: A Real Options analysis. *Journal of Environmental Management*, *302*, 113955.

Elizabeth Gosling, Esther Reith, Thomas Knoke, Carola Paul. A goal programming approach to evaluate agroforestry systems in Eastern Panama, Journal of Environmental Management, Volume 261, 2020, 110248, ISSN 0301-4797.

R. Leakey. The role of trees in agroecology and sustainable agriculture in the tropics. Annual review of phytopathology, 52 (2014), pp. 113-133

Kyarikunda, M., Nyamukuru, A., Mulindwa, D., & Tabuti, J. R. (2017). Agroforestry and Management of Trees in Bunya County, Mayuge District, Uganda. *International Journal of Forestry Research*, 2017(1), 3046924.

Graham, S., Ihli, H. J., & Gassner, A. (2022). Agroforestry, indigenous tree cover and biodiversity conservation: a case study of Mount Elgon in Uganda. *The European Journal of Development Research*, *34*(4), 1893-1911.

Jose, S., & Dollinger, J. (2019). Silvopasture: a sustainable livestock production system. *Agroforestry systems*, 93, 1-9.

MUGURE, A. S. (2016). FACTORS INFLUENCING ADOPTION OF AGROFORESTRY PRACTICES (Doctoral dissertation).

Amare, D., Wondie, M., Mekuria, W., & Darr, D. (2019). Agroforestry of smallholder farmers in Ethiopia: practices and benefits. *Small-scale Forestry*, *18*, 39-56.

Sahu, S., & Gupta, H. (2024). Sustainable Agroforestry-Based Approach to Achieve Food Security Through Soil Health. In *Agroforestry to Combat Global Challenges: Current Prospects and Future Challenges* (pp. 323-343). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.

Liliane, M. U. K. U. N. D. E. N. T. E. (2021). *IMPACT OF AGROFORESTRY TECHNOLOGIES ON LIVELIHOOD IMPROVEMENT AMONG SMALLHOLDER FARMERS IN SOUTHERN PROVINCE OF RWANDA* (Doctoral dissertation, KENYATTA UNIVERSITY).

Tola, F. K., Duguma, M. S., Samuel, D., Terefe, R., & Daba, M. H. (2024). Role of Calliandra Calothyrsus Alley Cropping for Soil Fertility and Maize Production at Bako, Western Oromia. *East African Journal of Forestry and Agroforestry*, 7(1), 300-308.

Garibaldi, L. (2017). The influence of crop diversification on pollination and yield stability in agroecosystems: A meta-analysis. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 248, 1-9.

Kiptot, E., & Franzel, S. (2015). Farmer-to-farmer extension: opportunities for enhancing performance of volunteer farmer trainers in Kenya. Development in Practice, 25(4), 503-517.

Dlamini, C. S. (2020). Socio-Economic and Policy Issues in Relation to the Adoption of Agroforestry in Africa. *Agroforestry for Degraded Landscapes: Recent Advances and Emerging Challenges-Vol. 1*, 283-304.

Minang, P. A., van Noordwijk, M., Freeman, O. E., Mbow, C., de Leeuw, J., & Catacutan, D. (Eds.). (2014). *Climate-smart landscapes: multifunctionality in practice*. ASB Partnership for The Tropical Forest margins.

Nair, P. K. R. (2017). Agroforestry as a sustainable land-use option. Journal of Soil and Water Conservation, 72(2), 42A-47A.

Pretty, J., Benton, T. G., Bharucha, Z. P., Dicks, L. V., Flora, C. B., Godfray, H. C. J., ... & Wratten, S. (2018). Global assessment of agricultural system redesign for sustainable intensification. *Nature Sustainability*, *1*(8), 441-446.

Santiago Freijanes, J. J. (2020). *The Comon Agricultural Policy (CAP) and agroforestry systems in the European Union* (Doctoral dissertation).

Kirugumi, W. G. (2022). Influence of Agroforestry on Livelihoods for Smallholder Farmers in Solio Settlement Scheme in Laikipia County, Kenya (Doctoral dissertation, KeMU).

McGinty, M. M., Swisher, M. E., & Alavalapati, J. (2008). Agroforestry adoption and maintenance: self-efficacy, attitudes and socio-economic factors. *Agroforestry systems*, *73*, 99-108.

Kiptot, E., & Franzel, S. (2012). Gender and agroforestry in Africa: a review of women's participation. *Agroforestry systems*, 84, 35-58.

Paudel, T., Ghimire, R. P., Devkota, N. R., Devota, D., & Parajulee, M. N. (2020). Assessing agriculture systems for food security amidst changing climate. *Principles and practices of food security: sustainable, sufficient, and safety food for healthy living in Nepal. Association of Nepalese Agricultural Professionals of Americas, USA*, 342-365.

Bryan, M. L., Knutson, H. A., Howard, A. W., Ngo, H., Batygin, K., Crepp, J. R., ... & Wright, J. T. (2016). Statistics of long period gas giant planets in known planetary systems. *The Astrophysical Journal*, *821*(2), 89.

Franzel, S., Kiptot, E., & Degrande, A. (2019). Farmer-to-farmer extension: A low-cost approach for promoting climate-smart agriculture. *The climate-smart agriculture papers: Investigating the Business of a productive, resilient and Low emission future*, 277-288.

Mercer, D. E., & Miller, R. P. (2014). Socioeconomic research in agroforestry: Progress, prospects, and challenges. Agroforestry Systems, 89(4), 611-626.

Wanjira, E. O., & Muriuki, J. (2020). Review of the status of agroforestry practices in Kenya. *Background Study for Preparation of Kenya National Agroforestry Strategy*(2021-2030). *DOI*, 10.

Gorgens, E. B., Mucida, D. P., Silva, B. H. L., Sperandio, H. V., de Azevedo, M. L., Martins, I. C., ... & de Morais, M. S. (2021). Payment for environmental services as a strategy to influence land use change dynamics. *agriRxiv*, (2021), 20210185574.

Beedy, T. L., Nyamadzawo, G., Luedeling, E., Kim, D. G., Place, F., & Hadgu, K. (2014). 11 Agroforestry for Small Landholders of Eastern and Southern Africa. Soil Management of Smallholder Agriculture, 237.

Van Noordwijk, M., Ekadinata, A., Leimona, B., Catacutan, D., Martini, E., Tata, H. L., ... & Zulkarnain, T. (2020). Agroforestry options for degraded landscapes in Southeast Asia. *Agroforestry for Degraded Landscapes: Recent Advances and Emerging Challenges-Vol. 1*, 307-347.

Zomer, R. J., Neufeldt, H., Xu, J., Ahrends, A., Bossio, D., Trabucco, A., ... & Wang, M. (2016). Global Tree Cover and Biomass Carbon on Agricultural Land: The contribution of agroforestry to global and national carbon budgets. *Scientific reports*, *6*(1), 29987.

Mwase, W., Sefasi, A., Njoloma, J., Nyoka, B. I., Manduwa, D., & Nyaika, J. (2015). Factors affecting adoption of agroforestry and evergreen agriculture in Southern Africa. Environment and Natural Resources Research, 5(2), 148.

Kabiru, S., Hassan, S., Hadi, R., Umar, U., Musab, I., & Bello, M. (2018). Limiting Factors Affecting Agroforestry Adoption in Butta Sub-county, Manafwa District, Uganda. Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research, 5(3), 1-9.

Ibrahim, A. O., Adedeji, A. S., & Meduna, P. N. (2019). Constraints facing agroforestry practices among farmers in New Bussa, Nigeria. Journal of Research in Forestry, Wildlife and Environment, 11(3), 133-141.

Kiptot, E., & Franzel, S. C. (2011). Gender and agroforestry in Africa: are women participating?.

Catacutan, D., & Naz, F. (2015). Gender roles, decision-making and challenges to agroforestry adoption in Northwest Vietnam. *International Forestry Review*, *17*(4), 22-32.

Galabuzi, C., Agaba, H., Okia, C. A., Odoul, J., & Muthuri, C. (2021). Women and youths participation in agroforestry: What counts and what doesn't around Mount Elgon, Uganda?. *Journal of Mountain Science*, *18*(12), 3306-3320.

Salam, M. A., Noguchi, T., & Koike, M. (2000). Understanding why farmers plant trees in the homestead agroforestry in Bangladesh. *Agroforestry systems*, *50*, 77-93.

Elevitch, C. R., & Manner, H. I. (2006). Artocarpus heterophyllus (jackfruit). Species profiles for Pacific Island agroforestry, 10, 1-25.

Fahad, S., Chavan, S. B., Chichaghare, A. R., Uthappa, A. R., Kumar, M., Kakade, V., ... & Poczai,
P. (2022). Agroforestry systems for soil health improvement and maintenance. *Sustainability*, *14*(22), 14877.

Santos, M., Cajaiba, R. L., Bastos, R., Gonzalez, D., Petrescu Bakış, A. L., Ferreira, D., ... & Mosquera-Losada, M. R. (2022). Why do agroforestry systems enhance biodiversity? Evidence from habitat amount hypothesis predictions. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*, *9*, 630151.

Quandt, A., Neufeldt, H., & McCabe, J. T. (2017). The role of agroforestry in building livelihood resilience to floods and drought in semiarid Kenya. *Ecology and Society*, *22*(3).

Paudel, D., Tiwari, K. R., Raut, N., Bajracharya, R. M., Bhattarai, S., Sitaula, B. K., & Thapa, S. (2022). What affects farmers in choosing better agroforestry practice as a strategy of climate change adaptation? An experience from the mid-hills of Nepal. *Heliyon*, *8*(6).

Basamba, T. A., Mayanja, C., Kiiza, B., Nakileza, B., Matsiko, F., Nyende, P., & Ssekabira, K. (2016). Enhancing adoption of agroforestry in the eastern agro ecological zone of Uganda. *International Journal of ecological science and environmental engineering*, *3*(1), 20-31.

Ahmed, A. H. (2023). Assessing the Opportunities and Constraints Of adopting Agro-Forestry Practices by Smallholder Farmers in Luwero District, Central Uganda o (Doctoral dissertation, Kampala International University).

Mukhlis, I., Rizaludin, M. S., & Hidayah, I. (2022). Understanding socio-economic and environmental impacts of agroforestry on rural communities. *Forests*, *13*(4), 556.

Njagi, M. (2016). Factors Influencing Performance of Community Based Organizations in Implementing Agricultural Extension Services in Buuri Sub-county, Meru County, Kenya (Doctoral dissertation, University of Nairobi).