



FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES AND MINING ENGINEERING

FINAL YEAR PROJECT

**ASSESSMENT OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF LOW IMPACT DEVELOPMENT
PRACTICES AS FLOOD MITIGATION MEASURE FOR NAMASUBA WATERSHED**

BY

BASEKE LYDIA CAROLINE

BU/UP/2016/540

Carolinelydia16@gmail.com

0770663941/0753437798

A final year project Report submitted to the Department of Mining and Water Resources Engineering as a partial fulfilment of the requirements for the award of a Bachelor of Science degree in Water Resources Engineering.

2020

ABSTRACT

Flooding of urban areas is a worldwide problem as cities grow and the amount of impermeable surfaces increase generating more surface runoff. In Kampala, the capital city of Uganda, flooding occurs in some parts of the city especially in Namasuba suburb along Entebbe road, which has been flooding every rainy season consecutively for a number of years now. The project aimed to mitigate floods, in addition to minimizing the adverse effects of the floods to people and the natural environment. The main objective of the study was to assess the effectiveness of Best management practices as flood mitigation measure. The procedure comprised of field study, data collection, modelling and analysis. The modelling and analysis were done with the help of Storm Water Management Model (SWMM). It was concluded that the major cause of the floods were the soil properties, high percentage of imperviousness, drains blockages resulting from garbage being thrown into the system and silt build-up that accumulates overtime. Additionally, implementing design LIDs scenario 3 which assumes that 50% of the roof runoff enters the Rain Barrels via vegetative swales, 50% of the roof runoff and 50% of the pavement runoff runs into the Rain Gardens, 50% of the pavement runoff flows through the Permeable Pavement, and all eventually enter the urban rainwater system would improve the drainage systems' rainfall events' handling capacity to more than 10yrs return period without flooding through reducing and delaying the peak flow downstream.

Keywords: LIDs, SWMM, drainage system, floods, and GIS.

DECLARATION

I **BASEKE LYDIA CAROLINE BU/UP/2016/540** hereby declare that this final year project report is my own research work and has not been previously submitted to any institution of higher learning for any kind of award to achieved.

Signature:

Date:/...../.....

APPROVAL

This is to certify that this final project report has been written under the guidance of my supervisor and is to be handed in to the department of Mining and Water Resources Engineering Busitema University.

SUPERVISOR: NAME: MR. OKETCHO YORONIMO.

SIGNATURE:

DATE:/...../.....

CO-SUPERVISOR MR. KAJUBI ENOCK

SIGNATURE:

DATE/...../.....

Contents

ABSTRACT.....	ii
DECLARATION	iii
APPROVAL	iv
ACRONYMS	ix
CHAPTER ONE; INTRODUCTION	x
1.1 Background.....	x
1.2 Problem statement.....	xi
1.3 Research questions.....	xi
1.3 Objectives of the project	xi
1.3.1 Main objective	xi
1.3.2 Specific objectives	xii
1.4 Significance.....	xii
1.5 Justification.....	xii
1.6 Scope of the study	xii
1.6.1 Conceptual scope	xii
1.6.2 Time scope	xii
1.6.3 Geographical scope	xii
2.0. Preamble	xiii
2.1 Floods.....	xiii
2.2 Drainage systems	xiii
2.3 Geographic Information Systems and Remote Sensing.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.4 Models used	xiv
2.5 Storm Water Management Model Structure and Theory	xv
2.5.1 Storm Water Management Model Setup.....	xv
2.5.2 Model calibration and validation	xv
2.5.3 <i>Hydrologic properties in Storm Water Management Model</i>	xvi
2.5.4 <i>Storm Water Management Model Hydraulics</i>	xvii
2.5.5 <i>Hydraulic modelling structures in Storm Water Management Model</i>	xix
2.6 Hydrological Modelling tool in Arc GIS	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.7 Introduction to low impact development Practices	xix
2.7.1 LID sites selection criteria	xx
2.9 Weighted overlay	xxi
2.10 Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP)	xxii
3.0. Preamble	xxv

3.1 Description of the study area	xxv
3.1.1 General	xxv
3.1.2 Location	xxv
3.2 Materials and Methods.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.2.1 Materials and tools	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.2.2 Data Sources	xxvi
3.3 Developing the hydrological model for Namasuba watershed using the rainfall-runoff simulation	xxvii
3.3.1 Catchment delineation.....	xxvii
3.3.2 Determination of peak Runoff (Runoff Quantity)	xxix
3.3.3 Critical rainfall intensity	xxx
3.3.4 The runoff coefficient	xxx
3.3.5 Model setup	xxx
3.3.5.1 Sub-catchment data	xxx
3.4 Developing the hydraulic model for Namasuba watershed.	xxxi
3.4.1 Datasets	xxxi
3.4.2 SWMM procedure and set-up.....	xxxi
3.4.3 Model application	xxxii
3.5 Carrying out site suitability analysis and assessing the impact of LIDs on storm water runoff ...	xxxii
3.5.1 Data requirement.....	xxxii
3.5.2 Modelling approach	xxxiii
3.5.3 Sensitivity analysis.....	xxxviii
3.5.4 Validation of results	xxxviii
3.5.6 Assessing the impact of LIDs storm water runoff	xxxviii
3.5.6 .1 Decentralized and Central LID Placement.....	xxxviii
CHAPTER FOUR; ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION (RESULTS AND DISCUSSION).....	xlii
4.1 Developing the hydrological model for Namasuba watershed	xliii
4.1.1 Watershed delineation.....	xliii
4.1.2 Generation of IDF curves.....	xliii
5.1.3 Runoff Quantity Results.....	xlvi
5.1.4 Time of concentration	xlvii
5.1.5 Critical rainfall intensity	xlvii
5.1.6 hydrological model setup.....	xlvii
5.2.3 Sub catchment runoff.....	xlviii
5.2 Developing the Hydraulic model for Namasuba watershed.....	1

5.2.1 Model Setup	1
5.2.2 Input Units	li
5.2.3 Nodes flooding	lii
5.2.4 Profile plot	liii
5.4.4 Calibration and validation results	lv
5.4.5 Model evaluation	lvi
5.3 Carrying out site suitability analysis and assessing the impact of LIDs on storm water runoff	lvii
5.3.1 Suitability analysis	lvii
5.3.2 Assessment of the impact of LIDs on runoff	lviii
CHAPTER FIVE; CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	lx
5.1 Conclusion	lx
5.2 Recommendation	lx
5.3 Challenges faced	lxi
REFERENCES	lxii
APPENDIX A	lxiii

List of figures

Figure 1 showing Typical LIDs (USEPA, 2015)	xx
Figure 2 showing the satellite image for study area.....	xxv
Figure 3 showing the process of watershed delineation.....	xxviii
Figure 4 showing Basic Workflow of Methodology.....	xxxiii
Figure 5 showing Criteria and Sub criteria of the SSM.....	xxxiv
Figure 6 showing Flowchart for determining the suitable sites for LIDs	xxxvii
Figure 7 showing scenario1 designing of combined LIDs.....	xl
Figure 8 showing scenario 2 designing of combined LIDs.....	xli
Figure 9 showing scenarios 3 designing of combined LIDs	xli
Figure 10 scenario 4 designing of combined LIDs	xli
Figure 11 showing delineated sub watersheds	xliiii
Figure 12 Showing a Graph of Max. Daily Rain against Return Period.....	xliv
Figure 13 showing A plot of IDF curves generated against their corresponding return period.....	xlvi
Figure 14 showing the outlook of the study area within the SWMM.....	xlviii
Figure 15 showing the peak runoff from each of the sub catchments	xlviii
Figure 16 showing the table which is an output of SWMM showing the peak discharges for sub catchments.....	xlix
Figure 17 showing a graph of infiltration against time	xlix
Figure 18 showing the infiltration graphs for sub catchments	l
Figure 19 Layout of the catchment in SWMM	l
Figure 20 Showing status report	lii
Figure 21 showing Time series generated in SWMM	lii
Figure 22 showing the nodes flooding within the study area.....	liii

Figure 23 showing the flow calibration of SWMM.....	lv
Figure 24 showing the first validation using return period five.....	lvi
Figure 25 showing the second validation performed using return period ten.....	lvi
Figure 26: Map showing potential sites for the LIDs	lvii
Figure 27 showing the LIDs control editor in SWMM.....	lviii
Figure 28 showing the depression less DEM flow direction tool.....	lxiii
Figure 29 showing the flow direction tool.....	lxiii
Figure 30 showing flow accumulation tool.....	lxiii
Figure 31 showing watershed with sub catchments.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Figure 32 showing Land use map of the study area.....	lxiii
Figure 33 showing Soil map of the study area.....	lxiv
Figure 34 Slope map of the study area.....	lxiv
Figure 35 showing stagnant water in the channel due to blockage.....	lxv
Figure 36 showing blockage of the culvert.....	lxv
Figure 37 showing Garbage buildup.....	lxv
Figure 38 showing the LIDs performance	lxv

List of tables

Table 1 showing the various hydrologic and hydraulic models.....	xiv
Table 2 Showing Weighting system for AHP.....	xxiii
Table 3 Showing Relative scores in AHP.....	xxiv
Table 4 showing Datasets were used	xxvii
Table 5 showing the various sub-catchment parameters and methods used to obtain them.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 6 Attribute table showing different areas of sub catchments	xxxii
Table 7 showing Land Cover Classification and Rank of Importance	xxxiv
Table 8 showing Slope Gradient Classifications	xxxiv
Table 9 showing the Ranking of Primary Criteria	xxxv
Table 10 showing AHP Scale	xxxv
Table 11 showing Weightage calculation.	xxxvi
Table 12 showing Pair wise comparison matrix	xxxvi
Table 13 showing Normalized matrix of PCM.....	xxxvi
Table 14 Relative index value.....	xxxvii
Table 15 showing Sub catchment Identification.....	xxxix
Table 16 showing the site characteristics of each sub catchment including slope, area and the area of the LID BMPs used.....	xlii
Table 17 Maximum daily rainfall of Namasuba from 1995-2014	xliii
Table 18 Maximum daily rainfall for return period.....	xliv
Table 19 showing Values of a^T	xlv
Table 20 Rainfall Intensities for Various Return Periods	xlvi
Table 21 showing Land use areas in watershed.....	xlvi
Table 22 showing Tabulation of peak discharge for the sub catchments.....	xlvi
Table 23 showing the performance of SWMM.....	lvii
Table 24 showing the percentage reduction in flood volume	lviii
Table 25 showing the percentage reduction in flood volume	lix
Table 26 showing the percentage reduction in flood volume	lix

Table 27 showing the percentage reduction in flood volumelix
Table 28 showing node dimensions from the fieldlxiv
Table 29 showing open channel dimensions.....lxiv

ACRONYMS

DEM-Digital Elevation Model

DWRM- Directorate of Water Resources Management

GIS- Geographical Information Systems

KCCA- Kampala City Council Authority

LID- Low Impact Development

MWE- Ministry of Water and Environment

NEMA-National Environmental Management Authority

NGO- Non-Government Organization

AHP-Analytical hierarchy process

MCDA-Multicriteria decision analysis

BMPs- best management practices

SWMM- Storm water management model